# Asterophryinae Microbiome Bibliography

Alexis Shulga, Brianna Correa, Marguerite Butler

#### Skin Microbiome

#### McCormack:2016?

• Skin microbiome study using feather tip and preserved skin, slight destructive sampling on museum sample. Focused on western scrub jays. Used SNPs. Proved sampling can be long term success in museum samples.

#### Sylvain:2020?

• Amphibian skin bacteria may help resist Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd), the fungus causing chytridiomycosis, with some bacteria showing antifungal properties. While Bd was found in 11.8% of frogs, skin bacteria varied by species and location, but not infection status, suggesting other factors influence resistance.

#### Tarnecki:2013?

• The study found that the skin microbiota of fish is highly species-specific, with Proteobacteria being the most abundant group. Certain bacteria, like Aeribacillus, were common across all species, while others, such as Neorickettsia and Microbacterium, were unique to specific fish species.

# Zheng:2022?

-Human study ID's individual-specific microbial DNA fingerprints from skin microbiomes using metagenomic sequencing data. These fingerprints are stable over time, independent of body site, and can accurately identify donors with 89.78% accuracy. The analysis revealed that 10 out of 12 individual-specific fingerprints could be aligned to Cutibacterium acnes, proving the potential of these microbial markers for personal identification.

#### **Gut Microbiome**

Chalifour, B. N., Elder, L. E., & Li, J. (2022). Gut microbiome of century-old snail specimens stable across time in preservation. *Microbiome*, 10(1), 99. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-022-01286-z - Century old specimen successful gutmicrobe extraction w/o destructive sampling. Methods: - Alcohol specimens. No problem obtaining gut microbiome from century old snail specimens, but they were never formalin fixed.

Location of collection more sig factor in gut microbiome composition of communities then what era snail came from (didn't compare species to species). "The effects of decades-long museum preservation on host-microbial communities have not been systematically assessed." ##Formalin Fixed Sample DNA Collection

Ramesh, G., Katiyar, A., Sujatha, R., Raj, A., Gupta, B., & Kumar, A. (2017). Detection of microorganisms on formalin-fixed and stored pathology tissues: A microbiological study. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology: JOMFP*, 21, 64–69.

Successful detect of formalin-fix tissue; destructive sampling; able to successfully sequence.

Hykin, K. A. M., Sarah M. AND Bi. (2015). Fixing formalin: A method to recover genomic-scale DNA sequence data from formalin-fixed museum specimens using high-throughput sequencing. *PLOS ONE*, 10(10), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0141579

• Three tissue types subsampled from formalin-fixed specimens of Anolis carolinensis, After trimming, successfully mapped 27.93% of the cleaned reads to the reference genome, were able to reconstruct the complete mitochondrial genome, and recovered an accurate phylogenetic placement for our specimen. Conclude amount of DNA available, which can vary depending on specimen age and preservation conditions

## **Environmental Factors**

# Community

### Museum and Historical DNA

#### Wandeler:2007?

Guidelines for working with degraded DNA from museum specimens, including tips
on selecting samples, optimizing extraction methods, and using short PCR amplicons
for better amplification. It also suggests sequencing strategies and bioinformatics tools
designed to handle fragmented DNA, helping researchers unlock valuable genetic data
from older specimens.

#### Heyn:2010?

• Issues with using aDNA. Good methods paper. Look into blocking lesions menionted because they were able to work around them but I want to understand more there.

Heindler, F. M., Christiansen, H., Frédérich, B., Dettaï, A., Lepoint, G., Maes, G. E., Van de Putte, A. P., & Volckaert, F. A. M. (2018). Historical DNA metabarcoding of the prey and microbiome of trematomid fishes using museum samples. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 6. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2018.00151

• Gut microbiome and prey identification from fish that have been formalin fixed. Between 20 and 100 years old. Sequenced cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) 313bp from vertebrates and 16S rRNA from bacteria 450bp but had large dropout rates. Did not keep any COI, but able to characterize microbiomes from 26 samples out of 225 starting samples.

