



# Who's righteousness Paul?

Is righteousness imputed?



# The whole basis of Christianity is based on a Greek translation of Genesis 15:6

## **Brenton's:**

*And Abram believed God, and it was counted to him for righteousness.*

## **KJV:**

*And he believed in the LORD; and he counted it to him for righteousness.*

Brenton's is pretty much a word for word translation of the Greek septuagint. The interesting thing is even though modern translators use the *Masoretic* manuscripts, they base many of their presuppositions on theological constructs that go back millenia. That includes this single verse, that I believe is a mistranslation of a simple Hebrew idiom.



Both of these add numerous words to create a context that doesn't match the context.

[Gen 15:4-6 KJV] And, behold, the word of Yah unto him, saying, This shall not be thine heir; but he that shall come forth out of thine own bowels shall be thine heir. And he brought him forth abroad, and said, Look now toward heaven, and tell the stars, if thou be able to number them: and he said unto him, So shall thy seed be. (Abram) **trusted Yah counted him (Yah) just.**

My intent is to prove that is the correct translation of the verse - based both on the context and the actual words used in the verse. The Septuagint that Paul uses *one verse* out of context has caused 2000 years of bad theology - that Yah counts someone righteous by what they believe, not what they do. The way James (Yakov) dealt with this was to correct the idea using the bad translation. Going to the context, showing that Abraham obeyed God.

However in this last hour, the Ruach is leading me to reveal a deeper truth - and it's not me alone, not for my glory.



# The Hebrew words and their interlinear

וְהָאֱמֹן בֵּיהוָה וַיִּחְשְׁבֶהָ לוֹ צְדָקָה:

[H539](#) - [H3068](#) - [H2803](#) - (NO NUMBER) - [H6666](#)

H539 -> trust

H3068 -> YHVH

H2803 -> accounted

(NO NUMBER) -> Him

H6666 -> Just or righteous


It says *nothing* about Abram being accounted righteous, the context therefore goes back to the prior verse. YHVH made a promise, and Abram trusted that promise because Yah is counted just.



# From an Academia article

To show this is not *private interpretation* - here is someone who uploaded an enormous gem to Academia. (link in description)

[https://www.academia.edu/33326228/Whose\\_Righteousness\\_Gods\\_or\\_Abrams\\_Another\\_look\\_at\\_Genesis\\_15\\_6](https://www.academia.edu/33326228/Whose_Righteousness_Gods_or_Abrams_Another_look_at_Genesis_15_6)



# Though Paul was wrong on much - his reading of the verse...

Paul's rendering of the verse matches the Greek Septuagint and Paul loved to quote from that numerous times, especially when it benefitted him. The problem (and this is Paul's fault) is that he repeatedly quotes passages completely out of context to build his own fortress of faith alone.

It wasn't as if Paul wasn't familiar with the Masoretic - he quotes it when it benefits him. Like in Romans 9:33

*As it is written, Behold, I lay in Sion a stumblingstone and rock of offence: and whosoever believeth on him shall not be ashamed.*

Whenever you see this in the New Testament? Check their statements. Is it really written like this?

Paul joins two disparate and completely different passages together to make his point - but he also does so from **TWO DIFFERENT** versions. The Greek Septuagint and the Hebrew Masoretic.



# What Paul is quoting from here

## KJV Isaiah 8:14

And he shall be for a sanctuary; but for a **stone of stumbling and for a rock of offence** to both the houses of Israel, for a gin and for a snare to the inhabitants of Jerusalem.

## Brenton's Isaiah 28:16

Behold, I **lay for the foundations of Sion** a costly stone, a choice, a corner-stone, a precious stone, for its foundations; and **he that believes on him shall by no means be ashamed.**

- 1) These two passages have *nothing* to do with each other.
- 2) Paul talking about the curse of disobedience from Torahlessness (See 8:20) doesn't make any sense - being connected to 28:16, which is about obeying. Paul is *clearly* cherry picking two disparate passages to support his arguments.

[Isa 28:16 KJV] Therefore thus saith the Lord GOD, Behold, I lay in Zion for a foundation a stone, a tried stone, a precious corner [stone], a sure foundation: **he that believeth shall not make haste.**

Now what's interesting? I believe this latter half is *also* a curse.



# Who should not be ashamed?

Because of Paul's idea of imputed righteousness - he also taught that once you *believe* you should not feel ashamed. This is the core of his theological argument. But what is the *context* of Isaiah 28:16?

