# Oracle Metrics Association Mining

Bern Winter School on Machine Learning Mürren, January 2020

**ML Project Presentation** 

Marco Bassi March 16, 2020

#### **Project Objective**

- Find patterns in Oracle database metrics using Association Mining.
- Result consists of association rules {lhs} ⇒ {rhs}, e.g.
   {Enqueue Requests Per Sec, User Transaction Per Sec} ⇒
   {User Commits Per Sec}
- Association Mining is a machine learning method for identifying associations among items. The method is widely-used for market basket analysis, and for detecting fraudulent credit card use

#### **Benefits and Drawbacks**

- unsupervised learning, i.e. no training and no labels
- very large number of variables, and large and complex data
- fast
- output (rules) is easy to understand
- not for small datasets
- takes effort to separate "obvious" rules from new insights
- Beware of conclusions due to random patterns in the data

#### Data Preparation – 1

- Inputdata selected from Oracle dynamic performance view DBA\_HIST\_SYSMETRIC\_SUMMARY
- Hourly snapshots of aggregated values for 158 metrics (avg, min, max, std.dev), for last 30 days:
   Buffer Cache Hit Ratio, Memory Sorts Ratio, Redo
   Allocation Hit Ratio, User Transaction Per Sec, ...
- Better: "realtime" metric values, taken every 60 secs; but unfortunately not available at the time of writing.
- Metrics values are numeric. "Itemize" values by subdividing into groups "high", "medium" and "low" wrt. quantiles Q1 and Q3.
- For first attempt, only take "high" values into account.

#### Analysis Procedure – 1

- Environment: R version 3.4.4, x86\_64 (Windows 10)
- Package arules version 1.6-3

**Step 1:** Load data into data structure itemMatrix (sparse matrix); see below 100 randomly selected samples



### Analysis Procedure – 2

**Step 2:** Use Apriori-Algorithm to find association rules

**Parameters:** threshold for support, confidence and minimum {lhs}+{rhs} size

- support: how frequently the itemset (items in {lhs} and {rhs} of the rule) occurs in the data samples.
- confidence: proportion of the samples, where the lhs itemset results into the rhs itemset.
- minlen: minimum total number of items in {lhs} and {rhs}.

#### First attempt

support=0.2, confidence=0.9, minlen=2 ⇒ set of 2176 rules

### Analysis Procedure – 3

#### Rules sorted by lift

**Lift:** a measure for the importance of a rule. The {*lhs*} and {*rhs*} itemsets are found together in samples more often by this factor, than would be expected by chance.

The rules shown above are of absolutely no use!

# Improving model performance – 1

# Subsetting the rules, e.g. for metrics concerning the Library Cache

Rules [3] resp. [4] are worth further exploring

# Improving model performance – 2

# Creating rules more specifically, e.g. for {rhs} concerning the Library Cache:

```
lc_rules <- apriori(data=im,
  parameter=list(support=0.1, confidence=0.9, minlen=2, maxlen=3),
  appearance=list(default="lhs",
      rhs=c("Library Cache Hit Ratio (2) H",
      "Library Cache Miss Ratio (2) H"))) 
□ 144 rules</pre>
```

Subsetting for "Library Cache Miss Ratio (2) H" on the {rhs} shows some not obvious associations, e.g.

```
{Enqueue Waits Per Txn (2) H, 3.826479
Redo Allocation Hit Ratio (2) H}
=> {Library Cache Miss Ratio (2) H}
```

However, it might as well just be a random pattern; needs some more exploration with a better dataset.

#### **Additions & Conclusions**

- Association rules resulting from the Apriori algorithm are highly redundant. However, there are techniques to remove the redundancies.
- Extracting rules that are actionable requires an extra effort.
- In setting the parameters for the Apriori algorithm, You have to trade off support against confidence:
  - A high support threshold might exclude rare itemsets which might result in high confidence rules
  - A low support threshold will produce a huge number of rules
- An extension to association mining with itemsets (market baskets), is mining for frequent sequential patterns; e.g. for DNA fingerprinting.