The Functional Correspondence Applied: An Implementation of a Semantics Transformer

Maciej Buszka

Instytut Informatyki UWr

22.10.2020

• A method for deriving abstract machines

- A method for deriving abstract machines
- Takes a definitional interpreter
- Produces an encoding of abstract machine

- A method for deriving abstract machines
- Takes a definitional interpreter
- Produces an encoding of abstract machine
- Introduced by Danvy et. al. in A functional correspondence between evaluators and abstract machines

- A method for deriving abstract machines
- Takes a definitional interpreter
- Produces an encoding of abstract machine
- Introduced by Danvy et. al. in A functional correspondence between evaluators and abstract machines
- Based on two source-to-source transformations
 - Translation to continuation-passing style (CPS)
 - Defunctionalization

Meta-language by Example: CBV λ -calculus

```
(def-data Term
 String
           ;; variable
 {Abs String Term} ;; lambda abstraction
 {App Term Term}) ;; application
;; Environment is encoded as a partial function
(def extend (env x v) ...);; extends env at x with value v
(def eval (env term)
  (match term
   ([String x] (env x))
   ({Abs x body} (fun (v) (eval (extend env x v) body)))
   ({App fn arg} ((eval env fn) (eval env arg)))))
```

Transformation Steps We Aim For (Also a reminder of how functional correspondence works)

After CPS Translation

After Defunctionalization

```
(def eval (env term cont)
  (match term
    ([String x] (continue cont (env x)))
    ({Abs x body} (continue cont {Fun body env x}))
    ({App fn arg} (eval env fn {App1 arg cont env}))))
(def apply (fn v cont)
  (match fn ({Fun body env x}
    (eval (extend env x v) body cont))))
(def continue (cont val)
  (match cont
    ({App1 arg cont env} (eval env arg {App2 cont val}))
    ({App2 cont fn} (apply fn val cont))
    ({Halt}
                       val)))
```

The Result

- An abstract machine encoded as mutually tail-recursive functions
- Uses abstract environment (encoded as a function)
 - extend is still higer-order
 - extend and functions representing environment remain in direct style

Desired Features

- Metalanguage expressivity
 - ▶ Mutually recursive, anonymous and higher-order functions
 - Records and pattern matching
 - Dynamic (strong) typing

Desired Features

- Metalanguage expressivity
 - Mutually recursive, anonymous and higher-order functions
 - Records and pattern matching
 - Dynamic (strong) typing
- Automation
 - Function space detection
 - CPS and defunctionalization
 - Sensible name generation

Desired Features

- Metalanguage expressivity
 - Mutually recursive, anonymous and higher-order functions
 - Records and pattern matching
 - Dynamic (strong) typing
- Automation
 - Function space detection
 - CPS and defunctionalization
 - Sensible name generation
- User's control over transformation
 - Naming of records for defunctionalized lambdas, apply functions
 - Disabling defunctionalization and/or cps transformation of chosen functions

Why Control is Needed

```
(def extend (env y v cont1) (continue cont1 {Ext env v y}))
(def lookup (fn1 x cont2) ... (continue2 ...))
(def eval (env term cont3)
  (match term
    ([String x] (lookup env x cont3))
    ({Abs x body} (continue2 cont3 {Fun body env x}))
    ({App fn arg} (eval env fn {App1 arg cont3 env}))))
(def continue2 (fn4 var3)
  (match fn4
    ({App2 cont3 var2} (apply var2 var3 cont3))
    ({App1 arg cont3 env} (eval env arg {App2 cont3 var3}))
    ({Halt } var3)))
(def apply (fn2 v cont4) ... (extend ...))
```

Automating the Functional Correspondence

- Transformation to ANF
- Control flow analysis

- Transformation to ANF
- Control flow analysis
- Transformation to CPS

- Transformation to ANF
- Control flow analysis
- Transformation to CPS
- Control flow analysis

- Transformation to ANF
- Control flow analysis
- Transformation to CPS
- Control flow analysis
- Defunctionalization

- Transformation to ANF
- Control flow analysis
- Transformation to CPS
- Control flow analysis
- Defunctionalization
- Inlining administrative let-bindings

- Assumes left-to-right call-by-value semantics
- Let-bind every intermediate result

- Assumes left-to-right call-by-value semantics
- Let-bind every intermediate result
- Eases program analysis and further transformations

- Assumes left-to-right call-by-value semantics
- Let-bind every intermediate result
- Eases program analysis and further transformations
- Preserves tail calls

