

Electoral Effects of Public Savings Banks in German Subnational Elections: The Case of Bavaria

Course: Introduction to Collaborative Social Science Data Analysis (MPP-E1180)

Malte Berneaud-Kötz & Jonas Markgraf

Hertie School of Governance

13 May 2016

Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Institutional Setting	3
2.1. German Public Banks	3
2.2. German Political System: Municipalities and Mayors in Germany	4
3. Literature review	4
4. Hypotheses and Predictions	5
5. Data and Statistical Model	7
5.1. Data	7
5.2. Statistical Model	8
6. Findings	9
6.1. Descriptive Statistics	9
6.2. Regression Results	9

1. Introduction¹

The collapse of large the US bank Lehman Brothers in 2008 and the subsequent global financial crisis brought banks to the attention of the general public, policymakers, and scholars. By analyzing issues such as financial regulation and moral hazard implications of banks that are ‘too big to fail’, political economists predominantly approach banks and politics as closely linked, but two separate spheres. Publicly owned banks are, thus, strikingly neglected by the Political Economy literature despite the fact that public banks play an essential role in many European banking sectors. This calls for closer examination of the political economy of public banks, hence, the implications of politicians *within* banks for bank action and political outcomes.

Public banks are central players in many European countries, but are particularly important in the German banking system with more than 400 public banks. Germany’s publicly owned savings banks (*Sparkassen*) are important local employers and lenders to small- and medium-sized enterprises; overall they account for 34% of total assets and 44% of total lending in Germany (Deutsche Bundesbank 2015). Hence, public savings banks constitute an essential element of the German banking sector and are important actors for the German *Mittelstand* economy. At the same time, close links between savings banks and local politics make banks subject to potential political influence. *Sparkassen* are owned by German counties and municipalities, which grants local politicians access to key supervisions function in the bank management. Due to their public ownership, local politicians are members of savings banks’ Board of Directors (*Verwaltungsrat*) as well as the credit committee, which allows them to influence strategic decisions of savings banks (e.g. credit volume or bank consolidation) with direct implications for the municipal economy. Scholars, therefore, often speculate about the politicization of bank activities and political rent extraction by board members.

In this paper, we analyze the effect of board membership in Bavarian *Sparkassen* in Germany on politicians’ success in local elections. Board membership enables politicians to influence bank activities that might improve their re-election chances. Previous papers have shown that German savings banks’ activities indeed follow the political business cycles of elections. However, it remains unclear whether these lending activities have an effect on incumbents’ re-election chances. To do so, the paper exploits the fact that not all locally elected political leaders in Germany are board members in savings banks. We compare electoral performance

¹This paper is based on and a part of a research project by Guillermo Rosas (Washington University in St. Louis; grosas@wustl.edu) and Jonas Markgraf (Hertie School of Governance; markgraf@hertie-school.org).

of politicians that are members of a board with that of politicians without a seat at a savings bank’s board. A unique hand-collected panel dataset on the boardroom composition of Bavarian savings banks with detailed information of board member profiles is used in order to analyze the effects of board membership on electoral success in municipalities².

The paper is structured as follows: In Section 2, the relevant existing literature is reviewed. Section 3 outlines the main hypothesis of the paper and, subsequently, datasets and the statistical model are presented (section 4). Section 5 concludes.

2. Institutional Setting

2.1. German Public Banks

The German three-pillar banking system consists of a small number of large commercial banks, about 1,100 cooperative banks, and 416 publicly owned *Sparkassen*. Today, *Sparkassen* account for 34% of total assets and 44% of lending to non-financial institutions in Germany (Deutsche Bundesbank 2015) (see Appendix I). Besides their significant share in overall assets and lending, they are important actors for the local economy in Germany: German savings banks’ mandate obliges them to not maximize profits, but support regional economic and social development³. They foster local economic development by providing credit to small and medium-sized enterprises⁴ as well as to local governments and subsidize the production of local public goods such as access to finance in rural areas.

Sparkassen’s geographical areas of business are, by law, restricted, so that savings banks do not compete against one another, but have a strong regional component in their activities; in any given municipality, only a single savings bank is established (no overlapping business areas, see Appendix II [in German]).

