The inventory of linguistic relations used in the Copenhagen Dependency Treebanks

Matthias Buch-Kromann Morten Gylling-Jørgensen Lotte Jelsbech Knudsen Iørn Korzen Henrik Høeg Müller

Center for Research and Innovation in Translation and Translation Technology Dept. of International Language Studies and Computational Linguistics Copenhagen Business School

January 24, 2011

Abstract

This manual describes the inventory of linguistic relations used in the Copenhagen Dependency Treebanks, a set of parallel treebanks for Danish, English, German, Italian, and Spanish annotated with respect to syntax, morphology, discourse, coreference, and translational equivalence. The manual is generated automatically from the CDT project's online relation spreadsheet.¹

http://spreadsheets.google.com/ccc?key=OArjTKYTQS1lWcnNUWGJrX3lZTkxDc3QxYmlqWlRXQ1E&hl=

Contents

1	Introduction	3	
2	Top-level relations: ANY	4	
	2.1 Linguistic level dimension: DIM:LEVEL	5	
	2.2 Annotation type dimension: DIM:TYPE	6	
3	Syntactic relations: SYNTAX	10	
	3.1 Complement relations: SYNCOMP	10	
	3.2 Non-adverbial adjunct relations: SYNADJ	18	
	3.3 Adverbial adjunct relations: ADVERB	26	
4	Morphological relations: MORPH	36	
	4.1 Compositional relations: MORPHCOMP	36	
	4.2 Derivational relations: MORPHDERIV	38	
	4.2.1 Prefix relations: PREFIX	39	
	4.2.2 Suffix relations: SUFFIX	41	
5	Discourse relations: DISC	49	
	5.1 Functional relations: DISCFUNC	50	
	5.2 Semantic relations: DISCSEM	52	
6	Anaphor relations: ANA	57	
	6.1 Coreference relations: coref	58	
	6.2 Associative anaphor relations: assoc	62	
7	Semantic relations: SEM	68	
	7.1 Qualia relations: QUALIA	75	
	7.2 Thematic role relations: SEMROLE	76	
8	Word alignment relations: ALIGN	77	
9	Rule schemata for complex relations: RULE	79	
10	Ontological relations: ONTO	82	
		83	
11	1 Deprecated relations from DDT: CDT1		
12	2 Relations misplaced outside the ANY hierarchy		
13	3 Annotation topics:: TOPIC		
Δ	Ovarviow toblas		

В	Agre	ement and confusion tables	104
	B.1	Confusion table: syntax	104
	B.2	Confusion table: semantics	109
	B.3	Confusion table: discourse	110
	B.4	Confusion table: anaphora	112
	B.5	Confusion table: morphology	112
	B.6	Confusion table: morphology-no-null	115
	B.7	Confusion table: alignment	118
C	Anno	otation status	119
	C.1	All texts	119
	C.2	da texts	119
	C.3	de texts	119
	C.4	en texts	119
	C.5	es texts	120
	C.6	it texts	120
	C.7	da-de texts	120
	C.8	da-en texts	120
	C.9	da-es texts	120
	C.10	da-it texts	121
D	Inde	x	122

Chapter 1

Introduction

This manual describes the relations used in the Copenhagen Dependency Treebanks. The relations are ordered in a hierarchy, where each relation may have zero or more immediate super types, and zero or more immediate subtypes. The relations are presented in detail in the following chapters, grouped by linguistic level and general relation type. Every time a relation is introduced, its name is written in the left margin, with an indication of its immediate super types and the row in the online CDT spreadsheet in which the relation was defined. An example is shown below.

relation The notation in the left margin indicates that we now describe the relation relation; it has isa super immediate super type super and is defined in row 12 in the spreadsheet. When describing a [12] relation, we also lists its other properties, if relevant, including its:

- long name: we use short names in the annotation for brevity, but long names are sometimes more descriptive, so we provide these as an alias for the short relation name;
- · deprecated names: when renaming relations, the old name is listed as a deprecated name for backwards compatibility, but it should be avoided in future annotation;
- immediate subtypes: the relation names that have been specified as the immediate subtypes of the relation;
- related types: lists the relations that are closely related to this relation, in some way or another, and which you might want to consult for clarification or additional information;
- · confusion: lists relations that are confused with this relation with percentages (ie, the probability that other annotators will use another relation name); the numbers in "Confusion $_{A,A_U,A_L}^N$ list the number of times the relation name has been used for a multiply-annotated in-node, and the labeled agreement A, the unlabeled agreement A_U , and the label agreement A_L for the relation.
- examples: small annotated text examples that illustrate how the relation is used;

In PDF versions of this document, relation names are clickable so that you can navigate through the relation hierarchy by clicking on the relation names.

Chapter 2

Top-level relations: ANY

ANY: formal top node

CDT1: Deprecated CDT1 relations

DIM: dimension

DIM:LEVEL: dimension: linguistic level DIM:TYPE: dimension: annotation type RULE: generative type specification rule

TOPIC: annotation topic

Figure 2.1: The relations matching ANY-!DIM:LEVEL-!DIM:TYPE-!RULE-!TOPIC-!CDT1.

ANY Formal top node. The formal top node in the type hierarchy. The type hierarchy contains [2] all the annotations (features and relations) used in the Copenhagen Dependency Treebanks; it also contains all other documentation for the treebank, including hierarchically organized topics in the annotation which describe how to annotate particular linguistic constructions in the treebanks.

Subtypes: CDT1 DIM RULE TOPIC.

CDT1 Deprecated CDT1 relations. Deprecated relations from the CDT1+2 treebanks.

isa ANY Subtypes: CDT1ADJ CDT1COMP CDT1GAP.

DIM *Dimension* (long: DIMENSION). A dimension in the type hierarchy. The dimensions include isa ANY the linguistic level (eg, syntax, morphology, semantics) and the annotation type (eg, primary

[3] dependency, secondary dependency, idiomatic construction)

Subtypes: DIM:LEVEL DIM:TYPE.

DIM:LEVEL Dimension: linguistic level. A dimension specifying the linguistic level of the relation. The

isa DIM classification of relations into linguistic levels is meant to give a rough classification of the relations that corresponds to the standard terminology in linguistic theory. The classification

^J relations that corresponds to the standard terminology in linguistic theory. The classification is intended for human use. It is not an important feature in the underlying linguistic theory, and there are borderline cases where the distinction between the levels is somewhat fuzzy.

Subtypes: ALIGN ANA DISC MORPH ONTO SEM SYN.

DIM:TYPE *Dimension: annotation type.* A dimension specifying the type of the annotation. Eg, a lexical isa DIM feature or a directed bilexical relation.

[17] Subtypes: FEAT REL.

RULE Generative type specification rule. Generative type specification rules specify how type names is ANY are created generatively using rules. A rule consists of a sequence of null-separated items which are either character sequences enclosed in double quotes or type names; parts of a rule may be enclosed in parentheses and followed by an optional repetition operator: "*" (0 or more times), "+" (1 or more times), or "?" (0 or 1 times). When specifying the super types for a generated type, \$1 refers to the part of the type name matched within the first pair of parentheses, \$2 the part within the second pairs of parentheses, etc. Generated types may be used as super types.

For example, the rule "<"PRIM">" generates all relation names formed by enclosing any relation name from the "PRIM" hierarchy in angle brackets. "<"PRIM("."PRIM)*">" generates all relation names formed by enclosing a "."-separated sequence of "PRIM" relation names in angle brackets.

Subtypes: ""QUALIA RuleAnd RuleAttr RuleAttrD RuleAttrH RuleDisc RuleExpConn RuleGap RuleIdiom RuleImpConn RuleMorph RuleOtlAdv RuleOr RulePar RuleSec.

TOPIC Annotation topic. A topic in the annotation guidelines. A topic describes how a particular isa ANY linguistic construction is annotated in the treebanks, as an aid for the annotators and human users of the CDT treebanks. If a linguistic relation is very closely associated to one or more topics (eg, "gobj" for genitive constructions), the topics should be added as super types for the relation, so that the relations and the topics are properly linked in the annotation manual. Subtypes: %ALIGN %DISC %MORPH %SEM %SYN.

2.1 Linguistic level dimension: DIM:LEVEL

DIM:LEVEL: dimension: linguistic level

ALIGN: alignment level ANA: anaphor level DISC: discourse level MORPH: morphology level ONTO: ontology level SEM: semantic level SYN: syntax level

Figure 2.2: The relations matching DIM:LEVEL-!SYNTAX-!MORPH-!DISC-!ANA-!SEM-!ALIGN-!ONTO-!RULE-!TOPIC-!CDT1.

DIM:LEVEL Dimension: linguistic level. A dimension specifying the linguistic level of the relation. The isa DIM classification of relations into linguistic levels is meant to give a rough classification of the relations that corresponds to the standard terminology in linguistic theory. The classification is intended for human use. It is not an important feature in the underlying linguistic theory, and there are borderline cases where the distinction between the levels is somewhat fuzzy.

Subtypes: ALIGN ANA DISC MORPH ONTO SEM SYN.

 $\textbf{ALIGN} \ \ \textit{Alignment level} \ (\text{long: ALIGNMENT}). \ The alignment level includes alignment relations as well is a DIM: LEVEL as lexical features associated with alignments.$

[15] Subtypes: ALIGNREL.

- **ANA** *Anaphor level* (long: ANAPHORA). The anaphor level includes relations between anaphors and isa DIM:LEVEL their antecedents, as well as lexical features associated with anaphora.
 - [14] Subtypes: ANAREL anaphor.
- **DISC** *Discourse level* (long: DISCOURSE). The discourse level includes relations between segments is a DIM:LEVEL in different sentences, as well as lexical features associated with discourse units.
 - [11] Subtypes: DISCOTHER DISCPRAG DISCSEM RuleDisc.
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} MORPH & Morphology level (long: MORPHOLOGY). The morphological level includes relations between is a DIM: LEVEL two word segments within a single word, as well as lexical features associated with mortal content of the conte$
 - [9] phemes.

Subtypes: MORPHCOMP MORPHDERIV RuleMorph.

- ONTO Ontology level (long: ONTOLOGY). The ontological level includes relations between lexical is DIM:LEVEL elements construed as ontological units, as well as lexical features associated with ontological
 - [13] units.

Subtypes: ONTOCLASS.

- - [12] with semantic units.

Subtypes: SEMREL.

SYN Syntax level (long: SYNTAX). The syntactic level includes relations between two segments is a DIM:LEVEL within a sentence, but not within a single word, as well as lexical features associated with [10] syntactic units.

Subtypes: SYNADJ SYNCOMP.

2.2 Annotation type dimension: DIM:TYPE

- **DIM:TYPE** *Dimension: annotation type.* A dimension specifying the type of the annotation. Eg, a lexical isa DIM feature or a directed bilexical relation.
 - [17] Subtypes: FEAT REL.
- **FEAT** *Lexical feature* (long: FEATURE). A lexical feature. Ie, an annotation that describes a particular isa DIM:TYPE property of a lexical element.
 - [18] Subtypes: ONTOCLASS.
- **REL** Directed bilexical relation (long: RELATION). A directed bilexical relation. Ie, a directed reisa DIM:TYPE lation that goes from one lexical element (the parent, head, governor, nucleus, stem, an-
 - [19] tecedent) to a dependent lexical element (the child, dependent, satellite, affix, anaphor).

Subtypes: ALIGNREL ANAREL IDIOM LAND PRIM SEC SEMREL.

IDIOM *Idiomatic relation*. An idiomatic relation. The relation links independent lexical elements is REL that jointly form an idiomatic lexical unit, ie, a unit where the meaning of the whole cannot

[32] be described as a semantic composition of its parts.

Subtypes: RuleIdiom. Related types: +.

DIM:TYPE: dimension: annotation type

FEAT: lexical feature

REL: directed bilexical relation IDIOM: idiomatic relation

RuleIdiom: idiomatic relation pattern

LAND: landing relation fill: licensed filler

land: landed lexical element PRIM: primary dependency relation

+: segment concatenation ADJ: adjunct relation COMP: complement relation


RuleOblAdv: valency-bound adverbial

SEC: secondary dependency relation RuleSec: secondary relation pattern repl: replacement in gapping coordination

Figure 2.3: The relations matching DIM:TYPE-!SYNTAX-!MORPH-!DISC-!ANA-!SEM-!ALIGN-!ONTO-!TOPIC-!CDT1.



RuleIdiom *Idiomatic relation pattern* (long: (SEMREL)"#"). A semantic relation can be marked as idisa IDIOM RULE iomatic by putting a trailing "#" after the semantic relation name. The idiom marker is only used with semantic relations, not with syntactic relations.



LAND *Landing relation* (long: LANDING). A relation between a lexical element and its landing site. isa REL Landing relations are not annotated explicitly in the Copenhagen Dependency Treebanks.

In Discontinuous Grammar, the word order is determined by a projective surface tree. The projective surface tree can be derived from the deep tree by defining the landing site for a node as the lowest transitive governor in the deep tree that deeply dominates all nodes between the node and the transitive governor. The resulting set of landing relations can be shown to form a projective tree. In this tree, a global word order uniquely corresponds to a local ordering of all the landed nodes at each landing site.

Subtypes: fill land.

fill *Licensed filler.* A landing relation from a filler licensor to a phonetically empty filler that it is LAND licenses. The filler licensor is viewed as the landing site for the filler. Filler relations are never

annotated explicitly in the CDT treebanks, but play an important role in the underlying linguistic theory, Discontinuous Grammar. In DG, a "filler" is a phonetically empty constituent which is licensed lexically by a "filler licensor" lexeme, and which functions as an anaphoric element that requires a "filler source" as its antecedent. For example, the relative verb in a relative construction acts as filler licensor for a filler that essentially provides a copy of the relativized noun; in control constructions, the controlling verb passes on a copy of the controlled complement to the subordinate verb; and in gapping coordinations, the first conjunct licenses one or more gapping fillers that function as the elided heads of the gapped conjuncts.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{land} & \textit{Landed lexical element.} & A \ \text{landing relation for lexical elements.} & This \ \text{relation is used when} \\ \text{is a LAND} & \text{the landed node is a lexical element rather than a filler.} & Landing \ \text{relations are not annotated} \\ \text{[26]} & \text{explicitly in the CDT annotation, but follow implicitly from the other annotation.} \end{array}$

Related types: LAND.

PRIM Primary dependency relation (long: PRIMARY). A primary dependency relation. Ie, a bilexical isa REL relation which specifies the primary head associated with each lexical element in the analysis at the level of syntax, discourse, and morphology. The primary dependencies in a well-formed analysis must form a deep tree, which may be non-projective. The deep tree provides the primary interface to the underlying compositional semantics. In particular, the deep tree defines the application order in the compositional semantics by inducing a unique functor-argument tree for each modifier scope, ie, for each ordering of the adjuncts at all nodes in the analysis.

Subtypes: + ADJ COMP.

+ Segment concatenation (long: CONCATENATION). A concatenation relation. The relation is isa PRIM used to correct segmentation errors, and specifies that two nodes should have been analyzed [33] as subsegments of the same lexical unit. The relation always goes from a node to its immediately following neighbour in the segmentation.

Related types: IDIOM.



ADJ Adjunct relation (long: ADJUNCT). A primary adjunct relation. The relation is licensed by isa PRIM the adjunct, ie, the lexical entry of the adjunct specifies the adjunct relations licensed by the adjunct, along with the associated semantic interpretation mechanisms and selectional restrictions on the licensed governors. In the compositional semantics, the adjunct acts as a modifier, ie, a functor that as its argument takes the semantic representation corresponding to the governor along with the governor's arguments and lower-scoped adjuncts.


Subtypes: DISCOTHER DISCPRAG DISCSEM SYNADJ.

Subtypes: RuleOblAdv SYNCOMP.

COMP Complement relation (long: COMPLEMENT). A primary complement relation. The relation is a PRIM is licensed by the governor, ie, the lexical entry of the governor specifies the complement frames that it allows, along with the associated semantic interpretation mechanisms and selectional restrictions associated with each complement role. In the compositional semantics, the complements act as arguments with the governor as their functor.

RuleOblAdv *Valency-bound adverbial* (long: "@"ADVERB). An adverbial relation can be marked as obligaisa COMP RULE tory by putting "@" in front of the relation name.

[370] Related types: cont dir dur ext hab loc prec succ time.




SEC Secondary dependency relation (long: SECONDARY). A secondary dependency relation. Intuisa REL itively, if a node functions as a dependent of more than one word (eg, in verbal chains, raising and control constructions, relatives, and elliptic coordinations), the dependency relation that determines the word order is encoded as a primary relation, and the remaining dependency relations are encoded as secondary dependency relations. In terms the underlying linguistic theory in Discontinuous Grammar, the secondary relations in the CDT annotation encode that the child node in the secondary dependency functions as the filler source for a filler that functions as a primary dependent of the parent node. Since the CDT annotation does not include filler nodes, there is no explicit annotation of the filler and its associated filler licensor and filler source, and the filler licensor must be reconstructed from the secondary dependency by means of heuristic rules.

Subtypes: RuleSec ref repl. Related types: fill fsrc.

RuleSec *Secondary relation pattern* (long: "["PRIM"]"). A secondary relation name is formed by enisa RULE SEC closing a primary relation name in square brackets.

[368] Related types: SEC.



repl Replacement in gapping coordination. A relation that encodes a constituent in the first conisa SEC junct replaced by a gapping dependent. The relation goes from the head of the replaced constituent to the head of the gapping dependent. The extraction path for the gapping dependent is defined as the path from the replaced constituent to the head of the first conjunct.

Related types: gapd.

Chapter 3

Syntactic relations: SYNTAX

SYN: syntax level SYNADJ: syntactic adjunct SYNCOMP: syntactic complement

Figure 3.1: The relations matching SYNTAX-!SYNCOMP-!SYNADJ-!CDT1-TOPIC.

SYN Syntax level (long: SYNTAX). The syntactic level includes relations between two segments is a DIM:LEVEL within a sentence, but not within a single word, as well as lexical features associated with [10] syntactic units.

Subtypes: SYNADJ SYNCOMP.

SYNADJ *Syntactic adjunct.* An adjunct role at the syntactic level. This relation type is used to group isa ADJ SYN a large class of adjunct roles that only apply at the syntactic level.

[105] Subtypes: ADVERB CDT1ADJ app attr attrg conj coord correl fpred gapd name pnct rel voc xtop.

SYNCOMP *Syntactic complement.* A complement role at the syntactic level. This relation type is used to isa COMP SYN group a large class of complement roles that only apply at the syntactic level.

Subtypes: @space @time CDT1COMP aobj avobj dobj fobj gobj iobj nobj numa numm part pobj possd possr pred qobj robj subj vobj.

3.1 Complement relations: SYNCOMP

SYNCOMP *Syntactic complement.* A complement role at the syntactic level. This relation type is used to isa COMP SYN group a large class of complement roles that only apply at the syntactic level.

Subtypes: @space @time CDT1COMP aobj avobj dobj fobj gobj iobj nobj numa numm part pobj possd possr pred qobj robj subj vobj.

@space Valency-bound location/direction adverbial. is a SYNCOMP Related types: dir loc.

[86]

SYNCOMP: syntactic complement

@space: valency-bound location/direction adverbial

@time: valency-bound time adverbial

avobj: adverbial object dobj: direct object fobj: filler object gobj: genitive object iobj: indirect object nobj: nominal object

numa: additive numeral complement numm: multiplicative numeral complement

part: verbal particle pobj: prepositional object possd: possessed complement possr: possessor complement


pred: predicative

predo: object predicative preds: subject predicative qobj: quotational object robj: reflexive object

subj: subject


expl: expletive subject vobj: verbal object

Figure 3.2: The relations matching SYNCOMP-!CDT1-TOPIC.



@time Valency-bound time adverbial. A valency bound time expression. Formerly analyzed as temisa SYNCOMP poral object "tobj", but we have decided to provide a general mechanism (@) for converting [102] adverbial relations into valency-bound relations instead.


Related types: cont dur ext hab prec succ.



avobj Adverbial object.

isa SYNCOMP Related types: aobj part.


 $[94] \quad Confusion_{60.5\%/87.7\%/60.5\%}^{38}: avobj_{60.5\%} \text{ other}_{10.5\%} \text{ quant}_{5.3\%} \text{ part}_{5.3\%} \text{ subj}_{2.9\%} \text{ conj}_{2.6\%} \text{ aobj}_{2.6\%} \text{ loc}_{2.6\%} \text{ pobj}_{2.6\%}$ $nobj_{1.2\%} \ pnct_{1\%} \ namef_{0.7\%} \ man_{0.7\%} \ appa_{0.7\%} \ attr_{0.3\%} \ coord_{0.3\%} \ numm_{0.3\%} \ .$



dobj *Direct object.* A direct object relation. In languages with case, the direct object is typically isa SYNCOMP accusative-marked.


[82] Related types: iobj robj.

 $Confusion_{75.9\%/84.9\%/76.8\%}^{503}: dobj_{76.8\%} nobj_{6.2\%} \ attr_{2.6\%} \ pnct_{2.6\%} \ pobj_{2\%} \ subj_{1.3\%} \ vobj_{1.3\%} \ coord_{0.8\%} \ preds_{0.8\%} \ robj_{0.7\%} \ iobj_{0.6\%} \ time_{0.5\%} \ conj_{0.5\%} \ possd_{0.3\%} \ neg_{0.3\%} \ quant_{0.2\%} \ goal_{0.2\%} \ other_{0.2\%} \ loc_{0.2\%} \ numm_{0.2\%} \ appa_{0.2\%} \ predo_{0.2\%} \ relr_{0.1\%} \ name_{0.1\%} \ appr_{0.1\%} \ dir_{0.1\%} \ possr_{0.1\%} \ title_{0.1\%} \ scene_{0.1\%} \ qobj_{0.1\%} \ namef_{0.1\%} \ cause_{0.1\%} \ resem_{0.1\%} \ add_{0\%} \ focal_{0\%} \ conc_{0\%} \ iter_{0\%} \ agent_{0\%} \ aobj_{0\%} \ man_{0\%} \ contr_{0\%} \ numa_{0\%} \ concom_{0\%} \ cond_{0\%} \ part_{0\%} \ exem_{0\%} \ source_{0\%} \ correlo_{0\%} \ inst_{0\%} \ .$




fobj Filler object. Filler objects are never annotated explicitly in the CDT annotation. In Disconisa SYNCOMP tinuous Grammar, a "filler" is a phonetically empty constituent which is licensed lexically by a "filler licensor" lexeme (eg, the relative verb in a relative construction acts as filler licensor for a filler that essentially provides a copy of the relativized noun). A "filler object" is reserved for the special case where a particular word (eg, a relative pronoun) must consume a filler (eg, the filler created by the relative verb). That is, most of the constructions which include a "ref" relation in the CDT involve the use of a filler object in the detailed theoretical account in Discontinuous Grammar.

Related types: fill ref.



gobj Genitive object. If the genitve object is part of a NP which nucleus is deverbal, the following isa SYNCOMP annotation possibilities are available: gobj.subj{SEMROLE} gobj.dobj{SEMROLE} gobj.iobj{SEMROLE} The relevant semantic roles in this context are agent, patient, recipient, experient, location.

Related types: SEMROLE attrg.



iobj *Indirect object.* isa SYNCOMP Related types: dobj.


 $[85] \ \ Confusion_{68\%/97.5\%/68\%}^{25} : iobj_{68\%} \ dobj_{20\%} \ robj_{8\%} \ pnct_{1.5\%} \ subj_{1\%} \ nobj_{0.5\%} \ attr_{0.5\%} \ possd_{0.5\%} \ .$



nobj Nominal object. If the nominal object is part of a NP which nucleus is deverbal, the following is a SYNCOMP annotation possibilities are available: nobj.subj{SEMROLE} nobj.dobj{SEMROLE} nobj.pobj{SEMROLE} nobj.iobj{SEMROLE} The relevant semantic roles in this context are agent, patient, recipient, experient, location.

 $Confusion_{79,2\%/84,7\%/81,6\%}^{3395}: nobj_{81.6\%} \ attr_{3.3\%} \ pnct_{2.4\%} \ subj_{1.7\%} \ vobj_{1.5\%} \ pobj_{1.4\%} \ dobj_{1.3\%} \ conj_{0.8\%} \ preds_{0.7\%} \ coord_{0.7\%} \ time_{0.6\%} \ possd_{0.4\%} \ quant_{0.3\%} \ relr_{0.3\%} \ loc_{0.3\%} \ aobj_{0.3\%} \ name_{0.3\%} \ numm_{0.2\%} \ other_{0.2\%} \ goal_{0.1\%} \ neg_{0.1\%} \ namef_{0.1\%} \ man_{0.1\%} \ appa_{0.1\%} \ possr_{0.1\%} \ title_{0.1\%} \ epi_{0.1\%} \ appr_{0.1\%} \ concom_{0.1\%} \ accom_{0.1\%} \ eval_{0.1\%} \ cond_{0.1\%} \ agent_{0.1\%} \ scene_{0.1\%} \ contr_{0\%} \ numa_{0\%} \ prg_{0\%} \ fpreds_{0\%} \ conc_{0\%} \ part_{0\%} \ predo_{0\%} \ focal_{0\%} \ fpredo_{0\%} \ qobj_{0\%} \ resem_{0\%} \ inst_{0\%} \ add_{0\%} \ iter_{0\%} \ correlo_{0\%} \ event_{0\%} \ cause_{0\%} \ comp_{0\%} \ xpl_{0\%} \ exem_{0\%} \ iobj_{0\%} \ avobj_{0\%} \ source_{0\%} \ .$


They joined the party.



numa Additive numeral complement. An additive numeral complement relation. Numerals license isa SYNCOMP one additive and one numeral complement, both optional. The numerical value associated [96] with the expression is the value M * N + A, where M is the numerical value of the multiplicative complement, A is the numerical value of the additive complement, and N is the numerical value associated with the lexical numeral itself. Eg, "two hundred four" has value "2 * 100 + 4", "two hundred four thousand" has value "(2 * 100 + 4) * 1000", and "two hundred four thousand and twenty three" has value "(2 * 100 + 4) * 1000 + (20 + (3))".

Related types: numm.


 $Confusion_{66.7\%/87.5\%/66.7\%}^{6}: numa_{66.7\%} \ nobj_{20.8\%} \ attr_{4.2\%} \ dobj_{4.2\%} \ possd_{4.2\%} \ .$



numm Multiplicative numeral complement. An multiplicative numeral complement relation. Nuisa SYNCOMP merals license one additive and one numeral complement, both optional. The numerical value [97] associated with the expression is the value M * N + A, where M is the numerical value of the multiplicative complement, A is the numerical value of the additive complement, and N is the numerical value associated with the lexical numeral itself. Eg, "two hundred four" has value "2 * 100 + 4", "two hundred four thousand" has value "(2 * 100 + 4) * 1000", and "two hundred four thousand and twenty three" has value "(2 * 100 + 4) * 1000 + (20 + (3))".

Related types: numa.

 $Confusion_{20.8\%/30.9\%/20.8\%}^{59}: nobj_{25.8\%}: nobj_{25.8\%}: numm_{20.8\%} \ pnct_{8.8\%} \ attr_{8.1\%} \ subj_{5.2\%}: vobj_{4.7\%} \ dobj_{3.2\%}: conj_{3.2\%} \ pobj_{3\%}: nobj_{25.8\%}: nobj_{25.8$ resem_{1.9%} preds_{1.9%} coord_{1.9%} possd_{1.7%} time_{1%} namef_{1%} name_{0.6%} quant_{0.6%} focal_{0.5%} part_{0.5%} loc_{0.5%} concom_{0.5%} goal_{0.4%} cond_{0.4%} man_{0.4%} agent_{0.4%} possr_{0.4%} other_{0.4%} appr_{0.3%} appa_{0.3%} qobj_{0.2%} relr_{0.2%} prg_{0.2%} $avobj_{0.2\%}\ eval_{0.2\%}\ title_{0.2\%}\ predo_{0.2\%}$.




part Verbal particle. Verbal particle.

isa SYNCOMP Related types: avobj.

[98] Confusion $^{32}_{46.9\%/68.8\%/46.9\%}$: part $_{46.9\%}$ avobj $_{6.3\%}$ nobj $_{6.1\%}$ pobj $_{4.9\%}$ conj $_{4.4\%}$ pnct $_{4.1\%}$ other $_{3.6\%}$ attr $_{3.5\%}$ coord $_{3.3\%}$ dir_{3.1%} scene_{3.1%} vobj_{2.8%} preds_{2%} subj_{1.5%} numm_{0.9%} epi_{0.8%} man_{0.8%} time_{0.7%} namef_{0.5%} dobj_{0.4%} name_{0.3%}


the fair two years ago



pobj Prepositional object. A prepositional object relation. The governor may be a verb, noun, isa SYNCOMP adjective, adverbial, or another preposition. The preposition is analyzed as the head of the prepositional object itself. If the prepositional object is part of a deverbal NP (ie, an NP where the nucleus is derived from a verb), the CDT annotation specifies the underlying role of the NP within the PP by adding a "." followed by the underlying role to the relation, e.g., "pobj.subj" (the NP in the PP would act as subject in the underlying V), "pobj.dobj", "pobj.pobj", and "pobj.iobj"; in these cases, the semantic role "{SEMROLE}" must be annotated as well (the most relevant semantic roles in this context are "agent", "patient", "recipient", "experient", "location").

Related types: SEMROLE avobj.


 $Confusion_{60.5\%/79.1\%/61.3\%}^{769} : pobj_{61.3\%} \ attr_{8.5\%} \ nobj_{7.6\%} \ pnct_{2.4\%} \ dobj_{2.4\%} \ subj_{2.4\%} \ goal_{1.9\%} \ vobj_{1.6\%} \ other_{1.5\%} \ nobj_{7.6\%} \ pnct_{2.4\%} \ dobj_{2.4\%} \ subj_{2.4\%} \ goal_{1.9\%} \ vobj_{1.6\%} \ other_{1.5\%} \ nobj_{7.6\%} \ pnct_{2.4\%} \ dobj_{2.4\%} \ subj_{2.4\%} \ goal_{1.9\%} \ vobj_{1.6\%} \ other_{1.5\%} \ nobj_{7.6\%} \ pnct_{2.4\%} \ dobj_{2.4\%} \ subj_{2.4\%} \ goal_{1.9\%} \ vobj_{1.6\%} \ other_{1.5\%} \ nobj_{1.6\%} \$ $dir_{1.2\%} \ agent_{1\%} \ loc_{1\%} \ coord_{0.9\%} \ conj_{0.8\%} \ preds_{0.5\%} \ time_{0.5\%} \ possd_{0.4\%} \ source_{0.4\%} \ quant_{0.4\%} \ relr_{0.3\%} \ inst_{0.3\%} \ agent_{1\%} \ loc_{1\%} \ coord_{0.9\%} \ coord_{0.9\%} \ preds_{0.5\%} \ preds_{0.5\%} \ possd_{0.4\%} \ source_{0.4\%} \ quant_{0.4\%} \ relr_{0.3\%} \ inst_{0.3\%} \ agent_{1\%} \ loc_{1\%} \ coord_{0.9\%} \ coord_{0.9\%} \ coord_{0.9\%} \ preds_{0.5\%} \ preds_{0.5\%} \ preds_{0.5\%} \ possd_{0.4\%} \ source_{0.4\%} \ quant_{0.4\%} \ relr_{0.3\%} \ inst_{0.3\%} \ preds_{0.5\%} \ possd_{0.4\%} \ source_{0.4\%} \ quant_{0.4\%} \ relr_{0.3\%} \ inst_{0.3\%} \ preds_{0.5\%} \$ $neg_{0.2\%} numm_{0.2\%} man_{0.2\%} conc_{0.2\%} xpl_{0.2\%} possr_{0.2\%} namef_{0.1\%} part_{0.1\%} appr_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} cause_{0.1\%} avobj_{0.1\%} avobj_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} cause_{0.1\%} avobj_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} cause_{0.1\%} avobj_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} cause_{0.1\%} avobj_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} cause_{0.1\%} avobj_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} cause_{0.1\%} avobj_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} avobj_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} avobj_{0.1\%} avobj_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} avobj_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} avobj_{0.1\%} avobj_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} avobj_{0.1\%} avobj_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} avobj_{0.1\%} avobj_{0.1\%}$ $accom_{0.1\%} \ focal_{0.1\%} \ prg_{0.1\%} \ name_{0.1\%} \ add_{0.1\%} \ scene_{0\%} \ eval_{0\%} \ expl_{0\%} \ epi_{0\%} \ fpredo_{0\%} \ qobj_{0\%} \ exem_{0\%} \ iter_{0\%}$ $concom_{0\%} predo_{0\%} title_{0\%} correl_{0\%}$.



possed Possessed complement. The possessed complement in a possessive construction. Possession isa SYNCOMP is understood in a syntactic sense as any construction with a clitic genitive marker, not nec-[99] essarily as possession in a narrow semantic sense. A better name may be chosen for this relation in the future.

