

THE PROOF OF THE QUILLEN-SUSLIN THEOREM

1. UNIMODULAR VECTOR

Definition 1. Let A be any ring. A vector $v \in A^s$ is unimodular if its components generate the unit ideal in A . For two unimodular vectors v, w , we write

$$v \sim w$$

if there is a matrix $M \in \text{GL}_s(A)$ such that $Mv = w$. This is clearly an equivalence relation.

Proposition 2. Over a principal ideal domain R , any two unimodular vectors are equivalent.

Proof. In fact, unimodular vectors $v \in R^m$ correspond to imbeddings $R \rightarrow R^m$ which are split injections. But if we have a split injection in this way, the cokernel is free (as we are over a PID), and consequently there is a basis for R^m one of whose elements is v . This implies that v is conjugate to $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. \square

Theorem 3 (Horrocks). Let $A = R[x]$ for (R, \mathfrak{m}) a local ring. Then any unimodular vector in A^s one of whose elements has leading coefficient one is equivalent to e_1 .

Proof. Let $v(x) = (v_1(x), \dots, v_s(x))$ be a unimodular vector. Suppose without loss of generality that the leading coefficient of $v_1(x)$ is one, so that $v_1(x) = x^d + a_1x^{d-1} + \dots$. If $d = 0$, then v_1 is a unit and there is nothing to prove. We will induct on d .

Then, by making elementary row operations (which don't change the equivalence class of $v(x)$), we can assume that $v_2(x), \dots, v_s(x)$ all have degree $\leq d - 1$. Consider the coefficients of these elements. At least one of them must be a unit. In fact, if we reduce mod \mathfrak{m} , then not all the $v_i, i \geq 2$ can go to zero or the $v_i(x)$ would not generate the unit ideal mod \mathfrak{m} . So let us assume that $v_2(x)$ contains a unit among its coefficients.

The claim is now that we can make elementary row operations so as to find another unimodular vector, in the same equivalence class, one of whose elements is monic of degree $\leq d - 1$. If we can show this, then induction on d will easily complete the proof.

Now, here is a lemma: If we have two polynomials $a(x), b(x) \in R[x]$, with $\deg a = d$ and a monic, and b of degree $\leq d - 1$ containing at least one coefficient which is a unit, there is a polynomial $a(x)e(x) + b(x)f(x) \in (a(x), b(x))$ of degree $\leq d - 1$ whose leading coefficient is one. This is easy to see with a bit of explicit manipulation.

This means that there are $e(x), f(x)$, such that $e(x)v_1(x) + f(x)v_2(x)$ has degree $\leq d - 1$ and leading coefficient a unit. If we keep this fact in mind, we can, using row and column operations, modify the vector $v(x)$ such that it contains a monic

element of degree $\leq d - 1$. We just add appropriate multiples of v_1, v_2 to v_3 to make the leading coefficient a unit. This works if $s \geq 3$. If $s = 1$ or $s = 2$, the lemma can be checked directly. \square

Corollary 4. *If R is local and $v(x) \in R[x]^s$ is a unimodular vector one of whose elements is monic, then $v(x) \sim v(0)$.*

Proof. In fact, $v(0)$ is a unimodular vector in R , hence equivalent to e_1 . We have also seen [in Theorem 3] that $v(x)$ is equivalent to e_1 . \square

Lemma 5. *Suppose $v(x) \sim v(0)$ over the localization $R_S[x]$. Then there exists a $c \in S$ such that $v(x) \sim v(x + cy)$ over $R[x, y]$.*

Proof. As before, we can choose a matrix $M(x) \in \text{GL}_s(R_S[x])$ such that $M(x)v(x) = v(0)$, and then the matrix $N(x, y) := M(x)^{-1}M(x + y)$ has the property that

$$N(x, y)v(x + y) = v(x).$$

It follows that if we substitute cy for y , then we have

$$N(x, cy)v(x + cy) = v(x).$$

The claim is that we can choose $c \in S$ such that $N(x, cy)$ actually has R -coefficients. In fact, this is because $N(x, 0) = I$, which implies that $N(x, y) = I + yW$ for some matrix W with values in $R_S[x, y]$. If we replace y with cy for c an element of S , then we can clear the denominators in W and arrange it so that $N(x, cy) \in R[x, y]$. \square

Corollary 6. *Suppose R is any ring, and $v(x) \in R[x]^s$ is a unimodular vector one of whose leading coefficients is one. Then $v(x) \sim v(0)$.*

Proof. Let us consider the set I of $q \in R$ such that $v(x + qy) \sim v(x)$ in $R[x, y]$. If we can show that $1 \in I$, then we will be done, because after applying the homomorphism $x \mapsto 0, R[x, y] \rightarrow R[y]$, we will get that $v(y) \sim v(0)$ in $R[y]$.