- Assumes left-to-right call-by-value semantics
- Let-bind every intermediate result
- Eases program analysis and further transformations
- Preserves tail calls

- Assumes left-to-right call-by-value semantics
- Let-bind every intermediate result
- Eases program analysis and further transformations
- Preserves tail calls

Abstract syntax of the meta-language

```
 \begin{array}{ll} x,y,z\in \textit{Var} & r\in \textit{StructName} \\ s\in \textit{String} & b\in \textit{Int}\cup \textit{Boolean}\cup \textit{String} \\ Tp\ni tp::= \texttt{String}\mid \texttt{Integer}\mid \texttt{Boolean} \\ \textit{Pattern}\ni p::= x\mid b\mid \_\mid \{r\ p\ldots\}\mid [tp\ x] \\ \textit{Term}\ni t::= x\mid b\mid (\texttt{fun}\ (x\ldots)\ t)\mid (t\ t\ldots)\mid \{r\ t\ldots\}\mid (\texttt{let}\ p\ t\ t)\mid (\texttt{match}\ t\ (p\ t)\ldots)\mid (\texttt{error}\ s) \end{array}
```

Abstract syntax in ANF

$$Com \ni c ::= x \mid b \mid (fun (x...) e) \mid (x x...) \mid (r x...) \mid (match x (p e)...)$$

$$Anf \ni e ::= c \mid (let p c e) \mid (error s)$$

ANF translation

Extending atomic continuation

$$[\cdot]_a \cdot : (Var \rightarrow Anf) \rightarrow Com \rightarrow Anf$$

 $[k]_a \times = k \times (k)_a = (let \times c (k \times k))$

Sequencing multiple terms

$$\begin{split} \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_{s} & \cdot : \textit{Term}^{*} \times (\textit{Var}^{*} \to \textit{Anf}) \to \textit{Anf} \\ \llbracket t \dots \rrbracket_{s}^{*} & k = \llbracket t \dots \rrbracket_{s}^{\epsilon} \\ \\ \llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket_{s}^{\times \dots} & k = k \, (x \dots) \\ \llbracket t \, t_{r} \dots \rrbracket_{s}^{\times_{\textit{acc}} \dots} & k = \llbracket t \rrbracket \, [\lambda x . \, \llbracket t_{r} \dots \rrbracket_{s}^{\times_{\textit{acc}} \dots x}]_{a} \end{split}$$

After ANF Transformation

```
(def eval (env term)
  (match term
    ([String x] (env x))
    ({Abs x body}
      (fun (v)
        (let var1 (extend env x v))
        (eval var1 body)))
    ({App fn arg}
      (let var2 (eval env fn))
      (let var3 (eval env arg))
      (var2 var3))))
```

Control Flow Analysis

- For each expression in a program, find the over-approximation of the set of functions it may evaluate to
- Detects function spaces for defunctionalization
- Enables selective cps translation

Control Flow Analysis

- For each expression in a program, find the over-approximation of the set of functions it may evaluate to
- Detects function spaces for defunctionalization
- Enables selective cps translation
- Textbook approaches:
 - Constraint systems
 - Annotated type systems

 Begin with a CESK* machine extended with patern matching (Control Environment Store Kontinuation pointer)

- Begin with a CESK* machine extended with patern matching (Control Environment Store Kontinuation pointer)
- Because the program is in ANF:
 - There are only two types of continuations
 - Every proper subexpression will allocate a value in the store

- Begin with a CESK* machine extended with patern matching (Control Environment Store Kontinuation pointer)
- Because the program is in ANF:
 - There are only two types of continuations
 - Every proper subexpression will allocate a value in the store
- Provide finite approximations for base types
- Let the store map to sets of value approximations

- Begin with a CESK* machine extended with patern matching (Control Environment Store Kontinuation pointer)
- Because the program is in ANF:
 - There are only two types of continuations
 - Every proper subexpression will allocate a value in the store
- Provide finite approximations for base types
- Let the store map to sets of value approximations
- Compute the set of configurations reachable from the initial one

- Begin with a CESK* machine extended with patern matching (Control Environment Store Kontinuation pointer)
- Because the program is in ANF:
 - There are only two types of continuations
 - Every proper subexpression will allocate a value in the store
- Provide finite approximations for base types
- Let the store map to sets of value approximations
- Compute the set of configurations reachable from the initial one
- Now for every variable in function position the store contains a set of values to which it may evaluate.

