## ARITHMETIC FUNCTIONS

## M. ATIF ZAHEER

## Contents

1.	Basic examples	1
2.	Dirichlet product	4
3.	Multiplicative functions	5
Exercises		8
Solutions		9

## 1. Basic examples

An arithmetic function is a complex-valued function defined on  $\mathbb{N}$ , i.e., a sequence of complex numbers. While the class of arithmetic functions is broad, namely  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , we will restrict our attention to only those of number-theoretic significance

Below are some commonly occurring arithmetic functions.

- The identity function e is defined as  $e(n) = \lfloor 1/n \rfloor$ , i.e., e(1) = 1 and e(n) = 0 for n > 1. It is called so because, as we will see later, it acts as the identity element in a group of arithmetic functions.
- For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , the power function  $N^{\alpha}$  is defined as  $N^{\alpha}(n) = n^{\alpha}$ . We denote  $N^{0}$  by 1 and call it the unit function
- For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Omega(n)$  is defined to be the total number of prime factors of n counted with multiplicity. We can write this in summation notation as

$$\Omega(n) = \sum_{p^k|n} 1 = \sum_{p^k||n} k.$$

It is sometimes called *big omega* function.

• For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\omega(n)$  is defined to be the number of prime factors of n. We can write it in summation notation as

$$\omega(n) = \sum_{p \mid n} 1.$$

It is usually called *small omega* function.

• The Liouville function, denoted  $\lambda$ , is defined as  $\lambda(n) = (-1)^{\Omega(n)}$ .

We now turn our attention to some more interesting arithmetic functions that occur frequently in (analytic) number theory.

The Möbius function, denoted  $\mu$ , is defined as follows

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ (-1)^k & \text{if } n = p_1 \dots p_k, \text{ where } p_1, \dots, p_k \text{ are distinct primes,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\mu$  is the signed characteristic function of squarefree positive integers. The definition of  $\mu$  may seem unmotivated at this point but later we will see later that  $\mu$  is the inverse of the unit function 1 in some group of arithmetic functions. Given that such an inverse exists one can easily recover this definition.

The Möbius function  $\mu$  has an intimate connection with one of the most important function in (analytic) number theory, namely Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$ . For instance, the estimate  $M(x) = \sum_{n \leqslant x} \mu(n) \ll x^{1/2+\epsilon}$  implies the Riemann Hypothesis (RH), one of the most notoriously difficult problem in all of mathematics. In fact, the convergence of the Dirichlet series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n) n^{-s}$  for every s with Re(s) > 1/2 also implies RH. We begin a simple result about the divisor sum of  $\mu$ .

Proposition 1.1. If  $n \ge 1$ , then

$$\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d) = e(n).$$

*Proof.* If n = 1, then the formula clearly holds as  $\mu(1) = 1$ . Now suppose that  $n = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{a_i}$ . Because  $\mu(d)$  is nonzero if and only if d is squarefree, we can restrict the sum to divisors of the form  $\prod_{i \in I} p_i$ , where I is a subset of  $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ . Hence, we get

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \sum_{I \subset \{1,\dots,n\}} \mu\left(\prod_{i \in I} p_i\right) = \sum_{I \subset \{1,\dots,n\}} (-1)^{|I|}.$$

Since for each  $0 \le r \le k$  there are precisely  $\binom{k}{r}$  subsets of  $\{1, \ldots, k\}$  containing r elements, we therefore deduce that

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \sum_{r=0}^{k} {k \choose r} (-1)^r = (-1+1)^k = 0.$$

The Euler's totient function  $\varphi$  is defined at n to be the number of positive integers not exceeding n that are relatively prime to n, i.e.,

$$\varphi(n) = |\{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n : (k,n) = 1\}|.$$

We can rewrite  $\varphi(n)$  in the summation notation as

$$\varphi(n) = \sum_{\substack{k=1\\(k,n)=1}}^{n} 1.$$

Proposition 1.2. If  $n \ge 1$ , then

$$\sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) = n.$$

*Proof.* The key idea behind the proof is to partition the set  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  into subsets  $A_d = \{1 \le k \le n : (k, n) = d\}$ , where d is a divisor of n, and to note that there is a one-to-one bijection between elements of  $A_d$  and integers  $1 \le r \le n/d$  satisfying (r, n/d) = 1. This then implies that

$$n = \sum_{d|n} |A_d| = \sum_{d|n} \varphi(n/d) = \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d).$$

The next result provides us with a relationship between  $\mu$  and  $\varphi$ .

