

ARITHMETIC FUNCTIONS

M. ATIF ZAHEER

An *arithmetic function* is simply a sequence of complex numbers, i.e., it is a function from \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{C} .

1. SOME BASIC ARITHMETIC FUNCTIONS

The *Möbius function*, denoted μ , is defined as

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ (-1)^k & \text{if } n = p_1 \dots p_k, \text{ where } p_1, \dots, p_k \text{ are distinct primes,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that μ is the signed characteristic function of the squarefree integers. The definition of μ may seem unmotivated but later we will see that μ is the inverse of the unit function in some group of arithmetic functions. Knowing that such an inverse exists one can easily recover this definition.

Proposition 1.1. *If $n \geq 1$, then*

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = e(n).$$

Proof. If $n = 1$, then the formula clearly holds as $\mu(1) = 1$. Now suppose that $n = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{a_i}$. Because $\mu(d)$ is nonzero if and only if d is squarefree, we can restrict the sum to divisors of the form $\prod_{i \in I} p_i$, where I is a subset of $\{1, \dots, k\}$. Hence, we get

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, k\}} \mu\left(\prod_{i \in I} p_i\right) = \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, k\}} (-1)^{|I|}.$$

Since for each $0 \leq r \leq k$ there are precisely $\binom{k}{r}$ subsets of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ containing r elements, we therefore deduce that

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \sum_{r=0}^k \binom{k}{r} (-1)^r = (-1 + 1)^k = 0. \quad \square$$

Exercise 1.2. Show that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there are infinitely many n such that

$$\mu(n+1) = \dots = \mu(n+k).$$

The *Euler's totient function*, denoted φ , is defined to be the number of positive integers not exceeding n which are relatively prime to n , i.e.,

$$\varphi(n) = \#\{1 \leq k \leq n : (k, n) = 1\}$$

We can rewrite $\varphi(n)$ in the summation notation as

$$\varphi(n) = \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ (k,n)=1}}^n 1 = \sum_{k=1}^n e((k, n))$$

Proposition 1.3. *If $n \geq 1$, then*

$$\sum_{d|n} \varphi(d) = n.$$

Proof. The key idea behind the proof is to partition the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ into sets $A_d = \{1 \leq k \leq n : (k, n) = d\}$, where d is a divisor of n , and to note that there is a one-to-one bijection between elements of A_d and integers $1 \leq r \leq n/d$ satisfying $(r, n/d) = 1$. This then implies that

$$n = \sum_{d|n} \#A_d = \sum_{d|n} \varphi(n/d) = \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d). \quad \square$$

We now establish a relationship between the Möbius function and the Euler's totient function which will later follow seamlessly from the Möbius inversion formula.

Proposition 1.4. *If $n \geq 1$, then we have*

$$\varphi(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \frac{n}{d}. \quad (1.1)$$

Proof. We use the formula for the divisor sum of μ to obtain

$$\varphi(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n e((k, n)) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{d|(n,k)} \mu(d) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d|k}} \mu(d).$$

Changing the order of summation leads to

$$\varphi(n) = \sum_{d|n} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ d|k}}^n \mu(d) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ d|k}}^n 1 = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \frac{n}{d}. \quad \square$$

Proposition 1.5. *For $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$\varphi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right),$$

Proof. If $n = 1$, then the product on the right hand side is empty and so the formula trivially holds. Now let p_1, \dots, p_k be the prime divisors of n let $[k] := \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then expanding the product, we get

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) = \sum_{I \subseteq [k]} \prod_{i \in I} \left(-\frac{1}{p_i}\right) = \sum_{I \subseteq [k]} \frac{(-1)^{\#I}}{\prod_{i \in I} p_i}.$$

Note that the summand in the sum to the very right is $\mu(d)/d$, where d is a squarefree divisor of n , and the sum runs over all squarefree divisors of n . But since $\mu(d) = 0$ if d is not squarefree we obtain that

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) = \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} = \frac{\varphi(n)}{n}. \quad \square$$

We now obtain some interesting properties of φ .