AAM – Performance Considerations

• Use a single shared store (corresponds to widening)

AAM – Performance Considerations

- Use a single shared store (corresponds to widening)
- Trim environment in closures and continuations

AAM – Performance Considerations

- Use a single shared store (corresponds to widening)
- Trim environment in closures and continuations
- Sufficiently fast even with naive fixed-point iteration

- Allows the user to specify which functions should be left in direct style
- Functions in direct style may call CPS ones and vice versa

- Allows the user to specify which functions should be left in direct style
- Functions in direct style may call CPS ones and vice versa
- Quite simple since the program is already in ANF

- Allows the user to specify which functions should be left in direct style
- Functions in direct style may call CPS ones and vice versa
- Quite simple since the program is already in ANF
- Designed not to duplicate code
- Produces a program in ANF

CPS Annotations

Translation to CPS

```
\begin{aligned}
[x]_c k &= (k x) \\
[b]_c k &= (\text{let } x \ b \ (k \ x))
\end{aligned}

[\![(f^l \times \ldots)]\!]_c k = \begin{cases} (f \times \ldots k) \\ \text{when noneAtomic(I)} \\ (\text{let } y \ (f \times \ldots) \ (k \ y)) \\ \text{when allAtomic(I)} \end{cases}
         [\![(\mathsf{match}\ x\ (p\ e)\dots)]\!]_{c}\ k = (\mathsf{match}\ x\ (p\ [\![e]\!]_{c}\ k)\dots)
                  [(\operatorname{error} s)]_{c} k = (\operatorname{error} s)
```

Translation for terms left in direct style

```
 [\![(f'x...)]\!]_d = \begin{cases} (f x...) \\ \text{when allAtomic(I)} \\ (\text{let } k \text{ (fun } (y) \text{ } y) \text{ } (f \text{ } x... \text{ } k)) \\ \text{when noneAtomic(I)} \end{cases} 
               [\![(\mathsf{match}\ x\ (p\ e)\dots)]\!]_d = (\mathsf{match}\ x\ (p\ [\![e]\!]_d)\dots)
             \left[ \left( \operatorname{let} x \left( f^{I} y \ldots \right) \, e \right) \right]_{d} \quad = \begin{array}{c} \left( \operatorname{let} \, k \, \left( \operatorname{fun} \, \left( z \right) \, z \right) \\ \left( \operatorname{let} \, x \, \left( f \, y \ldots \, k \right) \right) \end{array} \right. \text{ when } \operatorname{\textit{noneAtomic(I)}} 
                                 \llbracket (\operatorname{let} x \ c \ e) \rrbracket_d = (\operatorname{let} x \ \llbracket c \rrbracket_d \ \llbracket e \rrbracket_d)
                                      [(\text{error } s)]_{d} = (\text{error } s)
```

After CPS Translation

```
(def eval (env term cont)
  (match term
    ([String x]
      (let val (env x))
      (cont val))
    ({Abs x body}
      (let val1
        (fun (v cont1)
          (let var1 (extend env x v))
          (eval var1 body cont1)))
      (cont val1))
    ({App fn arg}
      (eval env fn (fun (var2)
        (eval env arg (fun (var3)
          (var2 var3 cont)))))))
```

Control flow analysis provides all the necessary information

- Control flow analysis provides all the necessary information
- Trade-offs and gotchas
 - Direct vs indirect calls to top-level functions

- Control flow analysis provides all the necessary information
- Trade-offs and gotchas
 - Direct vs indirect calls to top-level functions
 - Primitive operations

- Control flow analysis provides all the necessary information
- Trade-offs and gotchas
 - Direct vs indirect calls to top-level functions
 - Primitive operations
- Record name generation
 - ► For anonymous functions: let the user decide

- Control flow analysis provides all the necessary information
- Trade-offs and gotchas
 - Direct vs indirect calls to top-level functions
 - Primitive operations
- Record name generation
 - For anonymous functions: let the user decide
 - ► For continuations: use the constructor of current branch (heuristic)

- Control flow analysis provides all the necessary information
- Trade-offs and gotchas
 - Direct vs indirect calls to top-level functions
 - Primitive operations
- Record name generation
 - ▶ For anonymous functions: let the user decide
 - ► For continuations: use the constructor of current branch (heuristic)
- Result is no longer in ANF

