



Computer Vision; Image Classification; Large Networks



[YouTube Playlist](#)

Maziar Raissi

Assistant Professor

Department of Applied Mathematics

University of Colorado Boulder

maziar.raissi@colorado.edu



Boulder



Introduction to Convolutional Neural Networks



Question: What is an image?

Answer: An image is a tensor.

$$X \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times C} \rightarrow \text{an image}$$



$C = 3$ (RGB)

$C = 1$ (Black & White)

Question: What is a pixel?

Answer: Each pixel is a vector.

$$X(i, j) \in \mathbb{R}^C, i = 1, \dots, H, j = 1, \dots, W$$

$$X(i, j, c) \in \{0, 1, \dots, 255\} \rightarrow 256 = 2^8 \text{ numbers}$$

$X = X/255 \rightarrow \text{normalize}$

Training Data

$$\{(X_n, y_n) : n = 1, \dots, N\}$$

$$X_n \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times C} \rightarrow \text{input image}$$

$$y_n \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\} \rightarrow \text{labels}$$

Feature Learning

$$g_\theta : X \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times C} \mapsto x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

$$\{\underbrace{(g_\theta(X_n), y_n)}_{x_n} : n = 1, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \text{tabular data (multinomial logistic regression)}$$

$g_\theta \rightarrow \text{CNN}$

The aim is to take an image as input and turn it into a vector!

1 × 1 Convolution

$$Y(i, j) = \underbrace{W}_{\in \mathbb{R}^{C' \times C}} \underbrace{X(i, j)}_{\in \mathbb{R}^C}, \forall (i, j) \rightarrow \text{parameter sharing}$$

3 × 3 Convolution

$$Y(i, j) = \sum_{i' \in \{-1, 0, 1\}} \sum_{j' \in \{-1, 0, 1\}} \underbrace{W(2 + i', 2 + j')}_{\in \mathbb{R}^{C' \times C}} \underbrace{X(si + i', sj + j')}_{\in \mathbb{R}^C}, \forall (i, j) \rightarrow \text{parameter sharing}$$

$s \rightarrow \text{stride}$

The convolution operation takes as input an image and outputs another image (i.e., the feature maps).

Pooling (e.g., max- and average-pooling)

$$Z(i, j) = \underbrace{\max_{i' \in \{-1, 0, 1\}} \max_{j' \in \{-1, 0, 1\}}}_{\in \mathbb{R}^{C'}} \underbrace{Y(si + i', sj + j')}_{\in \mathbb{R}^C}, \forall (i, j)$$


no additional parameters

Vector Representation

$x \rightarrow \text{global average pooling}$

Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP)

$$\text{softmax}(W \text{ReLU}(Vx + a) + b)$$




Play Dough




Boulder

Multi-column Deep Neural Networks for Image Classification



Method	Results on MNIST dataset.	
	Paper	Error rate[%]
CNN	[32]	0.40
CNN	[26]	0.39
MLP	[5]	0.35
CNN committee	[6]	0.27
MCDNN	this	0.23



Twenty NORB stereo images
(left image - up, right image - down)

Table 4. Average error rates of MCDNN for all experiments, plus results from the literature. * case insensitive

Data (task)	MCDNN error [%]	Published results Error[%] and paper
all (62)	11.63	
digits (10)	0.77	3.71 [12] 1.88 [23]
letters (52)	21.01	30.91[16]
letters* (26)	7.37	13.00 [4] 13.66[16]
merged (37)	7.99	
uppercase (26)	1.83	10.00 [4] 6.44 [9]
lowercase (26)	7.47	16.00 [4] 13.27 [16]

This is the first time human-competitive results are reported on widely used computer vision benchmarks. On the MNIST handwriting benchmark, this method is the first to achieve near-human performance. On a traffic sign recognition benchmark it outperforms humans by a factor of two.

Dataset	Best result of others [%]	MCDNN [%]	Relative improv. [%]
MNIST	0.39	0.23	41
NIST SD 19	see Table 4	see Table 4	30-80
HWDB1.0 on.	7.61	5.61	26
HWDB1.0 off.	10.01	6.5	35
CIFAR10	18.50	11.21	39
traffic signs	1.69	0.54	72
NORB	5.00	2.70	46

→ Latin characters

→ Chinese characters




Boulder

ImageNet Classification with Deep Convolutional Neural Networks




[YouTube Video](#)



"To learn about thousands of objects from millions of images, we need a model with a large learning capacity. However, the immense complexity of the object recognition task means that this problem cannot be specified even by a dataset as large as ImageNet, so our model should also have lots of prior knowledge to compensate for all the data we don't have."

"CNNs make strong and mostly correct assumptions about the nature of images (namely, **stationarity of statistics** and **locality of pixel dependencies**)."



Architecture: $I \in \mathbb{R}^{224 \times 224 \times 3} \mapsto p \in \{p \in \mathbb{R}^{1000} : \sum_i p_i = 1, p_i \geq 0 \forall i\}$

1x1 Conv: $X \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times C} \mapsto Y \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times F}$

$$Y(x, y) = WX(x, y), W \in \mathbb{R}^{F \times C}, X(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^C, Y(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^F$$


3x3 Conv: $Y(x, y) = \sum_{i=1,2,3} \sum_{j=1,2,3} W(i, j)X(s(x-2)+i, s(y-2)+j), W \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3 \times F \times C}$

Krizhevsky, Alex, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey E. Hinton. "Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks." *Advances in neural information processing systems*. 2012.


$$\text{Loss: } \mathcal{L}(\theta) = - \sum_{n=1}^N \log(p_{i_n}(I_n))$$

Model	Top-1	Top-5
Sparse coding [2]	47.1%	28.2%
SIFT + FVs [24]	45.7%	25.7%
CNN	37.5%	17.0%

Dropout: A Simple Way to Prevent Neural Networks from Overfitting



[YouTube Video](#)


(a) Standard Neural Net




(b) After applying dropout.

1. Prevent overfitting (regularization technique)
2. Approximately combine exponentially many different neural network architectures efficiently



(a) At training time





(b) At test time

Each hidden unit in a neural network trained with dropout must learn to work with a randomly chosen sample of other units
 $L \rightarrow$ number of hidden layers
 $l \in \{1, \dots, L\}$

$$l \in \{1, \dots, L\}$$



$$\begin{aligned} z_i^{(l+1)} &= \mathbf{w}_i^{(l+1)} \mathbf{y}^l + b_i^{(l+1)}, \\ y_i^{(l+1)} &= f(z_i^{(l+1)}), \\ r_j^{(l)} &\sim \text{Bernoulli}(p), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{(l)} &= \mathbf{r}^{(l)} * \mathbf{y}^{(l)}, \\ z_i^{(l+1)} &= \mathbf{w}_i^{(l+1)} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{(l)} + b_i^{(l+1)}, \\ y_i^{(l+1)} &= f(z_i^{(l+1)}). \end{aligned}$$



$$\|\mathbf{w}\|_2 \leq c$$

max-norm regularization


Dropout with linear regression is equivalent to ridge regression.



Data Set	Domain	Dimensionality	Training Set	Test Set
MNIST	Vision	784 (28×28 grayscale)	60K	10K
SVHN	Vision	3072 (32×32 color)	600K	26K
CIFAR-10/100	Vision	3072 (32×32 color)	60K	10K
ImageNet (ILSVRC-2012)	Vision	65536 (256×256 color)	1.2M	150K
TIMIT	Speech	2520 (120-dim, 21 frames)	1.1M frames	58K frames
Reuters-RCV1	Text	2000	200K	200K
Alternative Splicing	Genetics	1014	2932	733

Hinton, Geoffrey E., et al. "Improving neural networks by preventing co-adaptation of feature detectors." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1207.0580* (2012).

Srivastava, Nitish, et al. "Dropout: a simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting." *The journal of machine learning research* 15.1 (2014): 1929-1958.