Proposition 1.3. If  $n \ge 1$ , then we have

(1.1) 
$$\varphi(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \frac{n}{d}.$$

*Proof.* We use the formula for the divisor sum of  $\mu$  to obtain

$$\varphi(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} e((k,n)) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{d \mid (k,n)}} \mu(d) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d \mid k}} \mu(d)$$

Changing the order of summation we obtain

$$\varphi(n) = \sum_{d|n} \sum_{\substack{k=1\\d|k}}^{n} \mu(d) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{k=1\\d|k}}^{n} 1 = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \frac{n}{d}.$$

Next we obtain a nice product formula for  $\varphi(n)$ .

Proposition 1.4. For  $n \ge 1$  we have

$$\varphi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right),\,$$

*Proof.* If n = 1, then the product on the right hand side is empty and so the formula trivially holds. Now let  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  be the prime divisors of n let  $[k] := \{1, \ldots, k\}$ . Then expanding the product, we get

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} \right) = \sum_{I \subset [k]} \prod_{i \in I} \left( -\frac{1}{p_i} \right) = \sum_{I \subset [k]} \frac{(-1)^{|I|}}{\prod_{i \in I} p_i}$$

$$= \sum_{d \mid n} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} = \frac{\varphi(n)}{n}.$$

Proposition 1.5. The Euler's totient function has the following properties:

- (a)  $\varphi(p^a) = p^a p^{a-1}$  for prime p and  $a \ge 1$ .
- (b)  $\varphi(mn) = \varphi(m)\varphi(n)(d/\varphi(d))$ , where d = (m, n).
- (c)  $\varphi(mn) = \varphi(m)\varphi(n)$  if (m, n) = 1.
- (d) n|m implies  $\varphi(n)|\varphi(m)$ .
- (e)  $\varphi(n)$  is even for  $n \geqslant 3$ . Moreover, if n has r distinct odd prime factors, then  $2^r | \varphi(n)$ .

*Proof.* Part (a) follows immediately from the product formula. As for part (b) note that

$$\begin{split} \frac{\varphi(mn)}{mn} &= \prod_{p|mn} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \nmid m}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \\ &= \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \mid n}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \mid m}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{\varphi(m)}{m} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} \prod_{\substack{p|(n,m)}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{\varphi(m)}{m} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} \frac{d}{\varphi(d)}, \end{split}$$

where d = (m, n).

Part (c) follows immediately from part (b).

For part (d) let  $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_k^{a_k}$  and  $m = p_1^{b_1} \cdots p_k^{b_k}$ , where  $a_i$  are nonnegative. Because  $a_i \leq b_i$ , we have  $\varphi(p_i^{a_i})|\varphi(p_i^{b_i})$  due to part (a). This coupled with the fact that  $\varphi$  is multiplicative (due to part (c)) gives us the desired result.

Finally for part (e) observe that if  $n \ge 3$  and  $n = 2^a$  for some positive integer a then a must be at least 2 and so  $\varphi(2^a) = 2^a - 2^{a-1} = 2(2^{a-1} - 2^{a-2})$  is even. Now note that

$$\varphi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) = \frac{n}{\prod_{p|n} p} \prod_{p|n} (p-1),$$

where the factor  $n(\prod_{p|n}p)^{-1}$  is an integer. If n is not of the form  $2^a$ , then an odd prime p divides n, and so the factor on the right must be even which implies that  $\varphi(n)$  is even. Finally, if n has r distinct odd prime factors then  $2^r|\prod_{p|n}(p-1)$  and hence  $2^r|\varphi(n)$ .

The von-Mangoldt function (usually referred to as simply Mangoldt function), denoted  $\Lambda$ , is defined as

$$\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p & \text{if } n = p^a \text{ for some prime } p \text{ and integer } a \geqslant 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The von-Mangoldt function  $\Lambda$  plays an important role in prime number theory.

#### 2. Dirichlet product

If f and g are two arithmetic functions we define their *Dirichlet product* (or *Dirichlet convolution*) to be the arithmetic function f \* g defined as

$$(f * g)(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)g(n/d)$$

It is easily seen that Dirichlet multiplication is both commutative and associative, i.e., for any arithmetic functions f, g, h we have

$$f * g = g * f$$
 and  $(f * g) * h = f * (g * h)$ .

