

DRB Minutes from December 18, 2006

1. Tables for 0163, (b) (6), (b) (6), one of the RDC researchers on the project, took us through the table set. Some alterations have been made from the hard copy. The descriptive statistics for individuals with 0 earnings 1996 was dropped. Also only 1 of the tables whose difference is the population with “zero earnings and missing data required to compute eligibility” will be retained. The annual earnings for FSU's with 12 months of eligibility by take up status (take up all 12 months, take up zero months) were dropped. The coefficients in descriptive statistics for FS and nonFS need to be rounded. The remainder is approved.
2. ACS special tab on disability. This is a national level tabulation. This is approved. It must meet or exceed the ACS special tabulation rounding.
3. CPS ASEC 2006 (b) (6). This is a national level tabulation. This is approved.
4. ST075-7 This is a state level tabulation. This was approved.
5. (b) (6) 0594 4 minor violations of the “Huther rule” (75) required board approval. No differencing problem was evident. This was approved.
6. Mail vote last week on residential treatment centers. The vote was to refuse this request ... it violates long standing rules on gq tabulations.
7. YOG This is a set of minor modifications/additions to the original tabulations. “Tables 4 and 5” ask for tables crossing enrollment and attainment with an age break between 17 and 18. The characteristics (universes in the old tab) are not involved. The age categories will be treated as additional universes, there should be 3 unweighted cases in each before the break can be shown. In “Table 9” they would like to see an aggregation of the 2 age categories. The threshold should be applied to each age universe before the combined results can be shown (otherwise the suppressed cells in the old could be bounded). Results will be rounded.
8. DOJ non-relatives. The request was for counts by size of nonrelative in household population. Number of households with 0,1,2 ... 6+ nonrelatives. We recommend 5+ for consistency with a prior publication. This was approved. Results will be rounded. A sensitivity alert will be sent.
9. 2000 Planning Database. A weighted count of 300 may be used in lieu of 50 unweighted for detailed linguistic isolation.