Homework 2

Steve Mazza

October 26, 2011

1. The conversion factor from capabilities to requirements is 1/0.077059 or 12.9702 as demonstrated by the following model:

```
> fit1 <- lm(capabilities ~ requirements, data=dataFile1)</pre>
> summary(fit1)
Call:
lm(formula = capabilities ~ requirements, data = dataFile1)
Residuals:
   Min
            1Q Median
                             3Q
                                    Max
-2.5803 -1.3406 -0.6886 1.0469 4.2185
Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 0.916200 0.957642
                                   0.957
                                            0.351
requirements 0.077059
                       0.005099 15.112 1.14e-11 ***
Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1
Residual standard error: 2.089 on 18 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.9269, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9229
F-statistic: 228.4 on 1 and 18 DF, p-value: 1.138e-11
```

Using the CER given to derive an 80% confidence interval estimate of effort for 22 capabilities with my conversion factor:

```
effort(person months) = 38.55 \times (12.902 \times 22)^{1.06}
= 38.55 \times 285.3840^{1.06}
= 38.55 \times 400.6410
= 15444.71
```

2. The covariance matrix and model parameters for the three CER's are:

```
(Intercept)
                              Weight
                                         {\tt DataRate}
(Intercept) 14125099.33 -94781.4510 -13233.38143
              -94781.45
                           1745.7161
                                       -105.60854
Weight
DataRate
              -13233.38
                           -105.6085
                                          55.13398
> vcov(fit2a)
            (Intercept)
                             Weight
(Intercept) 73320342.0 -804468.66
Weight
              -804468.7
                           10335.79
> vcov(fit2b)
            (Intercept)
                             {\tt DataRate}
(Intercept) 12281183.22 -25942.62991
DataRate
              -25942.63
                             66.67152
The ANOVA table for the three models is given as:
> anova(fit2, fit2a, fit2b)
Analysis of Variance Table
Model 1: Cost ~ Weight + DataRate
Model 2: Cost ~ Weight
Model 3: Cost ~ DataRate
 Res.Df
                RSS Df
                          Sum of Sq
                                               Pr(>F)
1
      15 431645574
      16 3083288942 -1 -2651643368 92.147 8.535e-08 ***
3
      16 629745888 0 2453543054
```

Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1

> vcov(fit2)

Based on my analysis I would say that Data Rate is a much better predictor for Cost than Weight.