[Isa 28:14-18 KJV] Wherefore hear the word of the LORD, ye scornful men, that rule this people which [is] in Jerusalem. Because ye have said, **We have made a covenant with death**, and with Shaul are we at agreement; when the overflowing scourge shall pass through, it shall not come unto us: for we have made lies our refuge, and under falsehood have we hid ourselves: Therefore thus saith the Lord GOD, Behold, I lay in Zion for a foundation a stone, a tried stone, a precious corner [stone], a sure foundation: **he that believeth shall not make haste.** Judgment also will I lay to the line, and **righteousness to the plummet**: and the hail shall sweep away the refuge of lies, and the waters shall overflow the hiding place. And **your covenant with death shall be disannulled, and your agreement with Shaul shall not stand**; when the overflowing scourge shall pass through, then ye shall be trodden down by it.

The *proper context* of “he that believes” are those trusting in the *false covenant of Shaul*. In that they will not make *haste* toward repenting and doing what is right. Likewise.. I do believe the Septuagint interpretation here is correct, but Paul's out of context proof text of it, loses context. Those who see the coming of the Son, seeing the foundation being laid - do not feel ashamed, and do not repent. They stay steadfast in their covenant with death and their trusting the words of Sha'ul.





# There's way more here on righteousness

*Judgment also will I lay to the line, and **righteousness to the plummet**:*

In the coming judgement he will make *righteousness* the plummet. If you believe in Paul's covering of righteousness you are dead. This from the New Testament;

[1Jo 3:7 NKJV] Little children, let no one deceive you. He who practices righteousness is righteous, just as He is righteous.

The reason John wrote this is to deal with Paul's idea of *accounted righteousness by faith and no works*. This is the Christian Gospel. That Father doesn't see you, he sees Jesus behind a *shield of faith*. Now, a brother showed me something very interesting - but first in regards to righteousness I think it's important to reveal Paul's anti-shema.



# Hear O' Israel (shema Y'israel)

The greatest mitzvot (commandment) according to Yeshua is the *shema* - to love the Father with all your heart, with all your might, with all your mind.


[Deu 6:4-10 KJV] **Hear, O Israel:** The LORD our God [is] one LORD: And thou shalt love the LORD thy God with all thine heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy might. And these words, which I command thee this day, shall be in thine heart: And thou shalt teach them diligently unto thy children, and shalt talk of them when thou sittest in thine house, and when thou walkest by the way, and when thou liest down, and when thou risest up. And thou shalt bind them for a sign upon thine hand, and they shall be as frontlets between thine eyes. And thou shalt write them upon the posts of thy house, and on thy gates. And it shall be, when the LORD thy God shall have brought thee into the land which he swore unto thy fathers, to Abraham, to Isaac, and to Jacob, to give thee great and goodly cities, which thou buildedst not,

## **And from prophecy:**

[Deu 29:4 KJV] Yet the LORD hath not **given you an heart to perceive, and eyes to see, and ears to hear**, unto this day.

[Act 7:51 KJV] Ye stiffnecked and **uncircumcised in heart and ears**, ye do always resist the Holy Ghost: as your fathers [did], so [do] ye.

[Jer 6:10 KJV] To whom shall I speak, and give warning, that they may hear? **behold, their ear [is] uncircumcised, and they cannot hear**: behold, the word of the LORD is unto them a reproach; they have no delight in it.



# The Torah is something that involves our *whole being*

thou shalt love the LORD thy God with all thine heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy might.

Hearing Torah, turning to it with all your heart, with all your soul, with all your might. This is what is meant by, “hear O, Israel” - now that warnings that Yah has to circumcise our ears to hear is absolutely true. But the question is - WHOM does he circumcise to *hear and do Torah*?

[Psa 51:17 KJV] The sacrifices of God [are] a broken spirit: a broken and a contrite heart, O God, thou wilt not despise.

[Psa 34:18 KJV] The LORD [is] nigh unto them that are of a broken heart; and saveth such as be of a contrite spirit.

[Isa 57:15 KJV] For thus saith the high and lofty One that inhabiteth eternity, whose name [is] Holy; I dwell in the high and holy [place], with him also [that is] of a contrite and humble spirit, to revive the spirit of the humble, and to revive the heart of the contrite ones.

If you approach him showing unwarranted mercy to others, forgiving those who've wronged you - even if they haven't stopped wronging you. Haven't repented.

To the merciful, he will show mercy. (Paulianity is wrong)

To the forgiving, they will be forgiven. (Not by faith alone)

To those who right the wrongs? Father will open their ears to hear Torah and give them a Ruach willing and able to do it.



