

# PAIR CORRELATION CONJECTURE

MUHAMMAD ATIF ZAHEER

## CONTENTS

### 1. Introduction

1

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Montgomery introduces the function  $F(\alpha)$  defined as

$$F(\alpha) = F(\alpha, T) = \left( \frac{T}{2\pi} \log T \right)^{-1} \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' \leq T} T^{i\alpha(\gamma - \gamma')} w(\gamma - \gamma'),$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $T \geq 2$  are both real and  $w(u) = 4/(4 + u^2)$ . It can be readily observed that  $F$  is real-valued as  $F(\alpha) = \overline{F(\alpha)}$  and  $F$  is even;  $F(\alpha) = F(-\alpha)$ . It is however not immediately obvious that  $F(\alpha) \geq 0$ . In the statement of main theorem in his paper, Montgomery states that if  $T > T_0(\epsilon)$ , then  $F(\alpha) \geq -\epsilon$  for all  $\alpha$ , which is a weaker statement.

The idea behind the proof of nonnegativity of  $F(\alpha)$  is that we can decouple the term  $w(\gamma - \gamma')$  not as a product  $g(\gamma)g(\gamma')$  but as an improper integral  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\gamma, x)g(\gamma', x) dx$ .

We consider the improper integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(1 + (x - a)^2)(1 + (x - b)^2)}.$$

Let

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(1 + (z - a)^2)(1 + (z - b)^2)}.$$

Let  $R > 0$ . We take  $\gamma_R$  be the line segment from  $-R$  to  $R$  and  $\Gamma_R$  to be the semicircle of radius  $R$  in positive orientation, i.e.,  $\Gamma_R(t) = Re^{it}$  with  $t \in [0, \pi]$ . Then by Cauchy's residue theorem we have

$$\int_{\gamma_R + \Gamma_R} f(z) dz = 2\pi i (\text{Res}(f, a + i) + \text{Res}(f, b + i)).$$

It can be easily seen that the integral of  $f$  over  $\Gamma_R$  goes to 0 as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  due to the following estimate

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma_R} f(z) dz \right| \leq \frac{\pi R}{((R - a)^2 - 1)((R - b)^2 - 1)}.$$

Hence we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_R + \Gamma_R} f(z) dz = 2\pi i (\text{Res}(f, a + i) + \text{Res}(f, b + i)).$$

Now observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Res}(f, a+i) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow a+i} (z-a-i)f(z) \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow a+i} \frac{1}{(z-a+i)(z-b-i)(z-b+i)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2i(a-b)(a-b+2i)}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\operatorname{Res}(f, b+i) = \frac{1}{2i(b-a)(b-a+2i)} = \frac{1}{2i(a-b)(a-b-2i)}.$$

Thus we have

$$\operatorname{Res}(f, a+i) + \operatorname{Res}(f, b+i) = \frac{1}{i(4+(a-b)^2)}$$

and the integral evaluates to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(1+(x-a)^2)(1+(x-b)^2)} = \frac{2\pi}{4+(a-b)^2} = \frac{\pi}{2}w(a-b).$$

We can write

$$\left(\frac{T}{2\pi} \log T\right) F(\alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' \leq T} T^{i\alpha(\gamma-\gamma')} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(1+(x-\gamma)^2)(1+(x-\gamma')^2)}.$$

Since we have a finite sum we can interchange the integral and sum to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{T}{2\pi} \log T\right) F(\alpha) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{0 < \gamma, \gamma' \leq T} \frac{T^{i\alpha(\gamma-\gamma')}}{(1+(x-\gamma)^2)(1+(x-\gamma')^2)} \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{0 < \gamma \leq T} \frac{T^{i\alpha\gamma}}{1+(x-\gamma)^2} \right|^2 dx.\end{aligned}$$

The Montgomery's function  $F(\alpha)$  should be thought of as a family of functions parametrized by  $T$ . We now state the main result of Montgomery concerning the behavior of  $F(\alpha)$  in the unit interval.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Assume RH to be true. Then for every fixed  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  we have*

$$(1.1) \quad F(\alpha) = (1+o(1))T^{-2\alpha} \log T + \alpha + o(1)$$

as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . This estimate holds uniformly for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \epsilon$ .

Later Montgomery along with Goldston showed that the estimate (1.1) holds uniformly for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

Note that the function  $T^{-2|\alpha|} \log T$  behaves in the limit as a Dirac  $\delta$ -function as  $T^{-2|\alpha|} \log T \rightarrow 0$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $\alpha \neq 0$  and

$$\int_{-A}^A T^{-2|\alpha|} \log T d\alpha = 2 \int_0^A T^{-2\alpha} \log T d\alpha = [-T^{-2\alpha}]_0^A = 1 - T^{-2A}$$

tends to 1 as  $A \rightarrow \infty$ .