Defunctionalization Annotations

```
(def init #:no-defun (x) (error "empty environment"))
(def extend (env y v)
  (fun #no-defun (x) (match (eq? x y)
    (#t v)
    (#f (env x))))
(def eval (env term)
  (match term
    ([String x] (env x))
    ({Abs x body} (fun #:name Fun #:apply apply (v) (eval (extend e
    ({App fn arg} ((eval env fn) (eval env arg)))))
```

```
[\![x]\!] = \begin{cases} \{ Prim_x \} & \text{when } primOp(x) \\ \{ Top_x \} & \text{when } topLevel(x) \land defun(x) \\ x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
[\![(\mathsf{match}\ x\ (p\ e)...)]\!] = (\mathsf{match}\ x\ (p\ [\![e]\!])...)
            \llbracket (\operatorname{let} x c e) \rrbracket = (\operatorname{let} x \llbracket c \rrbracket \llbracket e \rrbracket)
               [(\text{error } s)] = (\text{error } s)
```

Apply function generation

```
mkBranch(x ..., \qquad \delta) = (\{\operatorname{Prim}_{\delta}\} \ (\delta \ x ...))
mkBranch(x ..., (\operatorname{def} \ f \ (y ...) \ e)) = (\{\operatorname{Top}_{f}\} \ (f \ x ...))
mkBranch(x ..., (\operatorname{fun} \ (y ...) \ e)^{I}) = (\{\operatorname{Fun}_{I} \ fvs(e)\} \ \llbracket e \rrbracket \ [y \mapsto x ...])
mkApply(I, fn ...) = (\operatorname{match} \ f 
mkBranch(x ..., fn) ...))
```

After Defunctionalization

```
(def eval (env term cont)
 (match term
    ([String x]
      (let val (env x))
     (continue1 cont val))
    ({Abs x body}
      (let val1 {Fun body env x})
     (continue1 cont val1))
    ({App fn arg} (eval env fn {App1 arg cont env}))))
(def apply (fn1 v cont1)
 (match fn1
    ({Fun body env x}
      (let var1 (extend env x v))
     (eval var1 body cont1))))
(def continue1 (fn2 var3)
 (match fn2
    ({App2 cont var2} (apply var2 var3 cont))
    ({App1 arg cont env} (eval env arg {App2 cont var3}))
    ({Halt } var3)))
```

Finishing Touches

- Inline let-bindings generated by transformation
 Only if the variable is used exactly once
- Future work: sugar single branch matches as let bindings

The Result

```
(def eval (env term cont)
  (match term
    ([String x] (continue1 cont (env x)))
    ({Abs x body} (continue1 cont {Fun body env x}))
    ({App fn arg} (eval env fn {App1 arg cont env}))))
(def apply (fn1 v cont1)
  (match fn1 ({Fun body env x} (eval (extend env x v) body cont1)))
(def continue1 (fn2 var3)
  (match fn2
    ({App2 cont var2} (apply var2 var3 cont))
    ({App1 arg cont env} (eval env arg {App2 cont var3}))
    ({Halt } var3)))
```

Demo: Actually Using the Tool call-by-value normalization by evaluation

Case studies

Language	Interpreter style	Lang. Features	Result
call-by-value λ-calculus	denotational	•	CEK machine
	denotational	integers with add	CEK with add
	denotational, recursion via environment	integers, recursive let-bindings	similar to Reynold's first-order interpreter
	denotational with conts.	shift and reset	two layers of conts.
	denotational, monadic	exceptions	explicit stack unwinding
	denotational, CPS	with handlers	pointer to exception handler
	normalization by evaluation		strong CEK machine
call-by-name λ -calculus	big-step		Krivine machine
call-by-need λ -calculus	big-step (state passing)	memoization	lazy Krivine machine
simple imperative	big-step (state passing)	conditionals, while, assignment	
micro-Prolog	CPS	backtracking, cut operator	logic engine

Conclusion

- Algorithm
 - Fully automatic transformation
 - Works with interpreters expressed in a higher-order language
 - ▶ Allows for fine-grained control over the resulting machine

Conclusion

- Algorithm
 - Fully automatic transformation
 - Works with interpreters expressed in a higher-order language
 - ▶ Allows for fine-grained control over the resulting machine
- Implementation
 - Interpreters embedded in Racket source files
 - Users influence transformation using annotations
 - ► Tested on a selection of interpreters

Conclusion

- Algorithm
 - Fully automatic transformation
 - Works with interpreters expressed in a higher-order language
 - ▶ Allows for fine-grained control over the resulting machine
- Implementation
 - ▶ Interpreters embedded in *Racket* source files
 - Users influence transformation using annotations
 - ► Tested on a selection of interpreters
- Current work: Cog formalization
 - ▶ First version: encodings of natural semantics
 - Define transformation on a deeply embedded language
 - ► Leverage *MetaCoq* library to transform to and from shallow embeddings

Thank You