

NORMED SPACES

M. ATIF ZAHEER

1. BASIC DEFINITIONS AND EXAMPLES

A normed space is simply a vector space with the notion of length just as in Euclidean spaces. Precisely speaking, a *norm* on a vector space X is a map $\|\cdot\| : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ that satisfies the following properties:

- (1) (positive definiteness) $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.
- (2) (absolute homogeneity) $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$ for every $x \in X$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$.
- (3) (triangle inequality) $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ for every $x, y \in X$.

In this case the pair $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a *normed space*.

A $\|\cdot\|$ on a vector space X induces a metric on X defined as

$$d(x, y) = \|x - y\|.$$

This metric satisfies the additional properties of translation invariance ($d(x + z, y + z) = d(x, y)$) and absolute homogeneity ($d(\lambda x, \lambda y) = |\lambda| d(x, y)$). Due to this not every metric on a vector space is induced by a norm. For instance, the discrete metric does not satisfy the absolute homogeneity property and hence is not induced by a norm.

As in the case of Euclidean space open/closed balls are convex in a normed space. In some sense this is the characteristic property of a norm. For instance, one can show that if $\|\cdot\| : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is a map which satisfies positive definiteness and absolute homogeneity, then $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm if and only if the closed unit ball $\overline{B_1}$ is convex.

Exercise 1.1. Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{K} and let $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a map that satisfies properties (1) and (2) of norm. Show that $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm if and only if the closed unit ball is convex. (Hint: The triangle inequality is equivalent to the inequality $\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\| \leq 1$, where x, y are unit vectors and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.)

We now present some examples of normed spaces.

Example 1.1. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$ we define the *p-norm* of x as

$$\|x\|_p = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p}. \quad (1.1)$$

It is easy to see that $\|\cdot\|_p$ satisfies positive definiteness and absolute homogeneity. However, the triangle inequality is not obvious except for the case $p = 1$. We have to show that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{K}^n$ we have the inequality

$$\|x + y\|_p \leq \|x\|_p + \|y\|_p.$$

This is equivalent to the inequality

$$\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\|_p \leq 1,$$

where $\|x\|_p = \|y\|_p = 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Because the function $t \mapsto t^p$ is convex (as $\frac{d^2 t^p}{dt^2} = p(p-1)t^{p-2} \geq 0$) for $t \in [0, \infty)$, we have the convexity bound

$$(\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b)^p \leq \lambda a^p + (1 - \lambda)b^p$$

for $a, b \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Applying this we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\|_p^p &= \sum_{k=1}^n |\lambda x_k + (1 - \lambda)y_k|^p \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n (\lambda |x_k| + (1 - \lambda)|y_k|)^p \\ &\leq \lambda \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^p + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{k=1}^n |y_k|^p \\ &= \lambda + (1 - \lambda) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\|\cdot\|_p$ satisfies the triangle inequality and hence is a norm.

Example 1.2. The ∞ -norm on \mathbb{K}^n is defined as

$$\|x\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |x_k|.$$

It is easy to verify that $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is indeed a norm on \mathbb{K}^n . The triangle inequality is particularly easy to verify. It is easy to see that

$$\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_p \leq n^{1/p} \|x\|_\infty.$$

It follows from this that

$$\|x\|_\infty = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|x\|_p.$$

Example 1.3. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. We define the ℓ^p space as

$$\ell^p = \left\{ \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{K} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^p < \infty \right\},$$

i.e., ℓ^p is the collection of all p -summable sequences. It can be easily seen that ℓ^p is a subspace of $\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$. To see why it is closed under addition note that

$$(a + b)^p \leq (2 \max\{a, b\})^p \leq 2^p (a^p + b^p)$$

for any nonnegative a, b . We define the p -norm on ℓ^p as

$$\|a\|_p = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where $a = \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. It is easy to see that $\|\cdot\|_p$ satisfies positive definiteness and absolute homogeneity. Let $a = \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^p$, $b = \{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^p$. Then for a fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^N |a_n + b_n|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^p \right)^{1/p} + \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |b_n|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \|a\|_p + \|b\|_p$$

due to the triangle inequality for \mathbb{K}^N . Now taking the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\|a + b\|_p = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |a_n + b_n|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \|a\|_p + \|b\|_p.$$

Thus $\|\cdot\|_p$ is a norm on ℓ^p .

