## MA 578 — Bayesian Statistics

## Homework 3 (Due: Tuesday, 10/8/19)

- 1. BDA problem 3.8.
- 2. BDA problem 3.9. In particular, make sure to show that

$$n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 + \kappa_0(\mu - \mu_0)^2 = (n + \kappa_0)(\mu - \mu_1)^2 + \frac{\kappa_0 n}{\kappa_0 + n}(\mu_0 - \bar{x})^2$$

for  $\mu_1 := (n\bar{x} + \kappa \mu_0)/(n + \kappa_0)$ .

[\*] Show that a similar relation holds for vectors  $\bar{x}$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\mu_0$ ,

$$n(\bar{x}-\mu)(\bar{x}-\mu)^{\top} + \kappa_0(\mu-\mu_0)(\mu-\mu_0)^{\top} = (n+\kappa_0)(\mu-\mu_1)(\mu-\mu_1)^{\top} + \frac{\kappa_0 n}{\kappa_0 + n}(\mu_0 - \bar{x})(\mu_0 - \bar{x})^{\top},$$

with  $\mu_1 := n/(n + \kappa_0)\bar{x} + \kappa_0/(n + \kappa_0)\mu_0$ .

- 3. BDA problem 3.12.
- 4. Consider the same setup we discussed in class for the normal case:  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \mid \mu, \sigma^2 \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , or, in vector notation,  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \mid \mu, \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu \mathbf{1}_n, \sigma^2 I_n)$ , where  $\mathbf{1}_n$  is a vector of ones of length n. Assume a conjugate prior for  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ , that is,  $\mu \mid \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma^2/\kappa_0)$  and  $\sigma^2 \sim \text{Inv-}\chi^2(\nu_0, \sigma_0^2)$ .
  - (a) Show that  $\sigma^2 \mid \mu \sim \text{Inv-}\chi^2(\nu_\mu, \sigma_\mu^2)$  where  $\nu_\mu := \nu_0 + 1$  and

$$\sigma_{\mu}^2 := \frac{\kappa_0 (\mu - \mu_0)^2 + \nu_0 \sigma_0^2}{\nu_0 + 1}.$$

(b) Show that by taking  $\mathbb{P}(X, \sigma^2 \mid \mu)$  and marginalizing out  $\sigma^2$  we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X \mid \mu) \propto \left[ 1 + \frac{(X - \mu \mathbf{1}_n)^{\top} (X - \mu \mathbf{1}_n)}{\nu_{\mu} \sigma_{\mu}^2} \right]^{-\frac{\nu_{\mu} + n}{2}},$$

that is,  $X \mid \mu \sim t_{\nu_{\mu}}(\mu \mathbf{1}_n, \sigma_{\mu}^2 I_n)$ , a multivariate t distribution with  $\nu_{\mu}$  degrees of freedom<sup>1</sup>.

(c) Now take the joint  $\mathbb{P}(X, \mu, \sigma^2)$  we derived in class and marginalize out  $\sigma^2$  to show that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mu \mid X) \propto \left[ 1 + \frac{(\mu - \mu_n)^2}{\nu_n \sigma_n^2 / \kappa_n} \right]^{-\frac{\nu_n + 1}{2}},$$

with  $\mu_n$ ,  $\kappa_n$ ,  $\nu_n$ , and  $\sigma_n^2$  being the posterior updated parameters we discussed in class, and so  $\mu \mid X \sim t_{\nu_n}(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2/\kappa_n)$ , a t distribution with  $\nu_n$  degrees of freedom<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Check the appendix in BDA for the definition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>That is a shifted and scaled t-distribution, so check BDA again for the precise definition. If you want to relate to the traditional t distribution then  $\mu = \mu_n + \sigma_n / \sqrt{\kappa_n} T$  with  $T \mid X \sim t_{\nu_n}$ .