Boulder




[YouTube Playlist](#)

Network In Network

1) MLP Convolution Layers (1x1 Convolutions)



(a) Linear convolution layer



(b) Mlpconv layer

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{i,j,k_1}^1 &= \max(w_{k_1}^1{}^T x_{i,j} + b_{k_1}, 0). && \text{Input patch centered at location } (i, j) \\
 &\vdots && \text{ReLU activation function} \\
 f_{i,j,k_n}^n &= \max(w_{k_n}^n{}^T f_{i,j}^{n-1} + b_{k_n}, 0).
 \end{aligned}$$

k_ℓ is used to index the channels of the feature map

2) Global Average Pooling




Table 3: Test set error rates for SVHN of various methods.

Method	Test Error
Stochastic Pooling [11]	2.80%
Rectifier + Dropout [18]	2.78%
Rectifier + Dropout + Synthetic Translation [18]	2.68%
Conv. maxout + Dropout [8]	2.47%
NIN + Dropout	2.35%
Multi-digit Number Recognition [19]	2.16%
DropConnect [15]	1.94%

Table 1: Test set error rates for CIFAR-10 of various methods.

Method	Test Error
Stochastic Pooling [11]	15.13%
CNN + Spearmint [14]	14.98%
Conv. maxout + Dropout [8]	11.68%
NIN + Dropout	10.41%
CNN + Spearmint + Data Augmentation [14]	9.50%
Conv. maxout + Dropout + Data Augmentation [8]	9.38%
DropConnect + 12 networks + Data Augmentation [15]	9.32%
NIN + Dropout + Data Augmentation	8.81%

Table 2: Test set error rates for CIFAR-100 of various methods.

Method	Test Error
Learned Pooling [16]	43.71%
Stochastic Pooling [11]	42.51%
Conv. maxout + Dropout [8]	38.57%
Tree based priors [17]	36.85%
NIN + Dropout	35.68%

Table 4: Test set error rates for MNIST of various methods.

Method	Test Error
2-Layer CNN + 2-Layer NN [11]	0.53%
Stochastic Pooling [11]	0.47%
NIN + Dropout	0.47%
Conv. maxout + Dropout [8]	0.45%

Table 5: Global average pooling compared to fully connected layer.

Method	Testing Error
mlpconv + Fully Connected	11.59%
mlpconv + Fully Connected + Dropout	10.88%
mlpconv + Global Average Pooling	10.41%



Boulder

Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale Image Recognition



YouTube Video

ConvNet Configuration					
A	A-LRN	B	C	D	E
11 weight layers	11 weight layers	13 weight layers	16 weight layers	16 weight layers	19 weight layers
input (224×224 RGB image)					
conv3-64	conv3-64 LRN	conv3-64 conv3-64	conv3-64 conv3-64	conv3-64 conv3-64	conv3-64 conv3-64
maxpool					
conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128 conv3-128	conv3-128 conv3-128	conv3-128 conv3-128	conv3-128 conv3-128
maxpool					
conv3-256 conv3-256	conv3-256 conv3-256	conv3-256 conv3-256	conv3-256 conv3-256 conv1-256	conv3-256 conv3-256 conv3-256	conv3-256 conv3-256 conv3-256
maxpool					
conv3-512 conv3-512	conv3-512 conv3-512	conv3-512 conv3-512	conv3-512 conv3-512 conv1-512	conv3-512 conv3-512 conv3-512	conv3-512 conv3-512 conv3-512
maxpool					
conv3-512 conv3-512	conv3-512 conv3-512	conv3-512 conv3-512	conv3-512 conv3-512 conv1-512	conv3-512 conv3-512 conv3-512	conv3-512 conv3-512 conv3-512
maxpool					
FC-4096					
FC-4096					
FC-1000					
soft-max					

Local Response Normalization (LRN):

Create competition for big activities amongst neuron outputs computed using different kernels.

$$b_{x,y}^i = a_{x,y}^i / \left(k + \alpha \sum_{j=\max(0,i-n/2)}^{\min(N-1,i+n/2)} (a_{x,y}^j)^2 \right)^\beta$$

activity of a neuron computed by applying kernel i
at position (x, y) and then applying the ReLU nonlinearity

$$k = 2, n = 5, \alpha = 10^{-4}, \beta = 0.75$$

Data Augmentation:

- 1) Image translations (random crops) and horizontal reflection
- 2) Altering the intensities of the RGB channels in training images (object identity is invariant to changes in the intensity and color of the illumination)

$$I_{xy} = [I_{xy}^R, I_{xy}^G, I_{xy}^B]^T + [p_1, p_2, p_3] [\underbrace{\alpha_1 \lambda_1, \alpha_2 \lambda_2, \alpha_3 \lambda_3}_\text{eigenvector and eigenvalue of the } 3 \times 3 \text{ covariance matrix of RGB pixel values}]^T$$

3) Scale jittering

Each training image is individually rescaled by randomly sampling S from a certain range $[S_{\min}, S_{\max}]$
 S is the smallest side of an isotropically-rescaled training image.




Boulder




Going Deeper with Convolutions

[YouTube Playlist](#)



(a) Inception module, naïve version



(b) Inception module with dimensionality reduction

type	patch size/stride	output size	depth	#1x1	#3x3 reduce	#3x3	#5x5 reduce	#5x5	pool proj	params	ops
convolution	7×7/2	112×112×64	1							2.7K	34M
max pool	3×3/2	56×56×64	0								
convolution	3×3/1	56×56×192	2		64	192				112K	360M
max pool	3×3/2	28×28×192	0								
inception (3a)		28×28×256	2	64	96	128	16	32	32	159K	128M
inception (3b)		28×28×480	2	128	128	192	32	96	64	380K	304M
max pool	3×3/2	14×14×480	0								
inception (4a)		14×14×512	2	192	96	208	16	48	64	364K	73M
inception (4b)		14×14×512	2	160	112	224	24	64	64	437K	88M
inception (4c)		14×14×512	2	128	128	256	24	64	64	463K	100M
inception (4d)		14×14×528	2	112	144	288	32	64	64	580K	119M
inception (4e)		14×14×832	2	256	160	320	32	128	128	840K	170M
max pool	3×3/2	7×7×832	0								
inception (5a)		7×7×832	2	256	160	320	32	128	128	1072K	54M
inception (5b)		7×7×1024	2	384	192	384	48	128	128	1388K	71M
avg pool	7×7/1	1×1×1024	0								
dropout (40%)		1×1×1024	0								
linear		1×1×1000	1							1000K	1M
softmax		1×1×1000	0								

Table 1: GoogLeNet incarnation of the Inception architecture.

Batch Normalization: Accelerating Deep Network Training by Reducing Internal Covariate Shift


[YouTube Playlist](#)

statistics of layer's inputs change during training

$$z = g(Wu + b)$$

replace

Training

$$\text{BN}(x; \gamma, \beta, \underbrace{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m}_{\text{mini-batch}}) = \gamma \frac{x - \overbrace{\mu(x_1, \dots, x_m)}^{\text{mean}}}{\sqrt{\overbrace{\sigma^2(x_1, \dots, x_m)}^{\text{variance}}} + \epsilon} + \beta$$

$$x \in \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$$

$$x_i, \gamma, \beta, \mu, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

All operations are element-wise (dimension-wise)

The gradients flow through μ and σ^2

Inference

$$\text{BN}(x; \gamma, \beta) = \gamma \frac{x - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 + \epsilon}} + \beta$$

$$\mu = \mathbb{E}_{x_1, \dots, x_m} [\mu(x_1, \dots, x_m)]$$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{m}{m-1} \mathbb{E}_{x_1, \dots, x_m} [\sigma^2(x_1, \dots, x_m)]$$

Convolution



$$X \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q \times d}$$

$$m' = mpq \rightarrow \text{effective mini-batch size}$$

Batch-norm is done per feature map

Benefits of batch normalization:

1. higher learning rate
2. less sensitive to initialization
3. less sensitive to activation functions
4. regularization effects
5. preserve gradient magnitudes (maybe)



Evolution of input distributions to a typical sigmoid, over the course of training, shown as {15, 50, 85}th percentiles.