Most of the 416 *Sparkassen* are owned by the counties or municipalities in which they operate⁵; for this reason, many local politicians of counties and municipalities, in particular county commissioners (*Landräte*) and mayors, are members of the Board of Directors (*Verwaltungsrat*) as well as at the credit committee of their local *Sparkasse*. The boardseat provides politicians with statutory leverage over strategic decisions of banks and opens the door for political tinkering and rent-seeking behaviour of politicians with a boardseat in their local savings bank.

²As data collection for the board composition of German savings banks is very time-consuming, we were forced to restrict our sample to only one federal state.

³*Sparkassen*, for instance, are major contributors of local initiatives and projects through generous donations.

⁴SMEs are the backbone of the German economy. Usually, smaller companies have less access to credit. The specific nature of the German banking system with the prominent role of *Sparkassen*, however, facilitates access to credit for smaller enterprises.

⁵Only five savings banks are politically independent and not owned by a political entity.

2.2. German Political System: Municipalities and Mayors in Germany

The German federal political system consists of four government layers: the federal state (federal level), 16 states (state level), 399 counties and 107 county-free cities (county level), and 11,192 municipalities (municipal level). Each municipality has its own municipal council and is jointly governed by the municipal council and its directly elected mayor⁶. Election rules depend on federal law and the mayoral tenure vary across federal states between five and eight years; also, mayors' power and relationship to the municipal council is defined by federal law and has changed over time. In most federal states, mayors stand out in local politics as the only full-time politician at the municipal level⁷ with a strong and independent position (Freier 2015).

German municipalities provide a variety of local public goods and services: They are responsible for administrating spending received from higher government tiers, oversee local public firms, and provide a variety of direct responsibilities such as cultural expenditures, childcare provision, or local infrastructure. While most tasks provided by municipalities are determined by law, local politicians have some room for manoeuvre as they can take on additional responsibilities and deliver voluntary services. Moreover, municipalities have a some degree of freedom in designing tax policy by determining municipal taxes (local business tax and property tax) (Fritz and Feld 2015). At the same time, local politicians enjoy several benefits from their political position: Although most politicians at the local level are non-professional, they receive a considerable financial compensation for their work. More importantly, some get formal or informal access to influential positions, such as the board of their local *Sparkasse*. Hence, municipal elections are of importance for the population in the voting district⁸ as well as for the elected politicians.

3. Literature review

Academic scholars often speculate about the political use of banks, for instance arguing that banking crises occur because governments build banking systems that are 'fragile by design': Governments regulate banks, but also use them as a source of finance; they bailout banks, while requesting votes from taxpayers that foot the bailout bill (Calomiris and Haber 2014).

Recent academic research confirms the existence of *electoral cycles* in public bank's lending and other business

⁶While municipal mayors in Bavaria and Baden Württemberg are elected directly by voters since World War II, most German federal states introduced direct elections on the municipal level only in the 1990s.

⁷Not all mayors are full-time politicians. By law, municipalities with more than 5,000 inhabitants should have a full-time mayor; however, this threshold is fuzzy in reality (for pitfalls with population thresholds in Regression Discontinuity Designs, see Eggers et al. (2016)). Generally, full-time mayors govern larger municipalities than part-time mayors.

⁸The perceived importance of municipal elections in Germany is reflected in the comparatively high voter turnout. In Bavaria, for instance, the average voter turnout in municipal elections was 55% in 2014, which is significantly higher than for European or county elections.

activities, such as bank consolidation. Interestingly, this effect is ubiquitous: Scholars find it in developing as well as in advanced market economies. It occurs in countries known for their close ties between politicians and banks, such as Southern and Eastern European countries (Baum, Caglayan, and Talavera 2010; Dinc 2005; Sapienza 2004; Illueca and Lavezzolo 2016; Jackowicz, Kowalewski, and Kozłowski 2012; La Porta, Lopez-de-Silanes, and Shleifer 2002), but was observed in advanced market economies with strong institutional checks and balances like Germany too, where one would expect to see less politicization of bank activity.