Moreover, we have e \* f = f for any arithmetic function f. Thus the set of all arithmetic functions is a commutative monoid. The next result allows us to characterize arithmetic functions that are invertible under Dirichlet multiplication.

PROPOSITION 2.1. If f is an arithmetic function with  $f(1) \neq 0$ , then there is a unique arithmetic function g such that

$$g * f = f * g = e.$$

The function g is given by

$$g(1) = \frac{1}{f(1)},$$
  $g(n) = -\frac{1}{f(1)} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d < n}} g(d) f(n/d)$  for  $n > 1$ .

The above result show that the set of all arithmetic functions f satisfying  $f(1) \neq 0$  form an abelian group under Dirichlet multiplication.

The Dirichlet multiplication provides a convenient notation to write some of our earlier results in a compact fashion;

$$\mu * 1 = e$$
,  $\varphi * 1 = N$ ,  $\varphi = \mu * N$ .

Proposition 2.2 (Möbius inversion formula). Let f and g be arithmetic functions. Then

$$f(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d)$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  if and only if

$$g(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)\mu(n/d)$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Follow immediately by noting that f = g \* 1 if and only if  $g = f * \mu$  which is seen by multiplying by  $\mu$  (or 1) and using the identity  $\mu * 1 = e$ .

# 3. Multiplicative functions

An arithmetic function f is called *multiplicative* if f is not identically zero and

$$f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$$
 whenever  $(m, n) = 1$ .

A multiplicative function f is called *completely multiplicative* (or *totally multiplicative*) if f is not identically zero and

$$f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$$
 for all  $m, n$ .

Example 3.1. We note some common examples of multiplicative functions.

- (a) The power function  $N^{\alpha}$  is completely multiplicative.
- (b) The identity function e is completely multiplicative.
- (c) The Möbius function  $\mu$  is multiplicative. However, it is not completely multiplicative as  $\mu(4) = 0 \neq 1 = \mu(2)^2$ .
- (d) The Euler totient function  $\varphi$  is multiplicative. However, it is not completely multiplicative as  $\varphi(4) = 2 \neq 1 = \varphi(2)^2$ .

PROPOSITION 3.2. If f is multiplicative, then f(1) = 1.

From this it immediately follows that  $\Lambda$  is not multiplicative as  $\Lambda(1) = 0$ .

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let f be an arithmetic function with f(1) = 1.

(a) f is multiplicative if and only if

$$f(p_1^{a_1}\cdots p_k^{a_k}) = f(p_1^{a_1})\cdots f(p_k^{a_k}),$$

where  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  are distinct primes.

(b) If f is multiplicative, then f is completely multiplicative if and only if

$$f(p^a) = f(p)^a$$

for all primes p and all integers  $a \ge 1$ .

The above result shows that a multiplicative function is uniquely determined by its values on prime powers, and a completely multiplicative function is uniquely determined by its values on primes.

PROPOSITION 3.4. If f and g are multiplicative, then so is their Dirichlet product f \* q.

*Proof.* Let m and n be relatively prime integers. Then observe that

$$(f * g)(mn) = \sum_{d|mn} f(d)g\left(\frac{mn}{d}\right) = \sum_{\substack{a|m\\b|n}} f(ab)g\left(\frac{mn}{ab}\right)$$

as every divisor of mn can be uniquely written as ab, where a|m and b|n. Using the multiplicativity of f and g we obtain

$$(f * g)(mn) = \sum_{\substack{a|m\\b|n}} f(a)f(b)g\left(\frac{m}{a}\right)g\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) = \sum_{\substack{a|m\\b|n}} \sum_{\substack{b|n}} f(a)f(b)g\left(\frac{m}{a}\right)g\left(\frac{n}{b}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{a|m\\b|n}} f(a)g\left(\frac{m}{a}\right) \sum_{\substack{b|n\\b|n}} f(b)g\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) = (f * g)(m)(f * g)(n). \qquad \Box$$

The Dirichlet product of two completely multiplicative functions need not be completely multiplicative. For instance, the divisor function d = 1 \* 1 is not completely multiplicative as  $d(4) = 3 \neq 4 = d(2)^2$  whereas 1 clearly is.

