

# **Protocol Audit Report**

Version 1.0

0xmazode

Protocol Audit Report October 31, 2024

# **Protocol Audit Report**

#### Mazode

October 31, 2024

Prepared by: Mazode Lead Auditors: - Mazode

# **Table of Contents**

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
  - Scope
  - Roles
- Executive Summary
  - Issues found
- Findings
- High
  - [H-1] Password is visible to everyone and should not be stored on-chain
  - [H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access control resulting in password change by a non-owner
- Medium
- Low
- Informational
  - [I-1] The PasswordStore:: getPassword NatSpec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the NatSpec to be incorrect

• Gas

Protocol Audit Report October 31, 2024

# **Protocol Summary**

PasswordStore is a protocol dedicated to storage and retrieval of a user's passwords. The protocol is designed to be used by a single user, and is not designed to be used by multiple users. Only the owner should be able to set and access this password.

## **Disclaimer**

The Mazode team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

## **Risk Classification**

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	Н	H/M	М
	Medium	H/M	М	M/L
	Low	М	M/L	L

#### **Audit Details**

The findings described in this document correspond the following commit hash:

```
1 2e8f81e263b3a9d18fab4fb5c46805ffc10a9990
```

#### Scope

```
1 src/
2 --- PasswordStore.sol
```

Protocol Audit Report October 31, 2024

#### **Roles**

• Owner: Is the only one who should be able to set and access the password.

For this contract, only the owner should be able to interact with the contract.

# **Executive Summary**

#### **Issues found**

Severity	Number of issues found		
High	2		
Medium	0		
Low	0		
Info	1		
Gas Optimizations	0		
Total	3		

# **Findings**

# High

# [H-1] Password is visible to everyone and should not be stored on-chain

**Description:** The data stored on-chain is visible to everyone and can be read from the blockchain. The PasswordStore::s\_password variable is supposed to be a private variable and should only be accessed through PasswordStore::getPassword() function which only the owner of the contract can call.

We have demonstrated a similar method of reading any data off chain below.

**Impact:** Anyone can read the private password, which could severely affect the protocol's functionality.

**Proof of Concept:** (Proof of Code)

The following test case showcases how anyone can read the private password directly from the blockchain.

1. Create a locally running chain

```
1 make anvil
```

2. Deploy the contract to the chain

```
1 make deploy
```

3. Run the storage tool

We use 1 because that's the storage slot of s\_password in the PasswordStore contract.

```
1 cast storage <CONTRACT_ADDRESS> 1 --rpc-url http:127.0.0.1:8545
```

You'll get output similar to this:

You can then parse that hex to a string like this:

Which will result in a output like this:

```
1 myPassword
```

**Recommended Mitigation:** Since the password is stored in a way that allows anyone to read it, the contract's security is compromised. To mitigate this risk, consider using Zero-Knowledge Proofs (ZKPs). ZKPs are powerful cryptographic methods that enable one party (the "prover") to demonstrate to another party (the "verifier") that they know a specific piece of information without revealing the information itself. By implementing ZKPs, sensitive data like passwords can be verified without exposing them on-chain, significantly enhancing the security and privacy of the contract.

# [H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access control resulting in password change by a non-owner

**Description:** The PasswordStore::setPassword function does not have any access control meaning that a non-owner user could potentialy set a new password. The function is supposed to be only called by the owner.

```
function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
    // @audit Missing access control
    s_password = newPassword;
    emit SetNetPassword();
}
```

**Impact:** Anyone can alter the contract's functionality by changing the password of the contract.

**Proof of Concept:** Add the following test to the PasswordStore.t.sol test file

Code

```
function test_non_owner_can_set_password(address randomAddress)
1
          public {
2
           vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
           vm.prank(randomAddress);
           string memory expectedPassword = "pass12";
4
5
           passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);
6
7
           vm.prank(owner);
           string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
8
9
           assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
10
       }
```

**Recommended Mitigation:** Add an access control conditional to the setPassword function.

```
if(msg.sender != s_owner) {
    revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
}
```

#### Medium

#### Low

#### **Informational**

[I-1] The PasswordStore: getPassword NatSpec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the NatSpec to be incorrect

## **Description:**

```
1 /*
2 * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
3 @> * @param newPassword The new password to set.
```

```
4 */
5 function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {....}
```

The PasswordStore: :getPassword function signature is getPassword() which the NatSpec say should be getPassword(string).

**Impact:** The NatSpec is incorrect

**Recommended Mitigation:** Remove the incorrect NatSpec line

```
1 - * @param newPassword The new password to set.
```

## Gas