Related types: "{"\$PRIM"}" SEMROLE poss possr.

 $Confusion_{74.8\%/80.4\%/76.9\%}^{307}: possd_{76.9\%} nobj_{6.5\%} \ attr_{3.1\%} \ pnct_{2.5\%} \ vobj_{1.4\%} \ pobj_{1.2\%} \ dobj_{1.2\%} \ coord_{1\%} \ subj_{0.8\%} \ attr_{3.1\%} \ post_{2.5\%} \ vobj_{3.4\%} \ pobj_{3.2\%} \ dobj_{3.2\%} \ coord_{3\%} \ subj_{3.8\%} \ attr_{3.1\%} \ pnct_{3.5\%} \ vobj_{3.4\%} \ pobj_{3.2\%} \ dobj_{3.2\%} \ coord_{3\%} \ subj_{3.8\%} \ attr_{3.1\%} \ pnct_{3.5\%} \ pobj_{3.2\%} \ dobj_{3.2\%} \ coord_{3\%} \ subj_{3.8\%} \ attr_{3.1\%} \ pnct_{3.5\%} \ pobj_{3.2\%} \ dobj_{3.2\%} \ coord_{3\%} \ subj_{3.8\%} \ attr_{3.1\%} \ pnct_{3.5\%} \ pobj_{3.2\%} \ dobj_{3.2\%} \ coord_{3\%} \ subj_{3.8\%} \ attr_{3.1\%} \ pnct_{3.5\%} \ pobj_{3.2\%} \ dobj_{3.2\%} \ coord_{3\%} \ subj_{3.8\%} \ attr_{3.1\%} \ pnct_{3.5\%} \ pobj_{3.2\%} \ dobj_{3.2\%} \ coord_{3\%} \ subj_{3.8\%} \ attr_{3.1\%} \ pnct_{3.5\%} \ pobj_{3.2\%} \ dobj_{3.2\%} \ coord_{3\%} \ subj_{3.8\%} \ attr_{3.1\%} \ pnct_{3.5\%} \ pobj_{3.2\%} \ attr_{3.1\%} \ pnct_{3.5\%} \ pobj_{3.2\%} \ attr_{3.1\%} \ pnct_{3.5\%} \$ $conj_{0.8\%}\ time_{0.7\%}\ relr_{0.6\%}\ quant_{0.5\%}\ inst_{0.4\%}\ aobj_{0.3\%}\ numm_{0.3\%}\ scene_{0.2\%}\ loc_{0.2\%}\ preds_{0.2\%}\ other_{0.2\%}\ possr_{0.1\%}$ $namef_{0.1\%} conc_{0.1\%} neg_{0.1\%} focal_{0.1\%} cond_{0.1\%} numa_{0.1\%} event_{0.1\%} add_{0.1\%} iobj_{0\%} title_{0\%} appr_{0\%} appr_{0\%} con$ $com_{0\%}$ name $_{0\%}$.



possr Possessor complement. NO LONGER IN USE

isa SYNCOMP [100]

COMP The possessor complement in a possessive construction. Possession is understood in a [100] syntactic sense as any construction with a clitic genitive marker, not necessarily as possession in a narrow semantic sense. A better name may be chosen for this relation in the future.

Related types: poss possd.

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Confusion}_{0\%/10\%/4\%}^{25} : \text{nobj}_{28.2\%} \text{ subj}_{13.9\%} \text{ pnct}_{13.9\%} \text{ attr}_{5.7\%} \text{ pobj}_{4.7\%} \text{ possr}_{4\%} \text{ dobj}_{3.9\%} \text{ conj}_{2.7\%} \text{ time}_{2.4\%} \text{ vobj}_{2.4\%} \\ & \text{preds}_{2.2\%} \text{ coord}_{1.9\%} \text{ possd}_{1.8\%} \text{ relr}_{1.4\%} \text{ aobj}_{1.3\%} \text{ neg}_{1.3\%} \text{ resem}_{1.3\%} \text{ name}_{1.2\%} \text{ loc}_{1.2\%} \text{ name}_{1.1\%} \text{ numm}_{1\%} \text{ concom}_{0.8\%} \text{ appa}_{0.7\%} \text{ man}_{0.6\%} \text{ appr}_{0.4\%} \,. \end{aligned}$



pred Predicative.


isa SYNCOMP Subtypes: predo preds.

[87] Related types: predo preds.

predo Object predicative.

isa pred Related types: preds.


[89] Confusion $^{29}_{6.9\%/69.4\%/6.9\%}$: preds $_{41.7\%}$ vobj $_{11.1\%}$ predo $_{6.9\%}$ inst $_{6.9\%}$ nobj $_{6.6\%}$ attr $_{5.8\%}$ dobj $_{5\%}$ pnct $_{4.3\%}$ relr $_{3.4\%}$ fpredo $_{3.4\%}$ conj $_{2.1\%}$ appa $_{1.1\%}$ coord $_{0.4\%}$ pobj $_{0.4\%}$ subj $_{0.3\%}$ numm $_{0.3\%}$.



preds Subject predicative.

isa pred Related types: predo.


[88] Confusion $_{66.3\%/88.7\%/66.3\%}^{513}$: preds $_{66.3\%}^{66.3\%}$ vobj $_{10.1\%}^{66.3\%}$ nobj $_{5.8\%}^{66.3\%}$ loc $_{2.6\%}^{66.3\%}$ predo $_{2.4\%}^{66.3\%}$ attr $_{2\%}^{66.3\%}$ dobj $_{1.5\%}^{66.3\%}$ proct $_{1.5\%}^{66.3\%}$ time $_{1.1\%}^{66.3\%}$ pobj $_{0.8\%}^{66.3\%}$ coord $_{0.5\%}^{66.3\%}$ acobj $_{0.4\%}^{66.3\%}$ scene $_{0.3\%}^{66.3\%}$ fpredo $_{0.2\%}^{66.3\%}$ other $_{0.2\%}^{66.3\%}$ numm $_{0.2\%}^{66.3\%}$ inst $_{0.2\%}^{66.3\%}$ resem $_{0.2\%}^{66.3\%}$ expl $_{0.2\%}^{66.3\%}$ possr $_{0.1\%}^{66.3\%}$ possr $_{0.1\%}^{66.3\%}$ relr $_{0.1\%}^{66.3\%}$ add $_{0.1\%}^{66.3\%}$ cond $_{0.1\%}^{66.3\%}$ agent $_{0.2\%}^{66.3\%}$ appr $_{0.2\%}^{66.3\%}$ possr $_{0.2\%}^{66.3\%}$ appr $_{0.2\%}^{66.3\%}$



qobj *Quotational object.* A phrase or discourse segment functioning as directly quoted speech, isa SYNCOMP typically by an attribution verb. Indirect speech is analyzed as "dobj" or "nobj".

[101] Related types: xpl.

 $Confusion_{71.3\%/71.3\%}^{75}: qobj_{71.3\%} conj_{7\%} coord_{6.8\%} \ discmark_{2.7\%} \ CONJ: add_{2\%} \ nobj_{1.4\%} \ CONJ: elab_{1.3\%} \ CONST: rest_{1.3\%} \ AGENTIVE: reas_{1.3\%} \ dobj_{1.2\%} \ pnct_{1\%} \ subj_{0.6\%} \ time_{0.5\%} \ vobj_{0.3\%} \ numm_{0.2\%} \ loc_{0.2\%} \ other_{0.2\%} \ resem_{0.2\%} \ pobj_{0.2\%} \ attr_{0.1\%} \ .$




robj Reflexive object.

isa SYNCOMP Related types: dobj.

[91] Confusion $^9_{11.1\%/100\%/11.1\%}$: dobj $_{66.7\%}$ iobj $_{22.2\%}$ robj $_{11.1\%}$.

He decided to walk.




subj Subject. A subject relation. In languages with case, subjects are usually nominative-marked.
 isa SYNCOMP Agent-roles are often encoded as subjects, but not necessarily so (eg, in passive constructions).

Subtypes: expl.

Related types: expl.

 $Confusion_{80.7\%/82.5\%/81.6\%}^{1433}: subj_{81.6\%} nobj_{5\%} pnct_{2.2\%} attr_{2.1\%} pobj_{1.2\%} vobj_{1\%} dobj_{0.8\%} conj_{0.7\%} coord_{0.7\%} preds_{0.6\%} expl_{0.4\%} time_{0.3\%} scene_{0.3\%} neg_{0.2\%} numm_{0.2\%} goal_{0.2\%} loc_{0.2\%} relr_{0.2\%} possd_{0.1\%} possr_{0.1\%} appa_{0.1\%} appr_{0.1\%} namef_{0.1\%} other_{0.1\%} name_{0.1\%} cond_{0.1\%} source_{0.1\%} prg_{0.1\%} avobj_{0.1\%} add_{0.1\%} correl_{0.1\%} man_{0.1\%} title_{0.1\%} quant_{0.1\%} agent_{0\%} resem_{0\%} epi_{0\%} accom_{0\%} concom_{0\%} cause_{0\%} CONJ:elab_{0\%} fpredo_{0\%} part_{0\%} conc_{0\%} focal_{0\%} exem_{0\%} qobj_{0\%} contr_{0\%} comp_{0\%} iobj_{0\%} iter_{0\%} inst_{0\%} event_{0\%} xpl_{0\%} predo_{0\%}.$



expl Expletive subject. An expletive subject relation. The expletive subject is typically a situational isa subj place adverbial like "there" or time adverbial like "now", and is only possible for verbs that support the expletive alternation. The expletive alternation applies to all verbs that do not have a direct object (this observation, due to Richard Hudson, can be used as a test to distinguish between direct and indirect objects in verbs that take a single object). The alternation creates a new lexicalization of the verb by demoting the original subject to the vacant direct object role (with the restriction that only indefinites are allowed in this direct object role), and letting the subject role be filled by a situational place or time adverbial.

Related types: subj.

Confusion $^{62}_{88.7\%/96.8\%/88.7\%}$: expl $_{88.7\%}$ subj $_{8.6\%}$ preds $_{1.6\%}$ time $_{0.5\%}$ pobj $_{0.5\%}$.



vobj Verbal object.

isa SYNCOMP Related types: "["\$PRIM"]".

[90] Confusion $_{75,3\%/85.1\%/76.5\%}^{1092}$: vobj $_{76.5\%}^{1092}$ nobj $_{5.7\%}^{1092}$ preds $_{4.7\%}^{1092}$ preds $_{2.5\%}^{1092}$ attr $_{2.2\%}^{1092}$ subj $_{1.3\%}^{1092}$ dobj $_{1.1\%}^{1092}$ coord $_{0.5\%}^{1092}$ relr $_{0.4\%}^{1092}$ possd $_{0.4\%}^{1092}$ numm $_{0.2\%}^{1092}$ predo $_{0.2\%}^{1092}$ time $_{0.2\%}^{1092}$ quant $_{0.2\%}^{1092}$ loc $_{0.2\%}^{1092}$ appa $_{0.2\%}^{1092}$ scene $_{0.2\%}^{1092}$ man $_{0.1\%}^{1092}$ iter $_{0.1\%}^{1092}$ name $_{0.1\%}^{1092}$ relr $_{0.1\%}^{1092}$ foredo $_{0.1\%}^{1092}$ resem $_{0\%}^{1092}$ focal $_{0\%}^{1092}$ add $_{0\%}^{1092}$ possr $_{0\%}^{1092}$ qobj $_{0\%}^{1092}$ cause $_{0\%}^{1092}$ concom $_{0\%}^{1092}$ appr $_{0\%}^{1092}$ resem $_{0\%}^{1092}$ focal $_{0\%}^{1092}$ add $_{0\%}^{1092}$ possr $_{0\%}^{1092}$ qobj $_{0\%}^{1092}$ cause $_{0\%}^{1092}$ cond $_{0\%}^{1092}$ appr $_{0\%}^{1092}$ agent $_{0\%}^{1092}$ fpredo $_{0\%}^{1092}$.



3.2 Non-adverbial adjunct relations: SYNADJ

SYNADJ *Syntactic adjunct.* An adjunct role at the syntactic level. This relation type is used to group isa ADJ SYN a large class of adjunct roles that only apply at the syntactic level.

Subtypes: ADVERB CDT1ADJ app attr attrg conj coord correl fpred gapd name pnct rel voc xtop.

ADVERB Adverbial. V/N/P->adverbial

isa SYNADJ Subtypes: agent cause conc concom cond cons event exem man neg other prg quant resem source space time.

app *Apposition.* An appositional relation between two phrases, typically NPs. The head of the isa SYNADJ first NP in the apposition is always analyzed as the head of the second NP.

[116] Subtypes: appa appr.
Related types: appa appr.

appa Parenthetic apposition (comma).


isa app Subtypes: xpl.

[117] Related types: appr xpl.

SYNADJ: syntactic adjunct ADVERB: adverbial app: apposition appa: parenthetic apposition (comma) xpl: explication appr: restrictive apposition (no comma) attrg: genitive attributive conj: conjunct relation coord: coordinator relation correl: correlative coordinator relation fpred: free predicative fpredo: free direct-object predicative fpreds: free subject predicative gapd: gapping dependent RuleGap: gapping dependent name: part of name namef: first name namel: last name title: person title pnct: punctuation rel: relative clause relelab: elaborating relative clause relpa: parenthetic relative clause relr: restrictive relative clause voc: vocative xtop: external topic with resuming pronoun

Figure 3.3: The relations matching SYNADJ-!CDT1-!ADVERB-TOPIC.


 $\begin{aligned} &\text{Confusion}_{48\%/49.1\%/54\%\%}^{50} : \text{ appa}_{54\%} \text{ nobj}_{10.8\%} \text{ pnct}_{5.5\%} \text{ subj}_{4.7\%} \text{ attr}_{4.6\%} \text{ dobj}_{4.3\%} \text{ vobj}_{3.8\%} \text{ pobj}_{2\%} \text{ conj}_{1.3\%} \text{ coord}_{1.2\%} \text{ appr}_{1.1\%} \text{ time}_{0.9\%} \text{ name}_{0.8\%} \text{ predo}_{0.7\%} \text{ inst}_{0.6\%} \text{ relr}_{0.5\%} \text{ avobj}_{0.5\%} \text{ man}_{0.5\%} \text{ numm}_{0.4\%} \text{ possr}_{0.3\%} \text{ quant}_{0.3\%} \text{ name}_{0.3\%} \text{ other}_{0.3\%} \text{ correl}_{0.2\%} \text{ preds}_{0.2\%} \text{ possd}_{0.2\%} .\end{aligned}$



xpl Explication. Explication of an NP or VP.

isa appa Related types: qobj.


 $[130] \ \ Confusion_{76.2\%/85.7\%/76.2\%}^{21} : \ xpl_{76.2\%} \ conj_{6.2\%} \ pobj_{5.7\%} \ other_{4.8\%} \ nobj_{3.8\%} \ subj_{1\%} \ vobj_{1\%} \ preds_{0.5\%} \ pnct_{0.5\%} \ title_{0.5\%} \ .$




appr Restrictive apposition (no comma).

isa app Related types: appa.

 $[118] \begin{array}{l} Confusion_{64\%/70.5\%/64\%\%}^{50}: appr_{64\%} \ nobj_{8\%} \ pnct_{4.9\%} \ subj_{4.3\%} \ attr_{3.4\%} \ pobj_{2.9\%} \ dobj_{2.6\%} \ title_{2\%} \ vobj_{1.6\%} \ coord_{1.2\%} \\ appa_{1.1\%} \ focal_{0.5\%} \ neg_{0.5\%} \ conc_{0.4\%} \ numm_{0.4\%} \ name_{0.3\%} \ add_{0.3\%} \ conj_{0.2\%} \ quant_{0.2\%} \ iter_{0.2\%} \ time_{0.2\%} \ possr_{0.2\%} \\ correl_{0.2\%} \ preds_{0.2\%} \ possd_{0.2\%} \ . \end{array}$




attrg Genitive attributive.
isa SYNADJ Related types: SEMROLE gobj.
[115]



conj Conjunct relation. A dependency relation relating the conjuncts in a coordination. Secondary isa SYNADJ conjuncts are analyzed as "conj"-dependents of the first conjunct. Coordinators are analyzed as dependents of the secondary conjuncts.

Related types: coord correl.


$$\begin{split} & \text{Confusion}_{73.7\%/75.5\%/76.1\%}^{690} : \text{conj}_{76.1\%} : \text{conj}_{76.1\%} \text{ nobj}_{5.4\%} \text{ attr}_{3.1\%} \text{ pnct}_{3\%} \text{ subj}_{1.6\%} \text{ CONJ} : \text{add}_{1.2\%} \text{ vobj}_{1.1\%} \text{ coord}_{1\%} \text{ pobj}_{0.9\%} \\ & \text{qobj}_{0.8\%} \text{ dobj}_{0.7\%} \text{ possd}_{0.3\%} \text{ preds}_{0.3\%} \text{ time}_{0.3\%} \text{ CONTR} : \text{sbj}_{0.3\%} \text{ CONTR} : \text{dir}_{0.3\%} \text{ numm}_{0.3\%} \text{ loc}_{0.2\%} \text{ part}_{0.2\%} \\ & \text{conc}_{0.2\%} \text{ scene}_{0.2\%} \text{ xpl}_{0.2\%} \text{ name}_{0.2\%} \text{ other}_{0.2\%} \text{ relr}_{0.1\%} \text{ cause}_{0.1\%} \text{ inst}_{0.1\%} \text{ avobj}_{0.1\%} \text{ CONST} : \text{rest}_{0.1\%} \text{ TELIC} : \text{cons.dir}_{0.1\%} \\ & \text{concom}_{0.1\%} \text{ possr}_{0.1\%} \text{ quant}_{0.1\%} \text{ namef}_{0.1\%} \text{ predo}_{0.1\%} \text{ exem}_{0.1\%} \text{ neg}_{0.1\%} \text{ title}_{0.1\%} \text{ appa}_{0.1\%} \text{ goal}_{0.1\%} \text{ agent}_{0.1\%} \\ & \text{focal}_{0\%} \text{ conrelo}_{0\%} \text{ prg}_{0\%} \text{ eval}_{0\%} \text{ comp}_{0\%} \text{ event}_{0\%} \text{ fpredo}_{0\%} \text{ aobj}_{0\%} \text{ man}_{0\%} \text{ appr}_{0\%} \text{ accom}_{0\%} \text{ add}_{0\%} \; . \end{aligned}$$




coord Coordinator relation. A dependency relation between a coordinating conjunction and a secisa SYNADJ ondary conjunct. The coordinator is analyzed as a dependent of the secondary conjunct.
 [107] Secondary conjuncts are in turn analyzed as "conj"-dependents of the first conjunct.

Related types: conj correl discmark.

 $Confusion_{70.3\%/76.3\%/71.4\%}^{525}: coord_{71.4\%} \ nobj_{6.5\%} \ discmark_{3.4\%} \ pnct_{3.3\%} \ attr_{2.4\%} \ subj_{1.8\%} \ pobj_{1.5\%} \ dobj_{1.5\%} \ conj_{1.3\%} \ vobj_{1.2\%} \ qobj_{1\%} \ time_{0.7\%} \ preds_{0.5\%} \ possd_{0.5\%} \ contr_{0.4\%} \ scene_{0.3\%} \ neg_{0.3\%} \ loc_{0.2\%} \ accom_{0.2\%} \ part_{0.2\%} \ numm_{0.2\%} \ appa_{0.1\%} \ other_{0.1\%} \ quant_{0.1\%} \ goal_{0.1\%} \ possr_{0.1\%} \ concom_{0.1\%} \ namef_{0.1\%} \ title_{0.1\%} \ fpredo_{0\%} \ inst_{0\%} \ eval_{0\%} \ relr_{0\%} \ conc_{0\%} \ focal_{0\%} \ add_{0\%} \ iter_{0\%} \ avobj_{0\%} \ predo_{0\%} \ prg_{0\%} \ source_{0\%} \ .$







correl Correlative coordinator relation.

isa SYNADJ Related types: conj coord.

[108] Confusion $^{11}_{45.5\%/64.5\%/45.5\%}$: correl $_{45.5\%}$ subj $_{10\%}$ add $_{9.1\%}$ focal $_{9.1\%}$ other $_{9.1\%}$ nobj $_{5\%}$ pnct $_{4.5\%}$ dobj $_{2.7\%}$ conj $_{2.3\%}$ appr $_{0.9\%}$ appa $_{0.9\%}$ pobj $_{0.9\%}$.





fpred *Free predicative.*

isa SYNADJ Subtypes: fpredo fpreds.


[111] Related types: fpredo fpreds.

V->free predicative

fpredo Free direct-object predicative.

isa fpred Related types: fpreds man.


[113] $Confusion_{0\%/36.4\%/0\%}^{11}$: $loc_{18.2\%}$ $preds_{11.4\%}$ $goal_{11.4\%}$ $nobj_{9.9\%}$ $predo_{9.1\%}$ $man_{9.1\%}$ $pnct_{8.1\%}$ $subj_{5.4\%}$ $time_{4.1\%}$ $attr_{3.6\%}$ $pobj_{3.5\%}$ $coord_{2.3\%}$ $cond_{1.8\%}$ $conj_{1.3\%}$ $vobj_{0.9\%}$.



fpreds Free subject predicative.


isa fpred Related types: fpredo.


[112] Confusion $^4_{0\%/75\%/0\%\%}$: man_{50%} nobj_{25%} vobj_{25%}.





gapd Gapping dependent (long: GAPPING, deprecated GAP). A relation between a gapping dependent isa SYNADJ in a secondary conjunct and the head of the first conjunct. In gapping coordinations, the sec-[23] ondary conjuncts have an elided head, so the remaining material in the secondary conjuncts is analyzed as gapping dependents of the head of the first conjunct instead. In Discontinuous Grammar, the first conjunct is assumed to generate a gapping filler for each gapping conjunct which encodes a copy of the entire tree associated with the first conjunct, and the gapping dependent is analyzed as a primary dependent of this gapping filler; any node within the copied tree may function as the primary governor of the gapping dependent, but the gapping filler always functions as the landing site for the gapping dependent, and the gapping dependent functions as an anaphoric element that must identify a phrase within the copied tree that it replaces, encoded with a "repl" relation.

Subtypes: CDT1GAP RuleGap.











RuleGap Gapping dependent (long: "<"PRIM(":"PRIM)*":"INTEGER">"). A gapping dependency relation is formed by using angled brackets to enclose a colon-separated list of primary relations followed by an integer that indicates the number of the gapped conjunct, starting with 1. The list of primary relations describes the path from the head of the gapped conjunct to the gapping dependent within the gapped conjunct, viewed as a copy of the tree structure within the first conjunct.



name Part of name. Part of a name.

isa SYNADJ Subtypes: namef namel title.

 $\begin{array}{l} \hbox{[124]} \ \ Confusion_{41.3\%/62.8\%/43.5\%}^{46} \colon name_{43.5\%} \ nobj_{24.9\%} \ attr_{8.5\%} \ vobj_{3.9\%} \ subj_{3.9\%} \ dobj_{2.7\%} \ conj_{2.4\%} \ pnct_{1.8\%} \ pobj_{1.4\%} \\ numm_{0.8\%} \ possr_{0.7\%} \ cause_{0.5\%} \ iter_{0.5\%} \ title_{0.5\%} \ other_{0.5\%} \ quant_{0.4\%} \ preds_{0.4\%} \ appr_{0.4\%} \ relr_{0.3\%} \ focal_{0.3\%} \ aobj_{0.3\%} \\ loc_{0.3\%} \ appa_{0.3\%} \ time_{0.2\%} \ part_{0.2\%} \ possd_{0.2\%} \ . \end{array}$



namef First name. A first name.


isa name Related types: namel title.



namel Last name. A second last name

isa name Related types: namef title.

[126] Confusion $^{8}_{100\%/100\%/100\%}$: namel $_{100\%}$.



title *Person title*. A title in a name. If the is the title is determinated by an article, eg. the director is a name Smith, the title must be annotated as "nobj" and the name as "appr".


[127] Related types: namef namel.

 $Confusion_{66.7\%/73\%/66.7\%\%}^{39}: title_{66.7\%} \ nobj_{13.4\%} \ subj_{3.4\%} \ appr_{2.6\%} \ dobj_{2.5\%} \ pnct_{2.3\%} \ attr_{2\%} \ conj_{1.7\%} \ vobj_{1.5\%} \ preds_{1\%} \ coord_{0.7\%} \ name_{0.6\%} \ neg_{0.3\%} \ possd_{0.3\%} \ agent_{0.3\%} \ numm_{0.3\%} \ pobj_{0.3\%} \ xpl_{0.3\%} \ .$



pnct Punctuation.

 $focal_{0.1\%} \ other_{0.1\%} \ loc_{0.1\%} \ scene_{0.1\%} \ contr_{0.1\%} \ epi_{0.1\%} \ resem_{0.1\%} \ accom_{0.1\%} \ name_{0.1\%} \ accom_{0.1\%} \ predo_{0\%} \ dir_{0\%} \ part_{0\%} \ goal_{0\%} \ title_{0\%} \ name_{0\%} \ aobj_{0\%} \ inst_{0\%} \ conc_{0\%} \ prg_{0\%} \ qobj_{0\%} \ cause_{0\%} \ fpredo_{0\%} \ eval_{0\%} \ add_{0\%} \ concom_{0\%} \ agent_{0\%} \ avobj_{0\%} \ correl_{0\%} \ cond_{0\%} \ iobj_{0\%} \ event_{0\%} \ xpl_{0\%} \ source_{0\%} \ .$



rel Relative clause. A relation between a relative clause and a relativized NP/VP. The finite verb is a SYNADJ in the relative clause is analyzed as a "rel" dependent of the head of the relativized NP/VP [119] (ie, the determiner if present, otherwise the noun). If there is a relative pronoun, it receives


an incoming "ref" arrow from the head of the relativized NP/VP; otherwise, the head of the


relativized NP/VP must function as a secondary dependent of some word within the relative clause (often the relative verb itself).

Subtypes: relelab relpa relr.

Related types: relelab relpa relr.

Confusion $_{3.8\%/94.9\%/3.8\%}^{79}$: relr_{88.6%} relelab_{5.1%} rel_{3.8%} relpa_{1.3%} vobj_{1.3%}.





relelab Elaborating relative clause. Ledsætning med sætningsantecedent i hovedsætning; da: hvilket,

isa rel it: il che, cosa che

[122] Related types: relpa relr.


Confusion $_{0\%/100\%/0\%\%}^{6}$: rel_{66.7%} relr_{33.3%}.



relpa Parenthetic relative clause (deprecated relp).

isa rel Related types: relelab relr.


[121] Confusion $^{17}_{29.4\%/100\%/29.4\%}$: rel $_{64.7\%}$ rel $_{29.4\%}$ rel $_{5.9\%}$.






relr Restrictive relative clause.

isa rel Related types: relelab relpa.




voc *Vocative.* Vocative specification. The person to whom the statement is directed. is a SYNADJ $Confusion^3_{100\%/100\%/100\%}$: $voc_{100\%}$.



xtop External topic with resuming pronoun. An external topic is a sentence-initial NP whose only isa SYNADJ function is to provide the antecedent for a pronoun later in the sentence. Eg in "John, he is a [123] nice person". Here "John" is the "xtop" of "is", and "he" is the subject of "is".

Related types: cons ref xtop. Confusion $^4_{100\%/100\%/100\%}$: xtop $_{100\%}$.



3.3 Adverbial adjunct relations: ADVERB

ADVERB Adverbial. V/N/P->adverbial isa SYNADJ Subtract agent cause concorned

Subtypes: agent cause conc concom cond cons event exem man neg other prg quant resem source space time.

[141]

ADVERB: adverbial
agent: agent adverbial
cause: causation adverbial
goal: goal adverbial
conc: concession adverbial
concom:
cond: condition adverbial
cons: consequence adverbial

event: Adverbial expressing an event

exem: example adverbial man: manner adverbial

accom: companionship adverbial inst: instrument adverbial neg: negation adverbial

other: other adverbial prg: pragmatic adverbial

discmark: sentence-initial discourse marker

epi: epistemic adverbial eval: evaluation adverbial focal: focalizer adverbial

scene: pragmatic condition and structural adverbial

add: additive adverbial contr: contrast adverbial elab: elaboration adverbial


quant: degree adverbial resem: comparison adverbial source: source attribution adverbial

space: space adverbial dir: direction adverbial loc: location adverbial time: time adverbial iter: habituality adverb

Figure 3.4: The relations matching ADVERB-!CDT1-TOPIC.

agent Agent adverbial. The passivized agent in passives.


isa ADVERB $Confusion_{0\%/100\%/0\%\%}^5$: AGENT:MC_{20%} CONST_{20%} ABOUT_{20%} AGENT_{20%} ARG_{20%} . [170]



cause Causation adverbial. Causation adverbial. Describes why the event occurred.

isa ADVERB Subtypes: goal.


 $\begin{array}{ll} \left[160\right] & \text{Confusion}_{73.1\%/80.8\%/80.8\%}^{52} \\ & \text{iter}_{0.5\%} & \text{nobj}_{0.5\%} & \text{cause}_{80.8\%} & \text{attr}_{5.8\%} & \text{conj}_{1.9\%} & \text{time}_{1.9\%} & \text{cons}_{1.9\%} & \text{pobj}_{1.9\%} & \text{subj}_{1\%} & \text{dobj}_{1\%} & \text{other}_{1\%} & \text{pnct}_{1\%} \\ & \text{iter}_{0.5\%} & \text{nobj}_{0.5\%} & \text{name}_{0.5\%} & \text{vobj}_{0.5\%} \\ \end{array}$



goal *Goal adverbial* (deprecated ben). Describes the intended goal of the event/action. Also used in isa cause connection with free datives.


[161] Related types: reas.

 $Confusion_{25\%/64.3\%/30\%\%}^{60} : goal_{30\%} pobj_{23.7\%} nobj_{9\%} \ attr_{8.8\%} \ subj_{5\%} \ dobj_{3.7\%} \ scene_{3.3\%} \ man_{3.3\%} \ pnct_{2.2\%} \ fpredo_{2.1\%} \\ other_{1.9\%} \ vobj_{1.6\%} \ preds_{1.2\%} \ time_{0.8\%} \ coord_{0.8\%} \ accom_{0.8\%} \ conj_{0.7\%} \ numm_{0.4\%} \ namef_{0.2\%} \ exem_{0.2\%} \ .$



conc *Concession adverbial.* Describes the concession of the event/action.


 $\begin{array}{ll} isa\; ADVERB & Confusion_{25\%/60\%/25\%}^{20} \colon conc_{25\%} \; contr_{15\%} \; prg_{10\%} \; other_{10\%} \; pobj_{7.1\%} \; nobj_{7\%} \; conj_{6.2\%} \; attr_{6.2\%} \; pnct_{5\%} \; dobj_{2.9\%} \; subj_{2.2\%} \\ & [164] \qquad possd_{1.4\%} \; appr_{1\%} \; coord_{0.8\%} \; . \end{array}$



concom . Gerunds in Romance

isa ADVERB Related types: vobj.


