Regional Collections Are an Essential Component of Biodiversity Research Infrastructure

ANNA K. MONFILS, ERICA R. KRIMMEL, JOHN M. BATES, JENNIFER E. BAUER, MICHAEL W. BELITZ, BLAKE C. CAHILL, ALYSSA M. CAYWOOD, NEIL S. COBB, JULIA B. COLBY, SHARI A. ELLIS, DIANNA M. KREJSA, TODD D. LEVINE, TRAVIS D. MARSICO, TERESA J. MAYFIELD-MEYER, JESS A. MILLER-CAMP, ROY M (GIL) NELSON, MOLLY A. PHILLIPS, MARCIA A. REVELEZ, DAWN R. ROBERTS, RANDAL A. SINGER, AND JENNIFER M. ZASPEL

atural history collections (NHCs) archive and provide access to biodiversity records by preserving biological, paleontological, and geological specimens and their associated data. Worldwide, there are thousands of NHCs curating over 2 billion specimens that represent over a million taxa (Mehrhoff 1997). Varying considerably in size and scope, NHCs are united in curating specimens and data in support of biodiversity research. Larger collections have been reviewed and identified as being important to biodiversity research (Miller 1991), whereas smaller regional collections, which make up the vast majority of NHCs, have not received the recognition they merit for their contributions to biodiversity research infrastructure. In the present article, we make a case for the critical importance of smaller regional collections in creating the Global Museum and the Extended Specimen Network (Bakker et al. 2020, Lendemer et al. 2020).

Defining collections on the basis of size is problematic as it implies relative value, is arbitrary in its designation, and varies widely by taxonomic discipline. The American Society of Mammalogists reported in its most recent periodic survey that small collections (fewer than 10,000 specimens) formed 79% of all mammal collections and 88% of mammal tissue collections in the Western Hemisphere (Dunnum et al. 2018). Likewise, in a survey of

US arthropod collections, Cobb and colleagues (2019) found that 70% are small (fewer than 100,000 specimens) or medium (between 100,000 and 1,000,000 specimens) in size, and in a survey of mollusk collections based in the United States and Canada, Sierwald and colleagues (2018) found that 66% are very small (fewer than 9000 specimen lots) or small (between 9000 and 29,999 specimen lots). This pattern holds for plant collections as well, where Cahill and colleagues (2019) determined that 90% of US herbaria are small (at most 175,000 specimens). When examined across taxonomic disciplines, regardless of the designated size cutoff, "small" collections represent the majority of the individual NHCs. These small collections do not have a small collective impact, and a more representative name is needed. Given the number and broad distribution of these collections, and the emphasis on local collecting efforts and biogeographic expertise, we propose the term regional collection as a better descriptor and more fitting name for the "small" collections.

Regional collections contribute unique specimens that fill critical gaps in our taxonomic, geographic, and temporal understanding of global biodiversity. Regardless of taxonomic focus, when researchers map specimen data on continental scales, regional collections bridge critical geographic gaps (National Research Council 2014,

Dunnum et al. 2018, Sierwald et al. 2018, Cahill et al. 2019, Cobb et al. 2019, Marsico et al. 2020). In their review of arthropod collections, Cobb and colleagues (2019) found that regional collections curated unique specimens, and that these specimens best represented local diversity. Overall, regardless of the size of the arthropod collection, each individual NHC provided the majority of the specimens collected within a 50-kilometer radius of the institution. When Marsico and colleagues (2020) investigated herbarium specimens in eight states, on average 89% of specimens in regional collections represented unique occurrence records. In that study, regional herbaria were disproportionately representative of local flora. While regional collections only accounted for 12.5% of the total herbarium specimens in an individual state, when reviewing locally relevant specimens within a state (i.e., rare, introduced, and common native), 30% of those specimens were housed in regional herbaria. To look at the impact of data from regional herbaria, Glon and colleagues (2017) ran species distribution models with and without specimen data from regional collections. The regional collections contributed far fewer specimens, but those specimens represented unique information, and the addition of regional collections data resulted in more refined and robust predictions of ecological