Proposition 1.6. *The Euler's totient function has the following properties:*

- (a) $\varphi(p^a) = p^a - p^{a-1}$ for prime p and $a \geq 1$.
- (b) $\varphi(mn) = \varphi(m)\varphi(n)(d/\varphi(d))$, where $d = (m, n)$.
- (c) $\varphi(mn) = \varphi(m)\varphi(n)$ if $(m, n) = 1$.
- (d) $n|m$ implies $\varphi(n)|\varphi(m)$.
- (e) $\varphi(n)$ is even for $n \geq 3$. Moreover, if n has r distinct odd prime factors, then $2^r | \varphi(n)$.

Proof. (a): Follows immediately from the product formula.

(b): Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varphi(mn)}{mn} &= \prod_{p|mn} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \nmid m}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \\ &= \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p|m}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{\varphi(m)}{m} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} \prod_{p|(n,m)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{\varphi(m)}{m} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} \frac{d}{\varphi(d)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $d = (m, n)$.

(c): Follows immediately from part (b).

(d): Let $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_k^{a_k}$ and $m = p_1^{b_1} \cdots p_k^{b_k}$, where a_i are nonnegative. Because $a_i \leq b_i$, we have $\varphi(p_i^{a_i}) | \varphi(p_i^{b_i})$ due to part (a). This coupled with the fact that φ is multiplicative (due to part (c)) gives us the desired result.

(e): Observe that if $n \geq 3$ and $n = 2^a$ for some positive integer a then a must be at least 2 and so $\varphi(2^a) = 2^a - 2^{a-1} = 2(2^{a-1} - 2^{a-2})$ is even. Now note that

$$\varphi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \frac{n}{\prod_{p|n} p} \prod_{p|n} (p-1),$$

where the factor $n(\prod_{p|n} p)^{-1}$ is an integer. If n is not of the form 2^a , then an odd prime p divides n , and so the factor on the right must be even which implies that $\varphi(n)$ is even. Finally, if n has r distinct odd prime factors then $2^r | \prod_{p|n} (p-1)$ and hence $2^r | \varphi(n)$. \square

The *von-Mangoldt function* (usually referred to as simply Mangoldt function), denoted Λ , is defined as

$$\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p & \text{if } n = p^a \text{ for some prime } p \text{ and integer } a \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It can be easily seen that

$$\log n = \sum_{d|n} \Lambda(d).$$

2. DIRICHLET MULTIPLICATION

If f and g are two arithmetic functions we define their *Dirichlet product* (or *Dirichlet convolution*) to be the arithmetic function $f * g$ defined by

$$(f * g)(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)g\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

This product is defined in such a way so that the product of the Dirichlet series, which is a generating function associated to an arithmetic function, of two arithmetic functions is exactly the Dirichlet series of the Dirichlet product.

It is easily verified that Dirichlet multiplication is commutative and associative, i.e., for any arithmetic functions f, g, h we have

$$f * g = g * f \quad \text{and} \quad (f * g) * h = f * (g * h).$$

Moreover, for any arithmetic function f , we have $e * f = f * e = f$. This shows that the collection of all arithmetic functions form a commutative monoid. As for when does the inverse of an arithmetic function exists we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *If f is an arithmetic function with $f(1) \neq 0$, then there is a unique arithmetic function g such that*

$$g * f = f * g = e.$$

The function g is given by

$$g(1) = \frac{1}{f(1)}, \quad g(n) = -\frac{1}{f(1)} \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < n}} g(d) f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \quad \text{for } n > 1.$$

The above results show that the set of all arithmetic functions f satisfying $f(1) \neq 0$ form an abelian group under Dirichlet multiplication.

Using the notation of Dirichlet product, we can write the identities in Proposition 1.1 and Proposition 1.3 in compact form as

$$\mu * 1 = e \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi * 1 = N.$$

Thus μ and 1 are Dirichlet inverses of each other. Also note that the identity (1.1) follows seamlessly from $\varphi * 1 = N$ by multiplying by μ on both sides; $\varphi = N * \mu$.