The agressor fled and/but got caught hours later.



cond *Condition adverbial.* Describes the condition of the event/action.

isa ADVERB Related types: pcond.

 $\begin{array}{l} \hbox{[163] Confusion}_{69.4\%/78.2\%/75\%}^{36} \hbox{:} \quad cond}_{75\%} \ nobj_{7.1\%} \ time_{4.7\%} \ subj_{3.7\%} \ man_{2.8\%} \ preds_{0.9\%} \ dobj_{0.9\%} \ conj_{0.7\%} \ possd_{0.7\%} \\ numm_{0.7\%} \ vobj_{0.7\%} \ attr_{0.6\%} \ fpredo_{0.6\%} \ other_{0.5\%} \ pnct_{0.5\%} \ . \end{array}$



cons *Consequence adverbial.* Describes the consequence of the event/action.

isa ADVERB Related types: xtop.

[162] $Confusion_{50\%/85.7\%/64.3\%}^{14}$: $cons_{64.3\%}$ time_{21.4%} inst_{7.1%} cause_{7.1%} .


event *Adverbial expressing an event.* Used when the adverbial in questions expresses an event isa ADVERB rather that time or place.

 $[156] \quad \text{Confusion}_{0\%/50\%/0\%\%}^{6} : \\ \text{time}_{33.3\%} \; \text{loc}_{33.3\%} \; \text{nobj}_{15\%} \; \\ \text{attr}_{5\%} \; \text{conj}_{2.8\%} \; \\ \text{scene}_{2.8\%} \; \\ \text{possd}_{2.8\%} \; \\ \text{subj}_{1.7\%} \; \\ \text{pnct}_{1.7\%} \; \\ \text{quant}_{1.7\%} \; \\ \text{proposition}_{1.7\%} \;$

I andet sæt vandt han 15-6 He told us last Wednesday at the meeting 0 1 2 3 4 5 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

exem *Example adverbial* (long: exemplification, deprecated ex). Exemplification; subordinated the object is a ADVERB which is added to a list.


 $\begin{array}{ll} \hbox{[167]} & \hbox{Confusion}_{58.8\%/64.7\%/76.5\%}^{17} \\ \hbox{$=$ $central confusion}_{58.8\%/64.7\%/76.5\%}^{17} \\ \hbox{$=$ $central confusion}_{0.8\%}^{17} \\ \hbox{$=$ $centra$



man Manner adverbial. The way things are done

isa ADVERB Subtypes: accom inst.


[157] Related types: fpredo.



accom Companionship adverbial (deprecated comp). Companionship

isa man Related types: man.


[158] Confusion $^{1}_{0\%/0\%/0\%\%}$: conj $_{40\%}$ nobj $_{40\%}$ subj $_{20\%}$.



inst Instrument adverbial. Instrument/means


isa man Related types: man.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \hbox{[159]} & \hbox{Confusion}_{29\%/75.1\%/32.3\%}^{31} \hbox{:} \ \hbox{inst}_{32.3\%} \ \hbox{man}_{9.7\%} \ \hbox{loc}_{9.7\%} \ \hbox{pobj}_{7.4\%} \ \hbox{predo}_{6.5\%} \ \hbox{possd}_{4.4\%} \ \hbox{attr}_{4.2\%} \ \hbox{pnct}_{3.6\%} \ \hbox{conj}_{3.2\%} \ \hbox{concord}_{1.6\%} \ \hbox{appa}_{0.9\%} \ \hbox{time}_{0.7\%} \ \hbox{subj}_{0.4\%} \ \hbox{dobj}_{0.3\%} \ . \end{array}$




neg Negation adverbial. Negation of a verbal

 $\begin{array}{lll} isa\; ADVERB & Confusion_{76.3\%/86.9\%/77.8\%}^{130}: neg_{77.8\%}\; nobj_{5\%}\; subj_{2.5\%}\; pnct_{2.2\%}\; dobj_{2\%}\; attr_{1.9\%}\; pobj_{1.3\%}\; coord_{1.2\%}\; time_{0.9\%}\; add_{0.9\%}\\ & [171] & vobj_{0.9\%}\; eval_{0.8\%}\; preds_{0.4\%}\; scene_{0.3\%}\; relr_{0.3\%}\; conj_{0.3\%}\; possr_{0.3\%}\; accom_{0.3\%}\; possd_{0.2\%}\; appr_{0.2\%}\; other_{0.1\%}\; agent_{0.1\%}\\ & title_{0.1\%}\;. \end{array}$



It's never a problem.




She never lied



other Other adverbial. Unspecified adverbial relation.

 $isa~ADVERB~Confusion_{18.7\%/80\%/18.7\%\%}^{139}: other_{18.7\%}~attr_{14.3\%}\\ loc_{8.7\%}~pobj_{8.4\%}\\ nobj_{5.2\%}~add_{5\%}\\ prg_{3.6\%}\\ avobj_{2.9\%}\\ quant_{2.9\%}\\ man_{2.9\%}\\ nobj_{5.2\%}\\ add_{5\%}\\ prg_{3.6\%}\\ avobj_{2.9\%}\\ quant_{2.9\%}\\ man_{2.9\%}\\ nobj_{5.2\%}\\ add_{5\%}\\ prg_{3.6\%}\\ avobj_{2.9\%}\\ nobj_{5.2\%}\\ add_{5\%}\\ prg_{3.6\%}\\ avobj_{2.9\%}\\ nobj_{5.2\%}\\ nobj_{5.$ [172] $focal_{2.3\%} \ pnct_{2.1\%} \ time_{1.7\%} \ iter_{1.4\%} \ epi_{1.4\%} \ source_{1.4\%} \ dir_{1.4\%} \ eval_{1.4\%} \ conc_{1.4\%} \ dobj_{1.3\%} \ subj_{1.1\%} \ preds_{0.8\%}$ $goal_{0.8\%} \ part_{0.8\%} \ conj_{0.8\%} \ resem_{0.7\%} \ xpl_{0.7\%} \ contr_{0.7\%} \ correl_{0.7\%} \ scene_{0.7\%} \ accom_{0.7\%} \ coord_{0.4\%} \ vobj_{0.4\%} \ vobj_{0.4\%} \ correl_{0.7\%} \ scene_{0.7\%} \ accom_{0.7\%} \ coord_{0.4\%} \ vobj_{0.4\%} \ vob$ $possd_{0.4\%} \ cause_{0.4\%} \ exem_{0.4\%} \ name_{0.2\%} \ numm_{0.2\%} \ cond_{0.1\%} \ qobj_{0.1\%} \ appa_{0.1\%} \ neg_{0.1\%} \ .$



prg Pragmatic adverbial (long: pragmatic). Sentence level.

isa ADVERB Subtypes: discmark epi eval focal scene.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline 142] & Confusion $^{34}_{11.8\%/80.3\%/11.8\%}$: eval$_{20.6\%}$ other$_{14.7\%}$ prg$_{11.8\%}$ time$_{5.9\%}$ quant$_{5.9\%}$ conc$_{5.9\%}$ nobj$_{4.6\%}$ attr$_{4.2\%}$ subj$_{3.6\%}$ attr$_{4.2\%}$ subj$_{4.6\%}$ subj$_{4.6\%}$ subj$_{4.6\%}$ attr$_{4.2\%}$ subj$_{4.6\%}$ subj$_{4.6\%$ $add_{2.9\%} \ contr_{2.9\%} \ elab_{2.9\%} \ man_{2.9\%} \ pnct_{2.2\%} \ preds_{2.1\%} \ vobj_{2\%} \ pobj_{1.9\%} \ conj_{1\%} \ relr_{1\%} \ coord_{0.6\%} \ numm_{0.3\%} \ .$


discmark Sentence-initial discourse marker (long: discoursemarker). Discourse marker

isa prg Related types: coord.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} [147] & Confusion $^{32}_{15.6\%/90.6\%/15.6\%\%}$: $coord_{56.3\%}$ discmark_{15.6\%}$ contr_{12.5\%}$ add_{9.4\%}$ qobj_{6.3\%}$. \end{tabular}$

And I'm telling you...


But I'm telling you...



epi Epistemic adverbial (long: epistemic). Regarding the level of truth in the expression


isa prg Related types: eval.

 $[145] \ \ Confusion_{33.3\%/62.9\%/38.1\%}^{21} : epi_{38.1\%} \ nobj_{15.2\%} \ man_{10.7\%} \ other_{9.5\%} \ eval_{9.5\%} \ pnct_{5.7\%} \ subj_{4.4\%} \ relr_{1.6\%} \ part_{1.2\%}$ $pobj_{1.2\%}$ attr_{1\%} quant_{1\%} vobj_{1\%}.



eval *Evaluation adverbial* (long: evaluation, deprecated evalatt). Evaluating and attitude adverbials is a prg Related types: epi.

[146] Confusion $^1_{0\%/100\%/0\%\%}$: EVAL $^100\%$.



focal Focalizer adverbial (long: focalizator). Focalization of a noun

isa prg Related types: quant.

[143] $Confusion_{35\%/52.6\%/47.5\%}^{40}$: $focal_{47.5\%}$ attr_{13.1%} other_{7.9%} pnct_{6%} $loc_{5\%}$ nobj_{3.6%} aobj_{2.9%} correl_{2.5%} eval_{2.5%} pobj_{2.3%} subj_{1.3%} dobj_{1%} vobj_{0.9%} conj_{0.8%} numm_{0.7%} possd_{0.6%} appr_{0.6%} name_{0.4%} coord_{0.3%}.




scene *Pragmatic condition and structural adverbial* (deprecated prgcondpcondbgstruct). Setting the isa prg scene

[144] Subtypes: add contr elab.


Related types: cond.

 $Confusion_{31.5\%/56.8\%/33.5\%}^{54}: scene_{33.5\%} \ attr_{8.9\%} \ subj_{7.1\%} \ nobj_{5.2\%} \ add_{3.7\%} \ contr_{3.7\%} \ goal_{3.7\%} \ loc_{3.7\%} \ coord_{3.3\%} \\ pnct_{3.2\%} \ vobj_{3.1\%} \ preds_{2.5\%} \ time_{2.3\%} \ conj_{2\%} \ part_{1.9\%} \ man_{1.9\%} \ other_{1.9\%} \ inst_{1.9\%} \ dobj_{1.5\%} \ possd_{1.2\%} \ namef_{0.8\%} \\ neg_{0.8\%} \ relr_{0.6\%} \ pobj_{0.5\%} \ iter_{0.5\%} \ agent_{0.5\%} \ event_{0.3\%} \ .$



add Additive adverbial (long: additive). Additive information


isa scene Confusion $_{66.7\%/90.3\%/66.7\%}^{66}$: add $_{66.7\%}^{66}$ other $_{10.6\%}$ discmark $_{4.5\%}$ scene $_{3\%}$ subj $_{2\%}$ neg $_{1.7\%}$ prg $_{1.5\%}$ correl $_{1.5\%}$ quant $_{1.5\%}$ dobj $_{1.2\%}$ pobj $_{0.9\%}$ vobj $_{0.9\%}$ port $_{0.9\%}$ nobj $_{0.8\%}$ attr $_{0.7\%}$ preds $_{0.5\%}$ possd $_{0.3\%}$ coord $_{0.2\%}$ appr $_{0.2\%}$ conj $_{0.2\%}$ time $_{0.2\%}$



contr Contrast adverbial (long: contrast). Opposition


isa scene Related types: struct.

[148] $Confusion_{34.6\%/84.6\%/34.6\%}^{26}$: $contr_{34.6\%}$ discmark_{15.4%} $conc_{11.5\%}$ scene_{7.7%} $coord_{7.7\%}$ nobj_{5.8%} pnct_{4.8%} prg_{3.8%} other_{3.8%} dobj_{1.9%} relr_{1%} attr_{1%} subj_{1%}.




elab Elaboration adverbial (long: elaboration). More detailed description

isa scene Confusion $^4_{50\%/75\%/50\%\%}$: elab $_{50\%}$ prg $_{25\%}$ quant $_{25\%}$. [149]




 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{quant} & \textit{Degree adverbial} \text{ (long: quantification, deprecated degr)}. \text{ Modifies the object or verbal by degree} \\ \text{is a ADVERB} & \text{Related types: focal.} \end{array}$




resem Comparison adverbial (deprecated comparecomp). Comparison

 $\begin{array}{ll} isa\; ADVERB & Confusion_{15.4\%/19.8\%/30.8\%}^{13} : resem_{30.8\%}\; pnct_{9.9\%}\; numm_{8.8\%}\; preds_{7.7\%}\; man_{7.7\%}\; other_{7.7\%}\; nobj_{6.3\%}\; dobj_{4.8\%}\; subj_{4.8\%}\\ [165] & \;\; attr_{3.8\%}\; vobj_{3\%}\; possr_{2.6\%}\; time_{1.1\%}\; qobj_{1.1\%}\;. \end{array}$



source Source attribution adverbial. Reference/source

 $\begin{array}{lll} isa\; ADVERB & Confusion_{28.6\%/78.6\%/28.6\%}^{14} \colon source_{28.6\%}\; pobj_{22.1\%}\; other_{14.3\%}\; subj_{10.7\%}\; concom_{7.1\%}\; man_{7.1\%}\; attr_{4.6\%}\; exem_{1.8\%}\\ & [166] & pnct_{1.4\%}\; nobj_{0.7\%}\; dobj_{0.7\%}\; coord_{0.7\%}\;. \end{array}$



space Space adverbial. Space adverbials


isa ADVERB Subtypes: dir loc.

[153]

dir Direction adverbial. Movement from one place to another; direction

isa space Related types: loc.

 $[155] \quad Confusion_{40\%/94.7\%/40\%}^{75}: dir_{40\%} \\ loc_{38.7\%} \\ pobj_{12\%} \\ other_{2.7\%} \\ part_{1.3\%} \\ attr_{1.3\%} \\ dobj_{1.3\%} \\ man_{1.3\%} \\ pnct_{1.3\%} \\ .$



loc Location adverbial. Location

isa space Related types: dir.

[154] $Confusion_{0\%/100\%/0\%\%}^3$: $LOC_{66.7\%}$ MOD:qual_{33.3%}.





time Time adverbial. Time relating adverbials

isa ADVERB Subtypes: iter.

[151] $Confusion_{0\%/100\%/0\%\%}^{3}$: TIME:MC_{100%}.


She never lied





 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{iter} & \textit{Habituality adverb} \ (\textbf{deprecated hab}). \ \textbf{Habitual}; \ \textbf{repeated habit} \\ \textbf{is a time} & \textbf{Related types: dur ext.} \\ \end{tabular}$

[152] $Confusion_{16\%/69\%/20\%}^{25}$: $time_{48\%}$ $iter_{20\%}$ other_{8%} $vobj_{6\%}$ $attr_{4\%}$ $eval_{4\%}$ $nobj_{1.9\%}$ $dobj_{1.4\%}$ $relr_{1\%}$ $cause_{1\%}$ $name_{1\%}$ $scene_{1\%}$ $pobj_{0.9\%}$ $coord_{0.4\%}$ $quant_{0.4\%}$ $subj_{0.4\%}$ $appr_{0.4\%}$.



Chapter 4

Morphological relations: MORPH

MORPH: morphology level

MORPHCOMP: compositional semantic relations MORPHDERIV: derivational semantic relations RuleMorph: syntactic morphlogy relation

Figure 4.1: The relations matching MORPH-!CDT1-!MORPHCOMP-!MORPHDERIV-TOPIC.

MORPH Morphology level (long: MORPHOLOGY). The morphological level includes relations between isa DIM:LEVEL two word segments within a single word, as well as lexical features associated with morphemes.

Subtypes: MORPHCOMP MORPHDERIV RuleMorph.

MORPHCOMP Compositional semantic relations. A semantic relation is created between two (or more) isa MORPH elements which could potentially be used as stems. (A compound contains at least two roots.) [272]

Subtypes: _ABOUT _AGENT:MC _CONST _DOBJ.patient _EVAL _FUNC _GOAL _LOC _OTHER _POSS _RESEM _SOURCE _TIME:MC.

MORPHDERIV Derivational semantic relations. A semantic relation is created between a base and an affix isa MORPH Subtypes: PREFIX SUFFIX.

RuleMorph Syntactic morphlogy relation (long: "_"(PRIM)). A primary syntactic relation that has been is a MORPH RULE used as a morphology relation for stilistic purposes.

[372]

4.1 Compositional relations: MORPHCOMP

MORPHCOMP Compositional semantic relations. A semantic relation is created between two (or more) isa MORPH elements which could potentially be used as stems. (A compound contains at least two roots.)
[272]

Subtypes: _ABOUT _AGENT:MC _CONST _DOBJ.patient _EVAL _FUNC _GOAL _LOC _OTHER _POSS _RESEM _SOURCE _TIME:MC.

_ABOUT Noun-noun compound (about). Non-head has an aboutness meaning wrt. head.

isa MORPHCOMP [359]

```
MORPHCOMP: compositional semantic relations
                           ABOUT: noun-noun compound (about)
                           _AGENT:MC: noun-noun compound (agentive)
                           _CONST: noun-noun compound (constitutive)
                           DOBJ.patient:
                           EVAL: noun-noun compound (evaluative)
                           _FUNC: noun-noun compound (function)
                           _GOAL: noun-noun compound (goal)
                           _LOC: noun-noun compound (position)
                           OTHER: noun-noun compound (other)
                           _POSS: noun-noun compound (possession)
                           _RESEM: noun-noun compound (resemblance)
                           _SOURCE: noun-noun compound (origin)
                           TIME:MC: noun-noun compound (time)
                              Figure 4.2: The relations matching MORPHCOMP-!CDT1-TOPIC.
                                     (theme: skattelov 'tax law' = lov -[skat]te/ABOUT)

0 1 2 3 4 5 6
   _AGENT:MC Noun-noun compound (agentive). Non-head has an agentive meaning wrt. head.
isa MORPHCOMP
            [350]
                               (agent: politikontrol 'police control' = kontrol -politi/AGENT)

0 1 2 3 4 5 6
        CONST Noun-noun compound (constitutive). Non-head has a constitutive meaning wrt. head.
isa MORPHCOMP
           [349]
                                 (constitutive: træbord 'wooden table' = bord -træ/CONST) 0 1 2 3 4 5 6
 _DOBJ.patient .
isa MORPHCOMP
          EVAI Noun-noun compound (evaluative). Non-head has an evaluative meaning wrt. head.
isa MORPHCOMP
           [357]
                                                  coche de lujo 'luksusbil' 0 1 2 3
         _FUNC Noun-noun compound (function). Non-head has a functional/instrumental meaning wrt.
isa MORPHCOMP head.
           [352]
                                    (function: vindmølle 'wind mill' =mølle -vind/FUNC)
         _GOAL Noun-noun compound (goal).
isa MORPHCOMP
            [353]
                                     (goal: krigsskib 'war ship' = skib -[krig]s/GOAL)

0 1 2 3 4 5 6
```

LOC Noun-noun compound (position). Non-head has a locative meaning wrt. head. isa MORPHCOMP

[355] (position: loftlampe 'ceiling lamp' = lampe -loft/POS) 0 1 2 3 4 5 6

OTHER Noun-noun compound (other). If in doubt about the meaning relation between head and isa MORPHCOMP non-head.

[360]

POSS Noun-noun compound (possession). Non-head has a possessive meaning wrt. head.

isa MORPHCOMP

[354] (possession: politibil = bil-politi/POSS

RESEM Noun-noun compound (resemblance). Denotations of head and non-head resemble each isa MORPHCOMP other.

[358]

silla de tijeras 'saksestol' [klapstol], válvula de mariposa 'sommerfugleventil' $0 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ 6 \ 7 \ 8$

SOURCE Noun-noun compound (origin). Non-head has a meaning of origin wrt. head.

isa MORPHCOMP

[351] (origin: rørsukker 'cane sugar' = sukker -rør/ORIGIN) 0 1 2 3 4 5 6

TIME:MC Noun-noun compound (time). Non-head has a temporal meaning wrt. head. isa MORPHCOMP

[356]

(time: oktoberregn 'October rain' = regn - oktober/TIME) 2 3 4 5

Derivational relations: MORPHDERIV 4.2

MORPHDERIV: derivational semantic relations

PREFIX: semantic relations appearing with prefixes SUFFIX: semantic relations appearing with suffixes

Figure 4.3: The relations matching MORPHDERIV-!CDT1-!PREFIX-!SUFFIX-TOPIC.

MORPHDERIV Derivational semantic relations. A semantic relation is created between a base and an affix

isa MORPH Subtypes: PREFIX SUFFIX. [271]

PREFIX Semantic relations appearing with prefixes. A semantic relation is created between a base isa MORPHDERIV and a prefix.

[274] Subtypes: _AGENT _ITER _MOD _NEG _PRE:other _SPACE _TELIC _TIME _TRANS.

SUFFIX Semantic relations appearing with suffixes. A semantic relation is created between a base isa MORPHDERIV and a suffix.

Subtypes: AUG DENUM DER DERan:qual DERna DERnn DERv DIMIN PEJ.

```
PREFIX: semantic relations appearing with prefixes
   _AGENT: agentive
   _ITER: iteration
   MOD: modification
      MOD:eval: evaluation
      MOD:qual: qualification
      _MOD:quant: quantification
   _NEG: negation
      _NEG:contr: contrast
      _NEG:priv: privation
       NEG:rev: reversion
   PRE:other: other prefix relation
   _SPACE: space
      SPACE:dir: direction
      _SPACE:loc: location
      _SPACE:source: source
   TELIC: telic
   TIME: time
       _TIME:post: temporal succession
       _TIME:pre: temporal precedence
   _TRANS: transitivity
```

Figure 4.4: The relations matching PREFIX-!CDT1-TOPIC.

```
4.2.1
                         Prefix relations: PREFIX
        PREFIX Semantic relations appearing with prefixes. A semantic relation is created between a base
isa MORPHDERIV and a prefix.
                 Subtypes: AGENT ITER MOD NEG PRE:other SPACE TELIC TIME TRANS.
       AGENT Agentive (deprecated ASPEC:cause+reflex). Prefix conveys agentive action.
      isa PREFIX
           [290]
                                     (causative: acallar 'silence' = callar -a/AGENT)
                                                         2 3 4
          ITER Iteration (deprecated ASPEC:iter). Prefix conveys iteration.
      isa PREFIX
           [289]
                                         (iterative: redefine = define -re/ITER)
                                                     1 2 3
         MOD Modification. Prefix conveys modification in a broad sense.
      isa PREFIX Subtypes: _MOD:eval _MOD:qual _MOD:quant.
     _MOD:eval Evaluation (deprecated MOD:man). Prefix conveys evaluation
       isa _MOD
           [295]
                                    (manner: maleducado = educado -mal/MOD:eval)
                                              1 2 3
    MOD:qual Qualification (deprecated MOD:qual+MOD:rel+GRAD:qual). Prefix conveys qualification.
```

isa _MOD [296]

```
2
MOD:quant Quantification (deprecated MOD:cuant+GRAD:size). Prefix conveys quantification.
    isa MOD
         [294]
                                (quantification: multicultural = cultural -multi/MOD:quant)
        NEG Negation. Prefix conveys negation in a broad sense.
   isa PREFIX Subtypes: _NEG:contr _NEG:priv _NEG:rev.
 _NEG:contr Contrast (deprecated NEG:oppo). Prefix conveys contrast.
     isa _NEG
         [286]
                                        (opposition: antihero = hero -anti/NEG:contr)
                                             0 1 2 3
  NEG:priv Privation. Prefix conveys privation.
     isa_NEG
         [287]
                                           (privation: desalt = salt -de/NEG:priv)

0 1 2 3 4
   _NEG:rev Reversion (deprecated ASPEC:rev). Prefix conveys reversion.
     isa _NEG
         [288]
                                        (reversion: deactivate = activate -de/NEG:rev)
                                                               2
  PRE:other Other prefix relation. If in doubt about the meaning conveyed by the prefix
   isa PREFIX
      _SPACE Space (deprecated LOC). Prefix expresses space in a broad sense.
   isa PREFIX Subtypes: _SPACE:dir _SPACE:loc _SPACE:source.
         [277] Confusion_{0\%/100\%/0\%\%}^2: loc_{100\%}.
 _SPACE:dir Direction (deprecated LOC:dir). Prefix expresses direction.
   isa\_SPACE \ \ Confusion_{16.7\%/100\%/16.7\%}^{6} : -_{50\%} \ \ \mathsf{SPACE} : \mathsf{source}_{16.7\%} \ \ \mathsf{TELIC}_{16.7\%} \ \ \mathsf{LOC} : dir_{16.7\%} \ .
         [279]
                                    (direction/origin: deverbal = verbal -de/SPACE:dir)
                                                1 2 3
 _SPACE:loc Location (deprecated LOC:pos). Prefix expresses location.
   isa_SPACE
         [278]
                                      (position: intramural = mural -intra/SPACE:pos)
```

_SPACE:source Source (deprecated LOC:proce). Prefix conveys source.

isa _SPACE [280]

(qualification: paleochristian = christian -paleo/MOD:qual)

40

(origin: extraer: = traer -ex/SPACE:source) 0 1 2 3 4

4.2.2 Suffix relations: SUFFIX

SUFFIX Semantic relations appearing with suffixes. A semantic relation is created between a base is a MORPHDERIV and a suffix.

[275] Subtypes: _AUG _DENUM _DER _DERan:qual _DERna _DERnn _DERv _DIMIN _PEJ.

_AUG Augmentation. Suffix conveys augmentation. isa SUFFIX

a SUFFIX [298]

(augmentative: perrazo 'big dog' = perro +azo/AUG) 0 1 2 3 4 5 6

_DENUM *Adjective-numeral derivation*. Suffix creates denumeral adjectives in a broad sense.

_DENUM:apart Adjective-partitive derivation (deprecated DENUM:part). Suffix creates partitive numerals. isa _DENUM

[347] "kardinal=doce – partitiv=doceavo" 'tolv/tolvtedel' 0 1 2 3

isa DENUM [346] "kardinal=dos – ordinal=segundo" 'to/anden' 0 1 2 3 **DENUM:**quant Adjective-multiplicative derivation. Suffix creates multiplicative numerals. isa DENUM [348] "kardinal=cinco - multiplikativ=quíntuplo" 'fem/femdobbelte' **DER** *Verb derivation.* Suffix triggers a derivation isa SUFFIX Subtypes: _DERadvv _DERav _DERnv _DERva _DERvn _DERvv. **DERadvy** Adverb-verb derivation. Suffix triggers a derivation from an adverb to a verb isa _DER _DHRAN Adjective-verb derivation (deprecated §DER:av). Suffix triggers a derivation from an adjective isa _DER to a verb. [303] (adjective->verb derivation: darken = dark+en/§DERav) _DERnv Noun-verb derivation (deprecated §DER:nvPRED). Suffix triggers a derivation from a noun to a isa _DER verb. [302] (noun->verb derivation: salar 'to salt' = sal +ar/§DERnv) 1 2 3 4 5 6 _DERva Verb-adjective derivation (deprecated §DERV). Suffix creates deverbal adjectives in a broad isa _DER sense. [326] Subtypes: _DERva:act _DERva:pas. **DERva:** act Verb-adjective derivation (pure) (deprecated DEVERB:act.pure). Suffix creates active adjectives isa _DERva with the meaning aspect "pure". [327] Subtypes: _DERva:act.disp _DERva:act.epi. "que V" (conmovedor – "que conmueve" 'gribende/der griber') $0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 7$ _DERva:act.disp Verb-adjective derivation (disposition) (deprecated DEVERB:act.disp). Suffix creates active adisa _DERva:act jectives with the meaning aspect "disposition". [328] "que suele V, que tiende a V" (adulón – "que suele adular, que tiende a adular" 'smigre/som plejer eller 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15

_DENUM:ord Adjective-ordinal derivation. Suffix creates ordinals.

har tendens til at være krybende 19 20 21 22 23 24

isa _DERva:act jectives with the meaning aspect "potentiality". [329] "que puede V" (móvil – que puede moverse 'bevægelig/der kan bevæge sig) 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 _DERva:pas Verb-adjective derivation (passive) (deprecated DEVERB:pas). Suffix creates passive adjectives. isa _DERva Subtypes: _DERva:pas.deon _DERva:pas.epi _DERva:pas.part. _DERva:pas.deon Verb-adjective derivation (passive deontic) (deprecated DEVERB:pas.deon). Suffix creates passive isa _DERva:pas adjectives with a deontic meaning. [333] "Que debe $\{ser\ PP/Vse\}$ (abominable – "que debe ser abominado/que debe abominarse" áfskyelig/som må 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 forkastes) 14 _DERva:pas.epi Verb-adjective derivation (passive potentiality) (deprecated DEVERB:pas.poten). Suffix creates isa _DERva:pas passive adjectives with the meaning aspect "potentiality". [332] "que puede $\{ser\ PP/Vse\}$ " (transportable – "máquina que puede $\{ser\ transportada/transportarse\}$ 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 'transportabel/maskine som kan blive transporteret/transporteres 12 13 14 _DERva:pas.part Verb-adjective derivation (passive participles) (deprecated DEVERB:pas.part). Suffix creates pasisa _DERva:pas sive adjectives with the form of participles. [331] "que {ha sido/está/es} PP" (comprado - "hombre que {ha sido/está/es} comprado 'mand som er 3 4 5 6 blevet/er/bliver købt"


_DERva:act.epi Verb-adjective derivation (potentiality) (deprecated DEVERB:act.poten). Suffix creates active ad-

_DERvn Verb-noun derivation (deprecated PREDDEVERBN). Suffix creates deverbal nouns in a broad isa _DER sense.

[306] Subtypes: _DERvn:agent _DERvn:core _DERvn:exper _DERvn:loc _DERvn:other _DERvn:patient _DERvn:recip.

_**DERvn:agent** *Verb-noun derivation (agent)* (deprecated PRED:agentPRED:inst). Suffix creates deverbal nouns isa _DERvn absorbing the agent role.

[307]



_**DERvn:core** *Verb-noun derivation (core)* (deprecated PRED:core). Suffix creates deverbal nouns expressing a isa _DERvn nominalized version of the situation denoted by the original verb. [309]

_**DERvn:exper** *Verb-noun derivation (experiencer)* (deprecated PRED:exper). Suffix creates deverbal nouns abisa _DERvn sorbing the experiencer role.

[308]

_**DERvn:loc** *Verb-noun derivation (location)* (deprecated PRED:loc). Suffix creates deverbal nouns expressing isa _DERvn the location related to the meaning of the original noun.


[312]

_**DERvn:other** *Verb-noun derivation (other)* (deprecated PRED:other). If in doubt about the meaning conveyed isa _DERvn by the suffix

_DERvn:patient Verb-noun derivation (patient) (deprecated PRED:result). Suffix creates deverbal nouns absorbisa _DERvn ing the patient role.

[310]

_**DERvn:recip** *Verb-noun derivation (recipient)* (deprecated PRED:recip). Suffix creates deverbal nouns absorbisa _DERvn ing the recipient role [311]



45

DERna:poss Noun-adjective derivation (possession) (deprecated DENOM:poss). Suffix creates denominal ad-

isa _DERna jectives that express possession.