Example 1.4. Let ℓ^∞ denote the space of all bounded sequences, i.e.,

$$\ell^\infty = \left\{ \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{K} : \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |a_k| < \infty \right\}$$

Then we define the ∞ -norm on ℓ^∞ as

$$\|a\|_\infty = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |a_k|,$$

where $a = \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^\infty$. It is easy to verify that $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is indeed a norm on ℓ^∞ .

Example 1.5. Let X be a measure space with measure μ and let $1 \leq p < \infty$. The space $L^p(X)$ of all equivalence classes of p -integrable functions (f is equivalent to g if $f = g$ a.e.) is a normed space under the norm

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_X |f|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p}$$

Moreover, for a measurable function $f : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ (or \mathbb{C}) we define $\|f\|_\infty$ to be the essential supremum of $|f|$, i.e.,

$$\|f\|_\infty = \inf \{ \alpha \in [0, \infty] : |f| \leq \alpha \text{ a.e.} \}$$

The space $L^\infty(X)$ is defined to be the collection of equivalence classes of essentially bounded functions, i.e., $\|f\|_\infty < \infty$. It can be easily seen that $L^\infty(X)$ is a normed space.

In fact, the p -norm on \mathbb{K}^n and ℓ^p are particular instances of this example with the measure μ being the counting measure.

Two norms $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ on a vector space X are said to be *equivalent* if there are positive constants A and B such that

$$A \|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1 \leq B \|x\|_2.$$

It can be easily verified that the equivalence of norms is indeed an equivalence relation. Moreover, if $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ are equivalent norms on X and $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in X , then $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy with respect to $\|\cdot\|_1$ if and only if $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy with respect to $\|\cdot\|_2$. Similarly, $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ if and only if $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_2$.

All p -norms on \mathbb{K}^n are equivalent as

$$\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_p \leq n^{1/p} \|x\|_\infty$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$.

2. BOUNDED OPERATORS

A linear operator $\Lambda : X \rightarrow Y$ between two normed spaces is said to be *bounded* if there is a $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\Lambda x\| \leq C \|x\|$$

for every $x \in X$.

The *norm* of a linear operator $\Lambda : X \rightarrow Y$ between two normed spaces is defined as

$$\|\Lambda\| = \sup_{\substack{x \in X \\ \|x\|=1}} \|\Lambda x\|.$$

It can be easily seen that a linear operator $\Lambda : X \rightarrow Y$ is bounded if and only if $\|\Lambda\| < \infty$.

Exercise 2.1. Show that if X, Y are normed spaces, then a linear operator $\Lambda : X \rightarrow Y$ is bounded if and only if it maps bounded sets to bounded sets. Also show that composition of bounded linear operators is also bounded.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\Lambda : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear operator. Then Λ is bounded if and only if it is continuous.*

Proof. Note that if a linear operator $\Lambda : X \rightarrow Y$ between normed spaces is bounded, then it is Lipschitz continuous due to linearity and hence is continuous in particular.