Remove Dropout

Increase learning rate

Accelerate the learning rate decay

Reduce the L2 weight regularization

Remove Local Response Normalization



Shuffle training examples more thoroughly

Model	Resolution	Crops	Models	Top-1 error	Top-5 error
GoogLeNet ensemble	224	144	7	-	6.67%
Deep Image low-res	256	-	1	-	7.96%
Deep Image high-res	512	-	1	24.88	7.42%
Deep Image ensemble	variable	-	-	-	5.98%
BN-Inception single crop	224	1	1	25.2%	7.82%
BN-Inception multicrop	224	144	1	21.99%	5.82%
BN-Inception ensemble	224	144	6	20.1%	4.9%*

Delving Deep into Rectifiers: Surpassing Human-Level Performance on ImageNet Classification


[YouTube Playlist](#)

Parametric Rectifiers



$f(y_i) = \max(0, y_i) + a_i \min(0, y_i) \rightarrow$ Parametric ReLU

$a_i = 0 \rightarrow$ ReLU

$a_i = 0.01 \rightarrow$ Leaky ReLU

$i \rightarrow$ indicates different channels

$$\Delta a_i \leftarrow \mu \Delta a_i + \epsilon \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial a_i} \quad \text{momentum method}$$

	top-1	top-5
ReLU	33.82	13.34
LReLU ($a = 0.25$)	33.80	13.56
PReLU, channel-shared	32.71	12.87
PReLU, channel-wise	32.64	12.75

Initialization of Filter Weights for Rectifiers

Central idea: investigate the variance of the responses in each layer

$$y_\ell = W_\ell x_\ell + b_\ell$$

$x_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow$ co-located $k \times k$ pixels in c input channels

$k \rightarrow$ spatial filter size of the layer
 $n = k^2 c \rightarrow$ number of connections of a response
 $d \rightarrow$ number of filters
 $W_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n} \rightarrow$ weights of d filters
 $b_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow$ bias
 $y_\ell \rightarrow$ response at a pixel of the output map
 $x_\ell = f(y_{\ell-1})$
 $c_\ell = d_{\ell-1}$

$$\text{Var}[y_\ell] = n_\ell \text{Var}[w_\ell x_\ell] \underset{\mathbb{E}[w_\ell]=0}{=} n_\ell \underset{=\mathbb{E}[w_\ell^2]}{\text{Var}[w_\ell]} \underset{\neq \text{Var}[x_\ell]}{\mathbb{E}[x_\ell^2]}$$

$y_\ell \rightarrow$ random variable of each element in y_ℓ
 $w_\ell \rightarrow$ random variable of each element in W_ℓ
 $x_\ell \rightarrow$ random variable of each element in x_ℓ

$$\mathbb{E}[x_\ell^2] = \frac{1}{2} \text{Var}[y_{\ell-1}] \text{ for ReLU}$$

$$\text{Var}[y_\ell] = \frac{1}{2} n_\ell \text{Var}[y_{\ell-1}] \text{Var}[w_\ell]$$

$$\text{Var}[y_L] = \text{Var}[y_1] \left(\prod_{\ell=2}^L \frac{1}{2} n_\ell \text{Var}[w_\ell] \right)$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{2} n_\ell \text{Var}[w_\ell]}_{=1} \implies w_\ell \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sqrt{\frac{2}{n_\ell}})$$

Backward Propagation

$$\Delta x_\ell = \hat{W}_\ell \Delta y_\ell$$

$$\Delta x_\ell = \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial x_\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^c$$

gradient at a pixel of this layer

$$\Delta y_\ell = \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial y_\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^{\hat{n}}$$

$\hat{k} \times k$ pixels in d channels

$$\hat{W}_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{c \times \hat{n}} \rightarrow$$
 reshaped from W_ℓ

$$\Delta y_\ell = f'(y_\ell) \Delta x_{\ell+1}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\Delta y_\ell] = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[\Delta x_{\ell+1}]$$

for ReLU $f'(y_\ell) = 0$ or 1 with equal prob.

$$\mathbb{E}[\Delta y_\ell^2] = \text{Var}[\Delta y_\ell] = \frac{1}{2} \text{Var}[\Delta x_{\ell+1}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[\Delta x_\ell] &= \hat{n}_\ell \text{Var}[w_\ell] \text{Var}[\Delta y_\ell] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \hat{n}_\ell \text{Var}[w_\ell] \text{Var}[\Delta x_{\ell+1}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Var}[\Delta x_2] = \text{Var}[\Delta x_{L+1}] \left(\prod_{\ell=2}^L \frac{1}{2} \hat{n}_\ell \text{Var}[w_\ell] \right) \quad \text{if } \ell = 1, \forall \ell$$




Boulder



Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision

[YouTube Playlist](#)


type	patch size/stride or remarks	input size
conv	$3 \times 3/2$	$299 \times 299 \times 3$
conv	$3 \times 3/1$	$149 \times 149 \times 32$
conv padded	$3 \times 3/1$	$147 \times 147 \times 32$
pool	$3 \times 3/2$	$147 \times 147 \times 64$
conv	$3 \times 3/1$	$73 \times 73 \times 64$
conv	$3 \times 3/2$	$71 \times 71 \times 80$
conv	$3 \times 3/1$	$35 \times 35 \times 192$
$3 \times$ Inception	As in figure 5	$35 \times 35 \times 288$
$5 \times$ Inception	As in figure 6	$17 \times 17 \times 768$
$2 \times$ Inception	As in figure 7	$8 \times 8 \times 1280$
pool	8×8	$8 \times 8 \times 2048$
linear	logits	$1 \times 1 \times 2048$
softmax	classifier	$1 \times 1 \times 1000$



Mini-network replacing
the 5×5 convolutions



$$\text{cross-entropy loss} \leftarrow L = - \sum_{k=1}^K q(k|x) \log(p(k|x)) = -\log(p(k^*|x)) \rightarrow \text{negative log-likelihood}$$



Inception module that
reduces the grid-size while
expanding the filter banks

Replace $q(k|x)$ with $q'(k|x) = (1 - \epsilon)q(k|x) + \epsilon u(k)$

label-smoothing regularization

$$H(q', p) = (1 - \epsilon)H(q, p) + \epsilon H(u, p)$$

$$H(u, p) = KL(u||p) + H(u)$$

$$u = 1/K$$

$$p(k|x) = \exp(z_k) / \sum_{i=1}^K \exp(z_i)$$

$z_i \rightarrow$ logits (un-normalized log-probabilities)

$q(k|x) \rightarrow$ ground truth distribution over labels

$k^* \rightarrow$ ground truth label

$$q(k|x) = \delta_{k^*}(k) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq k^*; \\ 1 & \text{if } k = k^*. \end{cases}$$



Boulder

Training Very Deep Networks

Highway Networks

$$y = H(x; W_H) \rightarrow \text{a single layer}$$

$H \rightarrow$ non-linear transformation parametrized by W_H

(e.g., affine transformation followed by a non-linear activation function)

$$y = H(x; W_H) \cdot T(x; W_T) + x \cdot C(x; W_C)$$



$T \rightarrow$ transform gate $\underbrace{\cdot}_{\text{element-wise multiplication}}$

$C \rightarrow$ carry gate

$$C = 1 - T$$

$$T(x) = \sigma(W_T x + b_T)$$

$b_T = -1$ or -3 initially (biased towards the “carry” behavior)



Srivastava, Rupesh Kumar, Klaus Greff, and Jürgen Schmidhuber. "Training very deep networks." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1507.06228* (2015).