# Now let's examine Paul's anti-shema

[Gal 4:21 KJV] Tell me, ye that desire to be under the law, **do ye not hear the law?**

He's practically mocking those who obey Torah. "Do you not hear the law?" What did Father tell us *we must do*?

## HEAR AND OBEY.

[Deu 29:19 NKJV] "and so it may not happen, **when he hears the words of this curse**, that he blesses himself in his heart, saying, '*I shall have peace, even though I follow the dictates of my heart*'--as though the drunkard could be included with the sober.

Paulianity has you believing you're covered even while still wicked. That you don't need to turn and adjust your behavior lest you perish. This is a huge error and 119 Ministries is wrong - Sha'ul doesn't have 7 laws - this is ludicrous and trying to interpret Paul's private flawed interpretation.

What comes next in Paul's anti-shema nails him. He hates the Father and His Torah.



# Do you desire to be *under* the law?

[Gal 4:22-23 KJV] For it is written, that Abraham had two sons, the one by a bondmaid, the other by a freewoman. But he [who was] of the bondwoman was born after the flesh; but he of the freewoman [was] by promise.

We see Paul's platonist tendencies here. Even though Isaac came of the promise - he was still of the flesh. He still had blood, he still lived and died. Paul's ascetic dualism (forms from Plato) is transparent here. But there is something coming that absolutely stands against Torah.

[Gal 4:24-25 KJV] Which things are an allegory: for **these are the two covenants**; the one from the mount Sinai, which gendereth to bondage, which is Agar. For this Agar is mount Sinai in Arabia, and answereth to Jerusalem which now is, and is in bondage with her children.

There is so much wrong in this.

- 1) There are not two covenants. Ishmael was not promised the covenant. Gen 17:19b - I will establish My covenant with him for an everlasting covenant, *and* with his descendants after him.
- 2) The next statement grieves the Ruach within me. Sha'ul is saying that Father freed his children from bondage in Egypt to place them in bondage in the wilderness to Torah. This is *clearly* Torah, as that was what started at Sinai with the ten words. He is calling them bondage.




# Continuing the Covenant of Bondage

the one from the mount Sinai, which gendereth to bondage, which is Agar. For this Agar is mount Sinai in Arabia, and answereth to Jerusalem which now is, and is in bondage with her children.

3) This is confirmed by Paul's alternate method of going after the ten words in 2 Corinthians. [2Co 3:6-7 NKJV] who also made us sufficient as ministers of the new covenant, not of the letter but of the Spirit; for the letter kills, but the Spirit gives life. But if the ministry of death, written [and] engraved on stones, was glorious, so that the children of Israel could not look steadily at the face of Moses because of the glory of his countenance, which [glory] was passing away,

Ask yourself a simple question for those of you saying Paul defends and kept Torah. Are the ten words (that Paul calls the ministry of death) bondage? That was what was given at Sinai, that was the *letters* written with Yahweh's own hand. The entirety of this argument is based on ascetic gnosticism. That because the letters are *physical* they cannot bring life but only bondage. This is Platonism, that the flesh (matter) is bondage to the soul.

To believe Paul as it literally stands in any translation (and no translation doesn't save Paul Torah keepers, he is still calling the tablets engraved by Yah *death*.) is that Paul is calling Yahweh a slave master and the weapon of bondage is the ten words given at Sinai. This isn't the "law of sin" or the "law of death" or whatever other nonsense pro Torah teachers try to contort from Paul to uphold the vain traditions of men.



# Paul has turned your ear to be filled with Satan's wax

So what happens to those who believe Paul? Exactly what I posted earlier from Deuteronomy 29. They hear the curses of disobeying Torah and believe the lie Paul spouts in Galatians 3 (again his private interpretation)

'Messiah became the curse for us'

This is not prophesied of the suffering servant. This sort of replacement theology is also impossible. The soul that sins, it shall die. It seems as if many Christians if they just stopped and thought about what Paul is saying, they'd see the problems. If Messiah became a curse, justifying us from the curse of lawlessness allowing us to continue in lawlessness with a blind Father who only sees the Son - who redeems the one who became a curse? Does not Paul say that Yeshua is cursed for our sakes?

There's much more that could be said here - but I want to now turn to the number of the beast and the false covering Paul tries to have you put on.



