1. Counts by block from MAF for MAG. This item was tabled, either for resolution by email or at the meeting June 6. For consideration ...

Origin of MAF data on single unit vs multi unit. Is this commingled data? Is it derived from the text and context of the address, prior listing, or survey interview? Is listing data any different?

Census test is concurrent. Is the listing operation combined? Is the sample relevant to the test?

What data have already been released? Note 2 household blocks,1 multi +1 non, cant be suppressed if we suppressed single block households before ... ie no straightforward rule for suppressing blocks that have single instances by type ... if that is an issue.

Is it possible to reveal zoning infractions (illegal apartments in residential housing)?

- 2. STP\_276 (b) (6) . Noted some definitional problems. Regardless, this tab could be done on PUMS. Approved. The data will be rounded as all Census 2000 special tabulations.
- 3. **(b) (6)** late submission was accepted. **(b) (6)**. The results are model output on 10 populations in NY and LA. The smallest is around 10,000 unweighted. This release is approved. Note we might consider amending the rule requiring all results on sub state geography to be amended to read states and/or major metro areas.
- 4. (b) (6) also had an additional item Tables of the foreign born. Counts comparing 1990 and 2000. The tables are national and mostly univariate. This was approved. This is not a special tab and not subject to rounding.