PROPOSITION 3.5. If f is multiplicative, then so is it's Dirichlet inverse  $f^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $f^{-1}$  is not multiplicative. Then there exist positive integers m and n with (m, n) = 1 such that

$$f^{-1}(mn) \neq f^{-1}(m)f^{-1}(n)$$
.

We choose such a pair m and n for which the product mn is the smallest. Since f is multiplicative therefore  $f^{-1}(1) = 1/f(1) = 1$  and hence neither m nor n can be 1. In particular, mn > 1. By the construction of the product mn, f(ab) = f(a)f(b) for all positive integers a and b with (a,b) = 1 and ab < mn. It now follows that

$$f^{-1}(mn) = -\sum_{\substack{a \mid m \\ b \mid n \\ ab < mn}} f^{-1}(ab) f\left(\frac{mn}{ab}\right) = -\sum_{\substack{a \mid m \\ b \mid n \\ ab < mn}} f^{-1}(a) f^{-1}(b) f\left(\frac{m}{a}\right) f\left(\frac{n}{b}\right)$$

Splitting the sum we obtain

$$\begin{split} f^{-1}(mn) &= -f^{-1}(n) \sum_{\substack{a \mid m \\ a < m}} f^{-1}(a) f\left(\frac{m}{a}\right) - f^{-1}(m) \sum_{\substack{b \mid n \\ b < n}} f^{-1}(b) f\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) \\ &- \sum_{\substack{a \mid m \\ a < m}} \sum_{\substack{b \mid n \\ b < n}} f^{-1}(a) f^{-1}(b) f\left(\frac{m}{a}\right) f\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) \\ &= f^{-1}(n) f^{-1}(m) + f^{-1}(m) f^{-1}(n) - f^{-1}(m) f^{-1}(n) \\ &= f^{-1}(m) f^{-1}(n). \end{split}$$

This contradiction proves the result.

Second Proof. Let g be an arithmetic function defined as

$$g(n) = \prod_{p^a||n} f^{-1}(p^a).$$

Then g is a multiplicative function by definition and so it suffices to show that  $f^{-1} = g$ . Note that

$$(g * f)(p^k) = \sum_{d|p^k} g(d)f(p^k/d) = \sum_{i=0}^k g(p^i)f(p^{k-i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^k f^{-1}(p^i)f(p^{k-i}) = \sum_{d|p^k} f^{-1}(d)f(p^k/d) = (f^{-1} * f)(p^k) = e(p^k).$$

Because g \* f and e are both multiplicative functions and agree on prime powers, it follows that g \* f = e and so  $g = f^{-1}$ .

PROPOSITION 3.6. Let f be multiplicative. Then f is completely multiplicative if and only if  $f^{-1} = \mu f$ .

*Proof.* Suppose f is completely multiplicative. Then observe that

$$(f*\mu f)(n) = \sum_{d\mid n} \mu(d)f(d)f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = f(n)\sum_{d\mid n} \mu(d) = f(n)e(n) = e(n).$$

Conversely, assume that  $f^{-1} = \mu f$ . Then observe that

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d)f(d)f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = 0$$

for n > 1. Let  $n = p^a$ , where  $a \ge 1$ . Then, we get

$$\mu(1)f(1)f(p^a) + \mu(p)f(p)f(p^{a-1}) = 0.$$

It then follows that

$$f(p^a) = f(p)f(p^{a-1}).$$

This implies that  $f(p^a) = f(p)^a$ . Thus f is completely multiplicative.

Proposition 3.7. If f is a multiplicative arithmetic function then

$$\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d) f(d) = \prod_{p \mid n} (1 - f(p)).$$

*Proof.* Let  $g = 1 * \mu f$ . Then g is a multiplicative function. Thus, it suffices to know the value of g at prime powers. We observe that

$$g(p^a) = \sum_{d|p^a} \mu(d)f(d) = \mu(1)f(1) + \mu(p)f(p) = 1 - f(p).$$

Hence, we obtain

$$g(n) = \prod_{p \mid n} g(p^a) = \prod_{p \mid n} (1 - f(p)).$$

#### EXERCISES

EXERCISE 1. Show that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there are infinitely many n such that

$$\mu(n+1) = \dots = \mu(n+k).$$

(Hint: Use Chinese Remainder Theorem.)