BioScience 70: 1045-1047. © The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the American Institute of Biological Sciences. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com doi:10.1093/biosci/biaa102

niche. Sometimes a single specimen in a regional collection can lead to an important discovery. Dooley and colleagues (2019) defined a new species, Mammut pacificus, on the basis of a critical specimen from the Diamond Valley Lake fossil collection. This highly specialized collection of mastodon bones was excavated during the construction of a local reservoir and subsequently housed locally in a small museum. This regional collection is the impetus for a revised taxonomy of Pleistocene mastodons in North America. Collections from regional NHCs also influenced Belitz and colleagues (2018) in their investigation of potential causes for the decline of the critically endangered Poweshiek skipperling butterfly (Oarisma poweshiek). In this study, the authors found 40% of the historical entomological records in regional collections. Across taxonomic disciplines, regional collections provide critical geographic resolution for fine scale questions of diversity and unique occurrence records documenting species and distributions.

A discussion on the importance of regional collections must include the valuable role they play in promoting taxonomic, local, and indigenous knowledge. Researchers associated with regional collections represent a significant portion of the scientific community and workforce and contribute meaningfully to biodiversity research. The large number and distributed nature of regional collections provides critical access points to engage local and indigenous communities and, in turn, to disseminate proximate and contextual understanding of biodiversity. The 2019 IPBES Global Assessment Report emphasizes using local and indigenous knowledge to inform our understanding of regions, ecosystems, and biodiversity and was the first IPBES report to make specific reference to the importance of local and indigenous communities in pursuing conservation of biodiversity (Diaz et al. 2019). Reaching out and engaging on a local level is a critical step NHCs can take to address longstanding inequities caused in part by

a history of colonialism and ongoing systemic racism. Regional collections provide opportunities to acknowledge and incorporate the contributions of local and indigenous communities, improve the inclusivity of our NHCs, discover and democratize biodiversity data, and engage the diversity of our collections professionals and researchers.

The sustainability of biodiversity research is strengthened by the global network of NHCs linking specimens, associated data, and researchers in a dynamic community of practice. The general importance of regional collections to this network has been acknowledged in several summative reports addressing the future of NHCs (see Lendemer et al. 2020 and the references Therein); this bears repeating as we look to the future of collections and consider next steps in the global digitization of NHC data. Regional collections provide the majority of access points to NHCs around the world, fill critical gaps in specimen coverage, and foster a distributed network of human expertise that has the potential to increase representation and diversity in our community. We are in a fast-paced and vibrant era for NHCs as biodiversity research and collections are at the leading edge of digital data mobilization, open data and open science initiatives, and biology reintegration and transdisciplinary collaboration. Now is the time to renew efforts to engage our entire community of collections and collections professionals in ways that leverage the diversity of expertise, scope, size, and institutional structure among NHCs. We are stronger, more creative, and more resilient as a community, and we enhance our collective research capacity, when we include regional collections in building a global network of NHCs.

Acknowledgments

This article originated from a symposium and writing workshop held at the 2019 meeting of the Society for the Preservation of Natural History

Collections in Chicago, Illinois. The authors would like to thank the Biological Collections Network for their generous support of this symposium and writing workshop (grant no. NSF DBI 1441785).

