

# ASSIGNMENT № 2

Jiageng Chen, CCNU Wollongong Joint Institute

Due: 03/26/2020

## Problem 1

Consider the signature system derived from a Sigma protocol  $(P, V)$  using the building blocks:

- A Sigma protocol  $(P, V)$  for a relation  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ ; we assume that conversations are of the form  $(t, c, z)$ , where  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ , and  $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ ;
- A key generation algorithm  $G$  for  $\mathcal{R}$ ;
- A hash function  $H : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{C}$ , which will be modeled as a random oracle; the set  $\mathcal{M}$  will be the message space of the signature scheme.

The Fiat-Shamir signature scheme derived from  $G$  and  $(P, V)$  works as follows:

- The key generation algorithm is  $G$ , so a public key is of the form  $pk = y$ , where  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ , and a secret key is of the form  $sk = (x, y) \in R$ .
- To sign a message  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  using a secret key  $sk = (x, y)$ , the signing algorithm runs as follows:
  - It starts the prover  $P(x, y)$ , obtaining a commitment  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ ;
  - It computes a challenge  $c \leftarrow H(m, t)$ ;
  - Finally, it feeds  $c$  to the prover, obtaining a response  $z$ , and outputs the signature  $\sigma := (t, z) \in \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{Z}$ .
- To verify a signature  $\sigma = (t, z) \in \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{Z}$  on a message  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  using a public key  $pk = y$ , the verification algorithm computes  $c \leftarrow H(m, t)$ , and checks that  $(t, c, z)$  is an accepting conversation for  $y$ .

Assume  $(P, V)$  is special HVZK. Suppose that during signing we set the challenge as  $c \leftarrow H(m)$  instead of  $c \leftarrow H(m, t)$ . Show that the resulting signature system is insecure.

Hint: Use the HVZK simulator to forge the signature on any message of your choice.

## Problem 2

**(Threshold proofs).** The OR-proof construction allows a prover to convince a verifier that he knows a witness for one of two given statements. In this exercise, we develop a generalization that allows a prover to convince a verifier that he knows at least  $k$  witnesses for  $n$  given statements.

Let  $(P, V)$  be a Sigma protocol for a relation  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ . Assume that  $(P, V)$  provides knowledge soundness and is special HVZK, with simulator  $\text{Sim}$ . We also assume that  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{Z}_q$  for some prime  $q$ . Let  $n$  and  $k$  be integers, with  $0 < k < n < q$ . We can think of  $n$  and  $k$  as being constants or system parameters.

We shall build a Sigma protocol  $(P', V')$  for the relation

$$\mathcal{R}' = \left\{ ((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) \in (\mathcal{X} \cup \perp)^n \times \mathcal{Y}^n : |\{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : (x_i, y_i) \in \mathcal{R}\}| \geq k \right\}.$$

Suppose the prover  $P'$  is given the witness  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and the statement  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , and the verifier  $V'$  is given the statement  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . Let  $I$  denote the set of indices  $i$  such that  $(x_i, y_i) \in \mathcal{R}$ . We know that  $|I| \geq k$ . We shall assume that  $|I| = k$ , removing indices from  $I$  if necessary. Let  $J := \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I$ , so  $|J| = n - k$ . The protocol runs as follows.

1. For each  $j \in J$ , the prover chooses  $c_j \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  at random, and runs  $\text{Sim}$  on input  $(y_j, c_j)$  to obtain  $(t_j, z_j)$ . For each  $i \in I$ , the prover initializes an instance of  $P$  with  $(x_i, y_i)$ , obtaining a commitment  $t_i$ . The prover then sends  $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  to the verifier.
2. The verifier generates a challenge  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  at random, and sends  $c$  to the prover.
3. The prover computes the unique polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}_q[w]$  of degree at most  $n - k$  such that  $f(0) = c$  and  $f(j) = c_j$  for all  $j \in J$  using a polynomial interpolation algorithm. It then computes the challenges  $c_i := f(i)$  for all  $i \in I$ . For each  $i \in I$ , the prover then feeds the challenge  $c_i$  to the instance of  $P$  it initialized with  $(x_i, y_i)$ , obtaining a response  $z_i$ . The prover then sends  $(f, z_1, \dots, z_n)$  to the verifier.
4. First, the verifier checks that  $f$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $n - k$  with constant term  $c$ . Then, for  $\ell = 1, \dots, n$ , it computes  $c_\ell := f(\ell)$ . Finally, for  $\ell = 1, \dots, n$ , it verifies that  $(t_\ell, c_\ell, z_\ell)$  is an accepting conversation for  $y_\ell$ .

Give the instantiation by using Schnorr protocol.

### Problem 3

Write down the GQ signature scheme by applying the Fiat-Shamir heuristic transformation to the GQ ID protocol. Compare the derived signature scheme with RSA-FDH signature scheme regarding the efficiency.