EXERCISE 2. Prove that

$$\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} = \sum_{d \mid n} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\varphi(d)}.$$

Exercise 3. Prove that  $\varphi(n) \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

EXERCISE 4. Show that  $\sigma(n) \leq n(\log n + 1)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

EXERCISE 5. Show that

$$\frac{n^2}{\zeta(2)} \leqslant \sigma(n)\varphi(n) \leqslant n^2$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Conclude that

$$\varphi(n) \geqslant \frac{n}{\zeta(2)(\log n + 1)}$$

EXERCISE 6. Show that  $d(n) \ll n^{\epsilon}$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ .

EXERCISE 7. Prove that  $\varphi(n) \gg n^{1-\epsilon}$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ .

EXERCISE 8. Let us denote  $e^{2\pi i\alpha}$  by  $e(\alpha)$ .

(a) Prove that

$$\frac{1}{q} \sum_{a=1}^{q} e(an/q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } q \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{when } q \nmid n. \end{cases}$$

(b) The Ramanujan's sum  $c_a(n)$  is defined as

$$c_q(n) = \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} e(an/q).$$

Prove that

$$c_q(n) = \sum_{d|(q,n)} d\mu(q/d)$$

and conclude that  $c_q(n) = O_n(1)$ .

(c) Prove that

$$\sigma(n) = \frac{\pi^2 n}{6} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_q(n)}{q^2}.$$

EXERCISE 9. For a prime p let  $\mathcal{A}_p$  denote the set of all positive integers n such that either  $n+1\equiv 0\pmod{p^2}$  or  $n-1\equiv 0\pmod{p^2}$ .

(a) If [N] denotes the set of positive integers up to N, then show that

$$\left| [N] \cap \bigcup_{p} \mathcal{A}_{p} \right| \leqslant 2N \sum_{p \leqslant N} \frac{1}{p^{2}} + 2\pi(N),$$

where  $\pi(x)$  denotes the number of primes up to x (you can assume that  $\pi(x)/x \to 0$  as  $x \to \infty$ ).

(b) Prove that

$$\sum_{p} \frac{1}{p^2} < \frac{1}{4}$$

and conclude that the set of twin squarefree integers have positive density.

EXERCISE 10. Let Q(x) denote the number of squarefree integers up to x.

(a) Show that

$$Q(N) \geqslant N - \sum_{p} \left\lfloor \frac{N}{p^2} \right\rfloor$$

for every positive integer n.

(b) Justify the relations

$$\sum_{p} \frac{1}{p^2} < \frac{1}{4} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^2} < \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

- (c) Show that Q(N) > N/2 for all positive integers N.
- (d) Show that every positive integer n > 1 can be written as a sum of two squarefree numbers.

#### SOLUTIONS

Solution 1. Let  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  be distinct primes. Then by the Chinese Remainder Theorem there exist infinitely many positive integers n such that  $n \equiv -j \mod p_j^2$  for every  $1 \leqslant j \leqslant k$ . Thus  $p_j^2 | (n+j)$  for every  $1 \leqslant j \leqslant k$  and so n+j is not squarefree, i.e.,  $\mu(n+1) = \cdots = \mu(n+k) = 0$ .

SOLUTION 2. Note that

$$\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} = \prod_{p|n} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} = \prod_{p|n} \frac{p}{p-1} = \prod_{p|n} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p-1} \right).$$

Expanding the product we get

$$\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} = \sum_{I \subset \{p \mid n\}} \prod_{p \in I} \frac{1}{p-1} = \sum_{I \subset \{p \mid n\}} \prod_{p \in I} \frac{1}{\varphi(p)} = \sum_{I \subset \{p \mid n\}} \frac{1}{\varphi(\prod_{p \in I} p)}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} = \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d \text{ sq. free}}} \frac{1}{\varphi(d)} = \sum_{\substack{d \mid n}} \frac{\mu(d)^2}{\varphi(d)}.$$

We now present another solution. Let  $f = \mu/\varphi$ . Note that f is multiplicative and so we have

$$\sum_{d \mid n} \frac{\mu(d)^2}{\varphi(d)} = \sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d) f(d) = \prod_{p \mid n} (1 - f(p)) = \prod_{p \mid n} \left( 1 - \frac{\mu(p)}{f(p)} \right) = \prod_{p \mid n} \frac{p}{p - 1}$$