Madison, B. C. A. W., Joseph D. AND LaBumbard. (2023). Shotgun metagenomics captures more microbial diversity than targeted 16S rRNA gene sequencing for field specimens and preserved museum specimens.  $PLOS\ ONE,\ 18(9),\ 1-18.\ https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0291540$ 

• Comparison of 16S rRNA gene sequencing and short-read shotgun metagenomics methods for examining museum specimen-associated gut microbiomes.

Raxworthy, C. J., & Smith, B. T. (2021). Mining museums for historical DNA: Advances and challenges in museomics [Doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2021.07.009]. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 36(11), 1049–1060. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.07.009

 Review of challenges with and recent advances in obtaining DNA sequence data from historical (museum) specimens.

Hykin, K. A. M., Sarah M. AND Bi. (2015). Fixing formalin: A method to recover genomic-scale DNA sequence data from formalin-fixed museum specimens using high-throughput sequencing. *PLOS ONE*, 10(10), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0141579

• Comparison of DNA sequencing of formalin-fixed Anolis carolinensis museum specimens 30 and 100 years old. Used Illumina high throughput sequencing to obtain massive amounts of short reads. Compared liver, leg muscle, and tail tips. Took care to avoid contamination, best yield was from phenol-chloriform protool. Minimal PCR cycles. Used 100-bp paired-end Illumina sequencing, pooling both samples on one lane of a HiSeq2000. The older sample failed to provide usable data. For the younger sample, nuclear data did not have sufficient read depth, but they were able to get the whole mitochondrial genome (aided by the high copy number).

Yeates, D. K., Zwick, A., & Mikheyev, A. S. (2016). Museums are biobanks: Unlocking the genetic potential of the three billion specimens in the world's biological collections. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, 18, 83–88. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2016.09.009

• One of the earlier papers reviewing the issues with museum specimens as DNA sources. Focuses on the promise of high throughput methods. Short and sweet.

Zimmermann, J., Hajibabaei, M., Blackburn, D. C., Hanken, J., Cantin, E., Posfai, J., & Evans, T. C. (2008). DNA damage in preserved specimens and tissue samples: A molecular assessment. Frontiers in Zoology, 5(1), 18. https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-9994-5-18

• A sobering accounting of the DNA damage induced by formalin fixation. They assess average fragment length as a function of age, it is around 50-70bp, with younger specimens longer.

Sampaio, F. L., Day, J. J., Mendis Wickramasinghe, L. J., Cyriac, V. P., Papadopoulou, A., Brace, S., Rajendran, A., Simon-Nutbrown, C., Flouris, T., Kapli, P., Ranga Vidanapathirana, D., Kotharambath, R., Kodandaramaiah, U., & Gower, D. J. (2023). A near-complete species-level phylogeny of uropeltid snakes harnessing historical museum collections as a DNA source. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 178, 107651. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2022.107651

• Phylogenetic study conducted using uropeltid snakes and hDNA. Able to work with swabs of nondestructive and destructive DNA sampling.

#### Paabo:2004?

• ancient DNA vs h DNA and the advances made in detecting both. Application. Parent paper to @ Zimmermann

## **Staats:2013?**

• Using Beetle soaking method found in **Heindler?** (?). Detailed how genomic sequencing was used on non-destructive sampling of items and what info was able to be gained off non-destructive/desicated plant samples

Raxworthy, C. J., & Smith, B. T. (2021). Mining museums for historical DNA: Advances and challenges in museomics [Doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2021.07.009]. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 36(11), 1049–1060. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.07.009

-hDNA paper concerning advances in genomic studies in museum samples; useful for work cited paper and review summary of where we are somewhat currently

### Tin:2014?

• Addresses working with degraded DNA using RAD Tag system, helpful for methods should contamination be high

Madison, B. C. A. W., Joseph D. AND LaBumbard. (2023). Shotgun metagenomics captures more microbial diversity than targeted 16S rRNA gene sequencing for field specimens and preserved museum specimens. *PLOS ONE*, 18(9), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0291540

• Shotgun metagenomic to capture microbial diversity in museum specimens theat were fixed in formalin, utilized destructive sampling

# Helpful guide to format annotated bibliography (or a CV):

Heiss, A. (2023, January 9). One Simple  $Trick^{TM}$  to Create Inline Bibliography Entries with Markdown and Pandoc. https://doi.org/10.59350/hwwgk-v9636 # Unsure of which reference this is/will investigate