[341]


"que posee/tiene/lleva N" (barbudo – "que lleva barba" 'skægget/som bærer skæg') 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 **DERna:rel** Noun-adjective derivation (relational) (deprecated DENOM:rel). Suffix creates denominal adjecisa _DERna tives with a relational meaning. [335] Subtypes: _DERna:rel.norm. **DERna:rel.norm** Noun-adjective derivation (normal) (deprecated DENOM:rel.norm). Suffix creates relational adisa _DERna:rel jectives with a "normal" meaning aspect. [336] **DERna:resem** Noun-adjective derivation (resemblance) (deprecated DENOM:resem). Suffix creates denominal isa _DERna adjectives that express resemblance. [340] "que se parece a N" (sanchopancesco – "que se parece a Sancho Panza" 'sanchopanzask/som ligner 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 Sancho Panza') **DERna:telic** Noun-adjective derivation (effect) (deprecated DENOM:eff). Suffix creates denominal adjectives isa _DERna that express an effect. [343] "que causa simpatía" (simpático – "que causa simpatía" 'sympatisk/som vækker sympati') 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 **DERnn** Noun-noun derivation (deprecated NOPRED). Suffix creates non-predicative nouns (from other isa SUFFIX nouns) in a broad sense. $[315] \begin{tabular}{ll} Subtypes: $_DERnn:agent_DERnn:assoc_DERnn:capac_DERnn:cont_DERnn:loc_DERnn:other_DERnn:quantary. \\ \begin{tabular}{ll} Continuous and Continuou$ _DERnn:telic _DERnn:time. **DERnn:agent** Noun-noun derivation (agent) (deprecated NOPRED:agent). Suffix creates non-predicative nouns isa _DERnn expressing an agent role. [316] (agent derivation: miller = mill+er/DERnn:agent) 0 1 2 3 4 5

46

_DERnn:assoc Noun-noun derivation (association) (deprecated NOPRED:script). Suffix creates non-predicative

isa _DERnn nouns expressing a script/notion related to the original noun.

[323]



```
SUFFIX: semantic relations appearing with suffixes
   AUG: augmentation
   _DENUM: adjective-numeral derivation
       _DENUM:apart: adjective-partitive derivation
       DENUM:ord: adjective-ordinal derivation
       DENUM:quant: adjective-multiplicative derivation
   DER: verb derivation
       DERadvv: adverb-verb derivation
       DERay: adjective-verb derivation
       DERnv: noun-verb derivation
       DERva: verb-adjective derivation
          DERva:act: verb-adjective derivation (pure)
             DERva:act.disp: verb-adjective derivation (disposition)
              DERva:act.epi: verb-adjective derivation (potentiality)
          DERva:pas: verb-adjective derivation (passive)
             DERva:pas.deon: verb-adjective derivation (passive deontic)
             DERva:pas.epi: verb-adjective derivation (passive potentiality)
              _DERva:pas.part: verb-adjective derivation (passive participles)
       DERvn: verb-noun derivation
          _DERvn:agent: verb-noun derivation (agent)
          _DERvn:core: verb-noun derivation (core)
          DERvn:exper: verb-noun derivation (experiencer)
          DERvn:loc: verb-noun derivation (location)
          DERvn:other: verb-noun derivation (other)
          DERvn:patient: verb-noun derivation (patient)
          DERvn:recip: verb-noun derivation (recipient)
       DERvv: verb-verb derivation
   DERan:qual: adjective derivation
   DERna: noun-adjective derivation
       DERna:deono: noun-adjective derivation (naming)
          DERna:deono.loc: noun-adjective derivation (naming places)
          DERna:deono.pers: noun-adjective derivation (naming persons)
       DERna:disp: noun-adjective derivation (disposition)
       DERna:other: noun-adjective derivation (other)
       _DERna:poss: noun-adjective derivation (possession)
       DERna:rel: noun-adjective derivation (relational)
          _DERna:rel.norm: noun-adjective derivation (normal)
       _DERna:resem: noun-adjective derivation (resemblance)
       DERna:telic: noun-adjective derivation (effect)
   DERnn: noun-noun derivation
       DERnn:agent: noun-noun derivation (agent)
       _DERnn:assoc: noun-noun derivation (association)
       DERnn:capac: noun-noun derivation (capacity)
       DERnn:cont: noun-noun derivation (container)
       DERnn:loc: noun-noun derivation (location)
       DERnn:other: noun-noun derivation (other)
       DERnn:quant: noun-noun derivation (quantification)
       DERnn:telic: noun-noun derivation (telic)
       DERnn:time: noun-noun derivation (time)
   DERv:
   DIMIN: diminution
   _PEJ: pejoration
```

Figure 4.5: The relations matching SUFFIX-!CDT1-TOPIC.

Chapter 5

Discourse relations: DISC

DISC: discourse level

DISCOTHER: other discourse relations

JOINT: no clear relation

REP: repaired SCENE: scene

DISCPRAG: pragmatic and illocutionary discourse relations

DISCSEM: semantic discourse relations RuleDisc: syntactic discourse relation

Figure 5.1: The relations matching DISC-!CDT1-!DISCFUNC-!DISCSEM-TOPIC.

DISC *Discourse level* (long: DISCOURSE). The discourse level includes relations between segments is a DIM:LEVEL in different sentences, as well as lexical features associated with discourse units.

[11] Subtypes: DISCOTHER DISCPRAG DISCSEM RuleDisc.

DISCOTHER Other discourse relations. In two cases, REP and SCENE, the relations concern the formal

is a ADJ DISC structure of the text. In the last case, JOINT, there is no clear relation between the segments [219] in question.

Subtypes: JOINT REP SCENE.

JOINT *No clear relation.* No evident discourse relation between the segments. The new text segment is DISCOTHER adds a completely new content without any clear discourse relation to the preceding segment. [269]

 $Confusion_{30\%/50\%/43.3\%}^{15} \cdot JOINT_{43.3\%} \cdot CONJ: add_{40\%} \cdot SCENE_{6.7\%} \cdot CONST: exem_{6.7\%} \cdot xpl_{3.3\%} \cdot .$

REP Repaired (deprecated STRUCT:rep). A repaired text segment. The dependent text segment is is a DISCOTHER interrupted and unfinished and "repaired" by the following and governing text segment that [268] completes it.

Would you... (Would you marry me, Lisa?)

SCENE *Scene* (deprecated STRUCT:prepPREP). A scene or similar description. The dependent text segisa DISCOTHER ment describes the scene of the following and governing text.

 $[267] \quad Confusion_{64.4\%/64.4\%/78.9\%}^{19} : SCENE_{78.9\%} \quad CONJ_{9.2\%} \\ \quad JOINT_{5.3\%} \quad CONJ: add_{2.6\%} \quad CONJ: elab_{2.6\%} \quad CONST: apart_{1.3\%} \\ \quad (267) \quad CONJ: add_{2.6\%} \quad CONJ: add_{2.6\%} \quad CONJ: apart_{1.3\%} \\ \quad (267) \quad CONJ: add_{2.6\%} \quad CONJ: apart_{2.6\%} \quad CONJ: a$

headings, titles

DISCPRAG Pragmatic and illocutionary discourse relations (deprecated DISCFUNC). The dependent text segisa ADJ DISC ment expresses a change in speech act or pragmatic function (speaker's intention) wrt the

[218] governing segment; the label indicates the speech act or function of the dependent segment; regarding speaker's intentions and speech acts we consider the narrating asserting speech act as our default value.

Subtypes: ANSW CONSOL DIREC EXPR INTACT QUEST.

DISCSEM Semantic discourse relations. The relations hold between the propositions of the governing isa ADJ DISC and dependent text segments and are defined in semantic terms;

[217] Subtypes: AGENTIVE CONC COND CONJ CONST CONTR DISJ FORMAL TELIC TIME.

RuleDisc Syntactic discourse relation (long: "_"(PRIM)). A primary syntactic relation that has been used isa DISC RULE as a discourse relation for stilistic purposes. [371]

Functional relations: DISCFUNC 5.1

DISCPRAG: pragmatic and illocutionary discourse relations

ANSW: answer

CONSOL: consolidation

CONSOL:inst: instrumental CONSOL:motiv: motivation CONSOL:source: source

DIREC: directive act

EXPR: expressive act

INTACT: interaction signals

INTACT:attn: attention

INTACT:inter: interruption

INTACT:start: start signal

INTACT:stop: stop

QUEST: question

Figure 5.2: The relations matching DISCFUNC-!CDT1-TOPIC.

DISCPRAG Pragmatic and illocutionary discourse relations (deprecated DISCFUNC). The dependent text seg-

isa ADJ DISC ment expresses a change in speech act or pragmatic function (speaker's intention) wrt the [218] governing segment; the label indicates the speech act or function of the dependent segment; regarding speaker's intentions and speech acts we consider the narrating asserting speech act as our default value.

Subtypes: ANSW CONSOL DIREC EXPR INTACT QUEST.

ANSW Answer. An answer relation. The dependent text segment contains an answer or solution to isa DISCPRAG a question or problem contained in the governing text segment.

 $Confusion_{100\%/100\%/100\%\%}^{1}$: ANSW_{100%}.

CONSOL Consolidation (deprecated SUPPORT?). The dependent text segment consolidates or strengthens isa DISCPRAG the governing segment.

[262]

Subtypes: CONSOL:inst CONSOL:motiv CONSOL:source. $Confusion_{0\%/50\%/0\%\%}^2 \colon CONJ:elab_{100\%} \; .$

CONSOL:inst Instrumental (deprecated CONSOL:enabl). An instrumental or helpful text segment. The deisa CONSOL pendent text segment is instrumental in helping reader or recipient to carry out the action mentioned in the governing segment; frequent in directive texts.

For a free catalogue, call... 0 1 2 3 4

CONSOL:motiv Motivation. Motivation or encouragement. The dependent text segment motivates, stimuisa CONSOL lates or encourages reader or recipient to carry out the action mentioned in the governing segment.

Prices have never been so low. 0 1 2 3 4 5

Joe Johnson is an expert at teaching small children. (He says that...). The Rent Act clearly states it. 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17

DIREC *Directive act.* A directive act. The dependent text segment contains a directive act (order, isa DISCPRAG command or request) somehow linked to the governing segment.

[255] $Confusion_{0\%/66.7\%/0\%\%}^3$: CONJ:elab_{66.7%} CONJ:seq_{33.3%}.

e.g. imperatives 0 1

EXPR Expressive act. An expressive act. The dependent text segment contains an expression of the isa DISCPRAG speaker's attitudes or emotions, e.g. congratulations, excuses or thanks, somehow linked to [256] the governing segment.

INTACT *Interaction signals*. The dependent text segment contains an interaction signal, i.e. a signal isa DISCPRAG used to start, sustain or end a conversation.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} [257] & Subtypes: INTACT: attn INTACT: inter INTACT: start INTACT: stop. \end{tabular}$

INTACT:attn *Attention.* An attention signal. The dependent text segment contains an attention signal. isa INTACT

[259]

INTACT:inter Interruption. An interruption signal. The dependent text segment contains an interruption is a INTACT signal [260]

[en] But... But, Just a moment!; [da] Jamen... Men..., Må jeg lige; [it] Ma; Un momento; Scusami 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

INTACT:start Start signal. The dependent text segment contains a start signal.

isa INTACT

[258]

[en] Hello? All right! Well, Well you see, Excuse me; [da] Hallo? Altså, Nå men altså, Jamen, Hør lige her! 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19

Undskyld! Du Peter; [it] Pronto? Ciao, Ecco, Guarda, Scusami 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

 $\textbf{INTACT:} \textbf{stop} \hspace{0.2cm} \textit{Stop}. \hspace{0.2cm} \textbf{The dependent text segment contains a conversation stop signal}.$

isa INTACT

[261]

[en] Goodbye; [da] Hej hej; [it] Ciao; Arrivederci 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

QUEST *Question* . A question relation. The dependent text segment contains a question somehow is DISCPRAG linked to the governing segment. The following co-text may and may not contain an answer [253] to the question.

Related types: answer.

5.2 Semantic relations: DISCSEM

DISCSEM *Semantic discourse relations.* The relations hold between the propositions of the governing isa ADJ DISC and dependent text segments and are defined in semantic terms;

 $\ensuremath{^{[217]}}$ Subtypes: AGENTIVE CONC COND CONJ CONST CONTR DISJ FORMAL TELIC TIME.

AGENTIVE *Cause relation (discourse).* The dependent segment expresses "bringing about" or cause in a isa DISCSEM broad sense

DISCSEM: semantic discourse relations AGENTIVE: cause relation (discourse) AGENTIVE:expl: explanation relation in discourse AGENTIVE:reas: reason relation (discourse) AGENTIVE:sbj: subjective cause CONC: concession COND: condition CONJ: conjunction CONJ:add: conjunction, addition CONJ:elab: conjunction, elaboration CONJ:seq: conjunction, sequence CONST: constitutive elaboration relation CONST:apart: part of relation CONST:exem: exemplification CONST:rest: restatement CONTR: contrast CONTR:dir: direct contrast CONTR:sbj: subjective contrast DISJ: disjunction DISJ:dir: direct disjunction DISJ:sbj: subjective disjunction FORMAL: formal description FORMAL:descr: neutral description FORMAL:eval: positive/negative evaluation TELIC: consequence/result/conclusion/goal relation (discourse) TELIC:cons.dir: direct, physical consequence, result TELIC:cons.sbj: pragmatic/personal conclusion, deduction TELIC:goal: goal relation (discourse) TIME: temporal relation TIME:cont: contemporaneity

Figure 5.3: The relations matching DISCSEM-!CDT1-TOPIC.

AGENTIVE:expl Explanation relation in discourse. An explanation relation. The dependent segment explains is a AGENTIVE the governing segment. The relation is more general and elaborating than "reason".

[222] Typical connectives: [en] In fact, Indeed; [da] Nemlig; [it] Infatti.
Related types: reason.

Confusion $_{54.5\%/100\%/54.5\%}^{11}$: AGENTIVE:expl $_{54.5\%}$ CONTR $_{9.1\%}$ AGENTIVE:reas $_{9.1\%}$ AGENTIVE $_{9.1\%}$ CONST:rest $_{9.1\%}$ AGENTIVE:sbj $_{9.1\%}$.

TIME:post: temporal succession TIME:pre: temporal precedence

AGENTIVE:reas *Reason relation (discourse).* A reason relation. The dependent segment expresses a specific isa AGENTIVE and concrete reason.

[223] Typical connectives: [en] Since, Because; [da] Fordi, Eftersom; [it] Perché, Dato che. Confusion¹¹_{27.3%/72.7%/27.3%}: AGENTIVE:sbj_{36.4%} AGENTIVE:reas_{27.3%} CONJ:elab_{18.2%} AGENTIVE:expl_{9.1%} TELIC:cons.dir_{9.1%}

AGENTIVE:sbj *Subjective cause.* A subjective cause. The speaker uses the dependent segment as a subjective AGENTIVE tive/personal argument to support a claim.

Typical connectives: [en] Because, In fact, Indeed; [da] Fordi, Eftersom, Nemlig; [it] Perché, Dato che, Infatti. $\text{Confusion}_{0\%/65.8\%/0\%}^{16} : \text{AGENTIVE:reas}_{25\%} \text{ CONJ:add}_{18.8\%} \text{ CONJ}_{17.9\%} \text{ CONSOL:source}_{12.5\%} \text{ CONJ:elab}_{9.6\%} \text{ AGENTIVE:expl}_{6.3\%} \text{ DISJ:dir}_{2.5\%} .$

CONC *Concession.* A concession relation. The dependent segment admits or acknowledges a fact isa DISCSEM wrt N, which may however not have the expected consequence or effect.

Typical connectives: [en] Though, Although, However; [da] Skønt; Selvom; [it] Anche se; Sebbene. Confusion¹⁹_{36.8%/59.2%/36.8%}: CONC_{36.8%} CONJ:add_{17.5%} CONJ:elab_{7.9%} CONTR_{5.3%} FORMAL:eval_{5.3%} subj_{5.3%} CONJ_{5.3%} CONST:exem_{5.3%} TELIC:cons.sbj_{3.5%} conj_{2.6%} CONTR:prg_{2.6%} AGENTIVE_{1.3%} CONTR:sbj_{1.3%}.

COND *Condition.* A condition relation. The dependent segment expresses a condition for the realisa DISCSEM sation of the content of the gonerning segment.

[237] Typical connectives: [en] If, On the condition; [da] Hvis; I det tilfælde at; [it] A condizione che/di; Se. $Confusion^1_{50\%/50\%/50\%}$: $conj_{50\%}$ COND_{50%}.

CONJ *Conjunction.* The dependent text segment elaborates and expans knowledge of the content is DISCSEM of the governing text segment or adds a new subject somehow related to it

[242] Subtypes: CONJ:add CONJ:elab CONJ:seq.

Confusion ⁴⁸_{5.68/42.38/15.48%}: CONJ:add_{28.2%} CONJ:elab_{26.1%} CONJ_{15.4%} SCENE_{5.7%} AGENTIVE:sbj_{5.3%} TELIC:cons.dir_{2.6%}

TELIC:goal_{2.1%} TELIC:cons.sbj_{2.1%} FORMAL:eval_{2.1%} CONSOL:source_{2.1%} TELIC_{2.1%} CONC_{2.1%} qobj_{1.4%} DISJ:dir_{0.8%}

CONTR_{0.5%} CONST:apart_{0.5%} CONTR:dir_{0.5%} AGENTIVE_{0.4%}.

CONJ:add Conjunction, addition. An addition relation. The dependent text segment adds a new subject isa CONJ somehow related to the governing text segment; in cases of uncertainty between add and [243] elab we do not specify the subtype.

 $Typical\ connectives: [en]\ And,\ Moreover,\ In\ addition\ to\ that;\ [da]\ Endvidere,\ Desuden;\ [it]\ E,\ Oltre\ a\ ciò.$ $Confusion_{38.6\%/63.8\%/47.8\%}^{127}:\ CONJ:add_{47.8\%}\ CONJ:elab_{14.6\%}\ CONJ_{10.2\%}\ conj_{5.4\%}\ JOINT_{4.7\%}\ CONC_{2.6\%}\ AGENTIVE:sbj_{2.4\%}\ TELIC:cons.sbj_{2.1\%}\ CONST:apart_{1.8\%}\ CONJ:seq_{1.6\%}\ CONTR:dir_{1\%}\ CONTR_{0.8\%}\ TIME:pre_{0.8\%}\ CONTR:prg_{0.8\%}\ CONTR:sbj_{0.8\%}\ TELIC:cons.dir_{0.8\%}\ DISJ:dir_{0.8\%}\ vobj_{0.8\%}\ SCENE_{0.2\%}\ .$

CONJ:elab Conjunction, elaboration (deprecated ELAB:spec,ELAB:exp,CONST:elab). An elaboration relation. isa CONJ The dependent text segment elaborates and expans knowledge of the content of the governing text segment; in cases of uncertainty between add and elab we do not specify the subtype Confusion CONJ:elab66.7% CONST:rest33.3%.

CONJ:seq Conjunction, sequence. A sequence relation. The dependent text segment is part of list or isa CONJ sequence linked to the governing text segment as e.g. in recipes, sport results etc. [245] $\text{Confusion}_{61.5\%/92.3\%/69.2\%}^{13} : \text{CONJ:seq}_{69.2\%} \text{CONJ:add}_{15.4\%} \text{CONJ:elab}_{7.7\%} \text{DIREC}_{7.7\%} \ .$

CONST *Constitutive elaboration relation.* The dependent segment adds more details regarding the isa DISCSEM constitution of the governing segments or part(s) of it.

[229] Subtypes: CONST:apart CONST:exem CONST:rest. Confusion $^4_{0\%/100\%/0\%\%}$: const $_{50\%}$ agent $_{25\%}$ func $_{25\%}$.

CONST:apart *Part of relation.* A part-of relation. The dependent segment expresses a part of the governing isa CONST segment or vice versa.

[231] Typical connectives: [en] Including, Herein; [da] Herunder, Heri; [it] Incluso, Tra cui. $\begin{aligned} & \text{Confusion}_{16.7\%/52.1\%/25\%}^{12} \colon \text{CONJ:elab}_{25\%} & \text{CONST:apart}_{25\%} & \text{CONJ:add}_{20.8\%} & \text{CONST:exem}_{16.7\%} & \text{nobj}_{8.3\%} & \text{CONJ}_{2.1\%} \\ & \text{SCENE}_{2.1\%} \; . \end{aligned}$

CONST:exem *Exemplification.* A constitutive exemplification relation. The dependent segment gives exisa CONST amples of elements or phenomena regarding the governing segment.

- **CONST:rest** *Restatement.* A restatement relation. The dependent segment states the governing segment isa CONST again in a different way
 - Typical connectives: [en] In other words, Or; [da] Dvs., Sagt på en anden måde; [it] Ossia, In altre parole, Cioè. Confusion¹¹_{13.6%/59.1%/16.7%}: CONJ:elab_{22.7%} TELIC:cons.sbj_{18.2%} CONST:rest_{16.7%} CONST:elab_{9.1%} AGENTIVE:expl_{9.1%} CONST:exem_{9.1%} conj_{6.1%} AGENTIVE_{4.5%} qobj_{4.5%}.
- **CONTR** *Contrast.* The dependent text segment expresses a contrast wrt the governing text segment. isa DISCSEM Subtypes: CONTR:dir CONTR:sbj.
 - $\begin{array}{ll} \hbox{[246]} & \hbox{Confusion}_{0\%/81.3\%/0\%\%}^4 \hbox{: CONJ:add}_{25\%} \hbox{ AGENTIVE:expl}_{25\%} \hbox{ CONC}_{25\%} \hbox{ CONJ}_{6.3\%} \hbox{ CONJ:elab}_{6.3\%} \hbox{ TELIC:cons.dir}_{6.3\%} \\ & \hbox{CONTR:dir}_{6.3\%} \hbox{ .} \end{array}$
- **CONTR:dir** *Direct contrast.* A direct contrast relation. The contrast lies between the governing and isa CONTR dependent text segment.
 - Typical connectives: [en] But, However; [da] Men, Derimod; [it] Ma, Invece. $\text{Confusion}_{15.6\%/30.6\%/28.9\%}^{15} \text{: CONTR:dir}_{28.9\%} \text{: conj}_{18.9\%} \text{: CONTR:prg}_{10\%} \text{: CONJ:add}_{8.9\%} \text{: CONJ:elab}_{8.3\%} \text{: TELIC:cons.sbj}_{6.7\%} \text{: CONTR:sbj}_{6.7\%} \text{: CONTR}_{1.7\%} \text{: CONJ}_{1.7\%} \text{: CO$
- **CONTR:sbj** *Subjective contrast* (deprecated CONTR:prg). A subjective contrast relation. The contrast lies is a CONTR between an explicit and a subjectively inferred text segment.
 - [248] Typical connectives: [en] But, However; [da] Men, Derimod; [it] Ma, Invece. $\text{Confusion}_{26.7\%/49.2\%/36.7\%}^{10} : \text{CONTR:sbj}_{36.7\%} \text{CONJ:elab}_{15\%} \text{conj}_{13.3\%} \text{CONJ:add}_{10\%} \text{CONTR:prg}_{10\%} \text{CONTR:dir}_{10\%} \\ \text{AGENTIVE}_{2.5\%} : \text{CONC}_{2.5\%} :$
- **DISJ** *Disjunction.* There is a disjunction relation between the governing and dependent text segisa DISCSEM ment.
 - [249] Subtypes: DISJ:dir DISJ:sbj.
 - **DISJ:dir** *Direct disjunction.* A direct disjunction relation. The disjunction lies between the governing isa DISJ and dependent text segment.
 - Typical connectives: [en] Or, Or else, Otherwise; [da] Eller, Ellers; [it] Oppure, Altrimenti. $\text{Confusion}_{0\%/50\%/10\%\%}^2 \text{: CONJ:add}_{50\%} \text{ CONJ}_{10\%} \text{ DISJ:dir}_{10\%} \text{ CONJ:elab}_{10\%} \text{ AGENTIVE:sbj}_{10\%} \text{ .}$
 - **DISJ:sbj** *Subjective disjunction* (deprecated DISJ:prg). An indirect or subjective disjunction relation. The isa DISJ disjunction lies between the dependent and a subjectively inferred text segment.
 - [251] Typical connectives: [en] Or, Or else, Otherwise; [da] Eller, Ellers; [it] Oppure, Altrimenti.
- **FORMAL** Formal description. The dependent segment describes the governing segment wrt its formal isa DISCSEM quale (form, dimension, colour, etc.). The governing segment may be a first-order or second-order entity.

Subtypes: FORMAL:descr FORMAL:eval.

FORMAL:descr Neutral description (deprecated DESCR:qual). An objective and neutral description relation. isa FORMAL The dependent segment expresses an objective and/or neutral description of the governing [234] segment.

 $Confusion_{25\%/50\%/25\%}^{4}\hbox{: CONJ:elab}_{75\%} \ FORMAL\hbox{:}descr_{25\%} \ .$

FORMAL:eval *Positive/negative evaluation* (deprecated DESCR:eval). A personal and subjective description is a FORMAL relation. The dependent segment expresses a personal and/or subjective description of the [235] governing segment.

 $Confusion_{22.2\%/55.6\%/33.3\%}^9 : CONJ: elab_{44.4\%} \ FORMAL: eval_{33.3\%} \ CONJ_{11.1\%} \ CONC_{11.1\%} \ .$

TELIC Consequence/result/conclusion/goal relation (discourse). The dependent segment expresses is DISCSEM consequence, result, purpose, conclusion or goal wrt the governing segment.

TELIC:cons.dir Direct, physical consequence, result (deprecated TELIC:dir). A consequence or result relation.

isa TELIC The dependent segment expresses a physical and/or objectively observed consequence or [227] result wrt the governing segment.

Typical connectives: [en] Therefore, For this reason; [da] Derfor, Af den grund; [it] Perciò, Quindi. Confusion $^{17}_{37.3\%/59.3\%/49\%}$: TELIC:cons.dir $_{49\%}$ TELIC:cons.sbj $_{11.8\%}$ CONJ $_{7.4\%}$ CONTR:dir $_{7.4\%}$ CONJ:add $_{5.9\%}$ AGENTIVE:reas $_{5.9\%}$ CONJ:elab $_{4.4\%}$ conj $_{3.9\%}$ qobj $_{2.9\%}$ CONTR $_{1.5\%}$.

TELIC:cons.sbj *Pragmatic/personal conclusion, deduction* (deprecated TELIC:sbj). A personal conclusion or deisa TELIC duction relation. The dependent segment expresses a subjective conclusion or deduction on behalf of the speaker.

Typical connectives: [en] Therefore, For this reason; [da] Derfor, Af den grund; [it] Perciò, Quindi. Confusion $^{15}_{26.7\%/66.7\%/28.9\%}$: TELIC:cons.sbj $_{28.9\%}$ CONJ:add $_{15.6\%}$ CONJ:elab $_{13.3\%}$ TELIC:cons.dir $_{13.3\%}$ CONST:rest $_{13.3\%}$ CONJ $_{6.7\%}$ CONTR:dir $_{6.7\%}$ CONC $_{2.2\%}$.

TELIC:goal *Goal relation (discourse).* A goal relation. The dependent segment expresses goal, purpose, isa TELIC aim or the like wrt the governing segment.

[226] $Confusion^1_{0\%/100\%/0\%\%}$: $CONJ_{100\%}$.

TIME *Temporal relation* (deprecated CIRCUM). There is a clear temporal relation between the contents is a DISCSEM of the two text segments.

[238] Subtypes: TIME:cont TIME:post TIME:pre.

TIME:cont *Contemporaneity* (deprecated nowincludesabolished TIME:dur). A contemporaneity relation. The isa TIME events of the two text segments occur simultaneously.

[239] Typical connectives: [en] At the same time, Meanwhile; [da] Samtidig, Mens, Så længe, Da; [it] Contemporaneamente.

TIME:post *Temporal succession* (deprecated TIME:succ). A succession relation. The event described in the isa TIME dependent text segment succeeds the one described in the governing segment.

Typical connectives: [en] Later, Some time afterwards; [da] Senere, Nogen tid efter; [it] Dopo, Poco tempo dopo. Confusion 100%/100%/100%: TIME:post100%.

TIME:pre *Temporal precedence* (deprecated TIME:prec). A precedence relation. The event described in the isa TIME dependent text segment precedes the one described in the governing segment.

[240] Typical connectives: [en] Earlier, Some days before; [da] Før det, Forinden; [it] Prima, Tre giorni prima. $Confusion^1_{100\%/100\%/100\%}: TIME:pre_{100\%} \; .$

Chapter 6

Anaphor relations: ANA

ANA: anaphor level
ANAREL: anaphor-antecedent relation
anaphor:
assoc: associative anaphor
coref: coreference

Figure 6.1: The relations matching ANA-!CDT1-!coref-!assoc-TOPIC.

ANA *Anaphor level* (long: ANAPHORA). The anaphor level includes relations between anaphors and isa DIM:LEVEL their antecedents, as well as lexical features associated with anaphora.

[14] Subtypes: ANAREL anaphor.

ANAREL Anaphor-antecedent relation. An anaphor-antecedent relation, i.e. a relation between an isa ANA REL anaphor (pronoun, definite description, etc.) and an antecedent. The anaphor may be either

[28] coreferential, i.e. it designates the same discourse referent as the antecedent, or associative. In the latter case, the anaphor designates a non-previously mentioned referent that is associable with the antecedent either wrt the antecedent's qualia structure or wrt some other semantic relation. The relation arrow goes from antecedent to anaphor.

anaphor . This section concerns anaphors as well as cataphors. Cataphors may by and large express isa ANA the same relations with their postcedents as anaphors with their antecedents; the relations are therefore labelled identically and will be distinguished solely by the arrow direction: from left to right (anaphors) or from right to left (cataphors). Because of their much higher frequency, we shall limit ourselves to examples of anaphors.

Subtypes: assoc coref.

assoc Associative anaphor. The anaphor designates an entity which is associated with the anisa anaphor tecedent


[193] Subtypes: assoc-OTHER assoc-QUALIA assoc-SEMROLE.

Confusion⁹_{38.9\%/83.3\%/38.9\%\%?} assoc-const_{44.4\%} assoc_{38.9\%} coref-var_{11.1\%} coref_{5.6\%}.


coref *Coreference.* The anaphor designates the same entity as the antecedent; all coreferential proisa anaphor nouns are labelled this way

Subtypes: coref-evol coref-iden coref-res coref-var ref. Confusion $^{199}_{73.4\%/74.7\%/82.3\%}$: coref $_{82.3\%}$ coref-var $_{9.7\%}$ coref-iden $_{3.1\%}$ coref-res $_{1.8\%}$ ref $_{1.4\%}$ assoc-const $_{0.5\%}$ assoc-telic.patient $_{0.3\%}$ assoc-telic $_{0.2\%}$ assoc-loc $_{0.2\%}$ assoc-telic.agent $_{0.1\%}$.

I had bought some wine but Maria has drunk it all.



I've already had wine. I don't want anymore right now.



6.1 Coreference relations: coref

coref: coreference
 coref-evol: evolving anaphor
 coref-iden: coreferential NP with lexical identity
 coref-res: resumptive anaphor
 coref-res.prg: pragmatic resumptive anaphor
 coref-var: coreferential NP with lexical variety
 ref: syntactically determined coreference

Figure 6.2: The relations matching coref-!CDT1-TOPIC.


coref *Coreference.* The anaphor designates the same entity as the antecedent; all coreferential proisa anaphor nouns are labelled this way

[186] Subtypes: coref-evol coref-iden coref-res coref-var ref.


Confusion¹⁹⁹_{73.4%/74.7%/82.3%}: coref_{82.3%} coref-var_{9.7%} coref-iden_{3.1%} coref-res_{1.8%} ref_{1.4%} assoc-const_{0.5%} assoc_{0.5%}

assoc-telic,patient_{0.3%} assoc-telic_{0.2%} assoc-loc_{0.2%} assoc-telic.agent_{0.1%}.

I had bought some wine but Maria has drunk it all.