Now suppose that $\Lambda : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous linear operator. Then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $\|\Lambda(x)\|_Y \leq 1$ whenever $\|x\|_X \leq \delta$. Now if $\|x\|_X = 1$, then $\|\delta x\|_X = \delta$ and so we have

$$\|\Lambda(\delta x)\|_Y \leq 1.$$

This implies that

$$\|\Lambda(x)\|_Y \leq \frac{1}{\delta}$$

for every $x \in X$ with $\|x\|_X = 1$. Hence, we have $\|\Lambda\| < \infty$, i.e., Λ is bounded. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a finite-dimensional normed space over the field \mathbb{K} of real or complex numbers. If $\{v_1, \dots, v_N\}$ is a basis of X , then the linear operator $\Lambda : \mathbb{K}^N \rightarrow X$ defined as*

$$\Lambda(\alpha) = \alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_N v_N$$

is bounded. Moreover, Λ is a bijection and Λ^{-1} is also bounded,

Proof. First observe that

$$\|\Lambda(\alpha)\|_X = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i \right\|_X \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| \|v_i\|_X \leq \|\alpha\|_1 \sum_{i=1}^n \|v_i\|_X.$$

This shows that Λ is bounded and hence continuous.

The boundedness of Λ^{-1} requires a little effort. We suppose for the sake of contradiction that Λ^{-1} is not bounded. Then there is a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ such that $\|x_n\|_X = 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Lambda^{-1}(x_n)\|_1 = \infty.$$

Now let

$$\tilde{x}_n = \frac{x_n}{\|\Lambda^{-1}(x_n)\|_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_n = \frac{\Lambda^{-1}(x_n)}{\|\Lambda^{-1}(x_n)\|_1}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have $\Lambda(\beta_n) = \tilde{x}_n$. Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{x}_n\|_X = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\|\Lambda^{-1}(x_n)\|_1} = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Since $\{\beta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence (as $\|\beta_n\|_1 = 1$), it has a convergent subsequence $\{\beta_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ (as every component sequence is bounded and \mathbb{K} has the Heini-Borel property). Let $\beta = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n_k}$. Then we have $\|\beta\|_1 = 1$ and in particular $\beta \neq 0$. As Λ is continuous, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda(\beta_{n_k}) = \Lambda(\beta).$$

But we also have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda(\beta_{n_k}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{x}_{n_k} = 0$$

due to (2.1). This shows that $\Lambda(\beta) = 0$ and so $\beta = 0$, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 2.3. *In a finite-dimensional space, all norms are equivalent.*

Proof. Let $\|\cdot\|_\Delta$ and $\|\cdot\|_\square$ be norms on X . Suppose $\dim X = N$ and let $\Lambda : \mathbb{K}^N \rightarrow X$ be the bijective linear operator defined in the Theorem 2.2. Then we know that Λ is a homeomorphism between $(\mathbb{K}^N, \|\cdot\|_1)$ and $(X, \|\cdot\|_\Delta)$ and also between $(\mathbb{K}^N, \|\cdot\|_1)$ and $(X, \|\cdot\|_\square)$. Because of the boundedness of Λ and Λ^{-1} (both with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\Delta$ and $\|\cdot\|_\square$) there are positive constants A, B, C, D such that

$$A \|\Lambda^{-1}(x)\|_1 \leq \|x\|_\Delta \leq B \|\Lambda^{-1}(x)\|_1 \quad \text{and} \quad C \|\Lambda^{-1}(x)\|_1 \leq \|x\|_\square \leq D \|\Lambda^{-1}(x)\|_1.$$

From this we get

$$\frac{A}{D} \|x\|_\square \leq \|x\|_\Delta \leq \frac{B}{C} \|x\|_\square,$$

i.e., $\|\cdot\|_\Delta$ and $\|\cdot\|_\square$ are equivalent. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Every linear operator on a finite-dimensional normed space is bounded.*

Proof. Let $\Lambda_0 : \mathbb{K}^N \rightarrow X$ be a linear homeomorphism. Then note that $\Lambda = (\Lambda \circ \Lambda_0) \circ \Lambda_0^{-1}$. Because every linear operator on \mathbb{K}^N is bounded it follows that $\Lambda \circ \Lambda_0 : \mathbb{K}^N \rightarrow Y$ is bounded. Now since Λ_0^{-1} and $\Lambda \circ \Lambda_0$ are both bounded we conclude that the composition Λ is bounded as well. \square