- 5. [\*] Suppose that for i = 1, ..., n,  $X_i \mid \alpha, \beta \stackrel{\text{ind}}{\sim} \mathsf{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$  and you wish to define a joint prior on both parameters by first conditioning on  $\alpha$ .
  - (a) Show that, conditional on  $\alpha$ , the conjugate prior for  $\beta$  is  $\beta \mid \alpha \sim \mathsf{Gamma}(\kappa_0 \alpha, \lambda_0)$  and that we have Jeffreys prior when  $\kappa_0 = \lambda_0 = 0$ . Find the conditional posterior  $\beta \mid \alpha, X$ .
  - (b) Marginalize out  $\beta$  from the likelihood to obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(X \mid \alpha) = \lambda_0^{-n} \frac{\Gamma((n + \kappa_0)\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)^n \Gamma(\kappa_0 \alpha)} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i}{\lambda_0} \right)^{\alpha - 1} \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i}{\lambda_0} \right)^{-(n + \kappa_0)\alpha},$$

that is,  $X/\lambda_0 \sim \text{Inv-Dirichlet}(\alpha, \dots, \alpha, \kappa_0 \alpha)^3$ .

(c) Finally, show that Jeffreys prior for  $\alpha$  is

$$\mathbb{P}(\alpha) \propto \left[ n\psi_1(\alpha) + \kappa_0^2 \psi_1(\kappa_0 \alpha) - (n + \kappa_0)^2 \psi_1((n + \kappa_0) \alpha) \right]^{1/2},$$

where  $\psi_1$  is the  $trigamma^4$  function.

- 6. [\*] You observe  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu_i, \sigma^2)$ , that is,  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \mid \mu \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2 I_n)$ , and take a conjugate prior for  $\mu$ ,  $\mu_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu_0, \tau^2)$ .
  - (a) Show that marginally  $X \sim N(\mu_0 \mathbf{1}_n, (\sigma^2 + \tau^2)I_n)$ . Moreover, derive the posterior for  $\mu$  as  $\mu \mid X \sim N(\mu_1, \omega \sigma^2 I_n)$ , with  $\mu_1 := \omega X + (1 \omega)\mu_0 \mathbf{1}_n$ . What is  $\omega$  as a function of  $\sigma^2$  and  $\tau^2$ ?

Suppose that you want to follow a frequentist analysis and so you assume that there is a "true" value  $\mu^*$  for  $\mu$ . Under this setup, you evaluate an estimator  $\widehat{\mu}$  using a mean squared error (MSE), defined as

$$MSE(\mu) = \mathbb{E}_{X \mid \mu^*} \left[ (\widehat{\mu} - \mu^*)^\top (\widehat{\mu} - \mu^*) \right]$$
  
= tr(Var<sub>X \ \mu^\*</sub> [\hat{\mu}]) + (\mathbb{E}\_{X \ \mu^\*} [\hat{\mu}] - \mu^\*) (\mathbb{E}\_{X \ \mu^\*} [\hat{\mu}] - \mu^\*)^\ta},

where tr is the trace operator. Note that the MSE can be decomposed into variance and (squared) bias components.

Now you want to compare two estimators in particular: the MLE for  $\mu$ ,  $\widehat{\mu}_{\text{MLE}} = X$ , and the posterior mean  $\mu_1$ .

- (b) Show that the MLE is unbiased, but that  $\mu_1$  is only unbiased when  $\mu_1^* = \cdots = \mu_n^* = \mu_0$ .
- (c) Show that  $MSE(\widehat{\mu}_{MLE}) = n\sigma^2$  and  $MSE(\mu_1) = \omega^2 n\sigma^2 + (1 \omega)^2 (\mu_0 \mathbf{1}_n \mu^*)^\top (\mu_0 \mathbf{1}_n \mu^*)$  and so  $MSE(\mu_1) \leq MSE(\widehat{\mu}_{MLE})$  whenever  $(\mu_0 \mathbf{1}_n \mu^*)^\top (\mu_0 \mathbf{1}_n \mu^*) \leq n(\sigma^2 + 2\tau^2)$ .

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ Check wikipedia for the definition of an *inverted* Dirichlet.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Again, wikipedia is your friend.

(d) (Empirical Bayes) To elicit the hyper-parameters  $\mu_0$  and  $\tau^2$ , take them as the maximizers of  $\log \mathbb{P}(X)$ . In particular, show that  $\mu_0 = \bar{X}$  and that

$$\omega = 1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 / n}$$

so that 
$$\mu_1 = \omega X + (1 - \omega) \bar{X} \mathbf{1}_n$$
.<sup>5</sup>

 $<sup>5\</sup>mu_1$  is then a *James-Stein* estimator, and can be shown to always beat the MLE with respect to MSE if n is not very small.