I've already had wine. I don't want anymore right now.




coref-evol *Evolving anaphor*. The anaphor refers to the same discourse referent as the antecedent, but isa coref after it has undergone radical changes in its ontological status


[191] $Confusion^1_{0\%/100\%/0\%\%}$: coref-var_{100%}.



coref-iden Coreferential NP with lexical identity (deprecated coref-id). The anaphor designates the same isa coref entity as the antecedent and the lexical noun is identical to that of the antecedent; if the [187] antecedent NP contains attributives or other modifiers, these too must be identical in the anaphor NP. In cases such as example 3., the apposition functions as antecedent:


 $Confusion_{64.8\%/70.9\%/71.8\%}^{73}: coref-iden_{71.8\%} \ coref-var_{12.6\%} \ coref_{8.6\%} \ coref-res_{4.3\%} \ assoc-const_{1.4\%} \ corefcoref-iden_{1.4\%}.$






coref-res *Resumptive anaphor* (deprecated nowincludescoref-res.cause). The anaphor summarises a sentence, isa coref clause or predicate


[189] Subtypes: coref-res.prg. Confusion $^{43}_{50.5\%/58.2\%/58.9\%}$: coref-res $_{58.9\%}$ coref $_{10.9\%}$ coref-var $_{9.7\%}$ assoc-telic $_{8.5\%}$ coref-iden $_{7.4\%}$ ref $_{2.3\%}$ coref-res.prg $_{2.3\%}$.



coref-res.prg *Pragmatic resumptive anaphor.* The anaphor summarises a sentence, clause or predicate and isa coref-res evaluates it with respect to speech act


[190] $Confusion_{0\%/0\%/0\%}^2$: coref-var_{50%} coref-res_{50%}.






coref-var Coreferential NP with lexical variety. The anaphor designates the same entity as the anisa coref tecedent; the lexical noun and/or attributives or other modifiers are different from those of [188] the antecedent

 $Confusion_{60.5\%/67.5\%/69.2\%}^{156}: coref-var_{69.2\%} \ coref_{13.3\%} \ coref-iden_{5.6\%} \ assoc-const_{4\%} \ coref-res_{2.7\%} \ ref_{2.4\%} \ assoc-telic_{0.9\%} \ coref-evol_{0.6\%} \ assoc_{0.6\%} \ coref-res.prg_{0.3\%} \ assoc-agentive.agent_{0.2\%} \ assoc-telic.agent_{0.1\%} \ .$



ref Syntactically determined coreference (long: _). Syntactically determined coreference (e.g. relisa SEC coref ative pronouns, external topics). In the DG theory, "ref" is a shorthand for the label "[fobj]" with reversed direction of the arc, ie, a secondary filler object. It is typically used in relative clauses with a relative pronoun, in which the relative pronoun "consumes" the filler for the relativized noun generated by the relative verb.

 $Confusion_{88.6\%/89\%/89.3\%}^{73} \colon ref_{89.3\%} \ coref_{5\%} \ coref-var_{4.3\%} \ coref-res_{1.4\%} \ .$



6.2 Associative anaphor relations: assoc

```
assoc: associative anaphor
   assoc-OTHER: other anaphoric relations
       assoc-event: associative anaphor (event)
       assoc-loc: associative anaphor (location)
       assoc-time: associative anaphor (time)
   assoc-QUALIA: associative anaphor wrt. qualia
       assoc-agentive: associative anaphor (agentive)
          assoc-agentive.agent: associative anaphor (agentive-agent)
       assoc-const: associative anaphor (constitutive)
       assoc-formal: associative anaphor (formal)
       assoc-telic: associative anaphor (telic)
          assoc-telic.agent: associative anaphor (telic-agent)
          assoc-telic.exper: associative anaphor (telic-experiencer)
          assoc-telic.inst: associative anaphor (telic-instrument)
          assoc-telic.patient: associative anaphor (telic-patient)
          assoc-telic.rec: associative anaphor (telic-recipient)
   assoc-SEMROLE: associative anaphor wrt. semantic role
       assoc-agent: associative anaphor (agent)
       assoc-exper: associative anaphor (experiencer)
       assoc-inst: associative anaphor (instrument)
       assoc-patient: associative anaphor (patient)
       assoc-rec: associative anaphor (recipient)
```

Figure 6.3: The relations matching assoc-!CDT1-TOPIC.

assoc Associative anaphor. The anaphor designates an entity which is associated with the anisa anaphor tecedent


[193] Subtypes: assoc-OTHER assoc-QUALIA assoc-SEMROLE.

Confusion⁹_{38.9\%7,83.3\%7,88.9\%7}: assoc-const_{44.4\%} assoc_{38.9\%} coref-var_{11.1\%} coref_{5.6\%}.


assoc-OTHER Other anaphoric relations. These cases include for example locative relations (the anaphor is isa assoc located in the antecedent), time relations (the anaphor expresses a point in time linked to the antecedent), and event relations (the anaphor designates an event in which the antecedent plays a part).

Subtypes: assoc-event assoc-loc assoc-time.


assoc-event Associative anaphor (event). The anaphor is a predicate noun or similar which expresses an isa assoc-OTHER event that can be associated with the antecedent or in which the antecedent plays a part [214] Confusion $^3_{100\%/100\%/100\%}$: assoc-event_{100\%}.



assoc-loc Associative anaphor (location). The anaphor is located in the antecedent is a assoc-OTHER Confusion $^9_{55.6\%/92.6\%/55.6\%}$: assoc-loc $_{55.6\%}$ assoc-const $_{37\%}$ coref $_{7.4\%}$.



assoc-time Associative anaphor (time). The antecedent is a predicate or predicative noun, a time indiisa assoc-OTHER cation or a more general narrative frame, the anaphor expresses a point in time linked to it [213]




assoc-QUALIA Associative anaphor wrt. qualia. The anaphor denotes an entity which is associated with the isa assoc antecedent with regard to the antecedent's qualia structure

Subtypes: assoc-agentive assoc-const assoc-formal assoc-telic.


assoc-agentive Associative anaphor (agentive) (deprecated assoc-agent?). The anaphor is associated with the isa assoc-QUALIA antecedent wrt its agentive quale (the "bringing about" of the antecedent)

[197] Subtypes: assoc-agentive.agent. Confusion $^4_{25\%/50\%/50\%}$: assoc-agentive $_{50\%}$ assoc-telic $_{25\%}$ assoc-const $_{25\%}$.




assoc-agentive.agent Associative anaphor (agentive-agent). The anaphor plays the semantic role of agent wrt the isa assoc-agentive "bringing about" of the antecedent

[198] $Confusion^1_{0\%/33.3\%/0\%\%}$: coref-var_{66.7%} assoc-telic_{33.3%} .




assoc-const *Associative anaphor (constitutive)*. The anaphor is associated with the antecedent wrt its isa assoc-QUALIA constitutive quale (parts, elements, material, etc.)

[195] $Confusion_{48.9\%/73.6\%/55.3\%}^{47}$: assoc-const_{55.3%} coref-var_{13.8%} assoc_{8.5%} assoc-loc_{7.1%} assoc-telic_{6.4%} coref_{4.6%} assocagentive_{2.1%} coref-iden_{2.1%}.




I have bought a bottle of wine. Do you want some of it?




assoc-formal Associative anaphor (formal). The anaphor is associated with the antecedent wrt its formal is a assoc-QUALIA quale (shape, dimension, colour, etc.)

[196] $Confusion_{100\%/100\%/100\%}^2$: assoc-formal_{100%}.




assoc-telic *Associative anaphor (telic)* (deprecated assoc-scope?). The anaphor is associated with antecedent isa assoc-QUALIA wrt its telic quale (purpose, function, result, consequence etc.)

Subtypes: assoc-telic.agent assoc-telic.exper assoc-telic.inst assoc-telic.patient assoc-telic.rec. Confusion \$\frac{32}{54.8\%/71.5\%/67.3\%}\$: assoc-telic\$\$\frac{67.3\%}{67.3\%}\$ coref-res\$\$\text{11.5\%}\$ assoc-const\$\$\text{9.4\%}\$ coref-var\$\$\text{5.2\%}\$ assoc-agentive\$\$\text{3.1\%}\$ coref\$\$\$\text{2.5\%}\$ assoc-agentive.agent\$\$\text{1\%}\$.




assoc-telic.agent Associative anaphor (telic-agent). The anaphor plays the semantic role of agent wrt the telic isa assoc-telic quale of the antecedent (NB: the precise analysis of the semantic role will depend on the [200] inferred predicate)

Confusion $^{1}_{0\%/0\%/0\%\%}$: coref-var_{66.7%} coref_{33.3%}.



assoc-telic.exper Associative anaphor (telic-experiencer). The anaphor plays the semantic role of experiencer is a assoc-telic wrt the telic quale of the antecedent (NB: the precise analysis of the semantic role will depend on the inferred predicate)




assoc-telic.inst Associative anaphor (telic-instrument). The anaphor plays the semantic role of instrument is a assoc-telic wrt the telic quale of the antecedent (NB: the precise analysis of the semantic role will depend on the inferred predicate)




assoc-telic.patient Associative anaphor (telic-patient). The anaphor plays the semantic role of patient wrt the isa assoc-telic telic quale of the antecedent (NB: the precise analysis of the semantic role will depend on the [201] inferred predicate)

Confusion $_{0\%/0\%/0\%\%}^1$: coref_{100%}.




assoc-telic.rec Associative anaphor (telic-recipient). The anaphor plays the semantic role of recipient wrt is a assoc-telic the telic quale of the antecedent (NB: the precise analysis of the semantic role will depend on the inferred predicate)



assoc-SEMROLE Associative anaphor wrt. semantic role. The antecedent is a predicate or predicative noun, isa assoc and the anaphor designates an entity or individual that plays a semantic role wrt the an-[205] tecedent predication

Subtypes: assoc-agent assoc-exper assoc-inst assoc-patient assoc-rec.

assoc-agent Associative anaphor (agent). The antecedent is a predicate or predicative noun, and the isa assoc-SEMROLE anaphor is the semantic agent [206]




assoc-exper Associative anaphor (experiencer). The antecedent is a predicate or predicative noun, and the isa assoc-SEMROLE anaphor is the semantic experiencer [209]




assoc-inst Associative anaphor (instrument). The antecedent is a predicate or predicative noun, and the isa assoc-SEMROLE anaphor is the semantic instrument.

[210]



assoc-patient Associative anaphor (patient). The antecedent is a predicate or predicative noun, and the isa assoc-SEMROLE anaphor is the semantic patient [207]



assoc-rec Associative anaphor (recipient). The antecedent is a predicate or predicative noun, and the isa assoc-SEMROLE anaphor is the semantic recipient [208]



Chapter 7

Semantic relations: SEM

```
SEM: semantic level
    SEMREL: semantic role
       QUALIA: qualia role
       {agent}: An object or a person that performs an action
        {apart}:
       {arg}:
       {cause}:
       {class}:
       {const}:
       {elab}:
       {eval}:
        {event}:
        {experiencer}: The receiver of an emotion or a physical impact
        {form}:
       {func}:
        {goal}:
        {iden}:
        {location}: The location where something is situated or happens
        {loc}:
        {other}: No specific semantic role
        {patient}: An object or a person that is the subject of the action or the one who
is located somewhere
       {poss}:
       {quant}:
        {recipient}: The receiver of something
       {resem}:
        {source}:
       {time}:
```

Figure 7.1: The relations matching SEM-!CDT1-!QUALIA-!SEMROLE-TOPIC.

SEM Semantic level (long: SEMANTICS). The semantic level includes relations between lexical eleisa DIM:LEVEL ments construed as functors, arguments, and modifiers, as well as lexical features associated with semantic units.


Subtypes: SEMREL.

SEMREL *Semantic role.* A semantic relation. The semantic relation specifies the argument role that isa REL SEM

the child node fills with the parent node as its functor in the functor-argument structure, and encodes the semantic head in phrases headed by a function word without independent semantic meaning. In the DTAG visualization, semantic roles are drawn below the words. Semantic relations are always specified in parallel with a syntactic relation, whose type is determined by the word class of the involved lexical elements. In NP constructions, the syntactic head of an adjunct is assumed to also act as the semantic head of the adjunct, ie, the semantic relation mirrors the syntactic relation in this respect.

The DTAG annotation tool automatically replaces a relation with label "PRIM{SEMREL}" with two relations, one with label "PRIM" and one with label "{SEMREL}", so that relations of this form are drawn as two arrows. Relation names of this form are not strictly relation labels in their own right, merely shorthands in DTAG.

Subtypes: QUALIA {about} {agent} {arg} {cause} {class} {const} {elab} {eval} {event} {experiencer} {form} {func} {goal} {iden} {location} {loc} {other} {patient} {poss} {quant} {recipient} {resem} {source} {time}.



QUALIA *Qualia role*. A qualia role. Ie, a semantic relation that links a lexeme to a qualia role associated is SEMREL with that lexeme. Eg, "music" to the act of "composing" (agentive), "listening" (telic), etc.

[30] Subtypes: agentive const formal resemblance telic.

 $\{about\}$. Used in noun phrases where the satellite indicates the content or genre of the nucleus, which is a SEMREL typically denotes a semiotic artefact.

[62] Confusion $^{37}_{35.1\%/86.5\%/35.1\%}$: {about} $_{35.1\%}$ {arg} $_{22.3\%}$ {patient} $_{19.6\%}$ {agent} $_{6.1\%}$ {goal} $_{6.1\%}$ {func} $_{5.4\%}$ {loc} $_{2.7\%}$ {other} $_{1.4\%}$ {quant} $_{0.7\%}$ {time} $_{0.7\%}$.




{agent} An object or a person that performs an action. Used in noun phrases where the satellite is isa SEMREL the object or the person that performs the volitional action indicated by the nucleus. Used in noun phrases where there is a deverbal relation between the nucleus and the satellite. Often realized as a subject.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Confusion}_{45.2\%/79.5\%/50\%}^{84} \colon \{\text{agent}\}_{50\%} \ \{\text{arg}\}_{25\%} \ \{\text{patient}\}_{8\%} \ \{\text{experiencer}\}_{3.6\%} \ \{\text{about}\}_{2.7\%} \ \{\text{goal}\}_{2.4\%} \ \{\text{source}\}_{2.4\%} \\ \{\{\text{loc}\}_{1.8\%} \ \{\text{elab}\}_{1.8\%} \ \{\text{quant}\}_{1.2\%} \ \{\text{poss}\}_{0.6\%} \ \{\text{time}\}_{0.3\%} \ \{\text{other}\}_{0.3\%} \ . \end{array}$




 $\{apart\}$. Used in noun phrases where the satellite represents an arbitary part of the nucleus. Please is a SEMREL note that the semantic relation goes from the satellite to the nucleus in opposition to the main part of the other semantic roles.

 $Confusion^{19}_{42.1\%/100\%/42.1\%} \hbox{: } \{quant\}_{47.4\%} \hbox{ } \{apart\}_{42.1\%} \hbox{ } \{loc\}_{5.3\%} \hbox{ } \{const\}_{5.3\%} \ .$



{arg} . Used in noun phrases where there is a deadjectival relation or another similiar relationship is a SEMREL between the nucleus and the satellite.

[68] Confusion²²⁸_{46.3%/85.7%/49.8%}; {arg}_{49.8%} {agent}_{9.2%} {patient}_{7.9%} {goal}_{5.4%} {loc}_{4.6%} {const}_{4.4%} {about}_{3.6%} {func}_{3.5%} {other}_{3.1%} {source}_{3.1%} {poss}_{2.6%} {quant}_{1.3%} {time}_{0.9%} {eval}_{0.4%} {elab}_{0.2%}.



{cause} . Used in noun phrases where the satellite is the person or object that performs the non-isa SEMREL volitional action indicated by the nucleus.


[53] Confusion $^1_{0\%/100\%/0\%\%}$: {goal} $_{100\%}$.

sultedød ildebrand?

{class} . Used in noun phrases where the satellite indicates the super type or classification of the isa SEMREL nucleus. This is in opposition to the identity relation which denotates the opposit relationship between the two units. Please note that the semantic relation goes from the satellite to the nucleus in opposition to the main part of the other semantic roles.


Related types: {iden}.

Confusion $_{40\%/100\%/40\%\%}^{5}$: {class} $_{40\%}$ {other} $_{40\%}$ {const} $_{20\%}$.



{const} . Used in noun phrases where the satellite represents a part, material or essential constituent is a SEMREL of the nucleus.

[49] Confusion $^{51}_{52.9\%/92.2\%/54.9\%}$: {const} $_{54.9\%}$ {arg} $_{19.6\%}$ {source} $_{5.9\%}$ {form} $_{3.9\%}$ {poss} $_{3.9\%}$ {apart} $_{2\%}$ {loc} $_{2\%}$ {class} $_{2\%}$ {goal} $_{2\%}$ {func} $_{2\%}$ {elab} $_{2\%}$.



{elab} . position).

isa SEMREL Related types: modp.


 $\begin{tabular}{l} [48] \hline Confusion $^{12}_{41.7\%/50\%/50\%}$: $\{elab\}_{50\%}$ $\{loc\}_{12.5\%}$ $\{agent\}_{12.5\%}$ $\{const\}_{8.3\%}$ $\{form\}_{8.3\%}$ $\{arg\}_{4.2\%}$ $\{other\}_{4.2\%}$. $$$

 $\{eval\}$. Used in noun phrases where there is a descriptive relation between the nucleus and the isa SEMREL satellite. The relation is often a subjective description from the writer who either evaluates the relationship in a positive or negative manner.

Confusion $^2_{50\%/50\%/50\%\%}$: {arg}_{50\%} {eval}_{50\%}.



{event} . isa SEMREL [59]



{experiencer} *The receiver of an emotion or a physical impact.* Used in noun phrases where there is a isa SEMREL deverbal relation between the nucleus and the satellite. Often realized as a direct object

[71] $Confusion_{42.9\%/100\%/42.9\%\%}^{7}$: {experiencer}_{42.9\%} {agent}_{42.9\%} {patient}_{14.3%}.


film critics



 $\label{eq:form} \begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{(form)} & . \textbf{Used in noun phrases where the satellite indicates the shape or form of the nucleus.} \\ \textbf{(isa SEMREL Confusion}_{42.9\%/85.7\%/42.9\%}^7: \{form\}_{42.9\%} \{const\}_{28.6\%} \{loc\}_{14.3\%} \{elab\}_{14.3\%} \,. \\ \textbf{(isa)}_{14.3\%} & (elab)_{14.3\%} & (elab)_{14.3\%} \,. \\ \textbf{(isa)}_{14.3\%} & (elab)_{14.3\%} & (elab)_{14.3\%} \,. \\ \textbf{(isa)}_{14.3\%} & (elab)_{14.3\%} & (elab)_{14.3\%} & (elab)_{14.3\%} \,. \\ \textbf{(isa)}_{14.3\%} & (elab)_{14.3\%} & (elab)$

baking powder

country ham in julienne strips




 $\{func\}$. Used in noun phrases where the satellite determinates the instrumental function of the isa SEMREL nucleus.

[55] Confusion $^{45}_{51.1\%/100\%/51.1\%}$: {func} $_{51.1\%}$ {arg} $_{17.8\%}$ {goal} $_{11.1\%}$ {loc} $_{6.7\%}$ {about} $_{4.4\%}$ {const} $_{2.2\%}$ {iden} $_{2.2\%}$ {patient} $_{2.2\%}$ {other} $_{2.2\%}$...



 $\{goal\}$. Used in noun phrases where the satellite determinates the goal or the intention for which is a SEMREL the nucleus is destinated.

[54] Confusion $^{85}_{55.3\%/90\%/56.5\%}$: {goal} $_{56.5\%}$ {arg} $_{15\%}$ {func} $_{5.9\%}$ {loc} $_{5.3\%}$ {other} $_{5\%}$ {about} $_{2.6\%}$ {agent} $_{2.4\%}$ {patient} $_{1.8\%}$ {cause} $_{1.2\%}$ {const} $_{1.2\%}$ {resem} $_{1.2\%}$ {recipient} $_{1.2\%}$ {quant} $_{0.9\%}$.




 $\{iden\}$. Used in noun phrases where the satellite indicates the identity of the nucleus. In this case it is also possible to equate the satellite to the nucleus i.e. that the nucleus represents the super type of the satellite.

Related types: {class}. $Confusion^1_{0\%/100\%/0\%\%} \colon \{func\}_{100\%} \; .$



{location} The location where something is situated or happens. Used in noun phrases where there is is a SEMREL a deverbal relation between the nucleus and the satellite. Often realized as a prepositional object

 $Confusion_{50\%/100\%/50\%\%}^2$: {loc}_{50%} {location}_{50%}.



 $\{loc\}\$ (deprecated $\{pos\}$). Used in noun phrases where the satellite indicates the location of the posiisa SEMREL tion or the location of nucleus.

 $\begin{array}{lll} & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\$



{other} *No specific semantic role.* Used when none of the other semantic roles are suitable or when isa SEMREL in doubt.


[74] $\begin{aligned} & \text{Confusion}_{22.4\%/69.1\%/22.4\%}^{38} : \{\text{other}\}_{22.4\%} \{\text{arg}\}_{17.3\%} \{\text{goal}\}_{11.2\%} \{\text{poss}\}_{8.8\%} \{\{\text{loc}\}_{7.9\%} \{\text{source}\}_{7.9\%} \{\text{time}\}_{5.9\%} \{\text{class}\}_{5.3\%} \\ & \{\text{patient}\}_{4.2\%} \{\text{quant}\}_{3.3\%} \{\text{func}\}_{2.6\%} \{\text{elab}\}_{1.3\%} \{\text{about}\}_{1.3\%} \{\text{agent}\}_{0.7\%} \; . \end{aligned}$

{patient} An object or a person that is the subject of the action or the one who is located somewhere. is a SEMREL Used in noun phrases where there is a deverbal relation between the nucleus and the satellite.

[70] Often realized as a direct object

 $Confusion_{44.6\%/76.4\%/48.9\%}^{92} \\ \{patient\}_{48.9\%} \\ \{arg\}_{19.7\%} \\ \{about\}_{7.9\%} \\ \{agent\}_{7.3\%} \\ \{loc\}_{4.3\%} \\ \{poss\}_{3.6\%} \\ \{other\}_{1.7\%} \\ \{goal\}_{1.6\%} \\ \{quant\}_{1.4\%} \\ \{func\}_{1.1\%} \\ \{experiencer\}_{1.1\%} \\ \{recipient\}_{1.1\%} \\ \{time\}_{0.3\%} \\ .$

bread producer




{poss} . Used in noun phrases where there is a possession relation between the nucleus and the isa SEMREL satellite. Often the satelitte is the owner or possessor of the nucleus.

[56] $Confusion_{37.5\%/76\%/40.6\%}^{32}: \{poss\}_{40.6\%} \{arg\}_{18.2\%} \{patient\}_{10.4\%} \{other\}_{10.4\%} \{loc\}_{6.3\%} \{const\}_{6.3\%} \{source\}_{6.3\%} \{agent\}_{1.6\%} \{source\}_{6.3\%} \{agent\}$

police car
0 1
{poss}#


{quant} . Used in noun phrases where the satellite indicates the quantity in numbers or another is a SEMREL countable unit of the nucleus.

[65] Confusion $^{28}_{35.7\%/78.6\%/35.7\%}$: {quant} $_{35.7\%}$ {apart} $_{32.1\%}$ {arg} $_{10.7\%}$ {patient} $_{4.5\%}$ {other} $_{4.5\%}$ {agent} $_{3.6\%}$ {source} $_{3.6\%}$ {goal} $_{2.7\%}$ {loc} $_{1.8\%}$ {about} $_{0.9\%}$.




{recipient} The receiver of something. Used in noun phrases where there is a deverbal relation between isa SEMREL the nucleus and the satellite. Often realized as an indirect object

[72] $Confusion_{57.1\%/100\%/57.1\%\%}^{7}: \{recipient\}_{57.1\%} \{loc\}_{14.3\%} \{patient\}_{14.3\%} \{goal\}_{14.3\%} \; .$




 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \begin{tabular}{ll} \be$



{source} (deprecated {origin}). Used in noun phrases where the satellite is the source from which the isa SEMREL nucleus derives or is deduced.

[52] Confusion $^{51}_{41.2\%/80.4\%/43.1\%}$: {source} $_{43.1\%}$ {loc} $_{13.7\%}$ {arg} $_{13.7\%}$ {time} $_{7.8\%}$ {const} $_{5.9\%}$ {other} $_{5.9\%}$ {agent} $_{3.9\%}$ {poss} $_{3.9\%}$ {quant} $_{2\%}$.



 $\{time\}$. Used in noun phrases where the satellite indicates some kind of temporal aspect of the isa SEMREL nucleus.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} [58] & Confusion $^{31}_{54.8\%/68.5\%/71\%}$: $\{time\}_{71\%}$ $\{source\}_{12.9\%}$ $\{other\}_{7.3\%}$ $\{arg\}_{6.5\%}$ $\{about\}_{0.8\%}$ $\{patient\}_{0.8\%}$ $\{agent\}_{0.8\%}$. $$ $\{arg\}_{6.5\%}$ $\{about\}_{0.8\%}$ $\{arg\}_{6.5\%}$ $\{about\}_{0.8\%}$ $\{arg\}_{6.5\%}$ $\{about\}_{0.8\%}$ $\{arg\}_{6.5\%}$ $\{ar$



7.1 Qualia relations: QUALIA

QUALIA *Qualia role.* A qualia role. Ie, a semantic relation that links a lexeme to a qualia role associated isa SEMREL with that lexeme. Eg, "music" to the act of "composing" (agentive), "listening" (telic), etc.

Subtypes: agentive const formal resemblance telic.

agentive *Agentive qualia.* A relation which describes the origin of an object. E.g., its creator, artifact, isa QUALIA natural kind, causal chain (cf. Pustejovsky 1995).

const *Constitutive qualia* (long: constitutive). A relation between an object and its constituents or isa QUALIA proper parts. E.g., material, weight, parts and component elements (cf. Pustejovsky 1995).

Confusion $_{0\%/100\%/0\%\%}^9$: ABOUT $_{33.3\%}$ $-_{22.2\%}$ CONST $_{22.2\%}$ MOD: qual $_{11.1\%}$ GOAL $_{11.1\%}$.

```
QUALIA: qualia role
agentive: agentive qualia
const: constitutive qualia
formal: formal qualia
location: location qualia
resemblance: resemblance wrt. qualia role
""QUALIA: resemblance wrt. $qualia relation
telic: telic qualia
about: about qualia
```

Figure 7.2: The relations matching QUALIA-!CDT1.

```
formal formal qualia. A property that distinguishes the object within a larger domain. E.g., its orientation, magnitude, shape, dimensionality, color, position (cf. Pustejovsky 1995).

Subtypes: location.

location Location qualia. A qualia role that relates a lexeme to its location qualia.

resemblafted isa QUALIA Resemblance wrt. qualia role. Resemblance wrt. some qualia role

Subtypes: ""QUALIA.

""QUALIA Resemblance wrt. $qualia relation. The property that distinguishes

tello tric qualia. A relation which describes the purpose and function of the object. E.g., the purpose of performing an act, the intended use of an artifact (cf. Pustejovsky 1995). Subtypes: about.

About qualia. Relates to hyponym (subtype)

isa telic [43] Confusion 4/25%/100%/25%%: ABOUT 75% about25%.
```

7.2 Thematic role relations: SEMROLE

Figure 7.3: The relations matching SEMROLE-!CDT1.

Chapter 8

Word alignment relations: ALIGN

ALIGN: alignment level ALIGNREL: alignment relation "": unlabeled word alignment f: fuzzy word alignment

Figure 8.1: The relations matching ALIGN-!CDT1-TOPIC.

ALIGN Alignment level (long: ALIGNMENT). The alignment level includes alignment relations as well isa DIM:LEVEL as lexical features associated with alignments.


Subtypes: ALIGNREL.

ALIGNREL Alignment relation. An alignment relation encodes a translational

isa ALIGN REL equivalence between two sets of words (and their associated phrases), either in terms of form [31] or meaning. Null alignments - ie, a set of words in one text which does not correspond to any set of words in the other text - are encoded as a set of words that is aligned to itself.

Subtypes: "" f.

"" Unlabeled word alignment (long: align). An unlabeled word alignment is represented as a isa ALIGNREL word alignment where the label is an empty string. It is used to represent the default word [389] alignment, where there is full translational equivalence between the two sets of words.



f Fuzzy word alignment (long: fuzzy). A semantically fuzzy word alignment.

isa ALIGNREL

[390]



Chapter 9

Rule schemata for complex relations: RULE

RULE: generative type specification rule

""QUALIA: resemblance wrt. \$qualia relation

RuleAnd: conjunctive both-and type

RuleAttr: attribution

RuleAttrD: down-dependent in attribution RuleAttrH: down-head in attribution RuleDisc: syntactic discourse relation RuleExpConn: explicit connector RuleGap: gapping dependent RuleIdiom: idiomatic relation pattern RuleImpConn: implicit connector RuleMorph: syntactic morphlogy relation RuleOblAdv: valency-bound adverbial

RuleOr: disjunctive either-or type RulePar: disambiguated type RuleSec: secondary relation pattern

Figure 9.1: The relations matching RULE-!CDT1-TOPIC.

RULE Generative type specification rule. Generative type specification rules specify how type names is a ANY are created generatively using rules. A rule consists of a sequence of null-separated items which are either character sequences enclosed in double quotes or type names; parts of a rule may be enclosed in parentheses and followed by an optional repetition operator: "*" (0 or more times), "+" (1 or more times), or "?" (0 or 1 times). When specifying the super types for a generated type, \$1 refers to the part of the type name matched within the first pair of parentheses, \$2 the part within the second pairs of parentheses, etc. Generated types may be used as super types.

For example, the rule "<"PRIM">" generates all relation names formed by enclosing any relation name from the "PRIM" hierarchy in angle brackets. "<"PRIM("."PRIM)*">" generates all relation names formed by enclosing a "."-separated sequence of "PRIM" relation names in angle brackets.

Subtypes: ""QUALIA RuleAnd RuleAttr RuleAttrD RuleAttrH RuleDisc RuleExpConn RuleGap RuleIdiom RuleImpConn RuleMorph RuleOblAdv RuleOr RulePar RuleSec.

"QUALIA Resemblance wrt. \$qualia relation. The property that distinguishes

[45]

RuleAnd Conjunctive both-and type (long: (REL)"&"(REL)). Conjunctive both-and relation types can isa RULE be formed as "&"-separated lists of relation types. Conjunctive relation types are used by [364] the annotators when two or more relation types seem to hold simultaneously. They may be removed from later versions of the CDT treebanks.

RuleAttr Attribution (long: (PRIM)"/ATTR"INTEGER). Specifies the person to whom the utterance is isa RULE attributed (ATTR or ATTR1, ATTR2, ... when there is more than one person) [376]


RuleAttrD *Down-dependent in attribution* (long: DISC"*"). The dependent in the relation is one step isa RULE further down in the attribution chain [378]

RuleAttrH *Down-head in attribution* (long: "*"DISC). The head in the relation is one step further down in isa RULE the attribution chain [377]


RuleDisc *Syntactic discourse relation* (long: "_"(PRIM)). A primary syntactic relation that has been used isa DISC RULE as a discourse relation for stilistic purposes.
[371]

RuleExpConn Explicit connector (long: PRIM"/"CONNECTOR). The discourse relation has explicit connector is a RULE \$CONNECTOR [379]

RuleGap Gapping dependent (long: "<"PRIM(":"PRIM)*":"INTEGER">"). A gapping dependency relation is formed by using angled brackets to enclose a colon-separated list of primary relations followed by an integer that indicates the number of the gapped conjunct, starting with 1. The list of primary relations describes the path from the head of the gapped conjunct to the gapping dependent within the gapped conjunct, viewed as a copy of the tree structure within the first conjunct.



RuleIdiom *Idiomatic relation pattern* (long: (SEMREL)"#"). A semantic relation can be marked as idisa IDIOM RULE iomatic by putting a trailing "#" after the semantic relation name. The idiom marker is only [373] used with semantic relations, not with syntactic relations.



RuleImpConn *Implicit connector* (long: PRIM"/("CONNECTOR")"). The discourse relation has implicit conical RULE nector \$CONNECTOR


[380]

RuleMorph *Syntactic morphlogy relation* (long: "_"(PRIM)). A primary syntactic relation that has been is a MORPH RULE used as a morphology relation for stilistic purposes.