Srivastava, Rupesh Kumar, Klaus Greff, and Jürgen Schmidhuber. "Highway networks." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1505.00387* (2015).





Boulder

Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition



YouTube Video






Boulder



[YouTube Video](#)

Identity Mappings in Deep Residual Networks



$$\mathbf{y}_l = h(\mathbf{x}_l) + \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}_l, \mathcal{W}_l), \\ \mathbf{x}_{l+1} = f(\mathbf{y}_l).$$



$$h(\mathbf{x}_l) = \mathbf{x}_l \\ f \text{ is ReLU} \quad \left. \right\} \text{Original}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{l+1} = \mathbf{x}_l + \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}_l, \mathcal{W}_l) \rightarrow \text{if } f \text{ and } h \text{ are both identity}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_L = \mathbf{x}_l + \sum_{i=l}^{L-1} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathcal{W}_i),$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_l} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_L} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_L}{\partial \mathbf{x}_l} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_L} \left(1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_l} \sum_{i=l}^{L-1} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathcal{W}_i) \right)$$

$$h(\mathbf{x}_l) = \lambda_l \mathbf{x}_l \\ \mathbf{x}_{l+1} = \lambda_l \mathbf{x}_l + \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}_l, \mathcal{W}_l) \\ \mathbf{x}_L = (\prod_{i=l}^{L-1} \lambda_i) \mathbf{x}_l + \sum_{i=l}^{L-1} (\prod_{j=i+1}^{L-1} \lambda_j) \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathcal{W}_i) \\ \mathbf{x}_L = (\prod_{i=l}^{L-1} \lambda_i) \mathbf{x}_l + \sum_{i=l}^{L-1} \hat{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathcal{W}_i) \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_l} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_L} \left((\underbrace{\prod_{i=l}^{L-1} \lambda_i}_{\prod_{i=l}^{L-1} h'_i}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_l} \sum_{i=l}^{L-1} \hat{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathcal{W}_i) \right)$$





Boulder




[YouTube Video](#)

Wide Residual Networks

$$\mathbf{x}_{l+1} = \mathbf{x}_l + \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}_l, \mathcal{W}_l)$$

Conv-BN-ReLU → BN-ReLU-Conv
ResNet Identity Mapping



$n \rightarrow$ total number of convolutional layers

$k \rightarrow$ widening factor (multiplies the number of features in conv layers)

WRN- $n-k$

1. $B(3, 3)$ - original «basic» block
2. $B(3, 1, 3)$ - with one extra 1×1 layer
3. $B(1, 3, 1)$ - with the same dimensionality of all convolutions, «straightened» bottleneck
4. $B(1, 3)$ - the network has alternating 1×1 - 3×3 convolutions everywhere
5. $B(3, 1)$ - similar idea to the previous block
6. $B(3, 1, 1)$ - Network-in-Network style block


$\ell \rightarrow$ deepening factor (number of convs in a block)

l	CIFAR-10
1	6.69
2	5.43 ←
3	5.65
4	5.93

group name	output size	block type = $B(3, 3)$	depth	k	# params	CIFAR-10	CIFAR-100
conv1	32×32	$[3 \times 3, 16]$	40	1	0.6M	6.85	30.89
conv2	32×32	$[3 \times 3, 16 \times k]$ $[3 \times 3, 16 \times k] \times N$	40	2	2.2M	5.33	26.04
conv3	16×16	$[3 \times 3, 32 \times k]$ $[3 \times 3, 32 \times k] \times N$	40	4	8.9M	4.97	22.89
conv4	8×8	$[3 \times 3, 64 \times k]$ $[3 \times 3, 64 \times k] \times N$	40	8	35.7M	4.66	-
avg-pool	1×1	$[8 \times 8]$	28	10	36.5M	4.17	20.50
			28	12	52.5M	4.33	20.43
			22	8	17.2M	4.38	21.22
			22	10	26.8M	4.44	20.75
			16	8	11.0M	4.81	22.07
			16	10	17.1M	4.56	21.59

block type	depth	# params	time,s	CIFAR-10	depth	k	dropout	CIFAR-10	CIFAR-100	SVHN
$B(1, 3, 1)$	40	1.4M	85.8	6.06	16	4		5.02	24.03	1.85
$B(3, 1)$	40	1.2M	67.5	5.78	16	4	✓	5.24	23.91	1.64
$B(1, 3)$	40	1.3M	72.2	6.42	28	10		4.00	19.25	-
$B(3, 1, 1)$	40	1.3M	82.2	5.86	28	10	✓	3.89	18.85	-
$B(3, 3)$	28	1.5M	67.5	5.73 ←	52	1		6.43	29.89	2.08
$B(3, 1, 3)$	22	1.1M	59.9	5.78	52	1	✓	6.28	29.78	1.70

	depth- k	# params	CIFAR-10	CIFAR-100
NIN [20]			8.81	35.67
DSN [19]			8.22	34.57
FitNet [24]			8.39	35.04
Highway [28]			7.72	32.39
ELU [5]			6.55	24.28
original-ResNet[11]	110	1.7M	6.43	25.16
	1202	10.2M	7.93	27.82
stoc-depth[14]	110	1.7M	5.23	24.58
	1202	10.2M	4.91	-
	110	1.7M	6.37	-
pre-act-ResNet[13]	164	1.7M	5.46	24.33
	1001	10.2M	4.92(4.64)	22.71
WRN	40-4	8.9M	4.53	21.18
	16-8	11.0M	4.27	20.43
	28-10	36.5M	4.00	19.25






Boulder

Aggregated Residual Transformations for Deep Neural Networks




[YouTube Video](#)



$$\sum_{i=1}^{32} \underbrace{W_i}_{\in \mathbb{R}^{256 \times 4}} \underbrace{x_i}_{\in \mathbb{R}^4} = \underbrace{[W_1, \dots, W_{32}]}_{\in \mathbb{R}^{256 \times 128}} \underbrace{[x_1; \dots; x_{32}]}_{\in \mathbb{R}^{128}}$$

split — transform — merge strategy → inception
 1×1 conv $3 \times 3, 5 \times 5$, etc. conv concatenation

cardinality: size of the set of transformations



A simple neuron that performs inner product.

$$\mathcal{F}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^C \mathcal{T}_i(x) \rightarrow \text{"Network in Neuron"}$$



$C \rightarrow$ cardinality

$$y = x + \sum_{i=1}^C \mathcal{T}_i(x)$$

split: $x \rightarrow x_i$

transform: $x_i w_i$

$$\text{aggregate: } \sum_{i=1}^D w_i x_i$$







Boulder



[YouTube Video](#)

Densely Connected Convolutional Networks



$x_0 \rightarrow$ single image

$L \rightarrow$ number of layers

$H_\ell(\cdot) \rightarrow$ nonlinear transformation

$\ell = 1, \dots, L \rightarrow$ layer index

$x_\ell \rightarrow$ output of the ℓ -th layer

$x_\ell = H_\ell(x_{\ell-1})$

$x_\ell = H_\ell(x_{\ell-1}) + x_{\ell-1}$



$x_\ell = H_\ell(\underbrace{[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1}]}_{\text{concatenate}})$

BN-ReLU-Conv(1x1)-BN-ReLU-Conv(3x3)
↳ 4k feature maps

growth rate of the network
 $k = 12$

If each function H_ℓ produces k feature-maps,
then the ℓ -th layer has $k_0 + k(\ell - 1)$ input feature maps