German savings banks have been shown to lend more in years in which elections take place at the county level (Englmaier and Stowasser 2016; Gropp and Saadi 2015); moreover, it has been demonstrated that *Sparkassen* are less likely to lay off employees and to merge with neighbouring savings banks in the year preceeding county elections (Vins 2008). Thus, the existence of *electoral lending cycles* is well established in the literature. The Public Economics literature on public banks, however, largely focuses on the behaviour of banks and its detrimental effect to banks' performance, thereby ignoring an important aspect: The political implications of politicized bank behaviour. This paper contributes to this strand of literature by examining the electoral effect of politicians' board membership in German *Sparkassen*, hence, analyzing whether political tinkering with savings banks actually increases incumbents' chances for re-election.

This paper, furthermore, contributes to the broad Political Science literature on the *incumbency advantage* in established democracies⁹. Incumbent parties and candidates seem to derive an advantage from holding political office during re-election campaigns, an effect first identified by Erikson (1971) for US Congressional Elections. Previous research has demonstrated that also German politicians at the state, federal, and municipal political level enjoy incumbency advantages (Ade, Freier, and Odendahl 2014; Freier 2015; Hainmueller and Kern 2008). These studies, however, have not provided exhaustive explanations for the causal relation and the mediating factors between incumbency and re-election chance for politicians. By analyzing the electoral effect of board membership for incumbent mayors in Germany, this paper analyzes a potential causal mechanism for the incumbency advantage. The paper, thus, links the Public Economics literature on *political lending cycles* of banks and the Political Science literature on the *incumbency advantage* - two debates that, so far, have not talked to each other.

4. Hypotheses and Predictions

This paper examines the hypothesis that mayors with a board seat in their local *Sparkasse* are more likely to be re-elected compared to mayors without a board seat. To estimate the effect of board membership on

⁹Interestingly, in young democracies, such as Mexico, scholars find the opposite effect (*incumbency curse*), i.e. incumbents seem to get punished in the following election (e.g. Lucardi and Rosas 2016).

election outcomes, we exploit the fact that not all locally elected political leaders in Germany are board members in a savings bank and compare the electoral performance of politicians with and without board representation in their local savings bank.

There are multiple channels through which board membership might affect incumbents' electoral performance: Board membership might signal competence to the mayor's constituency. Recent studies have demonstrated that German voters prefer better qualified mayoral candidates (Freier and Thomasius 2016). Board membership provides mayors with visibility in their municipality and signals financial competence; thus, voters might perceive a mayor chairing the local savings banks as more competent.

Secondly and more important, mayors with a board seat have access to supervisory tasks and strategic decision-making of the *Sparkasse*; the chairmen of the savings bank, usually mayors or county commissioners, even have access to the credit committee. In this way, board representatives can directly channel credit to certain enterprises, projects, or industries, and increase credit volume in the run-up of an election. Furthermore, board members can delay consolidation or mergers with other savings banks until after the election in order to avoid bad news about lay offs or closed branches until after the election. Finally, the Board of Directors decides on the payout of profits by the savings bank and donations by the savings banks. For these reasons, we hypothesize that mayors with a board seat in their local savings bank are able to extract political rents from the savings banks making re-election more likely. The *incumbency advantage* of mayors partly operates through board membership in local savings banks.

To estimate the effect of board membership on electoral success of mayors in Germany, we focus on mayors who won their mandate in a contested election (more than one candidate); the re-election probability of mayors with a board seat is compared to the that of mayors without board representation. Thus, our sample consists of mayors that participated in at least two consecutive contested elections.

Two aspects require particular attention in this research design as they might confound the estimated effect: The determinants of assignment of board posts and alternative factors affecting the re-election chance of incumbent mayors. Answering the research question requires a profound knowledge of the assignment process of board members in German savings banks. At this stage, we do not sufficiently understand the assignment procedure of mayoral board posts, but clear-cut assignment rules that would enable a Regression Discontinuity Design (RDD) do not exist. For some obvious potential determinants, such as size of the municipality, we control (see section **YYY**). However, without a clear grasp of the assignment determinants, our estimates will be suspect. In order to strengthen our causal narrative, we intend to conduct a number of expert interviews with members of *Sparkassen* associations to understand this process.