[372]

RuleOblAdv *Valency-bound adverbial* (long: "@"ADVERB). An adverbial relation can be marked as obligaisa COMP RULE tory by putting "@" in front of the relation name.

[370] Related types: cont dir dur ext hab loc prec succ time.




RuleOr Disjunctive either-or type (long: (REL)"|"(REL)). Disjunctive either-or relation types can be isa RULE formed as "|"-separated lists of relation types. Disjunctive relations types are used by the annotators when one of the relation types in the disjunction seems to provide the right label for the relation, but it is difficult to decide which one of them is most appropriate. They may be removed from later versions of the CDT treebanks.

RulePar Disambiguated type (long: "("(REL)")"). Relation types can be disambiguated by enclosing isa RULE them in parentheses. The need for disambiguation normally only arises when specifying [366] conjunctive or disjunctive types.

RuleSec Secondary relation pattern (long: "["PRIM"]"). A secondary relation name is formed by enisa RULE SEC closing a primary relation name in square brackets.

[368] Related types: SEC.



Chapter 10

Ontological relations: ONTO

```
ONTO: ontology level
ONTOCLASS: ontological class
_top: ontological entity
_abstract: abstract entity
_concrete: concrete entity
```

Figure 10.1: The relations matching ONTO-!CDT1-TOPIC.

ONTO Ontology level (long: ONTOLOGY). The ontological level includes relations between lexical is a DIM:LEVEL elements construed as ontological units, as well as lexical features associated with ontological units.

ONTOCLASS *Ontological class.* A class in the ontology. The ontology encodes a classification of all lexical isa FEAT ONTO elements with respect to their natural kind.

```
_top Ontological entity.
isa ONTOCLASS Subtypes: _abstract _concrete.

[460]

_abstract Abstract entity.
isa _top
_condrete Concrete entity.
isa _top
```

[462]

Subtypes: _top.

Subtypes: ONTOCLASS.

Chapter 11

Deprecated relations from DDT: CDT1

```
CDT1: Deprecated CDT1 relations
   CDT1ADJ: Deprecated CDT1 adjunct relations
      err: Deprecated error relation.
      list: Deprecated list element.
      mod: modifier/adverbial
          modo: object-oriented modifier
          modp: parenthetic modifier
          modr: restrictive modifier
      mods:
      obl:
   CDT1COMP: Deprecated CDT1 complement relations
      lobj: Deprecated locative object.
      tobj: Deprecated temporal object.
   CDT1GAP: Deprecated CDT1 gap relations
      <avobj>:
      <dobj>:
      <lobj>:
       <mod>:
      <nobj>:
      <pobj:nobj>:
      <pobj>:
      <possd>:
      <pred>:
      <qobj>:
       <subj:pobj>:
      <subj>:
      <vobj>:
       <xpl>:
```

Figure 11.1: The relations matching CDT1.

```
CDT1 Deprecated CDT1 relations. Deprecated relations from the CDT1+2 treebanks. isa ANY [394] Subtypes: CDT1ADJ CDT1COMP CDT1GAP.
```

CDT1ADJ Deprecated CDT1 adjunct relations. Deprecated adjunct relations from the CDT1+2 tree-isa CDT1 SYNADJ

banks.


Subtypes: err list mod mods obl rep.

err Deprecated error relation.. Deprecated error relation. Used when connecting two phrases is a CDT1ADJ that do not fit together, often because of errors in the text.

[401]



list Deprecated list element.. Deprecated list element. Used when two or more phrases form isa CDT1ADJ a unit, but the internal structure is hard to analyze with the existing set of relations (eg, addresses, phone numbers, etc). The secondary elements are analyzed as list adjuncts of the first element.



mod Modifier/adverbial. Deprecated name for adverbials

isa CDT1ADJ Subtypes: modo modp modr. [420]

modo Object-oriented modifier. Deprecated name for object-oriented modifiers

isa mod

modp Parenthetic modifier. Deprecated name for parenthetic modifiers

isa mod Related types: {elab}.

modr Restrictive modifier. Deprecated name for restrictive modifiers

mods

isa mod

isa CDT1ADJ

[40B] .

isa CDT1ADJ

[4024].

isa CDT1ADJ

CDT1COMP Deprecated CDT1 complement relations. Deprecated complement relations from the CDT1+2 is a CDT1 SYNCOMP treebanks.

[395] Subtypes: lobj tobj.

lobj Deprecated locative object.. Deprecated locative object.

isa CDT1COMP

[398]



tobj Deprecated temporal object.. Deprecated temporal object.

isa CDT1COMP [399]

subj tobj nobj

It lasted two hours

0 1 2 3

CDT1GAP Deprecated CDT1 gap relations. Deprecated gapping relations from the CDT1+2 treebanks.

isa CDT1 gapd Subtypes: <avobj> <dobj> <mod> <nobj> <pobj:nobj> <pobj> <pobj< <pobj> <pobj> <pobj> <pobj> <pobj< <pobj> <pobj< <pobj> <pobj< <pobj> <pobj< <pobj< <p> <pobj< <p> <pobj< <p> <pobj< <pobj< <p> <pobj< <p

<avobj> .

isa CDT1GAP

<db433 .

isa CDT1GAP

<1640j3 .

isa CDT1GAP

<**m(6db)** . isa CDT1GAP

<n649∮}.

isa CDT1GAP

<pobj:nb466.</pre>

isa CDT1GAP

<pbdj3 .

isa CDT1GAP

<posstd) .

isa CDT1GAP

<pfetB .</pre>

isa CDT1GAP

<q**640j**5}.

isa CDT1GAP

<subj:pbbj .

isa CDT1GAP

<stabj⊳}.

isa CDT1GAP <**v[x]** .

isa CDT1GAP

<**x**4p1B3 .

isa CDT1GAP

[415]

Chapter 12

Relations misplaced outside the ANY hierarchy

MISPLACED: misplaced relation _interfix:

Figure 12.1: The relations matching -ANY.

MISPLACED Misplaced relation. A misplaced relation. A relation is misplaced if it fails to have "ANY" [6] as a transitive super type. This should never happen, and the problem must be corrected if a misplaced relation shows up in the misplaced relations table.

_interfix . [276]

Chapter 13

Annotation topics:: TOPIC

```
TOPIC: annotation topic
   %ALIGN: Alignment constructions
   %DISC: Discourse constructions
      %DISC:ANAPHORA:
   %MORPH: Morphological constructions
   %SEM: Semantic constructions
   %SYN: Syntactic constructions
      %SYN:FPRED: Free Predicatives
      %SYN:NP: Complex NP constructions
         %SYN:NP:CP: Compounds
         %SYN:NP:GEN: Genitive NP constructions
         %SYN:NP:MOD: NP-modifiers
             %SYN:NP:MOD:ADJ: Adjectives modifying a NP construction
             %SYN:NP:MOD:ADV: Adverbial modifying a NP construction
         %SYN:NP:RELN: NP constructions with relational nouns
         %SYN:NP:VRN: NP constructions with verb-related nouns
      %SYN:PP: PP constructions
      %SYN:VP: VP constructions
         %SYN:VP:PREDS: VP constructions with subject predicative
         %SYN:VP:VOBJ: VP constructions with a verbal object
```

Figure 13.1: The relations matching TOPIC-DIM.

TOPIC Annotation topic. A topic in the annotation guidelines. A topic describes how a particular isa ANY linguistic construction is annotated in the treebanks, as an aid for the annotators and human users of the CDT treebanks. If a linguistic relation is very closely associated to one or more topics (eg, "gobj" for genitive constructions), the topics should be added as super types for the relation, so that the relations and the topics are properly linked in the annotation manual.

Subtypes: %ALIGN %DISC %MORPH %SEM %SYN.


 $\% ALIGN \ \ Alignment \ constructions$ (long: %alignment). is a TOPIC

****DISG** Discourse constructions (long: %discourse).

isa TOPIC Subtypes: %DISC:ANAPHORA.

%DISC:ANAPHORA (long: %anaphora). In the annotation of anaphors, the anaphor is dependent on the antecedent isa %DISC (see example). In the annotation of cataphors, the cataphor is dependent on the postcedent [456] (see example). Cataphors are annotated with the same relations as anaphors.

In the Romance languages it is common to have finite verbs without any subjects. Secondary subject relations are added a "*" in front of the "[subj]" relation. The implicit subject in the finite verb is annotated as "*coref" with the subject is the antecedent, "*coref*" when the subject is the anaphor, and "coref*" when the subject is a cataphor. "\$*coref" is used when no subject is explicited neither before nor after, i.e. when the finite verb refers to the reader/writer (Volvemos al debate sobre...)



%MORPH Morphological constructions (long: %morphology). Some of the results of the meeting held on isa TOPIC 17.06.10. These are the new principles of how to annotate complex compounds and words containing interfixes or another nuclearity change

sommerhuskøbsaftalelcottage deed

%SEM Semantic constructions (long: %semantics).


isa TOPIC

% SYN Syntactic constructions (long: %syntax).

isa TOPIC Subtypes: %SYN:FPRED %SYN:NP %SYN:PP %SYN:VP.

%SYN:FPRED Free Predicatives (long: %freepredicatives).

isa %SYN [452]



%SYN:NP Complex NP constructions (long: %np). A detailed description of how different complex NP isa %SYN constructions are annotated. En general, all complex NP constructions have a syntactic as [437] well a semantic annotation.

Subtypes: %SYN:NP:CP %SYN:NP:GEN %SYN:NP:MOD %SYN:NP:RELN %SYN:NP:VRN.

example1 example2

%SYN:NP:CP Compounds (long: %np compounds).

isa %SYN:NP

%SYN:NP: GEN Genitive NP constructions (long: %genitives). In genitive constructions (X's Y) the dependent isa %SYN:NP (Y) is always annotated as "possd" in the syntactic annotation. In the semantic annotation [444] X is analysed as the dependent and the semantic relation annotated depends on the type of noun or entity represented by Y. The "s" functions as a determiner, thus attributives are annotated as dependents to the X, with the exception of compounds of the type [Adj. Ø N]# (Lotte's green card) Genitive constructions with verb-related nouns: Genitive constructions with relational nouns: Other genitive constructions:

%SYN:NP:MOD NP-modifiers (long: %np_modifiers).

isa %SYN:NP Subtypes: %SYN:NP:MOD:ADJ %SYN:NP:MOD:ADV aobj attr.

[438]

%SYN:NP:MOD:ADJ Adjectives modifying a NP construction (long: %np_adjectives). Adjectives modifying a NP isa %SYN:NP:MOD construction are annotated using the syntactic label "attr" when the adjective functions as a [439] syntactic adjunct, or "aobj" when the adjective modifies a verb-related or relational noun. In the analysis of Germanic languages, the adjective is analysed as a dependent of the pronoun where it is present, and as a dependent of the noun otherwise, whereas in the analysis of Romanic languages the adjective is always analysed as a dependent of the noun. However, when forming part of a compound of the type [Adj. ø N#] (e.g. "high school") the adjective is always analysed as dependent on the noun. In the case of relational adjectives, the semantic

relation between the noun and adjective is also annotated. In the semantic annotation, the adjective is always analysed as a dependent of the noun.

%SYN:NP:MOD:ADV Adverbial modifying a NP construction (long: %np_adverbials).

isa %SYN:NP:MOD

%SYN:NP:RŒN NP constructions with relational nouns (long: %np_relational).

isa %SYN:NP

%SYN:NP:VRN NP constructions with verb-related nouns (long: %np deverbal).

isa %SYN:NP

%SYMPPP PP constructions (long: %pp). When the complement in a PP consists of a noun or a pronoun isa %SYN the complement is annotated as "nobj". In the Romance languages, when an infinit verb [450] functions as the complement of a preposition, the infinite is annotated as "vobj". In English, where the preposition can take an "ing-form" of the verb as it's complement, the verb is annotated as "nobj". In Danish, a preposition can take an infinitive with an infinitive marker as is complement. In these constructions the infinitive marker "at" is annotated as "nobj" (complement) to the preposition and the infinitive as "vobj" to the infinitive marker.

%SYN:VP VP constructions (long: %vp).

isa %SYN Subtypes: %SYN:VP:PREDS %SYN:VP:VOBJ.

isa %SYN:VP

%SYN:VP:PREDS VP constructions with subject predicative (long: %subjectpredicatives). Passive sentences The participle in passive sentences (e.g. The book is printed in Copenhagen) is always annotated [448] as "preds". In Danish passive sentences with compound tense (er/var blevet) "blevet" is annotated as vobj to the finite verb and the participle as preds to "blevet" (example 2). Note that the Danish verb "være" can also be used in passive sentences with transitive verbs, probably as a variant of "være +blevet" (example 3: Bogen er (blevet) trykt i København). In this case the participle is annotated as preds according to the annotation of participles in passive sentences with the verb "blive". In italian passive sentences with compound tense, "stata/stato" is annotated as vobj to the finite verb in spite of it's concord inflection, whereas the participle following "stata/stato" is annotated as preds (example 4) This annotation of the passive sentences allows direct and indirect complements as well as adjuncts (e.g. "agent"-adverbs) to be analysed as dependent on the subject predicative.

Special constructions with free predicatives (example) (Hun skjulte med en rødmen i kinderne ansigtet i sin hænder; Han arbejdede med hænderne i lommen) Related types: %SYN:VP:VOBJ.

1. The Government was beaten by the Opposition



preds agent Regeringen er blevet slået af oppositionen government_the is been beaten by opposition_the 2 1 3

4. The door has been opened by Luisa 3. The book is printed in Copenhagen vobj preds agent nobj preds 1oc nobi nobj Bogen er trykt i København La porta é stata aperta da Luisa book_the is printed in Copenhagen The door is been opened by Luisa 2 1 2 3 0 1 3 possd subj fpreds nobj preds nobj She **slept** with her head on the pillow 2 3 4 5 {const} Peter is gone 0 1 2

%SYN:VP:VOBJ VP constructions with a verbal object (long: %verbalobjects). In compound tenses the infinite isa %SYN:VP verb(s) are annotated as vobj. Note that in Danish, both the verb "have" and "være" are used as [449] an auxiliary verb in the compound tenses. The verb "være" is used as an auxiliary verb in constructions with intransitive verbs of movement. Normally the verb "være" takes a subject complement or predicative, but when used as an auxiliary verb, the participle is annotated as vobj and not preds (example 1). In italian passive sentences with compound tense, "stata/stato" is annotated as vobj to the finite verb in spite of it's concord inflection, whereas the participle following "stata/stato" is annotated as preds (example 2) (see also %SYN:VP:PREDS)

> In verbal periphrases with copula verbs (e.g. English: be +x-ing; Spanish: estar +gerund) the infinite verb is annotated as vobj to the finite verb.

> Special constructions (see examples) English: obligation/future: "you are to..." (see example) "#" marks the periphrastic nature of the verbal. (0152: Now muscles were to be used)

Related types: %SYN:VP:PREDS.



Appendix A

Overview tables

The tables in this section lists all the relations in the Copenhagen Dependency Treebanks, repeated from the preceding sections.

ANY: formal top node

CDT1: Deprecated CDT1 relations

DIM: dimension

DIM:LEVEL: dimension: linguistic level DIM:TYPE: dimension: annotation type RULE: generative type specification rule

TOPIC: annotation topic

The relations matching ANY-!DIM:LEVEL-!DIM:TYPE-!RULE-!TOPIC-!CDT1.

DIM:LEVEL: dimension: linguistic level

ALIGN: alignment level ANA: anaphor level DISC: discourse level MORPH: morphology level ONTO: ontology level SEM: semantic level SYN: syntax level

The relations matching

DIM:LEVEL-!SYNTAX-!MORPH-!DISC-!ANA-!SEM-!ALIGN-!ONTO-!RULE-!TOPIC-!CDT1.

DIM:TYPE: dimension: annotation type

FEAT: lexical feature

REL: directed bilexical relation IDIOM: idiomatic relation

RuleIdiom: idiomatic relation pattern

LAND: landing relation fill: licensed filler

land: landed lexical element PRIM: primary dependency relation

+: segment concatenation ADJ: adjunct relation COMP: complement relation

RuleOblAdv: valency-bound adverbial

SEC: secondary dependency relation RuleSec: secondary relation pattern repl: replacement in gapping coordination

The relations matching DIM:TYPE-!SYNTAX-!MORPH-!DISC-!ANA-!SEM-!ALIGN-!ONTO-!TOPIC-!CDT1.

SYN: syntax level

SYNADJ: syntactic adjunct

SYNCOMP: syntactic complement

The relations matching SYNTAX-!SYNCOMP-!SYNADJ-!CDT1-TOPIC.

```
SYNCOMP: syntactic complement
   @space: valency-bound location/direction adverbial
   @time: valency-bound time adverbial
   avobj: adverbial object
   dobj: direct object
   fobj: filler object
   gobj: genitive object
   iobj: indirect object
   nobj: nominal object
   numa: additive numeral complement
   numm: multiplicative numeral complement
   part: verbal particle
   pobj: prepositional object
   possd: possessed complement
   possr: possessor complement
   pred: predicative
       predo: object predicative
       preds: subject predicative
   qobj: quotational object
   robj: reflexive object
   subj: subject
       expl: expletive subject
   vobj: verbal object
```

The relations matching SYNCOMP-!CDT1-TOPIC.

```
SYNADJ: syntactic adjunct
   ADVERB: adverbial
   app: apposition
       appa: parenthetic apposition (comma)
          xpl: explication
       appr: restrictive apposition (no comma)
   attrg: genitive attributive
   conj: conjunct relation
   coord: coordinator relation
   correl: correlative coordinator relation
   fpred: free predicative
       fpredo: free direct-object predicative
       fpreds: free subject predicative
   gapd: gapping dependent
       RuleGap: gapping dependent
   name: part of name
       namef: first name
       namel: last name
       title: person title
   pnct: punctuation
   rel: relative clause
       relelab: elaborating relative clause
       relpa: parenthetic relative clause
       relr: restrictive relative clause
   voc: vocative
   xtop: external topic with resuming pronoun
```

The relations matching SYNADJ-!CDT1-!ADVERB-TOPIC.

ADVERB: adverbial agent: agent adverbial cause: causation adverbial goal: goal adverbial conc: concession adverbial concom: cond: condition adverbial cons: consequence adverbial event: Adverbial expressing an event exem: example adverbial man: manner adverbial accom: companionship adverbial inst: instrument adverbial neg: negation adverbial other: other adverbial prg: pragmatic adverbial discmark: sentence-initial discourse marker epi: epistemic adverbial eval: evaluation adverbial focal: focalizer adverbial scene: pragmatic condition and structural adverbial add: additive adverbial contr: contrast adverbial elab: elaboration adverbial quant: degree adverbial resem: comparison adverbial source: source attribution adverbial space: space adverbial dir: direction adverbial loc: location adverbial time: time adverbial iter: habituality adverb

The relations matching ADVERB-!CDT1-TOPIC.

MORPH: morphology level

MORPHCOMP: compositional semantic relations MORPHDERIV: derivational semantic relations RuleMorph: syntactic morphlogy relation

The relations matching MORPH-!CDT1-!MORPHCOMP-!MORPHDERIV-TOPIC.

```
MORPHCOMP: compositional semantic relations

_ABOUT: noun-noun compound (about)

_AGENT:MC: noun-noun compound (agentive)

_CONST: noun-noun compound (constitutive)

_DOBJ.patient:

_EVAL: noun-noun compound (evaluative)

_FUNC: noun-noun compound (function)

_GOAL: noun-noun compound (goal)

_LOC: noun-noun compound (position)

_OTHER: noun-noun compound (other)

_POSS: noun-noun compound (possession)

_RESEM: noun-noun compound (resemblance)

_SOURCE: noun-noun compound (time)
```

The relations matching MORPHCOMP-!CDT1-TOPIC.

```
MORPHDERIV: derivational semantic relations
PREFIX: semantic relations appearing with prefixes
SUFFIX: semantic relations appearing with suffixes
```

The relations matching MORPHDERIV-!CDT1-!PREFIX-!SUFFIX-TOPIC.

```
PREFIX: semantic relations appearing with prefixes
   _AGENT: agentive
   ITER: iteration
   _MOD: modification
      _MOD:eval: evaluation
      _MOD:qual: qualification
      MOD:quant: quantification
   NEG: negation
      _NEG:contr: contrast
      _NEG:priv: privation
      _NEG:rev: reversion
   PRE:other: other prefix relation
   _SPACE: space
      _SPACE:dir: direction
      SPACE:loc: location
      _SPACE:source: source
   _TELIC: telic
   TIME: time
       _TIME:post: temporal succession
       _TIME:pre: temporal precedence
   _TRANS: transitivity
```

The relations matching PREFIX-!CDT1-TOPIC.

```
SUFFIX: semantic relations appearing with suffixes
   AUG: augmentation
   DENUM: adjective-numeral derivation
      _DENUM:apart: adjective-partitive derivation
      DENUM:ord: adjective-ordinal derivation
       DENUM:quant: adjective-multiplicative derivation
   DER: verb derivation
      DERadvv: adverb-verb derivation
      DERav: adjective-verb derivation
       DERnv: noun-verb derivation
       _DERva: verb-adjective derivation
          DERva:act: verb-adjective derivation (pure)
             DERva:act.disp: verb-adjective derivation (disposition)
              DERva:act.epi: verb-adjective derivation (potentiality)
          _DERva:pas: verb-adjective derivation (passive)
             _DERva:pas.deon: verb-adjective derivation (passive deontic)
             DERva:pas.epi: verb-adjective derivation (passive potentiality)
             DERva:pas.part: verb-adjective derivation (passive participles)
       DERvn: verb-noun derivation
          DERvn:agent: verb-noun derivation (agent)
          _DERvn:core: verb-noun derivation (core)
          _DERvn:exper: verb-noun derivation (experiencer)
          DERvn:loc: verb-noun derivation (location)
          DERvn:other: verb-noun derivation (other)
          DERvn:patient: verb-noun derivation (patient)
          DERvn:recip: verb-noun derivation (recipient)
       DERvv: verb-verb derivation
   DERan:qual: adjective derivation
   DERna: noun-adjective derivation
      DERna:deono: noun-adjective derivation (naming)
          DERna:deono.loc: noun-adjective derivation (naming places)
          _DERna:deono.pers: noun-adjective derivation (naming persons)
       DERna:disp: noun-adjective derivation (disposition)
       DERna:other: noun-adjective derivation (other)
      DERna:poss: noun-adjective derivation (possession)
       DERna:rel: noun-adjective derivation (relational)
          DERna:rel.norm: noun-adjective derivation (normal)
      DERna:resem: noun-adjective derivation (resemblance)
      DERna:telic: noun-adjective derivation (effect)
   DERnn: noun-noun derivation
       DERnn:agent: noun-noun derivation (agent)
      DERnn:assoc: noun-noun derivation (association)
      DERnn:capac: noun-noun derivation (capacity)
       DERnn:cont: noun-noun derivation (container)
       DERnn:loc: noun-noun derivation (location)
      DERnn:other: noun-noun derivation (other)
       DERnn:quant: noun-noun derivation (quantification)
       DERnn:telic: noun-noun derivation (telic)
       DERnn:time: noun-noun derivation (time)
   DERv:
   DIMIN: diminution
   PEJ: pejoration
```

The relations matching SUFFIX-!CDT1-TOPIC.

DISC: discourse level

DISCOTHER: other discourse relations

JOINT: no clear relation

REP: repaired SCENE: scene

DISCPRAG: pragmatic and illocutionary discourse relations

DISCSEM: semantic discourse relations RuleDisc: syntactic discourse relation

The relations matching DISC-!CDT1-!DISCFUNC-!DISCSEM-TOPIC.

DISCPRAG: pragmatic and illocutionary discourse relations

ANSW: answer

CONSOL: consolidation

CONSOL:inst: instrumental CONSOL:motiv: motivation CONSOL:source: source

DIREC: directive act EXPR: expressive act INTACT: interaction signals INTACT:attn: attention

INTACT:inter: interruption INTACT:start: start signal

INTACT:stop: stop QUEST: question

The relations matching DISCFUNC-!CDT1-TOPIC.

DISCSEM: semantic discourse relations

AGENTIVE: cause relation (discourse)

AGENTIVE:expl: explanation relation in discourse

AGENTIVE:reas: reason relation (discourse)

AGENTIVE:sbj: subjective cause

CONC: concession COND: condition CONJ: conjunction

CONJ:add: conjunction, addition CONJ:elab: conjunction, elaboration CONJ:seq: conjunction, sequence CONST: constitutive elaboration relation

CONST:apart: part of relation CONST:exem: exemplification CONST:rest: restatement

CONTR: contrast

CONTR:dir: direct contrast CONTR:sbj: subjective contrast

DISJ: disjunction

DISJ:dir: direct disjunction DISJ:sbj: subjective disjunction FORMAL: formal description

FORMAL:descr: neutral description

FORMAL:eval: positive/negative evaluation

TELIC: consequence/result/conclusion/goal relation (discourse)

TELIC:cons.dir: direct, physical consequence, result TELIC:cons.sbj: pragmatic/personal conclusion, deduction

TELIC:goal: goal relation (discourse)

TIME: temporal relation

TIME:cont: contemporaneity TIME:post: temporal succession TIME:pre: temporal precedence

The relations matching DISCSEM-!CDT1-TOPIC.

ANA: anaphor level

ANAREL: anaphor-antecedent relation

anaphor:

assoc: associative anaphor

coref: coreference

The relations matching ANA-!CDT1-!coref-!assoc-TOPIC.

```
coref: coreference
    coref-evol: evolving anaphor
    coref-iden: coreferential NP with lexical identity
    coref-res: resumptive anaphor
        coref-res.prg: pragmatic resumptive anaphor
        coref-var: coreferential NP with lexical variety
    ref: syntactically determined coreference
```

The relations matching coref-!CDT1-TOPIC.

```
assoc: associative anaphor
   assoc-OTHER: other anaphoric relations
       assoc-event: associative anaphor (event)
       assoc-loc: associative anaphor (location)
       assoc-time: associative anaphor (time)
   assoc-QUALIA: associative anaphor wrt. qualia
       assoc-agentive: associative anaphor (agentive)
          assoc-agentive.agent: associative anaphor (agentive-agent)
       assoc-const: associative anaphor (constitutive)
       assoc-formal: associative anaphor (formal)
       assoc-telic: associative anaphor (telic)
          assoc-telic.agent: associative anaphor (telic-agent)
          assoc-telic.exper: associative anaphor (telic-experiencer)
          assoc-telic.inst: associative anaphor (telic-instrument)
          assoc-telic.patient: associative anaphor (telic-patient)
          assoc-telic.rec: associative anaphor (telic-recipient)
   assoc-SEMROLE: associative anaphor wrt. semantic role
       assoc-agent: associative anaphor (agent)
       assoc-exper: associative anaphor (experiencer)
       assoc-inst: associative anaphor (instrument)
       assoc-patient: associative anaphor (patient)
       assoc-rec: associative anaphor (recipient)
```

The relations matching assoc-!CDT1-TOPIC.

100

```
SEM: semantic level
    SEMREL: semantic role
        QUALIA: qualia role
        {about}:
        {agent}: An object or a person that performs an action
        {apart}:
        {arg}:
        {cause}:
        {class}:
        {const}:
        {elab}:
        {eval}:
        {event}:
        {experiencer}: The receiver of an emotion or a physical impact
        {form}:
        {func}:
        {goal}:
        {iden}:
        {location}: The location where something is situated or happens
        {loc}:
        {other}: No specific semantic role
        {patient}: An object or a person that is the subject of the action or the one who
is located somewhere
        {poss}:
        {quant}:
        {recipient}: The receiver of something
        {resem}:
        {source}:
        {time}:
```

The relations matching SEM-!CDT1-!QUALIA-!SEMROLE-TOPIC.

```
QUALIA: qualia role
agentive: agentive qualia
const: constitutive qualia
formal: formal qualia
location: location qualia
resemblance: resemblance wrt. qualia role
""QUALIA: resemblance wrt. $\frac{1}{2}$qualia relation
telic: telic qualia
about: about qualia
```

The relations matching QUALIA-!CDT1.

The relations matching SEMROLE-!CDT1.

ALIGN: alignment level

ALIGNREL: alignment relation
"": unlabeled word alignment
f: fuzzy word alignment

The relations matching ALIGN-!CDT1-TOPIC.

RULE: generative type specification rule

""QUALIA: resemblance wrt. \$qualia relation

RuleAnd: conjunctive both-and type

RuleAttr: attribution

RuleAttrD: down-dependent in attribution
RuleAttrH: down-head in attribution
RuleDisc: syntactic discourse relation
RuleExpConn: explicit connector
RuleGap: gapping dependent
RuleIdiom: idiomatic relation pattern
RuleImpConn: implicit connector
RuleMorph: syntactic morphlogy relation
RuleOblAdv: valency-bound adverbial
RuleOr: disjunctive either-or type
RulePar: disambiguated type
RuleSec: secondary relation pattern

The relations matching RULE-!CDT1-TOPIC.

ONTO: ontology level

ONTOCLASS: ontological class

_top: ontological entity

_abstract: abstract entity

_concrete: concrete entity

The relations matching ONTO-!CDT1-TOPIC.

```
CDT1: Deprecated CDT1 relations
   CDT1ADJ: Deprecated CDT1 adjunct relations
      err: Deprecated error relation.
      list: Deprecated list element.
      mod: modifier/adverbial
          modo: object-oriented modifier
          modp: parenthetic modifier
          modr: restrictive modifier
      mods:
      obl:
      rep:
   CDT1COMP: Deprecated CDT1 complement relations
      lobj: Deprecated locative object.
      tobj: Deprecated temporal object.
   CDT1GAP: Deprecated CDT1 gap relations
      <avobj>:
      <dobj>:
      <lobj>:
      <mod>:
      <nobj>:
      <pobj:nobj>:
      <pobj>:
      <possd>:
      <pred>:
      <qobj>:
      <subj:pobj>:
      <subj>:
      <vobj>:
      <xpl>:
```

The relations matching CDT1.

```
MISPLACED: misplaced relation __interfix:
```

The relations matching -ANY.

Appendix B

Agreement and confusion tables

In the following tables, the columns are interpreted as follows:

- *Relation name R:* the name of the relation.
- *Full labeled agreement A:* the probability that another annotator assigns the same label and out-node to the relation.
- Unlabeled agreement A_U : the probability that another annotator assigns the same outnode (but not necessarily label) to the relation.
- Label agreement A_L : the probability that another annotator assigns the same label (but not necessarily out-node) to the relation.
- *Relation count N*: the number of distinct multiply annotated tokens in the corpus that were annotated with the relation by at least one annotator.
- Confusion table: the relations that other annotators used, with a percentage that indicates the probability that each relation was used by the other annotator instead of R.

Agreement is computed differently for morphology than for the other linguistic levels (by comparing label sequences), and the scores for morphology are therefore used differently: in particular, $A=A_L$ always denotes the probability that the other annotator selects the same label in the sequence of labels (but no claim about outnode), and $A_U=100\%$ always because out-nodes cannot be compared.