References cited

- Bakker FT, et al. 2020. The Global Museum: Natural history collections and the future of evolutionary science and public education. PeerJ 8: e8225.
- Belitz MW, et al. 2018. Aggregated occurrence records of the federally endangered Poweshiek skipperling (*Oarisma poweshiek*). Biodiversity Data Journal 6: e29081.
- Cahill B, Thiers BM, Monfils AK. 2019. Research contributions and digitization progress in regional herbaria. Paper presented at the 34th Annual meeting of the Society for the Preservation of Natural History Collections; 30 May 2019, Chicago, Illinois.
- Cobb NS, Gall LF, Zaspel JM, Dowdy NJ, McCabe LM, Kawahara AY. 2019. Assessment of North American arthropod collections: Prospects and challenges for addressing biodiversity research. Peer J 7: e8086.
- Díaz S, et al., eds. 2019. Summary for Policymakers of the Global Assessment Report on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3553579.
- Dooley AC, Scott E, Green J, Springer KB, Dooley BS, Smith GJ. 2019. *Mammut pacificus* sp. nov., a newly recognized species of mastodon from the Pleistocene of western North America. PeerJ 7: e6614.
- Dunnum JL, McLean BS, Dowler RC, the Systematic Collections Committee of the American Society of Mammalogists. 2018. Mammal collections of the Western Hemisphere: A survey and directory of collections. Journal of Mammalogy 99: 1307–1322.
- Glon HE, Heumann BW, Carter JR, Bartek JM, Monfils AK. 2017. The contribution of small collections to species distribution modelling: A case study from Fuireneae (Cyperaceae). Ecological Informatics 42: 67–78.
- Lendemer J, et al. 2020. The Extended Specimen Network: A strategy to enhance US biodiversity collections, promote research and education. BioScience 70: 23–30.
- Marsico TD, et al. 2020. Small herbaria contribute unique biogeographic records to county, locality, and temporal scales. American Journal of Botany 107, in press.
- Mehrhoff LJ. 1997. Museums, research collections, and the biodiversity challenge. Pages 447–464 in Reaka-Kudla ML, Wilson DE and Wilson EO, eds. Biodiversity II: Understanding and Protecting Our Biological Resources. Joseph Henry Press.

Miller SE. 1991. Entomological collections in the United States and Canada. American Entomologist 37: 77-84.

National Research Council. 2014. Enhancing the Value and Sustainability of Field Stations and Marine Laboratories in the 21st Century. National Academies Press.

Sierwald P, Bieler R, Shea EK, Rosenberg G. 2018. Mobilizing mollusks: Status update on mollusk collections in the U.S.A. and Canada. American Malacological Bulletin 36: 177-214.

Anna K. Monfils (monfilak@cmich.edu) and Blake C. Cahill are affiliated with the Department of Biology and the Institute for Great Lakes Research at Central Michigan University, in Mount Pleasant. Erica R. Krimmel is affiliated with iDigBio in the Institute for Digital Information and Scientific Communication, at Florida State University, in Tallahassee. John M. Bates is president of the Natural Science Collections Alliance and

curator of birds and head of life sciences at the Field Museum, in Chicago, Illinois. Jennifer E. Bauer is affiliated with the Florida Museum of Natural History, at the University of Florida, in Gainesville, and is currently at the Museum of Paleontology at the University of Michigan, in Ann Arbor. Michael W. Belitz and Shari A. Ellis are affiliated with the Florida Museum of Natural History, at the University of Florida, in Gainesville. Alyssa M. Caywood and Julia B. Colby are affiliated with the Department of Zoology at the Milwaukee Public Museum, in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Neil S. Cobb is affiliated with the Biodiversity Outreach Network in Phoenix, Arizona, and with Northern Arizona University, in Flagstaff. Dianna M. Krejsa is affiliated with the Angelo State Natural History Collections, at Angelo State University, in San Angelo, Texas. Todd D. Levine is affiliated with the Department of Life Sciences at Carroll University, in Waukesha, Wisconsin. Travis D. Marsico is affiliated with the Department of Biological Sciences at Arkansas State University, in Jonesboro. Teresa J. Mayfield-Meyer is

affiliated with the Museum of Southwestern Biology, at the University of New Mexico, in Albuquerque. Jess A. Miller-Camp is affiliated with the Dept. of Earth and Atmospheric Sciencese, Indiana University, Bloomington. Roy M (Gil) Nelson and Molly M. Phillips are affiliated with iDigBio, in the Florida Museum of Natural History, at the University of Florida, in Gainesville. Marcia A. Revelez is affiliated with the Natural Science Research Laboratory at Texas Tech University, in Lubbock. Dawn R. Roberts is affiliated with the Chicago Academy of Sciences and the Peggy Notebaert Nature Museum, in Chicago, Illinois. Randal A. Singer is affiliated with the Museum of Zoology at the University of Michigan, in Ann Arbor. Jennifer M. Zaspel is treasurer of the Natural Science Collections Alliance and is affiliated with the Department of Zoology at the Milwaukee Public Museum, in Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

doi:10.1093/biosci/biaa102