Layers	Output Size	DenseNet-121	DenseNet-169	DenseNet-201	DenseNet-265
Convolution	112 × 112				
Pooling	56 × 56				
Dense Block (1)	56 × 56	$[1 \times 1 \text{ conv}] \times 6$	$[1 \times 1 \text{ conv}] \times 6$	$[1 \times 1 \text{ conv}] \times 6$	$[1 \times 1 \text{ conv}] \times 6$
	56 × 56	$[3 \times 3 \text{ conv}]$	$[3 \times 3 \text{ conv}]$	$[3 \times 3 \text{ conv}]$	$[3 \times 3 \text{ conv}]$
Transition Layer (1)	56 × 56			$1 \times 1 \text{ conv}$	
	28 × 28			$2 \times 2 \text{ average pool, stride 2}$	
Dense Block (2)	28 × 28	$[1 \times 1 \text{ conv}] \times 12$	$[1 \times 1 \text{ conv}] \times 12$	$[1 \times 1 \text{ conv}] \times 12$	$[1 \times 1 \text{ conv}] \times 12$
	28 × 28	$[3 \times 3 \text{ conv}]$	$[3 \times 3 \text{ conv}]$	$[3 \times 3 \text{ conv}]$	$[3 \times 3 \text{ conv}]$
Transition Layer (2)	28 × 28			$1 \times 1 \text{ conv}$	
	14 × 14			$2 \times 2 \text{ average pool, stride 2}$	
Dense Block (3)	14 × 14	$[1 \times 1 \text{ conv}] \times 24$	$[1 \times 1 \text{ conv}] \times 32$	$[1 \times 1 \text{ conv}] \times 48$	$[1 \times 1 \text{ conv}] \times 64$
	14 × 14	$[3 \times 3 \text{ conv}]$	$[3 \times 3 \text{ conv}]$	$[3 \times 3 \text{ conv}]$	$[3 \times 3 \text{ conv}]$
Transition Layer (3)	14 × 14		$1 \times 1 \text{ conv}$		
	7 × 7		$2 \times 2 \text{ average pool, stride 2}$		
Dense Block (4)	7 × 7	$[1 \times 1 \text{ conv}] \times 16$	$[1 \times 1 \text{ conv}] \times 32$	$[1 \times 1 \text{ conv}] \times 32$	$[1 \times 1 \text{ conv}] \times 48$
	7 × 7	$[3 \times 3 \text{ conv}]$	$[3 \times 3 \text{ conv}]$	$[3 \times 3 \text{ conv}]$	$[3 \times 3 \text{ conv}]$
Classification Layer	1 × 1		$7 \times 7 \text{ global average pool}$	1000D fully-connected, softmax	



Huang, Gao, et al. "Densely connected convolutional networks." Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2017.


Huang, Gao, et al. "Convolutional networks with dense connectivity." IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence (2019).



Inception-v4, Inception-ResNet and the Impact of Residual Connections on Learning



[YouTube Video](#)





Boulder

mixup: Beyond Empirical Risk Minimization

data-agnostic data augmentation

Empirical Risk Minimization (ERM)

$f \in \mathcal{F} \rightarrow$ function

$X \rightarrow$ random feature vector

$Y \rightarrow$ random target vector

$P(X, Y) \rightarrow$ joint distribution

$\ell \rightarrow$ loss function (penalizes the difference btw predictions $f(x)$ and actual targets y , for examples $(x, y) \sim P$)

$\mathcal{R}(f) = \int \ell(f(x), y) dP(x, y) \rightarrow$ expected risk

P is unknown!

$\mathcal{D} = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n \rightarrow$ training data

$(x_i, y_i) \sim P, i = 1, \dots, n$

$P_\delta(x, y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(x = x_i, y = y_i) \rightarrow$ empirical distribution

$\mathcal{R}_\delta(f) = \int \ell(f(x), y) dP_\delta(x, y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(f(x_i), y_i) \rightarrow$ empirical risk

Vicinal Risk Minimization (VRM)

$P_\nu(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nu(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} | x_i, y_i)$

$\nu \rightarrow$ vicinity distribution (measures the probability of finding the virtual feature-target (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) in the vicinity of the training feature-target (x_i, y_i) pair)

$\nu(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} | x_i, y_i) = \mathcal{N}(\tilde{x} - x_i, \sigma^2) \delta(\tilde{y} = y_i) \rightarrow$ Gaussian vicinity

(augmenting the training data with additive Gaussian noise)

$$\mathcal{R}_\nu(f) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \ell(f(\tilde{x}_i), \tilde{y}_i) \rightarrow \text{empirical vicinal risk}$$


$$\mathcal{D}_\nu := \{(\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{y}_i)\}_{i=1}^m$$

mixup

$$\mu(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} | x_i, y_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_j^n \mathbb{E} [\delta(\tilde{x} = \lambda \cdot x_i + (1 - \lambda) \cdot x_j, \tilde{y} = \lambda \cdot y_i + (1 - \lambda) \cdot y_j)]$$

$\lambda \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \alpha)$, for $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$

$\alpha \rightarrow 0 \implies$ recovering ERM




mixup GANs

$$\max_g \min_d \mathbb{E}_{x,z} [\ell(d(x), 1) + \ell(d(g(z)), 0)]$$

ERM GAN

$$\max_g \min_d \mathbb{E}_{x,z,\lambda} [\ell(d(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)g(z)), \lambda)]$$

mixup GAN ($\alpha = 0.2$)





Boulder

Accurate, Large Minibatch SGD: Training ImageNet in 1 Hour

Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)

$$L(w) = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} l(x; w)$$

$L(w)$ → loss function

w → weights

X → labeled training set

$l(x; w)$ → loss computed from sample x and its corresponding label (e.g., cross-entropy + a regularization on w)

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \eta \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{B}} \nabla l(x; w_t) \rightarrow \text{SGD}$$

\mathcal{B} → mini-batch sampled from X

$|\mathcal{B}|$ → mini-batch size

k → number of GPUs in a server

n → number of samples per GPU

Baseline: $k = 8$, $n = 32$, and $|\mathcal{B}| = kn = 256$.

$\eta = 0.1$ → learning rate

Learning rates for large mini-batches

data parallelism (multiple servers)

$$\text{Linear Scaling Rule: } \eta = 0.1 \frac{|\mathcal{B}|}{256} = 0.1 \frac{kn}{256}$$

Linear Scaling Rule: When the minibatch size is multiplied by k , multiply the learning rate by k .

$\mathcal{B}_j, 0 \leq j < k \rightarrow$ sequence of k minibatches of size n

$$\bigcup_{0 \leq j < k} \mathcal{B}_j \rightarrow \text{large minibatch of size } kn$$

$w_{t+k} = w_t - \eta \frac{1}{n} \sum_{0 \leq j < k} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{B}_j} \nabla l(x; w_{t+j}) \rightarrow k$ iterations of SGD with learning rate η and a mini-batch size of n

$\hat{w}_{t+1} = w_t - \hat{\eta} \frac{1}{kn} \sum_{0 \leq j < k} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{B}_j} \nabla l(x; w_t) \rightarrow$ one iteration of SGD with learning rate $\hat{\eta}$ and large mini-batch size of kn

$$\hat{w}_{t+1} \neq w_{t+k}$$


$\nabla l(x; w_t) \approx \nabla l(x; w_{t+j}) \rightarrow$ assume

$$\hat{\eta} = k\eta \implies \hat{w}_{t+1} \approx w_{t+k}$$

Gradual Warmup


$\nabla l(x; w_t) \approx \nabla l(x; w_{t+j}) \rightarrow$ does not hold initially during training when the network is changing rapidly

Start with a learning rate of η and increment it by a constant amount at each iteration until it reaches $\hat{\eta} = kn$ after 5 epochs



Batch normalization with large mini-batches

The BN statistics should not be computed across all workers, not only for the sake of reducing communication, but also for maintaining the same underlying loss function being optimized.



Batch normalization


$l_{\mathcal{B}}(x; w) \rightarrow$ loss of a single sample x depends on the statistic of all samples in its mini-batch \mathcal{B}

$$L(w) = \frac{1}{|X^n|} \sum_{\mathcal{B} \in X^n} L(\mathcal{B}, w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{B}} l_{\mathcal{B}}(x, w)$$

$X^n \rightarrow$ all distinct subsets of size n drawn from the original training set X


$L(w)$ changes as n changes!