due to Proposition 3.7. Since  $p^a/\varphi(p^a)=p/(p-1)$  we conclude that

$$\sum_{d \mid n} \frac{\mu(d)^2}{\varphi(d)} = \frac{n}{\varphi(n)}.$$

SOLUTION 3. Let M>0 and let  $\varphi(n)\leqslant M$ . Take  $n=\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{a_i}$ . Then we have  $\varphi(n)=\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{a_i-1}(p_i-1)\leqslant M$ . This shows that  $p_i-1\leqslant M$  and  $2^{a_i-1}\leqslant M$  for every i. Hence we have  $p_i< M$  and  $2^{a_i}\leqslant 2M$  for every i. Thus the exponents  $a_i$  are bounded by  $\log_2(2M)$ . This shows that there are only finitely many positive integers n with  $\varphi(n)\leqslant M$ . Hence we have  $\varphi(n)\to\infty$  as  $n\to\infty$ .

SOLUTION 4. Note that

$$\sigma(n) = \sum_{d \mid n} d = n \sum_{d \mid n} \frac{1}{d} \leqslant n \sum_{d \leqslant n} \frac{1}{d} \leqslant n \left( \int_{1}^{n} \frac{dt}{t} + 1 \right) = n(\log n + 1).$$

SOLUTION 5. Observe that

$$\sigma(n)\varphi(n) = \prod_{p^{\alpha}||n} \sigma(p^{\alpha})\varphi(p^{\alpha}) = \prod_{p^{\alpha}||n} \left(\frac{p^{\alpha+1}-1}{p-1}\right) p^{\alpha-1}(p-1)$$
$$= \prod_{p^{\alpha}||n} (p^{2\alpha}-p^{\alpha-1}) = n^2 \prod_{p^{\alpha}||n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{\alpha+1}}\right).$$

Note that it is clear that  $\sigma(n)\varphi(n) \leq n^2$  as each of the factor in the product is < 1. For the lower bound observe that  $p^{\alpha+1} \geq p^2$  and so we obtain

$$\sigma(n)\varphi(n)\geqslant n^2\prod_{p^\alpha|\mid n}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^2}\right)\geqslant n^2\prod_p\left(1-\frac{1}{p^2}\right)=\frac{n^2}{\zeta(2)}.$$

Because we know that  $\sigma(n) \leq n(\log n + 1)$ , the desired bound for  $\varphi(n)$  immediately follows.

SOLUTION 6. Let  $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_k^{a_k}$ . Then we have

$$\frac{d(n)}{n^{\epsilon}} = \prod_{p^a||n} \frac{a+1}{p^{a\epsilon}} \leqslant \prod_{\substack{p^a||n\\p<2^{1/\epsilon}}} \frac{a+1}{p^{a\epsilon}}$$

for if  $p \geqslant 2^{1/\epsilon}$ , then  $p^{\epsilon} \geqslant 2$  and so  $p^{a\epsilon} \geqslant 2^a \geqslant a+1$  which gives  $(a+1)/p^{a\epsilon} \leqslant 1$ . Now observe that

$$\frac{d(n)}{n^{\epsilon}} \leqslant \prod_{\substack{p^a \mid | n \\ n < 2^{1/\epsilon}}} \frac{a+1}{2^{a\epsilon}} \leqslant \prod_{\substack{p^a \mid | n \\ n < 2^{1/\epsilon}}} \frac{a+1}{a\epsilon \log 2}$$

as  $2^{a\epsilon} = e^{a\epsilon \log 2} \geqslant a\epsilon \log 2$ . Finally we have

$$\frac{d(n)}{n^{\epsilon}} \leqslant \prod_{n < 2^{1/\epsilon}} \frac{2}{\epsilon \log 2} \leqslant \left(\frac{2}{\epsilon \log 2}\right)^{\pi(2^{1/\epsilon})}.$$

This shows that  $d(n) \ll_{\epsilon} n^{\epsilon}$ .

SOLUTION 7. Note that

$$\varphi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \geqslant \frac{n}{2^{\omega(n)}} \geqslant \frac{n}{d(n)} \gg n^{1-\epsilon}$$

as  $1 - 1/p \ge 1/2$  for every prime p and  $d(n) \ge 2^{\omega(n)}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We present another solution which involves bounding the product  $\prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$  from below. Let n be a positive integer. Then we have

$$\log \prod_{p|n} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) = \sum_{p|n} \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) = -\sum_{p|n} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{mp^m} = -\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{p|n} \frac{1}{p^m}.$$