B.1 Confusion table: syntax

R	N	$\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{A}_U/\mathbf{A}_L$	Confusion list
att	1	100/100/100%	$att_{100\%}$
namel	8	100/100/100%	$namel_{100\%}$
voc	3	100/100/100%	$voc_{100\%}$
xtop	4	100/100/100%	xtop _{100%}
expl	62	89/97/89%	$expl_{89\%}$ $subj_{9\%}$ $preds_{2\%}$ $time_{1\%}$ $pobj_{1\%}$
namef	168	85/88/87%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$

subj	1433	81/83/82%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
nobj	3395	79/85/82%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
pnct	2136	78/80/85%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
neg	130	76/87/78%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
xpl	21	76/86/76%	$xpl_{76\%}$ $conj_{6\%}$ $pobj_{6\%}$ $other_{5\%}$ $nobj_{4\%}$ $subj_{1\%}$ $vobj_{1\%}$ $preds_{1\%}$ $pnct_{1\%}$ $title_{1\%}$
dobj	903	76/85/77%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
vobj	1092	75/85/77%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
possd	307	75/80/77%	possd $_{77\%}$ nobj $_{7\%}$ attr $_{3\%}$ pnct $_{3\%}$ vobj $_{1\%}$ pobj $_{1\%}$ dobj $_{1\%}$ coord $_{1\%}$ subj $_{1\%}$ conj $_{1\%}$ time $_{1\%}$ relr $_{1\%}$ quant $_{1\%}$ inst $_{0\%}$ aobj $_{0\%}$ numm $_{0\%}$ scene $_{0\%}$ loc $_{0\%}$ preds $_{0\%}$ other $_{0\%}$ possr $_{0\%}$ namef $_{0\%}$ conc $_{0\%}$ neg $_{0\%}$ focal $_{0\%}$ cond $_{0\%}$ numa $_{0\%}$ event $_{0\%}$ add $_{0\%}$ iobj $_{0\%}$ title $_{0\%}$ appr $_{0\%}$ appa $_{0\%}$ concom $_{0\%}$ name $_{0\%}$

conj	690	74/76/76%	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
cause	52	73/81/81%	cause $_{81\%}$ attr $_{6\%}$ conj $_{2\%}$ time $_{2\%}$ cons $_{2\%}$ pobj $_{2\%}$ subj $_{1\%}$ dobj $_{1\%}$ other $_{1\%}$ pnct $_{1\%}$ iter $_{1\%}$ nobj $_{1\%}$ name $_{1\%}$ vobj $_{1\%}$
qobj	75	71/71/71%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
coord	525	70/76/71%	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
cond	36	69/78/75%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
iobj	25	68/98/68%	$iobj_{68\%}$ $dobj_{20\%}$ $robj_{8\%}$ $pnct_{2\%}$ $subj_{1\%}$ $nobj_{1\%}$ $attr_{1\%}$ $possd_{1\%}$
add	66	67/90/67%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
numa	6	67/88/67%	$numa_{67\%}$ $nobj_{21\%}$ $attr_{4\%}$ $dobj_{4\%}$ $possd_{4\%}$
title	39	67/73/67%	$\begin{array}{lll} title_{67\%} & nobj_{13\%} & subj_{3\%} & appr_{3\%} & dobj_{3\%} & pnct_{2\%} & attr_{2\%} \\ conj_{2\%} & vobj_{2\%} & preds_{1\%} & coord_{1\%} & name_{1\%} & neg_{0\%} & possd_{0\%} \\ agent_{0\%} & numm_{0\%} & pobj_{0\%} & xpl_{0\%} \end{array}$
preds	513	66/89/66%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
quant	186	66/78/68%	$\begin{array}{lll} \text{quant}_{68\%} & \text{nobj}_{7\%} & \text{attr}_{4\%} & \text{man}_{2\%} & \text{other}_{2\%} & \text{pobj}_{2\%} & \text{eval}_{2\%} \\ \text{dobj}_{1\%} & \text{time}_{1\%} & \text{pnct}_{1\%} & \text{vobj}_{1\%} & \text{prg}_{1\%} & \text{avobj}_{1\%} & \text{degr}_{1\%} \\ \text{possd}_{1\%} & \text{relr}_{1\%} & \text{add}_{1\%} & \text{elab}_{1\%} & \text{subj}_{1\%} & \text{conj}_{0\%} & \text{numm}_{0\%} \\ \text{coord}_{0\%} & \text{accom}_{0\%} & \text{epi}_{0\%} & \text{preds}_{0\%} & \text{name}_{0\%} & \text{appa}_{0\%} & \text{iter}_{0\%} \\ \text{appr}_{0\%} & \text{namef}_{0\%} & \text{event}_{0\%} \end{array}$
appr	50	64/71/64%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
avobj	38	61/88/61%	avobj $_{61\%}$ other $_{11\%}$ quant $_{5\%}$ part $_{5\%}$ subj $_{3\%}$ conj $_{3\%}$ aobj $_{3\%}$ loc $_{3\%}$ pobj $_{3\%}$ nobj $_{1\%}$ proct $_{1\%}$ namef $_{1\%}$ man $_{1\%}$ appa $_{1\%}$ attr $_{0\%}$ coord $_{0\%}$ numm $_{0\%}$

pobj	769	61/79/61%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
attr	1312	59/73/64%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
exem	17	59/65/77%	$exem_{77\%}\ ex_{6\%}\ subj_{4\%}\ conj_{3\%}\ other_{3\%}\ attr_{2\%}\ source_{2\%}$ $namef_{1\%}\ nobj_{1\%}\ dobj_{1\%}\ goal_{1\%}\ pobj_{1\%}$
time	321	56/71/61%	time $_{61\%}$ nobj $_{8\%}$ attr $_{6\%}$ iter $_{4\%}$ pnct $_{3\%}$ preds $_{2\%}$ dobj $_{2\%}$ subj $_{1\%}$ coord $_{1\%}$ pobj $_{1\%}$ man $_{1\%}$ cons $_{1\%}$ conj $_{1\%}$ other $_{1\%}$ quant $_{1\%}$ possd $_{1\%}$ prg $_{1\%}$ event $_{1\%}$ vobj $_{1\%}$ cond $_{1\%}$ loc $_{0\%}$ neg $_{0\%}$ scene $_{0\%}$ eval $_{0\%}$ cause $_{0\%}$ numm $_{0\%}$ relr $_{0\%}$ possr $_{0\%}$ goal $_{0\%}$ fpredo $_{0\%}$ appa $_{0\%}$ qobj $_{0\%}$ expl $_{0\%}$ namef $_{0\%}$ part $_{0\%}$ inst $_{0\%}$ resem $_{0\%}$ name $_{0\%}$ concom $_{0\%}$ appr $_{0\%}$ add $_{0\%}$
eval	53	51/84/53%	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
cons	14	50/86/64%	$cons_{64\%} \ time_{21\%} \ inst_{7\%} \ cause_{7\%}$
elab	4	50/75/50%	$elab_{50\%} \; prg_{25\%} \; quant_{25\%}$
appa	50	48/49/54%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
loc	246	48/84/50%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
man	125	47/78/52%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
part	32	47/69/47%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
correl	11	46/65/46%	$ {\sf correl}_{46\%} \; {\sf subj}_{10\%} \; {\sf add}_{9\%} \; {\sf focal}_{9\%} \; {\sf other}_{9\%} \; {\sf nobj}_{5\%} \; {\sf pnct}_{5\%} \\ {\sf dobj}_{3\%} \; {\sf conj}_{2\%} \; {\sf appr}_{1\%} \; {\sf appa}_{1\%} \; {\sf pobj}_{1\%} $
name	46	41/63/44%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$

dir	75	40/95/40%	$dir_{40\%}\ loc_{39\%}\ pobj_{12\%}\ other_{3\%}\ part_{1\%}\ attr_{1\%}\ dobj_{1\%} \ man_{1\%}\ pnct_{1\%}$
focal	40	35/53/48%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
contr	26	35/85/35%	$\begin{array}{ll} contr_{35\%} \ discmark_{15\%} \ conc_{12\%} \ scene_{8\%} \ coord_{8\%} \ nobj_{6\%} \\ pnct_{5\%} \ prg_{4\%} \ other_{4\%} \ dobj_{2\%} \ relr_{1\%} \ attr_{1\%} \ subj_{1\%} \end{array}$
ері	21	33/63/38%	epi $_{38\%}$ nobj $_{15\%}$ man $_{11\%}$ other $_{10\%}$ eval $_{10\%}$ pnct $_{6\%}$ subj $_{4\%}$ relr $_{2\%}$ part $_{1\%}$ pobj $_{1\%}$ attr $_{1\%}$ quant $_{1\%}$ vobj $_{1\%}$
scene	54	32/57/34%	scene $_{34\%}$ attr $_{9\%}$ subj $_{7\%}$ nobj $_{5\%}$ add $_{4\%}$ contr $_{4\%}$ goal $_{4\%}$ loc $_{4\%}$ coord $_{3\%}$ pnct $_{3\%}$ vobj $_{3\%}$ preds $_{3\%}$ time $_{2\%}$ conj $_{2\%}$ part $_{2\%}$ man $_{2\%}$ other $_{2\%}$ inst $_{2\%}$ dobj $_{2\%}$ possd $_{1\%}$ namef $_{1\%}$ neg $_{1\%}$ relr $_{1\%}$ pobj $_{1\%}$ iter $_{1\%}$ agent $_{1\%}$ event $_{0\%}$
aobj	39	31/67/31%	$\begin{array}{lll} aobj_{31\%} \ nobj_{25\%} \ attr_{19\%} \ preds_{5\%} \ vobj_{4\%} \ focal_{3\%} \ avobj_{3\%} \\ possd_{3\%} \ \ man_{3\%} \ \ pnct_{2\%} \ \ concom_{1\%} \ \ dobj_{1\%} \ \ possr_{1\%} \\ conj_{0\%} \ \ name_{0\%} \end{array}$
relpa	17	29/100/29%	$relr_{65\%}$ $relpa_{29\%}$ $rel_{6\%}$
inst	31	29/75/32%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
relr	188	29/74/31%	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
agent	21	29/73/29%	$\begin{array}{lll} pobj_{38\%} \ agent_{29\%} \ nobj_{11\%} \ attr_{5\%} \ pnct_{5\%} \ subj_{3\%} \ dobj_{2\%} \\ conj_{2\%} \ preds_{1\%} \ scene_{1\%} \ numm_{1\%} \ title_{1\%} \ neg_{1\%} \ vobj_{1\%} \end{array}$
source	14	29/79/29%	$\begin{array}{lll} source_{29\%} \ pobj_{22\%} \ other_{14\%} \ subj_{11\%} \ concom_{7\%} \ man_{7\%} \\ attr_{5\%} \ exem_{2\%} \ pnct_{1\%} \ nobj_{1\%} \ dobj_{1\%} \ coord_{1\%} \end{array}$
conc	20	25/60/25%	$\begin{array}{cccc} conc_{25\%} & contr_{15\%} & prg_{10\%} & other_{10\%} & pobj_{7\%} & nobj_{7\%} \\ conj_{6\%} & attr_{6\%} & pnct_{5\%} & dobj_{3\%} & subj_{2\%} & possd_{1\%} & appr_{1\%} \\ coord_{1\%} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$
goal	60	25/64/30%	$goal_{30\%}$ $pobj_{24\%}$ $nobj_{9\%}$ $attr_{9\%}$ $subj_{5\%}$ $dobj_{4\%}$ $scene_{3\%}$ $man_{3\%}$ $pnct_{2\%}$ $fpredo_{2\%}$ $other_{2\%}$ $vobj_{2\%}$ $preds_{1\%}$ $time_{1\%}$ $coord_{1\%}$ $accom_{1\%}$ $conj_{1\%}$ $numm_{0\%}$ $namef_{0\%}$ $exem_{0\%}$
numm	59	21/31/21%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
accom	26	19/60/23%	$\begin{array}{lll} man_{27\%} & accom_{23\%} & nobj_{12\%} & attr_{10\%} & coord_{5\%} & pnct_{5\%} \\ other_{4\%} & pobj_{4\%} & vobj_{2\%} & subj_{2\%} & goal_{2\%} & quant_{1\%} & neg_{1\%} \\ preds_{1\%} & conj_{1\%} & \end{array}$
other	139	19/80/19%	other $_{19\%}$ attr $_{14\%}$ loc $_{9\%}$ pobj $_{8\%}$ nobj $_{5\%}$ add $_{5\%}$ prg $_{4\%}$ avobj $_{3\%}$ quant $_{3\%}$ man $_{3\%}$ focal $_{2\%}$ pnct $_{2\%}$ time $_{2\%}$ iter $_{1\%}$ epi $_{1\%}$ source $_{1\%}$ dir $_{1\%}$ eval $_{1\%}$ conc $_{1\%}$ dobj $_{1\%}$ subj $_{1\%}$ preds $_{1\%}$ goal $_{1\%}$ part $_{1\%}$ conj $_{1\%}$ resem $_{1\%}$ xpl $_{1\%}$ contr $_{1\%}$ correl $_{1\%}$ scene $_{1\%}$ accom $_{1\%}$ coord $_{0\%}$ vobj $_{0\%}$ possd $_{0\%}$ cause $_{0\%}$ exem $_{0\%}$ name $_{0\%}$ numm $_{0\%}$ cond $_{0\%}$ qobj $_{0\%}$ appa $_{0\%}$ neg $_{0\%}$
iter	25	16/69/20%	time $_{48\%}$ iter $_{20\%}$ other $_{8\%}$ vobj $_{6\%}$ attr $_{4\%}$ eval $_{4\%}$ nobj $_{2\%}$ dobj $_{1\%}$ relr $_{1\%}$ cause $_{1\%}$ name $_{1\%}$ scene $_{1\%}$ pobj $_{1\%}$ coord $_{0\%}$ quant $_{0\%}$ subj $_{0\%}$ appr $_{0\%}$

discmark	32	16/91/16%	$coord_{56\%}$ discmark $_{16\%}$ $contr_{13\%}$ add $_{9\%}$ $qobj_{6\%}$
resem	13	15/20/31%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
prg	34	12/80/12%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
robj	9	11/100/11%	$dobj_{67\%}$ $iobj_{22\%}$ $robj_{11\%}$
predo	29	7/69/7%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
concom	15	7/29/7%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
rel	79	4/95/4%	$relr_{89\%}$ $relelab_{5\%}$ $rel_{4\%}$ $relpa_{1\%}$ $vobj_{1\%}$
comp	1	0/0/0%	$conj_{40\%}$ $nobj_{40\%}$ $subj_{20\%}$
degr	2	0/50/0%	$quant_{100\%}$
event	6	0/50/0%	time $_{33\%}$ loc $_{33\%}$ nobj $_{15\%}$ attr $_{5\%}$ conj $_{3\%}$ scene $_{3\%}$ possd $_{3\%}$ subj $_{2\%}$ pnct $_{2\%}$ quant $_{2\%}$
ex	1	0/100/0%	$exem_{100\%}$
fpredo	11	0/36/0%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
fpreds	4	0/75/0%	$man_{50\%}$ $nobj_{25\%}$ $vobj_{25\%}$
possr	25	0/10/4%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
relelab	6	0/100/0%	$rel_{67\%}$ $relr_{33\%}$

TOTAL 16086 69/80/72%

B.2 Confusion table: semantics

R	N	$\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{A}_U/\mathbf{A}_L$	Confusion list
recipient	7	57/100/57%	$recipient_{57\%} \; loc_{14\%} \; patient_{14\%} \; goal_{14\%}$
goal	85	55/90/57%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
time	31	55/69/71%	$\begin{array}{lll} time_{71\%} & source_{13\%} & other_{7\%} & arg_{7\%} & about_{1\%} & patient_{1\%} \\ agent_{1\%} & & & & & & \\ \end{array}$
const	51	53/92/55%	$\begin{array}{ll} const_{55\%} \ arg_{20\%} \ source_{6\%} \ form_{4\%} \ poss_{4\%} \ apart_{2\%} \ loc_{2\%} \\ class_{2\%} \ goal_{2\%} \ func_{2\%} \ elab_{2\%} \end{array}$
func	45	51/100/51%	$\begin{array}{ll} func_{51\%} \ arg_{18\%} \ goal_{11\%} \ loc_{7\%} \ about_{4\%} \ const_{2\%} \ iden_{2\%} \\ patient_{2\%} \ other_{2\%} \end{array}$
eval	2	50/50/50%	${\sf arg}_{50\%}$ eval ${\sf s}_{50\%}$
location	2	50/100/50%	$loc_{50\%}$ $location_{50\%}$
resem	2	50/100/50%	$resem_{50\%} goal_{50\%}$
arg	228	46/86/50%	$\text{arg}_{50\%}$ $\text{agent}_{9\%}$ $\text{patient}_{8\%}$ $\text{goal}_{5\%}$ $\text{loc}_{5\%}$ $\text{const}_{4\%}$ $\text{about}_{4\%}$ $\text{func}_{4\%}$ $\text{other}_{3\%}$ $\text{source}_{3\%}$ $\text{poss}_{3\%}$ $\text{quant}_{1\%}$ $\text{time}_{1\%}$ $\text{eval}_{0\%}$ $\text{elab}_{0\%}$

loc	92	46/82/54%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
agent	84	45/80/50%	$\begin{array}{lll} agent_{50\%} & arg_{25\%} & patient_{8\%} & experiencer_{4\%} & about_{3\%} \\ goal_{2\%} & source_{2\%} & loc_{2\%} & elab_{2\%} & quant_{1\%} & poss_{1\%} & time_{0\%} \\ other_{0\%} & & & & & & & & & \\ \end{array}$
patient	92	45/76/49%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
experiencer	7	43/100/43%	experiencer $_{43\%}$ agent $_{43\%}$ patient $_{14\%}$
form	7	43/86/43%	$form_{43\%} \; const_{29\%} \; loc_{14\%} \; elab_{14\%}$
apart	19	42/100/42%	$quant_{47\%} \ apart_{42\%} \ loc_{5\%} \ const_{5\%}$
elab	12	42/50/50%	$elab_{50\%} \ loc_{13\%} \ agent_{13\%} \ const_{8\%} \ form_{8\%} \ arg_{4\%} \ other_{4\%}$
source	51	41/80/43%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
class	5	40/100/40%	$class_{40\%} \ other_{40\%} \ const_{20\%}$
poss	32	38/76/41%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
quant	28	36/79/36%	$\begin{array}{ll} quant_{36\%} \ apart_{32\%} \ arg_{11\%} \ patient_{5\%} \ other_{5\%} \ agent_{4\%} \\ source_{4\%} \ goal_{3\%} \ loc_{2\%} \ about_{1\%} \end{array}$
about	37	35/87/35%	$\begin{array}{lll} about_{35\%} & arg_{22\%} & patient_{20\%} & agent_{6\%} & goal_{6\%} & func_{5\%} \\ loc_{3\%} & other_{1\%} & quant_{1\%} & time_{1\%} \end{array}$
other	38	22/69/22%	other $_{22\%}$ arg $_{17\%}$ goal $_{11\%}$ poss $_{9\%}$ loc $_{8\%}$ source $_{8\%}$ time $_{6\%}$ class $_{5\%}$ patient $_{4\%}$ quant $_{3\%}$ func $_{3\%}$ elab $_{1\%}$ about $_{1\%}$ agent $_{1\%}$
cause	1	0/100/0%	$goal_{100\%}$
iden	1	0/100/0%	$func_{100\%}$
·			

TOTAL 959 45/83/49%

B.3 Confusion table: discourse

R	N	$\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{A}_U/\mathbf{A}_L$	Confusion list
ANSW	1	100/100/100%	ANSW _{100%}
SCENE	19	64/64/79%	$SCENE_{79\%} CONJ_{9\%} JOINT_{5\%} CONJ:add_{3\%}$
			$CONJ:elab_{3\%}$ $CONST:apart_{1\%}$
CONJ:seq	13	62/92/69%	$CONJ: seq_{69\%} \ CONJ: add_{15\%} \ CONJ: elab_{8\%} \ DIREC_{8\%}$
AGENTIVE:expl	11	55/100/55%	$AGENTIVE.expl_{55\%} CONTR_{9\%} AGENTIVE.reas_{9\%}$
			$AGENTIVE_{9\%}$ $CONST:rest_{9\%}$ $AGENTIVE:sbj_{9\%}$
COND	1	50/50/50%	$conj_{50\%} \ COND_{50\%}$
CONJ:add	127	39/64/48%	$CONJ:add_{48\%} \qquad CONJ:elab_{15\%} \qquad CONJ_{10\%} \qquad conj_{5\%}$
			$JOINT_{5\%}$ $CONC_{3\%}$ $AGENTIVE:sbj_{2\%}$ $TELIC:cons.sbj_{2\%}$
			$CONST:apart_{2\%} \qquad CONJ:seq_{2\%} \qquad CONTR:dir_{1\%}$
			$CONTR_{1\%}$ $TIME:pre_{1\%}$ $CONTR:prg_{1\%}$ $CONTR:sbj_{1\%}$
			$TELIC:cons.dir_{1\%}\ DISJ:dir_{1\%}\ vobj_{1\%}\ SCENE_{0\%}$
CONST:exem	16	38/75/56%	$CONST:exem_{56\%} \qquad CONST:apart_{13\%} \qquad CONJ:elab_{6\%}$
			$JOINT_{6\%}$ CONSOL:source _{6%} CONST:rest _{6%} CONC _{6%}
TELIC:cons.dir	17	37/59/49%	$TELIC:cons.dir_{49\%} \qquad TELIC:cons.sbj_{12\%} \qquad CONJ_{7\%}$
			$CONTR: dir_{7\%} \qquad CONJ: add_{6\%} \qquad AGENTIVE: reas_{6\%}$
			$CONJ:elab_{4\%}$ $conj_{4\%}$ $qobj_{3\%}$ $CONTR_{2\%}$

CONC	19	37/59/37%	$\begin{array}{llll} CONC_{37\%} & CONJ: add_{18\%} & CONJ: elab_{8\%} & CONTR_{5\%} \\ FORMAL: eval_{5\%} & subj_{5\%} & CONJ_{5\%} & CONST: exem_{5\%} \\ TELIC: cons. sbj_{4\%} & conj_{3\%} & CONTR: prg_{3\%} & AGENTIVE_{1\%} \\ CONTR: sbj_{1\%} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$
JOINT	15	30/50/43%	$JOINT_{43\%}$ $CONJ:add_{40\%}$ $SCENE_{7\%}$ $CONST:exem_{7\%}$ $xpl_{3\%}$
AGENTIVE:reas	11	27/73/27%	AGENTIVE:sbj $_{36\%}$ AGENTIVE:reas $_{27\%}$ CONJ:elab $_{18\%}$ AGENTIVE:expl $_{9\%}$ TELIC:cons.dir $_{9\%}$
CONTR:sbj	10	27/49/37%	$\begin{array}{lll} CONTR:sbj_{37\%} & CONJ:elab_{15\%} & conj_{13\%} & CONJ:add_{10\%} \\ CONTR:prg_{10\%} & CONTR:dir_{10\%} & AGENTIVE_{3\%} & CONC_{3\%} \end{array}$
TELIC:cons.sbj	15	27/67/29%	$\begin{array}{lll} TELIC:cons.sbj_{29\%} & CONJ:add_{16\%} & CONJ:elab_{13\%} \\ TELIC:cons.dir_{13\%} & CONST:rest_{13\%} & CONJ_{7\%} \\ CONTR:dir_{7\%} & CONC_{2\%} \end{array}$
FORMAL:descr	4	25/50/25%	CONJ:elab _{75%} FORMAL:descr _{25%}
CONJ:elab	109	23/48/35%	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
FORMAL:eval	9	22/56/33%	$CONJ:elab_{44\%}$ $FORMAL:eval_{33\%}$ $CONJ_{11\%}$ $CONC_{11\%}$
CONSOL:source	5	20/60/20%	$\begin{array}{lll} AGENTIVE:sbj_{40\%} & CONJ_{20\%} & CONST:exem_{20\%} & CONSD:exem_{20\%} \\ SOL:source_{20\%} & \end{array}$
CONST:apart	12	17/52/25%	$\begin{array}{lll} CONJ:elab_{25\%} & CONST:apart_{25\%} & CONJ:add_{21\%} \\ CONST:exem_{17\%} & nobj_{8\%} & CONJ_{2\%} & SCENE_{2\%} \end{array}$
CONTR:dir	15	16/31/29%	$\begin{array}{lll} CONTR:dir_{29\%} & conj_{19\%} & CONTR:prg_{10\%} & CONJ:add_{9\%} \\ CONJ:elab_{8\%} & TELIC:cons.dir_{8\%} & TELIC:cons.sbj_{7\%} \\ CONTR:sbj_{7\%} & CONTR_{2\%} & CONJ_{2\%} \end{array}$
CONST:rest	11	14/59/17%	$\begin{array}{lll} CONJ:elab_{23\%} & TELIC:cons.sbj_{18\%} & CONST:rest_{17\%} \\ CONST:elab_{9\%} & AGENTIVE:expl_{9\%} & CONST:exem_{9\%} \\ conj_{6\%} & AGENTIVE_{5\%} & qobj_{5\%} \end{array}$
CONJ	48	6/42/15%	$\begin{array}{llll} CONJ: add_{28\%} & CONJ: elab_{26\%} & CONJ_{15\%} & SCENE_{6\%} \\ AGENTIVE: sbj_{5\%} & TELIC: cons. dir_{3\%} & TELIC: goal_{2\%} \\ TELIC: cons. sbj_{2\%} & FORMAL: eval_{2\%} & CONSOL: source_{2\%} \\ TELIC_{2\%} & CONC_{2\%} & qobj_{1\%} & DISJ: dir_{1\%} & CONTR_{1\%} \\ CONST: apart_{1\%} & CONTR: dir_{1\%} & AGENTIVE_{0\%} \\ \end{array}$
AGENTIVE	5	0/59/0%	CONJ:elab $_{24\%}$ AGENTIVE:sbj $_{24\%}$ AGENTIVE:expl $_{20\%}$ CONST:rest $_{10\%}$ DISJ:dir $_{8\%}$ CONTR:sbj $_{5\%}$ CONC $_{5\%}$ CONJ $_{4\%}$
AGENTIVE:sbj	16	0/66/0%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
CONSOL	2	0/50/0%	$CONJ:elab_{100\%}$
CONST:elab	3	0/100/0%	CONJ:elab _{67%} CONST:rest _{33%}
CONTR	4	0/81/0%	CONJ: $add_{25\%}$ AGENTIVE: $expl_{25\%}$ CONC $_{25\%}$ CONJ $_{6\%}$ CONJ: $elab_{6\%}$ TELIC: $cons.dir_{6\%}$ CONTR: $dir_{6\%}$
CONTR:prg	7	0/14/0%	$\begin{array}{lll} conj_{29\%} & CONTR : dir_{21\%} & CONTR : sbj_{14\%} & CONJ : add_{14\%} \\ CONJ : elab_{14\%} & CONC_{7\%} & \end{array}$
DIREC	3	0/67/0%	CONJ:elab _{67%} CONJ:seq _{33%}
DISJ:dir	2	0/50/10%	CONJ: $\operatorname{add}_{50\%}$ CONJ $_{10\%}$ DISJ: $\operatorname{dir}_{10\%}$ CONJ: $\operatorname{elab}_{10\%}$
	. •	.,, ,	$AGENTIVE_{10\%} \ \ AGENTIVE : sbj_{10\%}$

TELIC	1	0/0/0%	$CONJ_{100\%}$
TELIC:goal	1	0/100/0%	$CONJ_{100\%}$
TIME:pre	1	0/100/0%	$CONJ:add_{100\%}$
<u> </u>			

TOTAL 533 28/58/36%

B.4 Confusion table: anaphora

R	N	$\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{A}_U/\mathbf{A}_L$	Confusion list
assoc-event	3	100/100/100%	${\sf assoc\text{-}event}_{100\%}$
assoc-formal	2	100/100/100%	$assoc\text{-}formal_{100\%}$
ref	73	89/89/89%	$ref_{89\%} \;\; coref_{5\%} \;\; coref\text{-}var_{4\%} \;\; coref\text{-}res_{1\%}$
coref	199	73/75/82%	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
coref-iden	73	65/71/72%	coref-iden $_{72\%}$ coref-var $_{13\%}$ coref $_{9\%}$ coref-res $_{4\%}$ assocconst $_{1\%}$ coref coref-iden $_{1\%}$
coref-var	156	61/68/69%	$\begin{array}{lll} coref\text{-}var_{69\%} \ \ coref_{13\%} \ \ coref\text{-}iden_{6\%} \ \ assoc\text{-}const_{4\%} \ \ coref\text{-}\\ res_{3\%} \ \ ref_{2\%} \ \ assoc\text{-}telic_{1\%} \ \ coref\text{-}evol_{1\%} \ \ assoc_{1\%} \ \ coref\text{-}\\ res.prg_{0\%} \ \ assoc\text{-}agentive.agent_{0\%} \ \ assoc\text{-}telic.agent_{0\%} \end{array}$
assoc-loc	9	56/93/56%	$assoc\text{-}loc_{56\%} \;\; assoc\text{-}const_{37\%} \;\; coref_{7\%}$
assoc-telic	32	55/72/67%	assoc-telic $_{67\%}$ coref-res $_{12\%}$ assoc-const $_{9\%}$ coref-var $_{5\%}$ assoc-agentive $_{3\%}$ coref $_{3\%}$ assoc-agentive.agent $_{1\%}$
coref-res	43	51/58/59%	coref-res $_{59\%}$ coref $_{11\%}$ coref-var $_{10\%}$ assoc-telic $_{9\%}$ corefiden $_{7\%}$ ref $_{2\%}$ coref-res.prg $_{2\%}$
assoc-const	47	49/74/55%	assoc-const $_{55\%}$ coref-var $_{14\%}$ assoc $_{9\%}$ assoc-loc $_{7\%}$ assoctelic $_{6\%}$ coref $_{5\%}$ assoc-agentive $_{2\%}$ coref-iden $_{2\%}$
assoc	9	39/83/39%	$assoc\text{-const}_{44\%} \ assoc_{39\%} \ coref\text{-var}_{11\%} \ coref_{6\%}$
assoc-agentive	4	25/50/50%	assoc-agentive $_{50\%}$ assoc-telic $_{25\%}$ assoc-const $_{25\%}$
assoc-	1	0/33/0%	$coref\text{-}var_{67\%}$ assoc- $telic_{33\%}$
agentive.agent			
assoc-telic.agent	1	0/0/0%	$coref\text{-}var_{67\%}\ coref_{33\%}$
assoc-telic.patient	1	0/0/0%	$coref_{100\%}$
coref coref-iden	1	0/100/0%	$coref ext{-}iden_{100\%}$
coref-evol	1	0/100/0%	$coref ext{-}var_{100\%}$
coref-res.prg	2	0/0/0%	$coref\text{-}var_{50\%} \ \ coref\text{-}res_{50\%}$

TOTAL 657 65/73/73%

B.5 Confusion table: morphology

R	N	$\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{A}_U/\mathbf{A}_L$	Confusion list
TIME:post	1	100/100/100%	TIME:post _{100%}
TIME:pre	1	100/100/100%	TIME:pre _{100%}
DERav	4	75/100/75%	$DERav_{75\%} \ {25\%}$
DERan:qual	14	71/100/71%	$DERan: qual_{71\%} \qquad \qquad{14\%} \qquad \qquad DERnn: loc_{7\%}$
			$DERna$:rel.deono.loc $_{7\%}$
NEG:contr	5	60/100/60%	$NEG:contr_{60\%}\ NEG:priv_{20\%}\ MOD:eval_{20\%}$
DERvn:core	65	59/100/59%	$DERvn.core_{59\%} -_{23\%} DERvn.patient_{12\%} DERnv_{3\%}$
			$DERvn$:other $_{2\%}$ TELIC $DERvn$:patient $_{2\%}$