We start by observing that I is an ideal. In fact, suppose $v(x + qy) \sim v(x)$ and $v(x + q'y) \sim v(x)$. Then, substituting $x \mapsto x + q'y$ in the first leads to

$$v(x + q'y + qy) \sim v(x + q'y) \in R[x, y]$$

and since $v(x + q'y) \sim v(x)$, we get easily by transitivity that $q + q' \in I$. Similarly, we have to observe that if $q \in I$ and $r \in R$, then $v(x + qry) \sim v(x)$. But this is true because one can substitute $y \mapsto ry$.

Since I is an ideal, to show that $1 \in I$ we just need to show that I is contained in no maximal ideal. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ be a maximal ideal. We then note that, by what we have already done for local rings [Corollary 4], we have that

$$v(x) \sim v(0) \quad \text{in} \quad R_{\mathfrak{m}}[x].$$

By Lemma 5, this means that there is a $q \in R - \mathfrak{m}$ such that $v(x + qy) \sim v(0)$; this means that $q \in I$. So I cannot be contained in \mathfrak{m} . Since this applies to any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , it follows that I must be the unit ideal. \square

Theorem 7. *Let $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a principal ideal domain k , and let $v \in R^n$ be a unimodular vector. Then $v \sim e_1$.*

Proof. We can now prove this by induction on n . When $n = 0$, it is immediate [by Proposition 2].

Suppose $n \geq 1$. Then we can treat R as $k[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, X]$, where we replace x_n by X to make it stand out. We can think of $v = v(X)$ as a vector of polynomials in X with coefficients in the smaller ring $k[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$.

If $v(X)$ has a term with leading coefficient one, then the previous results [Corollary 6] enable us to conclude that $v(X) \sim v(0)$, and as $v(0)$ lies in $k[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ we can use induction to work downwards. The claim is that, possibly after a change of variables x_1, \dots, x_n , we can always arrange it so that the leading coefficient in $X = x_n$ is one. The relevant change of variables leaves $X = x_n$ constant and

$$x_i \mapsto x_i - X^{M^i}, \quad M \gg 0 \quad (1 \leq i < n).$$

If M is chosen very large, one makes by this substitution the leading term of each of the elements of v a unit. So, without loss of generality we can assume that this is already the case. Thus, we can apply the inductive hypothesis on n to complete the proof. \square

2. STABLY FREE

Definition 8. A finitely generated module P over a commutative ring R is said to be stably free if there exists a finitely generated free module F such that the direct sum $P \oplus F$ is a free module.

Proposition 9. Let M be a projective module. Then M is stably free if and only if M admits a finite free resolution.

Proof. If M is stably free then it is trivial that M has a finite free resolution. Conversely assume the existence of the resolution with the above notation. We prove that M is stably free by induction on n . The assertion is obvious if $n = 0$. Assume $n \geq 1$. Insert the kernels and cokernels at each step, in the manner of dimension shifting. Say

$$M_1 = \text{Ker}(E_0 \rightarrow P),$$

giving rise to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Since M is projective, this sequence splits, and $E_0 \cong M \oplus M_1$. But M_1 has a finite free resolution of length smaller than the resolution of M , so there exists a finite free module F such that $M_1 \oplus F$ is free. Since $E_0 \oplus F$ is also free, this concludes the proof of the theorem. \square

Lemma 10. Let R be a ring. Let $0 \rightarrow P' \rightarrow P \rightarrow P'' \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of finite R -modules. If 2 out of 3 of these modules has a finite free resolution, then so is the third.

Proposition 11. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring. Let x be a variable. If every finite R -module has a finite free resolution, then every finite $R[x]$ -module has a finite free resolution.

Proof. Let M be a finite $R[x]$ -module. M has a finite filtration

$$M = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset \cdots \supset M_n = 0$$

such that each factor M_i/M_{i+1} is isomorphic to $R[x]/P_i$ for some prime P_i . In light of Lemma 10, it suffices to prove the theorem in case $M = R[x]/P$ where P is prime, which we now assume. In light of the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow R[x] \rightarrow R[x]/P \rightarrow 0$$

and Lemma 10, we note that M has a finite free resolution if and only if P does.

