Disclosure Review Board Minutes

3/7/11

Attendees: Marie Pees, Laura Zayatz, Philip Steel, Philip Gbur, Mark Asiala, Tom Blatt, Judy Eargle, Arnie Reznek, Rebecca Rubiera, Susan Bucci

1. Fake data for CPS from (b) (6)

This was approved.

2. ACS PUMS

They may delay changing the categorical variable collapsing scheme. A household/person record on a multi year PUMS file should not identify an old PUMA and a new PUMA. This could identify small geographic areas. A simple state identifier does not present a disclosure issue.

3. Revised Consumer Expenditure Survey from (b) (6)

Laura Z. will explain to (b) (6) the meaning of 2 significant digits. They must topcode all dollar amounts, and rounding still applies. The clunker variable is approved.

4. Proximity swapping for more ASEC variables from (b) (6)

This was approved.

5. Katrina data from (b) (6)

This was approved.

6. MSA on SIPP from (b) (6)

CPS identifies areas with a population of 100,000 or more. SIPP identifies areas with a population of 250,000 or more (due to more variables and greater detail in the variables). MSA identifiers cannot be added to SIPP microdata files.

7. Child Poverty Rates

This was approved.

8. **(b) (6)** 2 requests

Profiles of Los Angeles County Districts were approved.

The Administration on Aging request was approved given the disclosure rules in the proposal along with a requirement of 50 unweighted cases of people of age 60+ in a given geographic area.