Let  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots$  be the sequence of primes. Then for K > 1 we have

$$\sum_{p|n} \frac{1}{p^m} \leqslant \sum_{k < K} \frac{1}{p_k^m} + \frac{\omega(n)}{p_K^m}.$$

This then leads to

$$\begin{split} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{p \mid n} \frac{1}{p^m} \leqslant \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k < K} \frac{1}{p_k^m} + \omega(n) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m p_K^m} \\ &= -\sum_{k < K} \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) - \omega(n) \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_K}\right). \end{split}$$

Using the inequality  $\omega(n) \leq \log_2 n$  we obtain

$$\log \prod_{n \mid n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \geqslant \sum_{k < K} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) + \frac{\log n}{\log 2} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_K}\right).$$

If we denote

$$c_K = \sum_{k < K} \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_K = -\frac{1}{\log 2} \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_K} \right),$$

then we have

$$\log \varphi(n) = \log n + \log \prod_{n \mid n} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \geqslant c_K + (1 - \epsilon_K) \log n.$$

Hence we conclude that

$$\varphi(n) \geqslant e^{c_K} n^{1-\epsilon_K}$$
.

Since  $\epsilon_K \to 0$  as  $K \to \infty$  we get that  $\varphi(n) \gg n^{1-\epsilon}$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Solution 8. Note that if q|n, then e(an/q) = 1 for every  $1 \le a \le q$  and so we have

$$\frac{1}{q} \sum_{a=1}^{q} e(an/q) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{a=1}^{q} 1 = 1.$$

Now suppose that  $q \nmid n$ . Then we have  $e(n/q) \neq 1$  and so

$$\frac{1}{q} \sum_{q=1}^{q} e(an/q) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{q=1}^{q} e(n/q)^a = \frac{1}{q} \left( \frac{e(n/q)^{q+1} - 1}{e(n/q) - 1} - 1 \right) = 0$$

as  $e(n/q)^{q+1} = e(n/q)$ .

Observe that

$$c_q(n) = \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^q e(an/q) = \sum_{a=1}^q e(an/q) \sum_{\substack{d \mid (a,q)}} \mu(d) = \sum_{a=1}^q e(an/q) \sum_{\substack{d \mid a\\d \mid q}} \mu(d).$$

Changing the order of summation we get

$$c_q(n) = \sum_{d \mid q} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ \text{old}}}^q e(an/q) = \sum_{d \mid q} \mu(d) \sum_{r=1}^{q/d} e(rdn/q) = \sum_{d \mid q} \mu(d) \sum_{r=1}^{q/d} e(rn/(q/d)).$$

We can rewrite  $c_q(n)$  as

$$c_q(n) = \sum_{d|q} \mu(q/d) \sum_{r=1}^{d} e(rn/d).$$

Finally, applying the identity in part (a) we obtain

$$c_q(n) = \sum_{\substack{d \mid q \\ d \mid n}} d\mu(q/d) = \sum_{\substack{d \mid (q,n)}} d\mu(q/d).$$

Using the triangle inequality, we get

$$|c_q(n)| \leqslant \sum_{d \mid (q,n)} d \leqslant \sum_{d \mid n} d = \sigma(n).$$

Hence,  $c_q(n) = O(1)$  as a function of q with a fixed n.

We rewrite  $\sigma(n)$  as

$$\sigma(n) = n \sum_{d \mid n} \frac{1}{d} = n \sum_{d=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d} \left( \frac{1}{d} \sum_{a=1}^{d} e(an/d) \right)$$

since  $\frac{1}{d} \sum_{a=1}^{d} e(an/d)$  is the characteristic function of the divisors of n by part (a). This results in

$$\sigma(n) = n \sum_{d=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{a=1}^{d} e(an/d).$$

Since the factor  $\sum_{a=1}^{d} e(an/d) = 0$  for d > n by part (a), we can extend the above finite sum to an infinite sum as

(3.1) 
$$\sigma(n) = n \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{n=1}^{d} e(an/d).$$

Observe that

$$\sum_{a=1}^{d} e(an/d) = \sum_{q|d} \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,d)=q}}^{d} e(an/d) = \sum_{q|d} \sum_{\substack{r=1\\(r,d/q)=1}}^{d/q} e(rqn/d)$$
$$= \sum_{q|d} \sum_{\substack{r=1\\(r,d/q)=1}}^{d/q} e(rn/(d/q)) = \sum_{q|d} c_{d/q}(n) = \sum_{q|d} c_q(n).$$