Let $\mathfrak{p} = P \cap R$. Then \mathfrak{p} is prime in R . Suppose there is some $M = R[x]/P$ which does not admit a finite free resolution. Among all such M we select one for which the intersection \mathfrak{p} is maximal in the family of prime ideals obtained as above. This is possible in light of one of the basic properties characterizing Noetherian rings.

Let $R_0 = R/\mathfrak{p}$ so R_0 is entire. Let $P_0 = P/\mathfrak{p}R[x]$. Then we may view M as an $R_0[x]$ -module, equal to R_0/P_0 . Let f_1, \dots, f_n be a finite set of generators for P_0 , and let f be a polynomial of minimal degree in P_0 . Let K_0 be the quotient field of R_0 . By the euclidean algorithm, we can write

$$f_i = q_i f + r_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

with $q_i, r_i \in K_0[x]$ and $\deg r_i < \deg f$. Let d_0 be a common denominator for the coefficients of all q_i, r_i . Then $d_0 \neq 0$ and

$$d_0 f_i = q'_i f + r'_i$$

where $q'_i = d_0 q_i$ and $r'_i = d_0 r_i$ lie in $R_0[x]$. Since $\deg f$ is minimal in P_0 it follows that $r'_i = 0$ for all i , so

$$d_0 P_0 \subset R_0[x]f = (f).$$

Let $N_0 = P_0/(f)$, so N_0 is a module over $R_0[x]$, and we can also view N_0 as a module over $R[x]$. When so viewed, we denote N_0 by N . Let $d \in R$ be any element reducing to $d_0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then $d \notin \mathfrak{p}$ since $d_0 \neq 0$. The module N_0 has a finite filtration such that each factor module of the filtration is isomorphic to some $R_0[x]/\bar{Q}_0$ where \bar{Q}_0 is an associated prime of N_0 . Let Q be the inverse image of \bar{Q}_0 in $R[x]$. These prime ideals Q are precisely the associated primes of N in $R[x]$. Since d_0 kills N_0 it follows that d kills N and therefore d lies in every associated prime of N . By the maximality property in the selection of P , it follows that every one of the factor modules in the filtration of N has a finite free resolution, and by Lemma 10 it follows that N itself has a finite free resolution.

Now we view $R_0[x]$ as an $R[x]$ -module, via the canonical homomorphism

$$R[x] \rightarrow R_0[x] = R[x]/\mathfrak{p}R[x].$$

By assumption, \mathfrak{p} has a finite free resolution as R -module, say

$$0 \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then we may simply form the modules $E_i[x]$ in the obvious sense to obtain a finite free resolution of $\mathfrak{p}[x] = \mathfrak{p}R[x]$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}R[x] \rightarrow R[x] \rightarrow R_0[x] \rightarrow 0$$

we conclude that $R_0[x]$ has a finite free resolution as $R[x]$ -module.

Since R_0 is entire, it follows that the principal ideal (f) in $R_0[x]$ is $R[x]$ -isomorphic to $R_0[x]$, and therefore has a finite free resolution as $R[x]$ -module. Lemma 10 applied to the exact sequence of $R[x]$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow (f) \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

shows that P_0 has a finite free resolution; and further applied to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}R[x] \rightarrow P \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow 0$$

shows that P has a finite free resolution, thereby concluding the proof. \square

Then we have

Theorem 12. *Let R be a noetherian ring such that every finitely generated projective module over R is stably free. Then the same property holds true for $R[x]$.*

By induction, we see:

Corollary 13. *Every finitely generated projective module over $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, for any principal ideal domain k , is necessarily stably free.*

3. QUILLEN-SUSLIN THEOREM

Theorem 14 (Quillen-Suslin). *A finitely generated projective module over $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for k a principal ideal domain is free.*

Proof. By Corollary 13, we only need to show that a stably free module over $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is free. That is, if P is such a finitely generated module such that $P \oplus R^m \simeq R^{m'}$, then P is free. By induction on m , one reduces to the case $m = 1$. In this case we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R^{m'} \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$$

and we have to conclude that the cokernel P is free.

But the injection $R \rightarrow R^{m'}$ corresponds to a unimodular vector, and we have seen [by Theorem 7] that this is isomorphic to the standard embedding $e_1 : R \rightarrow R^{m'}$, whose cokernel is obviously free. Thus P is free. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] <https://amathew.wordpress.com/2012/01/16/the-quillen-suslin-theorem>.
- [2] Serge Lang, *Algebra*, volume 211 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, 2002.