Furthermore, the paper will control for alternative factors that affect the probability of mayors to regain office¹⁰. The size of the municipality might affect the re-election chances of mayors as incumbents in larger municipalities have access to larger resources that can be used to regain the mayor’s post (Levitt and Wolfram 1997). In addition, personal characteristics of the mayor might affect his re-election chance, independent of the board membership of the mayor: Therefore, we control for vote share of the incumbent in the previous election assuming that candidates with higher vote shares enjoy more popularity and are thus more likely to get re-elected in the next election. Moreover, we control for the gender of the incumbent.

5. Data and Statistical Model

5.1. Data

A unique, largely hand-collected dataset is compiled that combines information from various sources. The unit of analysis are mayoral elections in Bavaria. Data on mayors’ electoral performance is matched with data on board compositions of German savings banks. Overall, the working sample includes 2,099 municipalities and 79 savings banks in Bavaria over the years 2006 to 2015.

5.1.1. Bank Board Data

We hand-collect a unique panel dataset on the composition of Boards of Directors in Bavaria’s *Sparkassen*. This dataset includes detailed information on board member profiles which enables us to identify mayors on bank boards:

- name and gender of board members;
- occupation of board members (identifier for mayors on board);
- position within board: normal board member, chairman, or vice chairman.

Annual information on Board of Directors is hand-collected from savings banks’ annual reports available in PDF format on *Bundesanzeiger* for the years from 2006 to 2015; the database can be extended back to 1998 with micro-fiche versions of annual reports from Bureau van Dijk’s *Bankscope* database. The dataset on boardroom composition constitutes the first comprehensive and systematic investigation of Bavarian savings

¹⁰Due to time constraints, we had to limit our control variables to those that were readily available from the existing datasets. Hence, we could not include important controls such as the economic situation in the municipality (economic voting hypothesis) and competence measures of the mayor.

banks' corporate governance as information on German public banks' boards has not been systematically collected yet.

5.1.2. Election Data

A database on mayoral elections in Bavaria is available from the state statistical office upon request (administration fee of 70 . It contains data on direct municipal elections between 1948 and 2014. With this database we are covering 79 of the 416 German *Sparkassen* (19%) and 2,099 municipalities (19% of all municipalities in Germany). The data for mayoral elections contains the following variables:

- election date;
- name of election winner and (at least) the first loser;
- party affiliation of candidates;
- vote shares of candidates;
- dummy for contested elections (at least two candidates);
- number of eligible voters in voting district (size of municipality).

Matching the dataset for board composition and for mayoral elections allows to distinguish between mayors with and without board seats in their local savings bank and to analyze the electoral success of those mayors in subsequent elections. Hence, the dataset enables us to estimate the electoral effect of board membership for German mayors.

5.2. Statistical Model

Given the research question, setting up the dependent variable as binary offers the best theoretical fit between the construct under study and the data available to us. Therefore, the analysis will employ a logistic regression model using re-election / no re-election of incumbent mayors as the dependent variable; the logistic model will give the probability of the incumbent to be re-elected. This will allow for a very close fit of the model and the data, intuitive visualisations of patterns in the data using predictive probabilities and also keeps model complexity in check. The primary independent variable of the model is board membership in the local *Sparkasse*, with additional controls for the top positions of chairman and vice-chairman. In order to cleanly estimate the effect of board membership on re-election chances, it is important to get a good grasp on the

determinants of appointment to board positions. We therefore control for obvious potential drivers such as mayors' party affiliation and municipality size (measured by number of eligible voters) as larger municipalities might offer more resources to retain the mayor's office.

6. Findings

6.1. Descriptive Statistics

6.2. Regression Results

7. Conclusion

The paper purports to estimate the effect of board membership in German public savings banks (*Sparkassen*) on mayors' electoral success. It compares electoral performance of mayors with and without a board seat in Bavaria using a logistic regression model. To answer the research question, we compile a novel hand-collected dataset on the boardroom composition of German public banks with detailed information on board member profiles, which is combined with an existing data set on 6,267 Bavarian municipal elections from 2000 to 2014.

References

Ade, Florian, Ronny Freier, and Christian Odendahl. 2014. "Incumbency, party identity and governmental lead: Evidence for heterogeneous incumbency effects for Germany." *European Journal of Political Economy* vol.36 (no.3): 117–34. <http://www.econstor.eu/handle/10419/61389>.