Subtleties and Pitfalls of Distributed SGD (see the paper!)



Boulder

Residual Attention Network for Image Classification



Attention Module

- Mask branch (bottom-up top-down structure)
- Trunk branch (performs feature processing)

$$H_{i,c}(x) = (1 + M_{i,c}(x)) * F_{i,c}(x) \rightarrow \text{Attention Residual Learning (ARL)}$$

$i \rightarrow$ ranges over all spatial positions

$c \rightarrow$ index of the channel

$$f_1(x_{i,c}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-x_{i,c})} \rightarrow \text{Mixed Spatial and Channel Attention}$$

Network	params $\times 10^6$	FLOPs $\times 10^9$	Test Size	Top-1 err. (%)	Top-5 err. (%)
ResNet-152 [10]	60.2	11.3	224 \times 224	22.16	6.16
Attention-56	31.9	6.3	224 \times 224	21.76	5.9
ResNeXt-101 [36]	44.5	7.8	224 \times 224	21.2	5.6
AttentionNeXt-56	31.9	6.3	224 \times 224	21.2	5.6
Inception-ResNet-v1 [32]	-	-	299 \times 299	21.3	5.5
AttentionInception-56	31.9	6.3	299 \times 299	20.36	5.29
ResNet-200 [11]	64.7	15.0	320 \times 320	20.1	4.8
Inception-ResNet-v2	-	-	299 \times 299	19.9	4.9
Attention-92	51.3	10.4	320 \times 320	19.5	4.8




Boulder



[YouTube Video](#)

Squeeze-and-Excitation Networks



$$X \in \mathbb{R}^{H' \times W' \times C'} \quad U \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times C}$$

$$F_{tr} : X \mapsto U$$

$V = [v_1, \dots, v_C]$ → learned set of filter kernels

v_c → parameters of the c -th filter

$$U = [u_1, \dots, u_C]$$

$$u_c = v_c * X = \sum_{s=1}^{C'} v_c^s * x^s$$

$$v_c = [v_c^1, \dots, v_c^{C'}]$$

$$X = [x^1, \dots, x^{C'}]$$

Squeeze

$$z \in \mathbb{R}^C \quad z_c = F_{sq}(u_c) = \frac{1}{HW} \sum_{i=1}^H \sum_{j=1}^W u_c(i, j)$$

Excitation


$$s = F_{ex}(z, W) = \sigma(g(z, W)) = \sigma(W_2 \delta(W_1 z))$$

$$W_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{\frac{C}{r} \times C}$$


$$W_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times \frac{C}{r}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_c &= F_{scale}(u_c, s_c) = s_c u_c \\ u_c &\in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W} \\ \tilde{X} &= [\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_C] \end{aligned}$$

	224 × 224		320 × 320 / 299 × 299	
	top-1 err.	top-5 err.	top-1 err.	top-5 err.
ResNet-152 [10]	23.0	6.7	21.3	5.5
ResNet-200 [11]	21.7	5.8	20.1	4.8
Inception-v3 [44]	-	-	21.2	5.6
Inception-v4 [42]	-	-	20.0	5.0
Inception-ResNet-v2 [42]	-	-	19.9	4.9
ResNeXt-101 (64 × 4d) [47]	20.4	5.3	19.1	4.4
DenseNet-264 [14]	22.15	6.12	-	-
Attention-92 [46]	-	-	19.5	4.8
Very Deep PolyNet [51] [†]	-	-	18.71	4.25
PyramidNet-200 [8]	20.1	5.4	19.2	4.7
DPN-131 [5]	19.93	5.12	18.55	4.16
SENet-154	18.68	4.47	17.28	3.79
NASNet-A (6@4032) [55] [†]	-	-	17.3 [‡]	3.8 [‡]
SENet-154 (post-challenge)	-	-	16.88[‡]	3.58[‡]




	original		re-implementation			SENet		
	top-1 err.	top-5 err.	top-1 err.	top-5 err.	GFLOPs	top-1 err.	top-5 err.	GFLOPs
ResNet-50 [10]	24.7	7.8	24.80	7.48	3.86	23.29 _(1.51)	6.62 _(0.86)	3.87
ResNet-101 [10]	23.6	7.1	23.17	6.52	7.58	22.38 _(0.79)	6.07 _(0.45)	7.60
ResNet-152 [10]	23.0	6.7	22.42	6.34	11.30	21.57 _(0.85)	5.73 _(0.61)	11.32
ResNeXt-50 [47]	22.2	-	22.11	5.90	4.24	21.10 _(1.01)	5.49 _(0.41)	4.25
ResNeXt-101 [47]	21.2	5.6	21.18	5.57	7.99	20.70 _(0.48)	5.01 _(0.56)	8.00
VGG-16 [39]	-	-	27.02	8.81	15.47	25.22 _(1.80)	7.70 _(1.11)	15.48
BN-Inception [16]	25.2	7.82	25.38	7.89	2.03	24.23 _(1.15)	7.14 _(0.75)	2.04
Inception-ResNet-v2 [42]	19.9 [†]	4.9 [†]	20.37	5.21	11.75	19.80 _(0.57)	4.79 _(0.42)	11.76





Boulder

CBAM: Convolutional Block Attention Module




$$F \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H \times W} \rightarrow \text{intermediate feature map}$$

$$M_c \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times 1 \times 1} \rightarrow \text{1D channel attention map}$$

$$M_s \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times H \times W} \rightarrow \text{2D spatial attention map}$$

$$F' = M_c(F) \odot F$$

$$F'' = M_s(F') \odot F'$$




$$M_c(F) = \sigma(MLP(AvgPool(F)) + MLP(MaxPool(F)))$$

$$= \sigma(\mathbf{W}_1(\mathbf{W}_0(F_{avg}^c)) + \mathbf{W}_1(\mathbf{W}_0(F_{max}^c))),$$


$$\mathbf{W}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{C/r \times C}, \text{ and } \mathbf{W}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times C/r}$$

$r \rightarrow$ reduction ratio




$$M_s(F) = \sigma(f^{7 \times 7}([AvgPool(F); MaxPool(F)]))$$

$$= \sigma(f^{7 \times 7}([F_{avg}^s; F_{max}^s])),$$



Architecture	Param.	GFLOPs	Top-1 Error (%)	Top-5 Error (%)
ResNet18 [5]	11.69M	1.814	29.60	10.55
ResNet18 [5] + SE [28]	11.78M	1.814	29.41	10.22
ResNet18 [5] + CBAM	11.78M	1.815	29.27	10.09
ResNet34 [5]	21.80M	3.664	26.69	8.60
ResNet34 [5] + SE [28]	21.96M	3.664	26.13	8.35
ResNet34 [5] + CBAM	21.96M	3.665	25.99	8.24
ResNet50 [5]	25.56M	3.858	24.56	7.50
ResNet50 [5] + SE [28]	28.09M	3.860	23.14	6.70
ResNet50 [5] + CBAM	28.09M	3.864	22.66	6.31
ResNet101 [5]	44.55M	7.570	23.38	6.88
ResNet101 [5] + SE [28]	49.33M	7.575	22.35	6.19
ResNet101 [5] + CBAM	49.33M	7.581	21.51	5.69
WideResNet18 [6] (widen=1.5)	25.88M	3.866	26.85	8.88
WideResNet18 [6] (widen=1.5) + SE [28]	26.07M	3.867	26.21	8.47
WideResNet18 [6] (widen=1.5) + CBAM	26.08M	3.868	26.10	8.43
WideResNet18 [6] (widen=2.0)	45.62M	6.696	25.63	8.20
WideResNet18 [6] (widen=2.0) + SE [28]	45.97M	6.696	24.93	7.65
WideResNet18 [6] (widen=2.0) + CBAM	45.97M	6.697	24.84	7.63
ResNeXt50 [7] (32x4d)	25.03M	3.768	22.85	6.48
ResNeXt50 [7] (32x4d) + SE [28]	27.56M	3.771	21.91	6.04
ResNeXt50 [7] (32x4d) + CBAM	27.56M	3.774	21.92	5.91
ResNeXt101 [7] (32x4d)	44.18M	7.508	21.54	5.75
ResNeXt101 [7] (32x4d) + SE [28]	48.96M	7.512	21.17	5.66
ResNeXt101 [7] (32x4d) + CBAM	48.96M	7.519	21.07	5.59





Boulder

Random Erasing Data Augmentation

Algorithm 1: Random Erasing Procedure

Input : Input image I ;
 Image size W and H ;
 Area of image S ;
 Erasing probability p ;
 Erasing area ratio range s_l and s_h ;
 Erasing aspect ratio range r_1 and r_2 .

