

Summer Student Report 2019

FLC group presentation

Matthew Koster^{1,2}

¹ DESY Hamburg

² University of Cambridge

September 2, 2019



 UNIVERSITY OF
CAMBRIDGE

Presentation Structure


- ▶ Motivation
- ▶ My Processor
 - ▶ Function
 - ▶ Neutrino and ISR Corrections
 - ▶ Angle Extractions
- ▶ Efficiencies
- ▶ Conclusions
- ▶ Outlook

Motivation Jakobs thesis

I want to extract some angles and do some efficiencies because UPDATE ME

My Processor Overview

- ▶ Register Inputs
 - ▶ **IsolatedLeptonTagger** - isolated lepton
 - ▶ **Fastjet** - quark jets and overlay removal
 - ▶ **MCParticle** - hard collision particles
- ▶ Analyses Reconstructed particles extracting W bosons 4-momenta
- ▶ Analyses MC collection extracting angles
- ▶ Outputs a root file with various relevant variables



My Processor Neutrino and ISR Corrections

The system

- ▶ **Visible 4-momenta** $p^\mu = (E, p_x, p_y, p_z)$
- ▶ **Neutrino 4-momenta** $p_\nu^\mu = (E_\nu, p_{x,\nu}, p_{y,\nu}, p_{z,\nu})$
- ▶ **ISR Photon 4-momenta** $p_\gamma^\mu = (E_\gamma, 0, 0, p_\gamma)$

c.f The unconventional ordering of the 4-momenta is because that is how TLorentzVector handels 4-vectors

My Processor Neutrino and ISR Corrections

Consider only energy and momentum conservation, where the invariant mass of the neutrino and ISR photon is zero.

Simple energy equation (I. Marchesini *****CITE*****)

$$E_{\gamma} = \frac{(500 - E)^2 - p^2}{1000 - 2E \mp 2p_z} \quad (1)$$

My Processor Neutrino and ISR Corrections


- ▶ Negative energies arise!
- ▶ It often boils down to negative invisible invariant mass
- ▶ This is because of Reconstruction
- ▶ Handel carefully in code
- ▶ Perhaps energy assumption is invalid
- ▶ Perhaps zero invariant mass of photon is invalid
- ▶ What else can we check?

My Processor Neutrino and ISR Corrections

- ▶ The e^-e^+ collision is **not in the center of mass frame**, the initial state has a 4-momentum of,



$$p^\mu = (500 \sin(\frac{0.014}{2}), 0, 0, 500) \text{ GeV}. \quad (1)$$

- ▶ **Lorentz Boost** into center of mass frame to conduct calculations
- ▶ Improvement



My Processor Neutrino and ISR Corrections

- ▶ Perhaps the overlay removal processor is not performing properly
- ▶ Try **Cheat Overlay** using TJJetOverlayRemoval (Jakob ***CITE***)
- ▶ Improves m_W^{had} as expected
- ▶ Slightly worsens m_W^{lep}
→ statistical fluctuation?
- ▶ m_W^{lep} is **not particularly sensitive** to it due to the complicated nature of the E_γ formula



My Processor Neutrino and ISR Corrections

Consider only energy and momentum conservation, where the invariant mass of the neutrino and ISR photon is no longer assumed zero.

Full energy equation



$$E_{\gamma} = \frac{\lambda(500 - E) \pm p_z \sqrt{\lambda^2 - [(500 - E)^2 - p_z^2]m_{\gamma}^2}}{(500 - E)^2 - p_z^2} \quad (1)$$

Where for convenience I have defined **lambda**,

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2}[(500 - E)^2 - p^2 + m_{\gamma}^2 - m_{\nu}^2]. \quad (2)$$



My Processor Neutrino and ISR Corrections

- ▶ Using this formula with $m_\nu = 0$ and m_γ extracted from the MonteCarlo collection
- ▶ At low statistics there appeared to be a difference but at high statistics it was seen to be negligible
- ▶ The reconstruction is not sensitive to the ISR invariant mass




My Processor Neutrino and ISR Corrections

- ▶ Add a third option of there being no ISR photon such that $E_\gamma = 0$
- ▶ When this option is chosen, the formula struggles to reconstruct small E_γ values, so it is an improvement.




My Processor Neutrino and ISR Corrections

- ▶ The E_γ formula is an improvement on the solution that neglects ISR
- ▶ Adding a solution for no ISR improves the estimate again






My Processor Angle Extractions

From the MC collection I extracted the appropriate angles ($\theta_{W^-}, \theta_l^*, \phi_l^*$) for Jakob as defined by R.Karl ***CITE*** slightly edited such that we boost into the W^{lep} frame




My Processor Angle Extractions



Efficiencies Applying cuts

Table: Selection efficiency of sequentially applied cuts. Where the post ISR correction m_W^{lep} was calculated using all 3 possible E_γ solutions. (*) Means my and Ivan's cuts differ slightly

Order	Cut description	Efficiency [%]		
		My Results		Ivan's Results
		n = 2129	n = 99419	n = 107233
0	muon signal	100.00	100.00	100.00
1	track multiplicity $n_{tracks} \geq 10$	97.13	97.01	99.996
2	center of mass energy $\sqrt{s} > 100$ GeV	92.29	91.69	97.96
3	total transverse momentum $P_T > 5$ GeV	91.16	90.47	96.69
4	total energy $E_{SUM} < 500$ GeV	89.66	89.28	95.36
5	$\ln(y_{+}) \in [-12, -3]$ (*)	88.69	88.08	95.01
6	1 lepton found (*)	80.65	80.77	78.75
7	pre ISR correction $m_W^{lep} \in [20, 250]$ GeV	78.23	77.94	76.61
8	tau discrimination	76.05	75.60	74.07
9	charged lepton (*)	76.05	75.60	73.51
10	isolation variable $\Delta\Omega_{iso} > 0.5$	76.01	75.58	73.42
11	post ISR correction $m_W^{lep} \in [40, 120]$ GeV	72.90	72.77	70.13
12	post ISR correction $m_W^{had} \in [40, 120]$ GeV	63.21	62.92	66.93
13	$\cos\theta_W > -0.95$	63.02	62.65	66.78



Efficiencies Applying cuts

- ▶ track multiplicity was taken as the number of reconstructed charged particles.
- ▶ $\Delta\Omega_{iso}$ defined as,

$$(\phi_{lep} - \phi_{had}) < \pi \rightarrow \Delta\Omega_{iso} = \sqrt{(\theta_{lep} - \theta_{had})^2 + (\phi_{lep} - \phi_{had})^2} \quad (1)$$







$$(\phi_{lep} - \phi_{had}) \geq \pi \rightarrow \Delta\Omega_{iso} = \sqrt{(\theta_{lep} - \theta_{had})^2 + (2\pi - |\phi_{lep} - \phi_{had}|)^2}. \quad (2)$$

- ▶ τ_{discr} defined by

$$\tau_{discr} = \left(\frac{2E_{lep}}{\sqrt{s}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{m_W^{lep}}{m_W^{true}}\right)^2 \quad (3)$$

Efficiencies Applying cuts





The selection efficiencies of the extracted angles after applying all the previous cuts, with the angular distribution below for reference




Conclusions do tachyons exist?

Outlook do things



Back Up Slides



Back Up Slides



Back Up Slides



Back Up Slides