0.50			
DER:aa	2	50/100/50%	- _{50%} DER:aa _{50%}
DERnn:agent	10	50/100/50%	$ DERnn:agent_{50\%} \ -_{40\%} \ DERna:rel.deono.loc_{10\%} $
DERva:act	12	42/100/42%	$\begin{array}{ll} DERva:act_{42\%} &{42\%} & DERva:pas.part_{8\%} & DE- \\ VERB:rel.norm_{8\%} & \end{array}$
DERvn:agent	12	42/100/42%	${58\%}$ DERvn:agent $_{42\%}$
DERnv	18	39/100/39%	${44\%}$ DERnv $_{39\%}$ DERvn:core $_{11\%}$ DERva:pas.part $_{6\%}$
MOD:qual	13	39/100/39%	$\begin{array}{lll} MOD\text{:}qual_{39\%} & -_{31\%} & const_{8\%} & loc_{8\%} & MOD\text{:}quant_{8\%} \\ TELIC_{8\%} & & & \end{array}$
DERna:disp	3	33/100/33%	DERna:rel _{67%} DERna:disp _{33%}
DERva:pas.part	12	33/100/33%	-50% DERva:pas.part _{33%} DERva:act _{8%} DERnv _{8%}
MOD:quant	11	27/100/27%	${55\%}$ MOD:quant $_{27\%}$ MOD:qual $_{9\%}$ QUANT $_{9\%}$
about	4	25/100/25%	$ABOUT_{75\%}$ about $_{25\%}$
LOC:dir	6	17/100/17%	-50% SPACE:source _{17%} TELIC _{17%} LOC:dir _{17%}
TELIC	18	17/100/17%	${61\%}$ TELIC $_{17\%}$ TRANS $_{6\%}$ MOD:qual $_{6\%}$ NEG:priv $_{6\%}$ LOC:dir $_{6\%}$
DERvn:patient	11	9/100/9%	$DERvn$: $core_{73\%}$ $-_{18\%}$ $DERvn$: $patient_{9\%}$
TRANS	12	8/100/8%	-83% TRANS _{8%} TELIC _{8%}
func	34	6/100/6%	$GOAL_{50\%}$ $ABOUT_{15\%}$ $OTHER_{12\%}$ $ARG_{6\%}$ $-_{6\%}$ $func_{6\%}$ $CONST_{3\%}$ $QUANT_{3\%}$
APOLIT	145	0/100/0%	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
ABOUT	19	0/100/0%	func $_{26\%}$ const $_{16\%}$ about $_{16\%}$ ${11\%}$ arg $_{11\%}$ DERvn:agent dobj.patient $_{5\%}$ NEG:priv $_{5\%}$ agent $_{5\%}$ func arg DERvn:patient $_{5\%}$
AGENT	2	0/100/0%	DERvn:core LOC:dir subj.agent $func_{50\%}$ agent $_{50\%}$
AGENT:MC	1	0/100/0%	$agent_{100\%}$
ARG	14	0/100/0%	$arg_{64\%}$ func $_{14\%}$ ${7\%}$ agent $_{7\%}$ const LOC: $dir_{7\%}$
CONST	4	0/100/0%	$const_{50\%}$ agent $_{25\%}$ func $_{25\%}$
DERaa	1	0/100/0%	- 100%
DERan:qual ARG	1	0/100/0%	⁻ 100%
DERan:rel DERav	1	0/100/0%	$DERna:rel.norm_{100\%}$
DERvn:core	10	0/100/00	DED
DERna:rel	12	0/100/0%	DERna:rel.norm _{50%} – _{33%} DERna:disp _{17%}
DERna:rel DE- Ran:qual	1	0/100/0%	DERna:rel.norm DER:aa _{100%}
DERna:rel.deono.loc	: 19	0/100/0%	-90% DERan:qual $_{5\%}$ DERnn:agent $_{5\%}$
DERna:rel.norm	13	0/100/0%	$\begin{array}{lll} DERna:rel_{46\%} &{39\%} & DERan:rel & DERav & DERvn:core_{8\%} \\ DERva:rel_{8\%} & & & & & & & \\ \end{array}$

DERna:rel.norm	1	0/100/0%	DERnn:assoc DERna:rel _{100%}
DENOM:rel.place	_	0/400/00	050 1050 1
DERna:rel.norm DER:aa	1	0/100/0%	DERna:rel DERan:qual _{100%}
DERna:resem	1	0/100/0%	⁻ 100%
DERnn:agent func	1	0/100/0%	$GOAL_{100\%}$
DERnn:assoc	1	0/100/0%	DERna:rel.norm DENOM:rel.place _{100%}
DERna:rel			
DERnn:loc	2	0/100/0%	${50\%}$ DERan:qual $_{50\%}$
DERnv	1	0/100/0%	⁻ 100%
DERvn:core			
DERnv TELIC	1	0/100/0%	- 100%
DERvn:core			
DERva:act.epi	1	0/100/0%	- 100%
DERva:pas.epi	1	0/100/0%	- 100%
DERva:rel	1	0/100/0%	$DERna:rel.norm_{100\%}$
DERvn:agent	1	0/100/0%	-100%
LOC:loc			
DERvn:agent	1	0/100/0%	$ABOUT_{100\%}$
dobj.patient			
DERvn:core	3	0/100/0%	-100%
LOC:dir			
DERvn:core	1	0/100/0%	$AGENT_{100\%}$
LOC:dir subj.agent			
func			
DERvn:core	1	0/100/0%	- 100%
LOC:loc			
DERvn:core	1	0/100/0%	- 100%
MOD:quant			
DERvn:core agent	1	0/100/0%	$GOAL_{100\%}$
DERvn:core arg	1	0/100/0%	$GOAL_{100\%}$
DERvn:core	2	0/100/0%	DERvn:other $GOAL_{100\%}$
dobj.patient			
DERvn:core eval	1	0/100/0%	MOD :eval $_{100\%}$
DERvn:core	7	0/100/0%	DERvn:patient GOAL $_{100\%}$
iobj.recipient			
DERvn:core	1	0/100/0%	DERvn:other ABOUT $_{100\%}$
subj.agent			
DERvn:other	2	0/100/0%	-50% DERvn:core50%
DERvn:other	1	0/100/0%	DERvn:core subj.agent _{100%}
ABOUT			
DERvn:other	2	0/100/0%	DERvn:core dobj.patient $_{100\%}$
GOAL			
DERvn:patient	7	0/100/0%	DERvn:core iobj.recipient $_{100\%}$
GOAL			
DERvn:patient	1	0/100/0%	- 100%
func DERvn:agent		- / /	0.50
DEVERB:rel.norm	1	0/100/0%	DERva:act _{100%}
DOBJ.patient	1	0/100/0%	dobj.patient _{100%}
EVAL	1	0/100/0%	$eval_{100\%}$

GOAL 24 0/100/0% func $_{71\%}$ iden $_{8\%}$ DERvn:core agent $_{4\%}$ DERnn:agent func $_{4\%}$ const $_{4\%}$ DERvn:core arg $_{4\%}$ loc MOD:qual $_{4\%}$ GOAL SOURCE 1 0/100/0% MOD:qual arg $_{100\%}$
GOAL SOURCE 1 0/100/0% MOD:qual arg _{100%}
100
$\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{0\%}$ $\frac{100}{100\%}$
LOC:loc 1 0/100/0% SPACE:loc _{100%}
MOD:eval 2 $0/100/0\%$ NEG:contr _{50%} DERvn:core eval _{50%}
MOD:qual arg 1 $0/100/0\%$ GOAL SOURCE _{100%}
NEG:priv 3 0/100/0% NEG:contr _{33%} TELIC _{33%} ABOUT _{33%}
NOPRED:core 1 $0/100/0\%$ ${100\%}$
OTHER 9 $0/100/0\%$ iden _{44%} func _{44%} apart _{11%}
QUANT 2 0/100/0% func _{50%} MOD:quant _{50%}
SOURCE 1 0/100/0% - _{100%}
SPACE:dir 1 $0/100/0\%$ ${100\%}$
SPACE:loc 3 0/100/0% -67% LOC:loc _{33%}
SPACE:source 1 0/100/0% LOC:dir _{100%}
SUBJ.agent 5 $0/100/0\%$ subj.agent _{100%}
TELIC 1 0/100/0% DERvn:core _{100%}
DERvn:patient
TIME:MC 3 0/100/0% time _{100%}
TRANS 2 $0/100/0\%$ ${100\%}$
DERva:act
agent 5 $0/100/0\%$ AGENT:MC _{20%} CONST _{20%} ABOUT _{20%} AGENT _{20%}
$ARG_{20\%}$
apart $1 0/100/0\% OTHER_{100\%}$
arg $11 0/100/0\% \qquad ARG_{82\%} \; ABOUT_{18\%}$
const 9 $0/100/0\%$ ABOUT _{33%} ${22\%}$ CONST _{22%} MOD:qual _{11%} GOAL _{11%}
const LOC:dir 1 $0/100/0\%$ ARG _{100%}
dobj.patient 1 $0/100/0\%$ DOBJ.patient _{100%}
eval 1 $0/100/0\%$ EVAL $_{100\%}$
func DERvn:core 2 $0/100/0\%$ ${100\%}$
func arg 1 $0/100/0\%$ ABOUT _{100%}
DERvn:patient
func func 1 $0/100/0\%$ ${100\%}$
iden 8 $0/100/0\%$ OTHER $_{50\%}$ GOAL $_{25\%}$ ${13\%}$ FUNC $_{13\%}$
loc $3 0/100/0\% LOC_{67\%} MOD:qual_{33\%}$
loc MOD:qual 1 $0/100/0\%$ GOAL $_{100\%}$
subj.agent 5 $0/100/0\%$ SUBJ.agent _{100%}
time 3 0/100/0% TIME:MC _{100%}

TOTAL 667 15/100/15%

B.6 Confusion table: morphology-no-null

R	N	$\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{A}_U/\mathbf{A}_L$	Confusion list
DER:aa	1	100/100/100%	DER:aa _{100%}
DERav	3	100/100/100%	DERav _{100%}
DERvn:agent	5	100/100/100%	$DERvn$:agent $_{100\%}$
TIME:post	1	100/100/100%	$TIME:post_{100\%}$
TIME:pre	1	100/100/100%	$TIME:pre_{100\%}$

DED 1	40	00/400/00~	
DERan:qual	12	83/100/83%	DERan:qual _{83%} DERnn:loc _{8%} DERna:rel.deono.loc _{8%}
DERnn:agent	6	83/100/83%	DERnn:agent _{83%} DERna:rel.deono.loc _{17%}
DERvn:core	50	76/100/76%	$\begin{array}{ll} DERvn:core_{76\%} & DERvn:patient_{16\%} & DERnv_{4\%} \\ DERvn:other_{2\%} & TELIC \ DERvn:patient_{2\%} \end{array}$
DERva:act	7	71/100/71%	$\begin{array}{ll} DERva:act_{71\%} & DERva:pas.part_{14\%} & DE- \\ VERB:rel.norm_{14\%} & \end{array}$
DERnv	10	70/100/70%	$DERnv_{70\%} \ \ DERvn: core_{20\%} \ \ DERva: pas.part_{10\%}$
DERva:pas.part	6	67/100/67%	$DERva:pas.part_{67\%}\ DERva:act_{17\%}\ DERnv_{17\%}$
MOD:quant	5	60/100/60%	MOD :quant $_{60\%}$ MOD :qual $_{20\%}$ QUANT $_{20\%}$
NEG:contr	5	60/100/60%	$NEG:contr_{60\%}\ NEG:priv_{20\%}\ MOD:eval_{20\%}$
MOD:qual	9	56/100/56%	$\begin{array}{lll} MOD.qual_{56\%} & const_{11\%} & loc_{11\%} & MOD.quant_{11\%} \\ TELIC_{11\%} & \end{array}$
TRANS	2	50/100/50%	TRANS _{50%} TELIC _{50%}
TELIC	7	43/100/43%	$\begin{array}{lll} TELIC_{43\%} & TRANS_{14\%} & MOD \text{:qual}_{14\%} & NEG \text{:priv}_{14\%} \\ LOC \text{:dir}_{14\%} & & \end{array}$
DERna:disp	3	33/100/33%	DERna:rel _{67%} DERna:disp _{33%}
LOC:dir	3	33/100/33%	SPACE:source _{33%} TELIC _{33%} LOC:dir _{33%}
about	4	25/100/25%	$ABOUT_{75\%}$ about _{25%}
DERvn:patient	9	11/100/11%	DERvn:core _{89%} DERvn:patient _{11%}
func	32	6/100/6%	$GOAL_{53\%}$ $ABOUT_{16\%}$ $OTHER_{13\%}$ $func_{6\%}$ $ARG_{6\%}$
			QUANT _{3%} CONST _{3%}
ABOUT	17	0/100/0%	$\begin{array}{lll} func_{29\%} & const_{18\%} & about_{18\%} & arg_{12\%} & DERvn:agent \\ dobj.patient_{6\%} & NEG:priv_{6\%} & agent_{6\%} & func & arg \\ DERvn:patient_{6\%} & \end{array}$
AGENT	2	0/100/0%	DERvn:core LOC:dir subj.agent func $_{50\%}$ agent $_{50\%}$
AGENT:MC	1	0/100/0%	$agent_{100\%}$
ARG	13	0/100/0%	$arg_{69\%}$ func $_{15\%}$ agent $_{8\%}$ const LOC: $dir_{8\%}$
CONST	4	0/100/0%	$const_{50\%}$ agent $_{25\%}$ func $_{25\%}$
DERan:rel DERav	1	0/100/0%	DERna:rel.norm _{100%}
DERvn:core			
DERna:rel	8	0/100/0%	DERna:rel.norm _{75%} DERna:disp _{25%}
DERna:rel DE-	1	0/100/0%	DERna:rel.norm DER:aa _{100%}
Ran:qual			100%
DERna:rel.deono.loc	2	0/100/0%	$DERan$:qual $_{50\%}$ $DERnn$:agent $_{50\%}$
DERna:rel.norm	8	0/100/0%	DERna:rel _{75%} DERan:rel DERav DERvn:core _{13%}
			DERva:rel _{13%}
DERna:rel.norm	1	0/100/0%	DERnn:assoc DERna:rel _{100%}
DENOM:rel.place			
DERna:rel.norm	1	0/100/0%	DERna:rel DERan:qual _{100%}
DER:aa			1 2000
DERnn:agent func	1	0/100/0%	GOAL _{100%}
DERnn:assoc	1	0/100/0%	DERna:rel.norm DENOM:rel.place _{100%}
DERna:rel		0, 200, 010	
DERnn:loc	1	0/100/0%	DERan:qual _{100%}
DERva:rel	1	0/100/0%	DERna:rel.norm _{100%}
DERvn:agent	1	0/100/0%	ABOUT _{100%}
dobj.patient	•	0, 100, 0,0	100%
DERvn:core	1	0/100/0%	AGENT _{100%}
LOC:dir subj.agent	1	0, 100, 070	100%
func			
DERvn:core agent	1	0/100/0%	$GOAL_{100\%}$
DEITVII.COTE agent	1	0/ 100/ 0/0	30/12 _{100%}

DERvn:core arg	1	0/100/0%	$GOAL_{100\%}$
DERvn:core	2	0/100/0%	DERvn:other GOAL _{100%}
dobj.patient			
DERvn:core eval	1	0/100/0%	$MOD:eval_{100\%}$
DERvn:core	7	0/100/0%	DERvn:patient GOAL _{100%}
iobj.recipient			
DERvn:core	1	0/100/0%	DERvn:other ABOUT _{100%}
subj.agent			
DERvn:other	1	0/100/0%	DERvn:core _{100%}
DERvn:other	1	0/100/0%	DERvn:core subj.agent _{100%}
ABOUT			
DERvn:other	2	0/100/0%	$DERvn : core dobj.patient_{100\%}$
GOAL			
DERvn:patient	7	0/100/0%	$DERvn : core \ iobj.recipient_{100\%}$
GOAL			
DEVERB:rel.norm	1	0/100/0%	DERva:act _{100%}
DOBJ.patient	1	0/100/0%	$dobj.patient_{100\%}$
EVAL	1	0/100/0%	$eval_{100\%}$
FUNC	1	0/100/0%	$iden_{100\%}$
GOAL	24	0/100/0%	$func_{71\%} iden_{8\%} DERvn: core agent_{4\%} DERnn: agent$
			$func_{4\%} \; const_{4\%} \; DERvn$: $core \; arg_{4\%} \; loc \; MOD$: $qual_{4\%}$
GOAL SOURCE	1	0/100/0%	MOD:qual arg _{100%}
LOC	2	0/100/0%	$loc_{100\%}$
LOC:loc	1	0/100/0%	SPACE:loc _{100%}
MOD:eval	2	0/100/0%	$NEG:contr_{50\%}$ DERvn:core eval $_{50\%}$
MOD:qual arg	1	0/100/0%	GOAL SOURCE _{100%}
NEG:priv	3	0/100/0%	$NEG:contr_{33\%}$ $TELIC_{33\%}$ $ABOUT_{33\%}$
OTHER	9	0/100/0%	$iden_{44\%}$ $func_{44\%}$ $apart_{11\%}$
QUANT	2	0/100/0%	$func_{50\%} \ MOD:quant_{50\%}$
SPACE:loc	1	0/100/0%	LOC : $loc_{100\%}$
SPACE:source	1	0/100/0%	LOC:dir _{100%}
SUBJ.agent	5	0/100/0%	$subj.agent_{100\%}$
TELIC	1	0/100/0%	DERvn:core _{100%}
DERvn:patient			
TIME:MC	3	0/100/0%	time _{100%}
agent	5	0/100/0%	$AGENT : MC_{20\%} CONST_{20\%} ABOUT_{20\%} AGENT_{20\%}$
			$ARG_{20\%}$
apart	1	0/100/0%	$OTHER_{100\%}$
arg	11	0/100/0%	$ARG_{82\%}$ $ABOUT_{18\%}$
const	7	0/100/0%	$ABOUT_{43\%}$ $CONST_{29\%}$ MOD :qual $_{14\%}$ $GOAL_{14\%}$
const LOC:dir	1	0/100/0%	ARG _{100%}
dobj.patient	1	0/100/0%	$DOBJ.patient_{100\%}$
eval	1	0/100/0%	EVAL _{100%}
func arg	1	0/100/0%	$ABOUT_{100\%}$
DERvn:patient			
iden	7	0/100/0%	$OTHER_{57\%}$ $GOAL_{29\%}$ $FUNC_{14\%}$
loc	3	0/100/0%	$LOC_{67\%}$ MOD:qual $_{33\%}$
loc MOD:qual	1	0/100/0%	$GOAL_{100\%}$
subj.agent	5	0/100/0%	$SUBJ.agent_{100\%}$
time	3	0/100/0%	TIME:MC _{100%}

TOTAL 377 27/100/27%

B.7 Confusion table: alignment

R	N	$\mathbf{A}/\mathbf{A}_U/\mathbf{A}_L$	Confusion list
TOTAL	0	0/0/0%	

Appendix C

Annotation status

C.1 All texts

	alignment	${\tt discourse}$	morphology	postag	status	s syntax
none	950	2038	2162			911
auto)			1774		65
outdated-fi	nal 53	36				495
first	45	79	97	1	1	131
discusse	ed 132	204	1			94
final	. 112		60	536		627

C.2 da texts

	discourse	morphology	postag	syntax
none	421	463		
auto				
outdated-final				124
first	20	55	1	7
discussed	96	1		7
final		17	535	398

C.3 de texts

	discourse	morphology	postag	syntax
none	405	412		326
auto			413	
outdated-final				
first	9	1		56
discussed				8
final				23

C.4 en texts

	discourse	morphology	postag	syntax
none	496	535		
auto			536	65
outdated-final				371

	first discussed final	43	1		30 1 69
C.5	es texts				
	none auto outdated-final first discussed final	discourse 386 4 25	morphology 341 30 42	postag 413	syntax 341 1 71
C .6	it texts				
	none auto outdated-final	discourse 330	411	postag 412	syntax 244
	first discussed final	83	5	1	38 77 57
C.7	da-de texts				
	none auto outdated-final	alignment 368	morphology	syntax	
		_	morphology 2	syntax	
C.8	auto outdated-final first discussed	368		·	
C.8	auto outdated-final first discussed final da-en texts none auto	368 45 alignment	2	·	syntax
C.8	auto outdated-final first discussed final da-en texts	368 45	2	4	syntax
	auto outdated-final first discussed final da-en texts none auto outdated-final first discussed	368 45 alignment	2 discourse	4 morphology	

auto
outdated-final
first 1 2
discussed 39
final 43

C.10 da-it texts

alignmen	t discours	e morphology	status	syntax
none	251			
auto				
outdated-final				
first		1	1	1
discussed	93			
final	69			2

Appendix D

Index

((REL)) hyperpage, 81	{pos}, 73	assoc-agent?, 63
(PRIM)/ATTRINTEGER,	ADOUT 27 75 77 112	assoc-agentive, 112
80 (DEL) 8 (DEL) 00	ABOUT, 27, 75, 76, 113-	assoc-agentive.agent, 112
(REL)&(REL), 80	117	assoc-const, 112
(REL) (REL), 81	about, 109, 110, 113, 116	assoc-event, 112
(SEMREL)# hyperpage, 7,	accom, 105–108	assoc-formal, 112
80	add, 105–109	assoc-loc, 112
*DISC, 80	additive, 32	assoc-scope?, 65
-, 40, 56, 75, 112-115	ADJUNCT, 8	assoc-telic, 112
<prim(:prim)*:integer> hyp</prim(:prim)*:integer>		assoc-telic.agent, 112
23, 80	agent, 105–110, 113, 115–	assoc-telic.patient, 112
@ADVERB, 9, 81	117	att, 104
[PRIM] hyperpage, 9, 81	AGENT:MC, 27, 113,	attr, 104–109
[\$PRIM] hyperpage, 18	115-117	avobj, 104–108
%alignment, 87	AGENTIVE, 110, 111	1 00
%anaphora, 87	AGENTIVE:expl, 110,	ben, 28
%discourse, 87	111	cause, 105-110
%freepredicatives, 89	AGENTIVE:reas, 106,	CIRCUM, 56
%genitives, 89	110, 111	class, 109, 110
%morphology, 88	AGENTIVE:sbj, 110, 111	comp, 30, 105, 106, 109
%np, 89	align, 77	comparecomp, 33
%np_adjectives, 89	ALIGNMENT, 5, 77	COMPLEMENT, 8
%np_adverbials, 90	ANAPHORA, 6, 57	CONC, 110, 111
%np_compounds, 89	ANSW, 110	conc, 105–109
%np_deverbal, 90	answer, 52	CONCATENATION, 8
%np_modifiers, 89	aobj, 105–109	concom, 104–109
%np_relational, 90	apart, 109, 110, 115, 117	COND, 110
%pp, 90	appa, 104–109	cond, 105–109
%semantics, 89	appr, 105–109	CONJ, 110–112
%subjectpredicatives, 90	ARG, 27, 113, 115–117	conj, 104–111
%syntax, 89	arg, 109, 110, 113, 115–	CONJ:add, 106, 110–112
%verbalobjects, 91	117	CONJ:elab, 105, 106, 110,
%vp, 90	ASPEC:cause+reflex, 39	111
-	ASPEC:iter, 39	
_, 61 _(PRIM), 36, 50, 80, 81	ASPEC:rev, 40	CONJ:seq, 110, 111
		cons, 106–108
{\$PRIM} hyperpage, 15	ASPEC:term+resul, 41	CONSOL analy 51
{origin}, 75	assoc, 112	CONSOL:enabl, 51

CONTROL		
CONSOL:source, 110, 111	DERan:rel DERav	DERvn:core iobj.recipient,
CONST, 113, 115–117	DERvn:core,	114, 117
const, 109, 110, 113, 115–	113, 116	DERvn:core LOC:dir,
117	DERay, 112, 113, 115	113, 114
const LOC:dir, 113, 115-	DERna:disp, 113, 116	DERvn:core LOC:dir
117	DERna:rel, 113, 116	subj.agent func,
CONST:apart, 110, 111	DERna:rel DERan:qual,	113, 114, 116
CONST:elab, 111	113, 114, 116	DERvn:core LOC:loc,
CONST:exem, 110, 111	DERna:rel.deono.loc,	113, 114
CONST:rest, 106, 110, 111	112, 113, 116	DERvn:core MOD:quant,
constitutive, 75	DERna:rel.norm, 113,	113, 114
cont, 9, 11, 81	114, 116	DERvn:core subj.agent,
CONTR, 110, 111	DERna:rel.norm DE-	114, 117
contr, 105–109	NOM:rel.place,	DERvn:other, 112–114,
CONTR:dir, 106, 110, 111	114, 116	116, 117
CONTR:prg, 55, 110, 111	DERna:rel.norm DER:aa,	DERvn:other ABOUT,
CONTR:sbj, 106, 110, 111	113, 114, 116	114, 117
contrast, 33	DERna:resem, 113, 114	DERvn:other GOAL, 114,
coord, 104–109	DERnn:agent, 113, 116	117
coref, 112	DERnn:agent func, 114-	DERvn:patient, 112, 113,
coref coref-iden, 112	117	116
coref-evol, 112	DERnn:assoc DERna:rel,	DERvn:patient func
coref-id, 59	114, 116	DERvn:agent,
coref-iden, 112	DERnn:loc, 112–114, 116	113, 114
coref-res, 112	DERnv, 112, 113, 116	DERvn:patient GOAL,
coref-res.prg, 112	DERnv DERvn:core, 113,	114, 117
coref-var, 112	114	DESCR:eval, 55
corefcoref-iden, 59	DERnv TELIC	DESCR:qual, 55
correl, 105–108	DERvn:core,	DEVERB, 47
January 20, 107, 100	113, 114	DEVERB: act. disp, 42
degr, 33, 106, 109	DERva:act, 113, 114, 116,	DEVERB: act. poten, 43
DENOM, 45	117	DEVERB: act.pure, 42
DENOM: disp, 45	DERva:act.epi, 113, 114	DEVERB: pas, 43
DENOM:eff, 46	DERva:pas.epi, 113, 114	DEVERB: pas.deon, 43
DENOM:other, 45	DERva:pas.part, 113, 116	DEVERB:pas.part, 43
DENOM: poss, 45	DERva:rel, 113, 114, 116	DEVERB: pas.poten, 43
DENOM: rel, 46	DERvn:agent, 113, 115	DEVERB:rel.norm, 113,
DENOM: rel.deono, 45	DERvn:agent dobj.patient,	114, 116, 117
DENOM:rel.deono.pers,	113, 114, 116	DIMENSION, 4
45 DENOM 1.1	DERvn:agent LOC:loc,	dir, 105, 107, 108
DENOM:rel.deono.place,	113, 114	DIREC, 110, 111
45	DERvn:core, 112–117	DISC* hyperpage, 80
DENOM:rel.norm, 46	DERvn:core agent, 114-	DISCFUNC, 50
DENOM:resem, 46	117	discmark, 106, 108, 109
DENUM:part, 41	DERvn:core arg, 114, 115,	DISCOURSE, 6, 49
DER:aa, 113, 115	117 DEPunicare dahi patient	discoursemarker, 31
DERaa, 113 DERan:qual, 112–114,	DERvn:core dobj.patient, 114, 117	DISJ:dir, 110, 111 DISJ:prg, 55
DERan:qual, 112–114, 116	114, 11/	Dioj.prg, 33
110	DERvercore aval 11/	dobi 104-100
DERan:qual ARG, 113	DERvn:core eval, 114, 115, 117	dobj, 104–109 DOBJ.patient, 114, 115,

117	ingt 105 100	NODDED town /7
117	inst, 105–109	NOPRED: temp, 47
dobj.patient, 114, 115, 117	iobj, 105–107, 109	nowincludesabolishedTIME:dur,
dur, 9, 11, 35, 81	iter, 105–108	56 nowincludescoref-
elab, 106, 107, 109, 110	JOINT, 110, 111	
ELAB:spec,ELAB:exp,CONST:	elabristoonsoi inet 51	res.cause, 60
54	9051CO1\00L.just, 51	numa, 105–107
elaboration, 33	LANDING, 7	numm, 104–109
epi, 105–108	LOC, 40, 115, 117	ONTOLOGY, 6, 82
epistemic, 31	loc, 105–110, 113, 115–	OTHER, 113, 115–117
EVAL, 32, 114, 115, 117	117	other, 105–110
eval, 104–109, 114, 115,	loc MOD:qual, 115, 117	other, 103-110
117	LOC:dir, 40, 113, 115–117	part, 104–108
evalatt, 32	LOC:loc, 115, 117	patient, 109, 110
evaluation, 32	LOC:pos, 40	pnct, 104–109
event, 105–109	LOC:proce, 40	pobj, 104–109
ex, 30, 107, 109	location, 109, 110	poss, 15, 16, 109, 110
exem, 104–109	100001011, 107, 110	possd, 104–109
exemplification, 30	man, 104-109	possr, 104–109
experiencer, 110	MOD:cuant+GRAD:size,	pragmatic, 31
expl, 104–107	40	prec, 9, 11, 81
ext, 9, 11, 35, 81	MOD:eval, 112, 114-117	PRED:agentPRED:inst,
cht, 7, 11, 35, 61	MOD:man, 39	44
FEATURE, 6	MOD:qual, 34, 56, 75, 113,	PRED:core, 44
focal, 105–108	115–117	PRED:exper, 44
focalizator, 32	MOD:qual arg, 115, 117	PRED:loc, 44
form, 109, 110	MOD:qual+MOD:rel+GRAD:	qualpreD:other 44
FORMAL:descr, 111	39	PRED:recip, 44
FORMAL:eval, 111	MOD:quant, 113, 115-	PRED:result, 44
fpredo, 105–109	117	PREDDEVERBN, 44
fpreds, 105, 107, 109	MORPHOLOGY, 6, 36	predo, 105–109
fsrc, 9		preds, 104–109
FUNC, 115, 117	name, 105–109	prg, 105–109
func, 54, 109, 110, 113,	namef, 104–109	prgcondpcondbgstruct,
115-117	namel, 104	32
func arg DERvn:patient,	neg, 105–109	PRIM/(CONNECTOR) hyperpage,
113, 115–117	NEG:contr, 112, 115-117	81
func DERvn:core, 113,	NEG:oppo, 40	PRIM/CONNECTOR, 80
115	NEG:priv, 56, 112, 113,	PRIMARY, 8
func func, 113, 115	115-117	Tidivii iiti, o
fuzzy, 77	nobj, 104-109, 111	qobj, 105–111
CAP	NOPRED, 46	QUAL, 45
GAP, 22	NOPRED:agent, 46	QUANT, 113, 115–117
GAPPING, 22	NOPRED:capac, 47	quant, 104–110
GOAL, 75, 113–117	NOPRED:cont, 47	quantification, 33
goal, 104–110	NOPRED:core, 113, 115	1
GOAL SOURCE, 115, 117	NOPRED:loc, 47	reas, 28
hab, 35	NOPRED:other, 47	reason, 53
	NOPRED:result, 47	recipient, 109, 110
iden, 109, 110, 113, 115,	NOPRED:script, 46	ref, 112
117	NOPRED:set, 47	rel, 105, 108, 109

RELATION, 6 relation, 3 relelab, 108, 109 relp, 25 relpa, 108, 109 relr, 104–109 resem, 105–109 robj, 105, 106, 109

SCENE, 110, 111 scene, 104–109 SECONDARY, 9 SEMANTICS, 6, 68 SEMROLE, 12, 15, 20 SOURCE, 113, 115 source, 105–110 SPACE:dir, 113, 115 SPACE:loc, 113, 115, 117 SPACE:source, 40, 113, 115–117 STRUCT:prepPREP, 49 STRUCT:rep, 49 subj, 104–109, 111 SUBJ.agent, 115, 117 subj.agent, 115, 117 succ, 9, 11, 81 super, 3 SUPPORT?, 50 SYNTAX, 6, 10

TELIC, 111–113, 115–117
TELIC DERvn:patient, 112, 115–117
TELIC:cons.dir, 106, 110, 111
TELIC:cons.sbj, 110, 111
TELIC:dir, 56
TELIC:goal, 111, 112
TELIC:sbj, 56
time, 104–110, 115, 117

TIME:MC, 34, 115, 117
TIME:post, 112, 115
TIME:pre, 110, 112, 115
TIME:prec, 41, 56
TIME:succ, 41, 56
title, 105–108
TRANS, 56, 113, 116
TRANS DERva:act, 113, 115

vobj, 104–110 voc, 104

xpl, 105–108, 111 xtop, 104

§DER:av, 42 §DER:nvPRED, 42 §DER:vv, 45 §DERV, 42