Output: Erased image I^* .


Initialization: $p_1 \leftarrow \text{Rand}(0, 1)$.


```

1 if  $p_1 \geq p$  then
2    $I^* \leftarrow I$ ;
3   return  $I^*$ .
4 else
5   while True do
6      $S_e \leftarrow \text{Rand}(s_l, s_h) \times S$ ;
7      $r_e \leftarrow \text{Rand}(r_1, r_2)$ ;
8      $H_e \leftarrow \sqrt{S_e \times r_e}$ ,  $W_e \leftarrow \sqrt{\frac{S_e}{r_e}}$ ;
9      $x_e \leftarrow \text{Rand}(0, W)$ ,  $y_e \leftarrow \text{Rand}(0, H)$ ;
10    if  $x_e + W_e \leq W$  and  $y_e + H_e \leq H$  then
11       $I_e \leftarrow (x_e, y_e, x_e + W_e, y_e + H_e)$ ;
12       $I(I_e) \leftarrow \text{Rand}(0, 255)$ ;
13       $I^* \leftarrow I$ ;
14      return  $I^*$ .
15    end
16  end
17 end
  
```



Model	CIFAR-100	
	Baseline	Random Erasing
ResNet-20	30.84 ± 0.19	29.97 ± 0.11
ResNet-32	28.50 ± 0.37	27.18 ± 0.32
ResNet-44	25.27 ± 0.21	24.29 ± 0.16
ResNet-56	24.82 ± 0.27	23.69 ± 0.33
ResNet-110	23.73 ± 0.37	22.10 ± 0.41
ResNet-20-PreAct	30.58 ± 0.16	30.18 ± 0.13
ResNet-32-PreAct	29.04 ± 0.25	27.82 ± 0.28
ResNet-44-PreAct	25.22 ± 0.19	24.10 ± 0.26
ResNet-56-PreAct	24.14 ± 0.25	22.93 ± 0.27
ResNet-110-PreAct	22.11 ± 0.20	20.99 ± 0.11
ResNet-18-PreAct	24.50 ± 0.29	24.03 ± 0.19
WRN-28-10	18.49 ± 0.11	17.73 ± 0.15
ResNeXt-8-64	19.27 ± 0.30	18.84 ± 0.18





Boulder

Neural Ordinary Differential Equations

$h_{t+1} = h_t + f_\theta(h_t) \rightarrow$ residual networks, recurrent neural networks, and normalizing flows (density estimation)

Euler discretization of a continuous transformation!

$$\dot{h} = f_\theta(h, t)$$

$h(0) \rightarrow$ input backpropagation through ODE solvers

$h(T) \rightarrow$ output (black-box differential equation solver)

Reverse-mode automatic differentiation of ODE solutions

Adjoint sensitivity method

$L \rightarrow$ scalar-valued loss function

$h(t) \rightarrow$ hidden state at time t

$$a(t) := \frac{\partial L}{\partial h(t)} \rightarrow \text{adjoint}$$

$$\dot{a} = -a^T \frac{\partial f_\theta(h, t)}{\partial h}$$

$$a(T) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial h(T)} \rightarrow \text{known}$$

$$a(0) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial h(0)} \rightarrow \text{black-box differential equation solver}$$

Constant memory cost as a function of depth!

Recompute $h(t)$ backward in time together with its adjoint $a(t)$, starting from $h(T)$ and $a(T)$.

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = - \int_T^0 a(t)^T \frac{\partial f_\theta(h(t), t)}{\partial \theta} dt \rightarrow \text{ODE Solve}$$

$$a^T \frac{\partial f_\theta(h, t)}{\partial h}, a^T \frac{\partial f_\theta(h, t)}{\partial \theta} \rightarrow \text{vector-Jacobian product (standard automatic differentiations)}$$

Chen, Ricky TQ, et al. "Neural ordinary differential equations." *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.07366* (2018).

Continuous Depth Residual Networks

Replace the 6 standard residual blocks in ResNet with ODESolve modules!

	Test Error	# Params	Memory	Time
1-Layer MLP [†]	1.60%	0.24 M	-	-
ResNet	0.41%	0.60 M	$\mathcal{O}(L)$	$\mathcal{O}(L)$
RK-Net	0.47%	0.22 M	$\mathcal{O}(\tilde{L})$	$\mathcal{O}(\tilde{L})$
ODE-Net	0.42%	0.22 M	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	$\mathcal{O}(\tilde{L})$

Performance on MNIST.

Normalizing Flows

Change of variable theorem

$$z_1 = f(z_0), f \rightarrow \text{bijective} \implies \log p(z_1) = \log p(z_0) - \log \left| \det \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_0} \right|$$

$$z(t+1) = z(t) + uh(w^T z(t) + b) \rightarrow \text{planar normalizing flow}$$

$$\implies \log p(z(t+1)) = \log p(z(t)) - \log \left| 1 + u^T \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} \right|$$

$$\text{KL}(q(x) \parallel p(x)) \rightarrow \text{loss function}$$


target density
normalizing flow

Continuous Normalizing Flows

$$\dot{z} = f(z, t) \implies \frac{d \log p(z(t))}{dt} = -\text{tr}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z(t)}\right)$$

f does not need to be bijective!

Time Series $\mathbf{z}_{t_0} \sim p(\mathbf{z}_{t_0})$
 $\mathbf{z}_{t_1}, \mathbf{z}_{t_2}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{t_N} = \text{ODESolve}(\mathbf{z}_{t_0}, f, \theta_f, t_0, \dots, t_N)$
each $\mathbf{x}_{t_i} \sim p(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{z}_{t_i}, \theta_x)$






Boulder




[YouTube Video](#)



Spatial Transformer Networks



(a) MNIST distorted with random translation, scale, rotation, and clutter.



Model	Size	
	64px	128px
Maxout CNN [10]	4.0	-
CNN (ours)	4.0	5.6
DRAM* [1]	3.9	4.5
ST-CNN	Single	3.9
	Multi	3.6



$$V_i^c = \sum_n^H \sum_m^W U_{nm}^c \max(0, 1 - |x_i^s - m|) \max(0, 1 - |y_i^s - n|)$$

$$\frac{\partial V_i^c}{\partial U_{nm}^c} = \sum_n^H \sum_m^W \max(0, 1 - |x_i^s - m|) \max(0, 1 - |y_i^s - n|)$$

$$\frac{\partial V_i^c}{\partial x_i^s} = \sum_n^H \sum_m^W U_{nm}^c \max(0, 1 - |y_i^s - n|) \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |m - x_i^s| \geq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } m \geq x_i^s \\ -1 & \text{if } m < x_i^s \end{cases}$$

Model	
Cimpoi '15 [4]	66.7
Zhang '14 [30]	74.9
Branson '14 [2]	75.7
Lin '15 [20]	80.9
Simon '15 [24]	81.0
CNN (ours) 224px	82.3
2×ST-CNN 224px	83.1
2×ST-CNN 448px	83.9
4×ST-CNN 448px	84.1



Boulder



[YouTube Video](#)