Baum, Christopher F., Mustafa Caglayan, and Oleksandr Talavera. 2010. "Parliamentary election cycles and the Turkish banking sector." *Journal of Banking and Finance* 34 (11): 2709–19. doi:10.1016/j.jbankfin.2010.05.013.

Calomiris, Charles, and Stephen Haber. 2014. *Fragile by Design: The Political Origins of Banking Crises and Scarce Credit*. Princeton / Oxford: Princeton University Press.

Deutsche Bundesbank. 2015. "Banking statistics 06/2015." <https://www.bundesbank.de/Redaktion/EN/Downloads/Publication>

Dinc, Serdar. 2005. "Politicians and Banks: Political Influences on Government-Owned Banks in Emerging

- Markets.” *Journal of Financial Economics* vol. 77 (no. 2): 453–79.
- Eggers, Andrew, Ronny Freier, Veronica Grembi, and Tommaso Nannicini. 2016. “Regression Discontinuity Designs Based on Population Thresholds: Pitfalls and Solutions.” *American Journal of Political Science*, no. forthcoming.
- Englmaier, Florian, and Till Stowasser. 2016. “Electoral Cycles in Savings Bank Lending.” *Journal of European Economic Association*, no. forthcoming.
- Erikson, Robert. 1971. “The Advantage of Incumbency in Congressional Elections.” *Polity* vol. 3 (no. 3): 395–405.
- Freier, Ronny. 2015. “The mayor’s advantage: Causal evidence on incumbency effects in German mayoral elections.” *European Journal of Political Economy* vol.40 (no.1): 16–30. <http://www.econstor.eu/handle/10419/57258>.
- Freier, Ronny, and Sebastian Thomasius. 2016. “Voters Prefer More Qualified Mayors, but Does It Matter for Public Finances?” *International Tax and Public Finance*, no. forthcoming. Berlin.
- Fritz, Benedikt, and Lars Feld. 2015. “The Political Economy of Municipal Amalgamation: Evidence of Common Pool Effects and Local Public Debt.” *CESifo Working Paper* No.5676. Munich: CESifo Working Paper No. 5676.
- Gropp, Reint, and Vahid Saadi. 2015. “Electoral Credit Supply Cycles Among German Savings Banks.” *Leibnitz-Institut Für Wirtschaftsforschung Halle (IWH)/IWHOnline* 11/2015. Halle (Saale).
- Hainmueller, Jens, and Holger Lutz Kern. 2008. “Incumbency as a source of spillover effects in mixed electoral systems: Evidence from a regression-discontinuity design.” *Electoral Studies* 27 (2): 213–27. doi:10.1016/j.electstud.2007.10.006.
- Illueca, Manuel, and Sebastian Lavezzolo. 2016. “Political Lending Cycles in Government-Controlled Banks: Evidence from Corporate Debt.” *Under Review*.
- Jackowicz, Krzysztof, Oskar Kowalewski, and Lukasz Kozlowski. 2012. “The influence of political factors on commercial banks in Central European Countries.” *Journal of Financial Stability* vol.9 (no.4): 759–77.
- La Porta, Rafael, Florencio Lopez-de-Silanes, and Andrei Shleifer. 2002. “Government Ownership of Banks.” *The Journal of Finance* vol. 57 (no. 1): 265–301.
- Levitt, Steven, and Catherine Wolfram. 1997. “Decomposing the Source of Incumbency Advantage in the

U.S. House.” *Legislative Studies Quarterly* vol.22 (no.1): 45–60.

Lucardi, Adrian, and Guillermo Rosas. 2016. “Is the Incumbent Curse the Incumbent’s Fault? Alternative Accounts of Negative Incumbency Effects in Young Democracies.” *Under Review*.

Sapienza, Paola. 2004. “The effects of government ownership on bank lending.” *Journal of Financial Economics* 72 (2): 357–84. doi:10.1016/j.jfineco.2002.10.002.

Vins, Oliver. 2008. “How Politics Influence State-owned Banks: The Case of German Savings Banks.” Edited by Goethe University Frankfurt. *Johann-Wolfgang-Goethe-Universität Frankfurt Am Main, Finance & Accounting//Working Paper Series* No. 191.