Montgomery starts off proving Theorem 1.1 by working with the following explicit formula

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s} = \frac{x^{1-s}}{1-s} - \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} - \sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho-s}}{\rho-s} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{-2n-s}}{2n+s},$$

where  $s \neq 1, s \neq \rho, s \neq 2n$  and the term  $n = x$  is counted with weight  $1/2$  if  $x$  is a prime power (see Exercise 4 on page 408 of Montgomery and Vaughan). If we assume RH and plug in  $s = \sigma + it$  and  $\rho = 1/2 + i\gamma$  we obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{\sigma+it}} = \frac{x^{1-\sigma-it}}{1-\sigma-it} - \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma+it) - \sum_{\gamma} \frac{x^{1/2+i\gamma-\sigma-it}}{1/2+i\gamma-\sigma-it} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{-2n-\sigma-it}}{2n+\sigma+it}.$$

Rearranging the terms we get

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{\gamma} \frac{x^{i\gamma-it}}{\sigma-1/2+it-i\gamma} = x^{\sigma-1/2} \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma+it) - \frac{x^{1-\sigma-it}}{1-\sigma-it} + \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{\sigma+it}} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{-2n-\sigma-it}}{2n+\sigma+it} \right).$$

Reflecting  $\sigma$  along the critical line, i.e., replacing  $\sigma$  by  $1-\sigma$  we get

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{\gamma} \frac{x^{i\gamma-it}}{1/2-\sigma+it-i\gamma} = x^{1/2-\sigma} \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-\sigma+it) - \frac{x^{\sigma-it}}{\sigma-it} + \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{1-\sigma+it}} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{-2n-1+\sigma-it}}{2n+1-\sigma+it} \right).$$

Subtracting the left hand side of (1.3) from (1.2) we end up with

$$(2\sigma-1) \sum_{\gamma} \frac{x^{i\gamma-it}}{(\sigma-1/2)^2 + (t-\gamma)^2}$$

Using the identity

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s}$$

for  $\sigma > 1$  and subtracting the right hand side of (1.3) from (1.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & x^{\sigma-1/2} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma+it) - \frac{x^{1/2-it}}{1-\sigma-it} + x^{\sigma-1/2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{\sigma+it}} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{-2n-1/2-it}}{2n+\sigma+it} \\ & - x^{1/2-\sigma} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-\sigma+it) + \frac{x^{1/2-it}}{\sigma-it} - x^{1/2-\sigma} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{1-\sigma+it}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{-2n-1/2-it}}{2n+1-\sigma+it}. \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying it we get

$$\begin{aligned} & -x^{\sigma-1/2} \sum_{n > x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{\sigma+it}} - \frac{(2\sigma-1)x^{1/2-it}}{(\sigma-it)(1-\sigma-it)} - x^{1/2-\sigma} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-\sigma-it) - x^{1/2-\sigma} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{1-\sigma+it}} \\ & - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2\sigma-1)x^{-2n-1/2-it}}{(\sigma+it+2n)(\sigma-1-it-2n)} \end{aligned}$$

Equating the two we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2\sigma-1) \sum_{\gamma} \frac{x^{i\gamma-it}}{(\sigma-1/2)^2 + (t-\gamma)^2} &= -x^{-1/2-it} \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^{1-\sigma+it} - x^{-1/2-it} \sum_{n > x} \Lambda(n) \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^{\sigma+it} \\ & - x^{1/2-\sigma} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1-\sigma-it) - \frac{(2\sigma-1)x^{1/2-it}}{(\sigma-it)(1-\sigma-it)} \\ & - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2\sigma-1)x^{-2n-1/2-it}}{(\sigma+it+2n)(\sigma-1-it-2n)} \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by the factor  $x^{it}$  on both side we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2\sigma - 1) \sum_{\gamma} \frac{x^{i\gamma}}{(\sigma - 1/2)^2 + (t - \gamma)^2} &= -x^{-1/2} \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^{1-\sigma+it} - x^{-1/2} \sum_{n > x} \Lambda(n) \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^{\sigma+it} \\
&\quad - x^{1/2-\sigma+it} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(1 - \sigma - it) - \frac{(2\sigma - 1)x^{1/2}}{(\sigma - it)(1 - \sigma - it)} \\
&\quad - x^{-1/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2\sigma - 1)x^{-2n}}{(\sigma + it + 2n)(\sigma - 1 - it - 2n)}.
\end{aligned}$$