Proposition 2.2 (Möbius inversion formula). *Let f and g be arithmetic functions. Then*

$$f(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d)$$

if and only if

$$g(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d) \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

The Möbius inversion formula has already been illustrated by a pair of identities in Proposition 1.3 and Proposition 1.4:

$$n = \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d), \quad \varphi(n) = \sum_{d|n} d \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

3. MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONS

An arithmetic function f is called *multiplicative* if $f \not\equiv 0$ and

$$f(mn) = f(m)f(n) \quad \text{whenever } (m, n) = 1.$$

A multiplicative function f is called *completely multiplicative* (or *totally multiplicative*) if $f \not\equiv 0$ and

$$f(mn) = f(m)f(n) \quad \text{for all } m, n.$$

Example 3.1. We note some common examples of multiplicative functions.

- (a) The power function N^α is completely multiplicative.
- (b) The identity function e is completely multiplicative.

- (c) The Möbius function μ is multiplicative. However, it is not completely multiplicative as $\mu(4) = 0 \neq 1 = \mu(2)^2$.
- (d) The Euler totient function φ is multiplicative. However, it is not completely multiplicative as $\varphi(4) = 2 \neq 1 = \varphi(2)^2$.

Note that that if f is multiplicative, then $f(n) = f(1)f(n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Because f is not identically zero it follows that $f(1) = 1$. From this property of multiplicative functions it immediately follows for instance that Λ is not multiplicative.

Proposition 3.2. *Let f be an arithmetic function with $f(1) = 1$.*

- (a) *f is multiplicative if and only if*

$$f(p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_k^{a_k}) = f(p_1^{a_1}) \cdots f(p_k^{a_k}),$$

where p_1, \dots, p_k are distinct primes.

- (b) *If f is multiplicative, then f is completely multiplicative if and only if*

$$f(p^a) = f(p)^a$$

for all primes p and all integers $a \geq 1$.

The above result shows that a multiplicative function is uniquely determined by its values on prime powers, and a completely multiplicative function is uniquely determined by its values on primes.

Proposition 3.3. *If f and g are multiplicative, then so is their Dirichlet product $f * g$.*

Proof. Let m and n be relatively prime integers. Then observe that

$$(f * g)(mn) = \sum_{d|mn} f(d)g\left(\frac{mn}{d}\right) = \sum_{\substack{a|m \\ b|n}} f(ab)g\left(\frac{mn}{ab}\right)$$

as every divisor of mn can be uniquely written as ab , where $a|m$ and $b|n$. Using the multiplicativity of f and g we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (f * g)(mn) &= \sum_{\substack{a|m \\ b|n}} f(a)f(b)g\left(\frac{m}{a}\right)g\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) = \sum_{a|m} \sum_{b|n} f(a)f(b)g\left(\frac{m}{a}\right)g\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) \\ &= \sum_{a|m} f(a)g\left(\frac{m}{a}\right) \sum_{b|n} f(b)g\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) = (f * g)(m)(f * g)(n). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The Dirichlet product of two completely multiplicative functions need not be completely multiplicative. For instance, the divisor function $d = 1 * 1$ is not completely multiplicative as $d(4) = 3 \neq 4 = d(2)^2$ whereas 1 clearly is.

Proposition 3.4. *If f is multiplicative, then so is its Dirichlet inverse f^{-1} .*

Proof. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that f^{-1} is not multiplicative. Then there exist positive integers m and n with $(m, n) = 1$ such that

$$f^{-1}(mn) \neq f^{-1}(m)f^{-1}(n).$$

We choose such a pair m and n for which the product mn is the smallest. Since f is multiplicative therefore $f^{-1}(1) = 1/f(1) = 1$ and hence neither m nor n can be 1. In particular, $mn > 1$. By the construction of the product mn , $f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$ for all positive integers a and b with $(a, b) = 1$ and $ab < mn$. It now follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(mn) &= - \sum_{\substack{a|m \\ b|n \\ ab < mn}} f^{-1}(ab) f\left(\frac{mn}{ab}\right) \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{a|m \\ b|n \\ ab < mn}} f^{-1}(a) f^{-1}(b) f\left(\frac{m}{a}\right) f\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) \\ &= -f^{-1}(n) \sum_{\substack{a|m \\ a < m}} f^{-1}(a) f\left(\frac{m}{a}\right) - f^{-1}(m) \sum_{\substack{b|n \\ b < n}} f^{-1}(b) f\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{a|m \\ a < m}} \sum_{\substack{b|n \\ b < n}} f^{-1}(a) f^{-1}(b) f\left(\frac{m}{a}\right) f\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) \\ &= f^{-1}(n)f^{-1}(m) + f^{-1}(m)f^{-1}(n) - f^{-1}(m)f^{-1}(n) \\ &= f^{-1}(m)f^{-1}(n). \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction proves the result.