Substituting this into (3.1) we obtain

$$\sigma(n) = n \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{q \mid d} c_q(n) = n \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \sum_{q \mid d} \frac{c_q(n)}{d^2}.$$

Changing the order of summation, we get

$$\sigma(n) = n \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{d=1\\q \mid d}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d^2} c_q(n) = n \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_q(n)}{(q\ell)^2}$$

$$= n \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell^2} \frac{c_q(n)}{q^2} = n \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell^2} \right) \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_q(n)}{q^2}$$

$$= \frac{n\pi^2}{6} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_q(n)}{q^2},$$

where we use  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$  in the final equality.

SOLUTION 9. (a): Observe that if  $n \in \mathcal{A}_p$ , then  $p^2 \leqslant n+1$  and so  $p \leqslant n$ . Consequently  $[N] \cap \mathcal{A}_p = \emptyset$  for p > N and so we have

$$\left| [N] \cap \bigcup_{p} \mathcal{A}_{p} \right| = \left| \bigcup_{p \leqslant N} [N] \cap \mathcal{A}_{p} \right| \leqslant \sum_{p \leqslant N} |[N] \cap \mathcal{A}_{p}|.$$

Since there are exactly two elements of  $\mathcal{A}_p$  in any set of  $p^2$  consecutive integers we obtain that  $|[N] \cap \mathcal{A}_p| \leq 2\lceil N/p^2 \rceil$  and so

$$\left| [N] \cap \bigcup_{p} \mathcal{A}_{p} \right| \leq 2 \sum_{p \leq N} \left\lceil \frac{N}{p^{2}} \right\rceil \leq 2N \sum_{p \leq N} \frac{1}{p^{2}} + 2\pi(N).$$

(b): Note that

$$\sum_{p} \frac{1}{p^2} \leqslant \frac{1}{2^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^2} \leqslant \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let  $C = \sum_{n} \frac{1}{n^2}$ . We have shown above that C < 1/2. Thus

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \right| [N] \cap \bigcup_{p} \mathcal{A}_{p} \right| \leqslant 2C + 2\frac{\pi(N)}{N}.$$

Hence we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left| [N] \cap \bigcup_{p} \mathcal{A}_{p} \right| \leq 2C < 1.$$

as  $\pi(N)/N \to \infty$ , i.e., the set of primes has density 0. Since  $[N] \cap \bigcup_p \mathcal{A}_p$  is the set of all positive integers n up to N such that either n-1 or n+1 is not squarefree,  $\bigcap_p [N] \setminus \mathcal{A}_p = [N] \setminus \left( [N] \cap \bigcup_p \mathcal{A}_p \right)$  is the set of all twin squarefree integers. Finally,

$$\liminf_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left| \bigcap_{p} [N] \backslash \mathcal{A}_p \right| = 1 - \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left| [N] \cap \bigcup_{p} \mathcal{A}_p \right| = 1 - 2C > 0.$$

This shows that the set of twin squarefree integers has positive (lower) density.

Solution 10. (a): Observe that for an integer N we have

$$\{1\leqslant m\leqslant N: m \text{ not squarefree}\}\subset \bigcup_p \{1\leqslant m\leqslant N: p^2|m\},$$

where p runs over all primes. It thus follows that

$$N - Q(N) \leqslant \sum_{p} \left\lfloor \frac{N}{p^2} \right\rfloor,$$

which is the desired inequality.

(b): Obvious as every prime besides 2 is odd and  $(2k+1)^2 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 > 4k(k+1)$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} = 1$ .

(c): It now follows by part (b) that

$$Q(N)\geqslant N-\sum_{p}\left\lfloor\frac{N}{p^{2}}\right\rfloor\geqslant N-N\sum_{p}\frac{1}{p^{2}}>\frac{N}{2}.$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(d): Suppose for the sake of contradiction that N>1 and N cannot be expressed as a sum of two squarefree integers. Then for every  $a,b\in\mathbb{N}$  satisfying a+b=N either a or b is not squarefree. It follows that there are at least (N-1)/2 (this is the number of ways N can be written as a sum of two positive integers without regard for order) integers up to N-1 that are not square free. Hence, we must have

$$Q(N-1) \leqslant (N-1) - \frac{(N-1)}{2} = \frac{N-1}{2}.$$

But this contradicts the inequality in part (c).