## The exterior derivative

Let Mbe a smooth monifold

Recall that  $\Omega^{k}(M)$  is the vector space of smooth k-forme on M. For k=0,  $\Omega^{o}(M) = C^{oo}(M)$ .

The differential  $d: \Omega^{o}(M) \to \Omega^{h}(M)$  is defibed by

df={dfp6TpM:PEM3, where dfp(v)=V(f), VETpM

Notice:  $d(f \cdot g) = (df)g + f(dg)$ :

For each PEH and VET; M, d(fg)p(v) = V(fg) = V(f)g(p) + f(o) V(g) = dfp(v)g(p) + f(p) dgp(v) Def An IR-linear map of deg 1 D: 12 (M) -> 12 (M) is a sequence of R-lines more D: D'R(M) -> Dk41(M), k20. Dis an antidestration of deg. 1 il D(W/Z) = D(W) 12 +C-11 WAD(Z), for WED(H), & ED(M) Des An exterior desiration on H is an Relineer may of deg 1, D: 2°(M) -> 12°(M), such that (ii)  $D \circ D = 0$  (i.e.,  $\Omega^{R}(M) \xrightarrow{D} \Omega^{R+}(M) \xrightarrow{D} \Omega^{R+}(M)$  is O) (iii) D=d: 20(M) -> 21(M) (the differential).

Let M be a manifold and  $\emptyset = (x', x'') : U \rightarrow \Omega'$  a chart. Every we sk(m) can be withen uniquely as w= Z azdxI, where az: U > 12 one smooth Here  $T = (16i, c. ci_k \in U)$ ,  $dx^T = dx^i_{\lambda}$ ,  $dx^i_k$ . Let  $dv = d : \Omega^0(v) \rightarrow \Omega^1(v)$ ,  $dv(x) = \frac{\partial x}{\partial x^i} dx^i$ . For  $k \ge 1$  define  $dv : \Omega^k(v) \rightarrow \Omega^{k + i}(v)$  by  $d_{\mathcal{O}}(\sum_{\mathbf{R}} \alpha_{\mathbf{R}} dx^{\mathbf{R}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{T}} d\alpha_{\mathbf{T}} \wedge dx^{\mathbf{T}} = \sum_{\mathbf{T}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \wedge dx^{i} \wedge dx^{\mathbf{T}}.$ Prop  $d_0: \Sigma^{2}(0) \rightarrow \Omega^{2}(0)$  is the unique estation derivative on  $\Omega^{2}(0)$ .

Proof As in the case USIR", see Prop 4.7 and 4.8 ts.

Let  $Y = (Y', Y'): V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be another chord on M. We similarly get  $dv: \Omega^*(V) \rightarrow \Omega^*(V)$  exherion derivative on V.

It follows by uniqueness that du and du "restrict" to the same exterior cherivative on  $\Omega^*(Un V)$ .

## Constration of the exterior derivative on M Défine d: DR(M) -> Dkul (m) for 1. Given we Elkimi unel PEM, droose a dront Ø=(x1,x1):0->12" such that PEU. Now use du: \(\infty\) \(\infty\) \(\infty\) \(\infty\) \(\infty\) Let (dw) p = du(wiu) p & Ak+1 (TpM) (This is independent of the choice of \$). Letting P vory, this gives a smooth section dw: M -> April (TM), house an element dw & 22 ktl (M) Prop d: Q=(M) -> Q=(M) is an exterior derivative. Proof We can check the conditions locally at each P Hence the result follows since each du is an exterior cherivative.

Prop (Unique neer) suppose D: 22 (M) > 12 (M) is an exterior derivative on M. Then D=d. Proof Given WE 12k(M) and PEM, most show Dwp. dwp Choose a dront  $\phi = (x^1, x^n) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that PEU. While WID =  $\frac{7}{2}$  at  $dx^{I}$ .
Use bamp buckins to extend  $a_{I}$ , x'. x'' to Suchous äz, x'...x': M -> 112, st. äz=az, x'=x' in a nbh. of P. Let  $\ddot{\omega} = Z_T \ddot{\alpha}_{\Sigma} d\ddot{x}^{\Sigma}$ , then  $\ddot{\omega} \in \Omega^{R}(M)$  and  $\ddot{\omega} = \omega$ in a nbh. of P. Since Dis a local apparatour (DW)P=(DW)P=Z(DXZNdXT+AID(XXI)P  $= \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{3}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \right)^{2} = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{3}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \right)^{2} = \left( \frac{3}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3}$ 

Let F: N -> M be a smooth map. Recall the the pullback construction gives a linear map Fx: 22k(M) >2k(N). Frop Fx (Ym) = 9(Exm) for me 2/6(M). Proof We have checked that for k=0. Let ws DYM, k>1 Given  $P \in M$ , must show  $F^*(d\omega)_p = d F^*(\omega)$ . Choose charts  $\phi = (x', x'') : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  on  $M \geq \text{such that } P \in U$   $\Psi = (Y', Y'') : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  on  $M \geq \text{ond } F(\omega) \subseteq V$ . viville WIV = Z CL dyI. F\*(dw/10 = (F10)\* (dw/v) = (F10)\* ( & daza dxt) = \( \mathbb{F} \mathbb{F} \alpha \alpha \mathbb{F} \mathbb{F} \alpha \mathbb{F} \mathbb{F} \alpha \mathbb{F} \mathbb{F} \alpha \mathbb{F} d = (ω) (υ = d (Fιυ) + (ω) = d (ες F\*(ας) F\*(dy'i), -, F\*(dy'i)) = d(ες F\*(ας) + (ας) + (ας) + (ας) + (ας) + (ας) + (ας) + (ες γ'i) + (ες γ'i) + (ας) + (ες γ'i) + (ε

Rem the previous proposition shows that the diagram  $\Sigma^{k}(M)$  d  $\Sigma^{k+1}(M)$  is commulative.  $\Sigma^{k}(M)$  d  $\Sigma^{k+1}(M)$ 

In the best book (Phop 19.5), this is proved without truswing that FRCWI is smooth.

This does not woke sense!