Second Proof. Let g be an arithmetic function defined as

$$g(n) = \prod_{p^a || n} f^{-1}(p^a).$$

Then g is a multiplicative function by definition and so it suffices to show that $f^{-1} = g$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (g * f)(p^k) &= \sum_{d|p^k} g(d) f(p^k/d) = \sum_{i=0}^k g(p^i) f(p^{k-i}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k f^{-1}(p^i) f(p^{k-i}) = \sum_{d|p^k} f^{-1}(d) f(p^k/d) = (f^{-1} * f)(p^k) = e(p^k). \end{aligned}$$

Because $g * f$ and e are both multiplicative functions and agree on prime powers, it follows that $g * f = e$ and so $g = f^{-1}$. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let f be multiplicative. Then f is completely multiplicative if and only if $f^{-1} = \mu f$.*

Proof. Suppose f is completely multiplicative. Then observe that

$$(f * \mu f)(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) f(d) f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = f(n) \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = f(n) E(n) = E(n).$$

Conversely, assume that $f^{-1} = \mu f$. Then observe that

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) f(d) f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = 0$$

for $n > 1$. Let $n = p^a$, where $a \geq 1$. Then, we get

$$\mu(1) f(1) f(p^a) + \mu(p) f(p) f(p^{a-1}) = 0.$$

It then follows that

$$f(p^a) = f(p) f(p^{a-1}).$$

This implies that $f(p^a) = f(p)^a$. Thus f is completely multiplicative. \square

Example 3.6. Since $\varphi = \mu * N$ we have $\varphi^{-1} = \mu^{-1} * N^{-1}$. But $N^{-1} = \mu N$ since N is completely multiplicative, so

$$\varphi^{-1} = \mu^{-1} * \mu N = 1 * \mu N.$$

Thus we have

$$\varphi^{-1}(n) = \sum_{d|n} d \mu(d).$$

Proposition 3.7. *If f is a multiplicative arithmetic function then*

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) f(d) = \prod_{p|n} (1 - f(p)).$$

Proof. Let $g = 1 * \mu f$. Then g is a multiplicative function. Thus, it suffices to know the value of g at prime powers. We observe that

$$g(p^a) = \sum_{d|p^a} \mu(d) f(d) = \mu(1) f(1) + \mu(p) f(p) = 1 - f(p).$$

Hence, we obtain

$$g(n) = \prod_{p|n} g(p^a) = \prod_{p|n} (1 - f(p)).$$

\square

as desired.

We earlier gave a product formula for $\varphi(n)$ in Proposition 1.5. This formula also follows from Proposition 1.4 and above proposition by taking $f(n) = 1/n$.

4. EXERCISES

Exercise 4.1. Let us denote $e^{2\pi i\alpha}$ by $e(\alpha)$.

(a) Prove that

$$\frac{1}{q} \sum_{a=1}^q e(an/q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } q|n, \\ 0 & \text{when } q \nmid n. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

(b) The *Ramanujan's sum* $c_q(n)$ is defined as

$$c_q(n) = \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q e(an/q).$$

Show that

$$c_q(n) = \sum_{d|(q,n)} d\mu(q/d)$$

and conclude that $c_q(n) = O(1)$ as a function of q with n fixed.

(c) Prove that

$$\sigma(n) = \frac{\pi^2 n}{6} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} q^{-2} c_q(n).$$

(Hint: Write $\sigma(n) = n \sum_{d|n} d^{-1}$. Then use (4.1) along with the identity $\sum_{a=1}^d e(an/d) = \sum_{q|d} c_q(n)$ to obtain

$$\sigma(n) = n \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} d^{-2} \sum_{q|d} c_q(n).$$

Now change the order of summation and justify it using $d(n) \leq 2\sqrt{n}$.)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK,
PA 16802, USA.

Email address: mvz5421@psu.edu