## “Put on the full armor of God”?

[Eph 6:10-11, 13-17 NKJV] Finally, my brethren, be strong in the Lord and in the power of His might. **Put on the whole armor of God**, that you may be able to stand against the wiles of the devil. ... Therefore take up the whole armor of God, that you may be able to withstand in the evil day, and having done all, to stand. Stand therefore, having **girded your waist with truth**, having put on the **breastplate of righteousness**, and having shod your **feet** with the preparation of the **gospel of peace**; above all, taking the **shield of faith** with which you will be able to quench all the fiery darts of the wicked one. And take the **helmet of salvation**, and the **sword of the Spirit**, which is the word of God;

This is again a very deep passage and I used to recite this in prayer to try and have victory over strongholds I let control my life. I never understood then why I still struggled, but now I know.

I was making myself to be the most high. Let's start with the prophecy of the false prophet from the false King Sha'ul.





## “David put on my armor”

[1Sa 17:38-39 NKJV] **So Saul clothed David with his armor**, and he put a bronze helmet on his head; he also clothed him with a coat of mail. David fastened his sword to his armor and tried to walk, for he had not tested [them]. And David said to Saul, "**I cannot walk with these, for I have not tested [them].**" **So David took them off.**

How powerful a statement that David TOOK OFF SAUL'S ARMOR. So to - we must take that armor off to truly walk in righteousness.

What convinced me however that Paul was trying to elevate himself to God was what Paul plagiarized to create his “armor of God”.



# Yeshua will wear His armor

## Wisdom of Solomon 5:14-23

For the hope of the ungodly is like dust that is blown away with the wind; like a thin froth that is driven away with the storm; like as the smoke which is dispersed here and there with a tempest, and passeth away as the remembrance of a guest that tarrieth but a day. But the righteous live for evermore; their reward also is with the Lord, and the care of them is with the most High. Therefore shall they receive a glorious kingdom, and a beautiful crown from the Lord's hand: for with his right hand shall he cover them, and with his arm shall he protect them. He shall take to him his jealousy for complete armour, and make the creature his weapon for the revenge of his enemies. He shall put on **righteousness as a breastplate**, and **true judgment instead of an helmet**. He shall take **holiness for an invincible shield**. His **severe wrath shall he sharpen for a sword**, and the world shall fight with him against the unwise. Then shall the right aiming thunderbolts go abroad; and from the clouds, as from a well drawn bow, shall they fly to the mark. And hailstones full of wrath shall be cast as out of a stone bow, and the water of the sea shall rage against them, and the floods shall cruelly drown them. Yea, a mighty wind shall stand up against them, and like a storm shall blow them away: thus iniquity shall lay waste the whole earth, and ill dealing shall overthrow the thrones of the mighty.

It's YESHUA that will wear his armor on the last day to CRUSH THE UNGODLY. Paul is trying to set you up for FAILURE, and the occasional victory you WILL HAVE by the Father's mercy you will credit SAUL'S ARMOR.



# What Father revealed to me through friends

A brother showed me something *very* interesting with the Hebrew equivalent for Paul - Pa'al.

It's Strong's number is H6466 - This is weird enough, especially given that I know that Paul was the antichrist that brought lawlessness to the whole world. Pa'al typically means one who works iniquity. The Ruach led me to look up some other things. H666 and H6666.

H666 - אָפֵר APER  
covering, bandage

H6666 - צִדְקָה - ṣēḏqâ  
Righteousness

There's no way this is a coincidence. Paul's "shield of faith" is H666 (covering) + H6666 (righteousness) taught by H6466 (pa'al). To believe and accept this in your heart and not turn from lawlessness is to take the mark *spiritually*. To put this another way...

## Imputed righteousness is the spiritual mark of the beast.



# The Jabber Wocky

One could avoid the *physical* mark (and we should all know what that is by now) but if they partake in the *spiritual* mark, fully ingesting it into their heart - they will be shut out of the Kingdom still. Thrown into the lake of fire.

It won't matter that you avoided having your DNA altered by witchcraft called medicine. If you partake in the lawless theological philosophy of Sha'ul and eat it like one eats bread, you are destined for the lake of fire.

This is truth from scripture - and for those in the comment section, I expect your challenges to be from scripture *outside of Paul's writings*. If Paul is truly a prophet of Yahweh?

You should be able to defend everything he says from the Torah and the Prophets.

[Amo 3:7 NKJV] Surely the Lord GOD does nothing, Unless He reveals His secret to His servants the prophets.

He doesn't give private interpretation to ANY PROPHET.

[2Pe 1:20-21 NKJV] knowing this first, that no prophecy of Scripture is of any private interpretation, for prophecy never came by the will of man, but holy men of God spoke [as they were] moved by the Holy Spirit.