

# The Delta-Method applied to Sobol' indices

Michaël Baudin

February 5, 2019

## Abstract

We explore the use of the Delta-method in order to estimate the Sobol' indices.

## Contents

1	Convergence in distribution	1
2	Convergence in probability	2
3	Delta method	3
4	References	4

## 1 Convergence in distribution

**Definition 1.** (Convergence in distribution) Assume that  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  is a sequence of real-valued random variables with cumulative distribution functions  $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ . Assume that  $X$  is a real-valued random variable with cumulative distribution function  $\{F\}_{n \geq 0}$ . The sequence  $X_n$  converges in distribution to  $X$  if:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(X_n) = F(x).$$

for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  at which  $F$  is continuous. In this case, we write:

$$X_n \xrightarrow{D} X.$$

The following theorem gives an example of such convergence.

**Theorem 1.** (Maximum of uniform random numbers) Assume that  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  are independent random numbers such that  $X_n \sim U(0, 1)$ . Let  $Y_n$  be the maximum:

$$Y_n = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i.$$

Therefore the sequence  $n(1 - Y_n)$  converges in distribution to an exponential random variable, i.e.:

$$n(1 - Y_n) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{E}(1).$$

*Proof.* By definition, the exponential distribution with rate  $\lambda$  has the cumulative distribution function:

$$F(y) = 1 - \exp(-\lambda y),$$

for any real  $y \geq 0$ . With  $\lambda = 1$  we must prove that:

$$F(y) = 1 - \exp(-y),$$

for any real  $y \geq 0$ . Let  $F_n$  be the cumulative distribution function of the random variable  $n(1 - Y_n)$ . By definition of the cumulative distribution function,

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(z) &= P(n(1 - Y_n) \leq z) \\ &= P(1 - Y_n \leq z/n) \\ &= P(1 - z/n \leq Y_n) \\ &= 1 - P(Y_n \leq 1 - z/n), \end{aligned}$$

for any  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ . However, the cumulative distribution function of the maximum is:

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y_n \leq y) &= P(X_1 \leq y, X_2 \leq y, \dots, X_n \leq y) \\ &= P(X_1 \leq y)P(X_2 \leq y) \cdots P(X_n \leq y) \text{ (by independence)} \\ &= yy \cdots y \text{ (by definition of the C.D.F. of a uniform random variable)} \\ &= y^n, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $y \in [0, 1]$ . Therefore,

$$F_n(z) = 1 - (1 - z/n)^n$$

for any  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ . But

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + z/n)^n = \exp(z)$$

for any  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(z) = 1 - \exp(-z)$$

for any  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  which concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 2 Convergence in probability

**Definition 2.** (Convergence in probability) Assume that  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  is a sequence of real-valued random variables. The sequence  $X_n$  converges in probability to  $X$  if, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) = 0.$$

In this case, we write:

$$X_n \xrightarrow{P} X.$$

**Theorem 2.** Assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are random variables. Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Therefore

$$P(Y \leq y) \leq P(X \leq y + \epsilon) + P(|Y - X| > \epsilon)$$

*Proof.*

$$P(Y \leq y) = P(Y \leq y, X \leq y + \epsilon) + P(Y \leq y, X > y + \epsilon).$$

But  $P(Y \leq y, X \leq y + \epsilon) \leq P(X \leq y + \epsilon)$ , which implies :

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y \leq y) &\leq P(X \leq y + \epsilon) + P(Y \leq y, X > y + \epsilon) \\ &\leq P(X \leq y + \epsilon) + P(Y - X \leq y - X, y + \epsilon < X) \\ &\leq P(X \leq y + \epsilon) + P(Y - X \leq y - X, y - X < -\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have  $P(Y - X \leq y - X, y - X < -\epsilon) \leq P(Y - X < -\epsilon)$  which implies :

$$P(Y \leq y) \leq P(X \leq y + \epsilon) + P(Y - X < -\epsilon).$$

By definition of a probability, we have  $P(Y - X > \epsilon) \geq 0$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y \leq y) &\leq P(X \leq y + \epsilon) + P(Y - X < -\epsilon) + P(Y - X > \epsilon) \\ &\leq P(X \leq y + \epsilon) + P(|Y - X| < \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** (Convergence in probability implies convergence in distribution) *Assume that  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  is a sequence of real-valued random variables. If*

$$X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$$

*then*

$$X_n \xrightarrow{D} X.$$

*Proof.* TODO  $\square$

### 3 Delta method

**Theorem 4.** (Slutsky's theorem) *Let  $X_n$  and  $Y_n$  be two sequences of real random variables such that:*

$$X_n \xrightarrow{D} X \quad \text{and} \quad Y_n \xrightarrow{D} c,$$

*where  $c$  is a non-random constant. Therefore,*

$$X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{D} cX.$$

*Proof.* TODO  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** (Delta-method) *Assume that  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  is a sequence of real-valued random variables so that*

$$\sqrt{n}(X_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2). \quad (1)$$

*Assume that  $g$  is a real function. Let  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  and that  $g'(\theta) \neq 0$ . Therefore,*

$$\sqrt{n}(g(X_n) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 g'(\theta)^2).$$

*Proof.* The Taylor expansion of  $g$  at the point  $\theta$  implies that there exists a  $\tilde{\theta}$  between  $X_n$  and  $\theta$  such that:

$$g(X_n) = g(\theta) + g'(\tilde{\theta})(X_n - \theta) + O((X_n - \theta)^2), \quad X_n \rightarrow \theta.$$

This implies:

$$\sqrt{n}(g(X_n) - g(\theta)) = g'(\theta)\sqrt{n}(X_n - \theta) + O((X_n - \theta)^2), \quad X_n \rightarrow \theta.$$

Let  $Y_n$  and  $Z_n$  be the sequences of random variables defined by the equations:

$$Y_n = g'(\theta)\sqrt{n}(X_n - \theta) \text{ and } Z_n = O((X_n - \theta)^2).$$

The properties of the gaussian distribution and the equation 1 imply:

$$Y_n = g'(\theta)\sqrt{n}(X_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 g'(\theta)^2).$$

Furthermore,

$$Z_n = O((X_n - \theta)^2) \xrightarrow{D} 0.$$

In order to conclude the proof, we apply Slutsky's theorem to  $Y_n$  and  $Z_n$ .  $\square$

## 4 References

Most of the results in this document can be found in [1].

### References

- [1] A. W. van der Vaart. *Asymptotic Statistics*. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics, 2000.