Dynamic Routing Between Capsules

neuron → $\underbrace{\text{capsule}}$ → layer
group of neurons

s_j → total input to capsule j

v_j → vector output of capsule j


$\|v_j\|$ → probability that the entity represented by the capsule is present in the current input

$\frac{v_j}{\|v_j\|}$ → properties of the entity

entity: an object or an object part

$$v_j = \frac{\|s_j\|^2}{1 + \|s_j\|^2} \frac{s_j}{\|s_j\|} \leftarrow \text{squash}$$

squashing function



$$s_j = \sum_i c_{ij} u_{j|i}$$

c_{ij} → coupling coefficients

$$u_{j|i} = W_{ij} u_i$$


$u_{j|i}$ → prediction vectors from the capsules in the layer below

u_i → output of a capsule in the layer below

$$c_{ij} = \frac{\exp(b_{ij})}{\sum_k \exp(b_{ik})} \leftarrow \text{softmax}$$

b_{ij} → log prob. that capsule i should be coupled to capsule j

$$a_{ij} = v_j \cdot u_{j|i} \rightarrow \text{agreement btw current output } v_j \text{ and the predictions } u_{j|i} \text{ made by capsule } i$$



Procedure 1 Routing algorithm.

```

1: procedure ROUTING( $u_{j|i}, r, l$ )
2:   for all capsule  $i$  in layer  $l$  and capsule  $j$  in layer  $(l + 1)$ :  $b_{ij} \leftarrow 0$ .
3:   for  $r$  iterations do
4:     for all capsule  $i$  in layer  $l$ :  $\mathbf{c}_i \leftarrow \text{softmax}(\mathbf{b}_i)$ 
5:     for all capsule  $j$  in layer  $(l + 1)$ :  $\mathbf{s}_j \leftarrow \sum_i c_{ij} \mathbf{u}_{j|i}$ 
6:     for all capsule  $j$  in layer  $(l + 1)$ :  $\mathbf{v}_j \leftarrow \text{squash}(\mathbf{s}_j)$ 
7:     for all capsule  $i$  in layer  $l$  and capsule  $j$  in layer  $(l + 1)$ :  $b_{ij} \leftarrow b_{ij} + \mathbf{u}_{j|i} \cdot \mathbf{v}_j$ 
return  $\mathbf{v}_j$ 

```


Margin loss for digit existence

$$L_k = T_k \max(0, m^+ - \|\mathbf{v}_k\|)^2 + \lambda (1 - T_k) \max(0, \|\mathbf{v}_k\| - m^-)^2$$

$T_k = 1$ iff a digit of class k is present

$$m^+ = 0.9 \text{ and } m^- = 0.1 \quad \lambda = 0.5$$

Method	Routing	Reconstruction	MNIST (%)	MultiMNIST (%)
Baseline	-	-	0.39	8.1
CapsNet	1	no	0.34 ± 0.032	-
CapsNet	1	yes	0.29 ± 0.011	7.5
CapsNet	3	no	0.35 ± 0.036	-
CapsNet	3	yes	0.25 ± 0.005	5.2



Scale and thickness	4 4 4 4 6 6 6 6 6 6
Localized part	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
Stroke thickness	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Localized skew	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
Width and translation	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
Localized part	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale




Image Patches \equiv Tokens (Words) in NLP

$x \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times C} \rightarrow$ image

$x_p \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times (P^2 C)} \rightarrow$ sequence of flattened 2D patches (reshape x)

$P \times P \rightarrow$ resolution of each image patch

$N = \frac{HW}{P^2} \rightarrow$ resulting number of patches

$D \rightarrow$ latent vector size

$z_0 = [x_{\text{class}}; x_p^1 E; x_p^2 E; \dots; x_p^N E] + E_{\text{pos}}, E \in \mathbb{R}^{(P^2 C) \times D}, E_{\text{pos}} \in \mathbb{R}^{(N+1) \times D}$

$z'_l = \text{MSA}(\text{LN}(z_{l-1}) + z_{l-1}), l = 1, \dots, L \quad z_l = \text{MLP}(\text{LN}(z'_l)) + z'_l, l = 1, \dots, L \quad y = \text{LN}(z_L^0)$

$\text{LN} \rightarrow$ layer normalization

$\text{MSA} \rightarrow$ multiheaded self-attention

$z \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$

$[q, k, v] = zU_{qkv}, U_{qkv} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times 3D_h}$


$A = \text{softmax}(qk^T / \sqrt{D_h}), A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$


$\text{SA}(z) = Av$

$\text{MSA}(z) = [SA_1(z); SA_2(z); \dots; SA_k(z)]U_{msa}, U_{msa} \in \mathbb{R}^{kD_h \times D}$

$D_h = D/k$


Inductive Bias in CNNs: translation equivariance and locality






Boulder

MLP-Mixer: An all-MLP Architecture for Vision





Boulder

High-Performance Large-Scale Image Recognition Without Normalization

Gradient Clipping

$L \rightarrow$ loss

$\theta \rightarrow$ model parameters

$G = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} \rightarrow$ gradient vector

$G \rightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda \frac{G}{\|G\|} & \text{if } \|G\| > \lambda, \\ G & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

$\lambda \rightarrow$ clipping threshold hyper-parameter

Adaptive Gradient Clipping for Efficient Large-Batch Training

$W^\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M} \rightarrow$ weight matrix of the ℓ -th layer

$G^\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M} \rightarrow$ gradient with respect to W^ℓ

$\|\cdot\|_F \rightarrow$ Frobenius norm

$$\|W^\ell\|_F = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M (W_{ij}^\ell)^2$$

$\frac{\|W^\ell\|_F}{\|G^\ell\|_F}$ provides a simple measure of how much a single gradient step will change the original weights W^ℓ

$\Delta W^\ell = -hG^\ell, h \rightarrow$ learning rate

$$\frac{\|\Delta W^\ell\|_F}{\|W^\ell\|_F} = h \frac{\|G^\ell\|_F}{\|W^\ell\|_F}$$

If $\frac{\|\Delta W^\ell\|_F}{\|W^\ell\|_F}$ is large, we expect the training to become unstable!

$G_i^\ell \rightarrow i\text{-th row of matrix } G$ (unit i of the ℓ -th layer)

$$G_i^\ell \rightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda \frac{\|W_i^\ell\|_F^*}{\|G_i^\ell\|_F} G_i^\ell & \text{if } \frac{\|G_i^\ell\|_F}{\|W_i^\ell\|_F^*} > \lambda, \\ G_i^\ell & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\|W_i\|_F^* = \max(\|W_i\|_F, \epsilon), \text{ with default } \epsilon = 10^{-3}$$

Normalizer-Free ResNets

$$h^{\ell+1} = h^\ell + \alpha f^\ell(h^\ell / \beta^\ell) \rightarrow \text{residual block}$$

$h^\ell \rightarrow$ input to the ℓ -th residual block

$f^\ell \rightarrow$ function computed by the ℓ -th residual branch
(parametrized to be variance preserving at initialization)

$$\text{Var}(f^\ell(z)) = \text{Var}(z), \forall \ell$$

$\alpha = 0.2 \rightarrow$ rate at which the variance of the activations increases after each residual block (at initialization)

$$\beta^\ell = \sqrt{\text{Var}(h^\ell)}$$

Scaled Weight Standardization

$$\hat{W}_{ij} = \frac{W_{ij} - \mu_i}{\sqrt{N} \sigma_i}$$



$$\mu_i = (1/N) \sum_j W_{ij}$$

$$\sigma_i^2 = (1/N) \sum_j (W_{ij} - \mu_i)^2$$

The activation functions are also scaled by a non-linearity specific scalar gain γ .

$$\text{For ReLUs, } \gamma = \sqrt{2/(1 - (1/\pi))}$$

Ensures that the combination of the γ -scaled activation function and a Scaled Weight Standardized layer is variance preserving.





Boulder



Questions?

[YouTube Playlist](#)
