

# **TTIC 31230, Fundamentals of Deep Learning**

David McAllester, Autumn 2020

## **Pseudo-Likelihood and Contrastive Divergence**

## Pseudo-Likelihood

For any distribution  $P(\hat{\mathcal{Y}})$  on colorings  $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}$ , we define the **pseudo-likelihood**  $\tilde{P}(\hat{\mathcal{Y}})$  as follows

$$\tilde{P}(\hat{\mathcal{Y}}) = \prod_n P(\hat{\mathcal{Y}}[n] \mid \hat{\mathcal{Y}}/n) = \prod_n P(\hat{\mathcal{Y}}[n] \mid \hat{\mathcal{Y}}[N(n)])$$

While computing  $P_{\Phi,x}(\mathcal{Y})$  is intractable, computing  $\tilde{P}_{\Phi,x}(\mathcal{Y})$  is tractable. We then use

$$\Phi^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\Phi} E_{\langle x, \mathcal{Y} \rangle \sim P_{\text{op}}} - \ln \tilde{P}_{\Phi,x}(\mathcal{Y})$$

## Pseudolikelihood Theorem

$$\operatorname{argmin}_Q E_{\mathcal{Y} \sim \text{Pop}} - \ln \tilde{Q}(\mathcal{Y}) = \text{Pop}$$

It suffices to show that for any  $Q$  we have

$$E_{\mathcal{Y} \sim \text{Pop}} - \ln \widetilde{\text{Pop}}(\mathcal{Y}) \leq E_{\mathcal{Y} \sim \text{Pop}} - \ln \tilde{Q}(\mathcal{Y})$$

## Proof II

$$\begin{aligned}
& \min_Q E_{Y \sim \text{Pop}} - \ln \tilde{Q}(Y) \\
&= \min_Q E_{\mathcal{Y} \sim \text{Pop}} \sum_n -\ln Q(\mathcal{Y}[n] | \mathcal{Y}[N(n)]) \\
&\geq \min_{P_1, \dots, P_N} E_{\mathcal{Y} \sim \text{Pop}} \sum_n -\ln P_n(\mathcal{Y}[n] | \mathcal{Y}[N(n)]) \\
&= \min_{P_1, \dots, P_N} \sum_n E_{\mathcal{Y} \sim \text{Pop}} -\ln P_n(\mathcal{Y}[n] | \mathcal{Y}[N(n)]) \\
&= \sum_n \min_{P_n} E_{\mathcal{Y} \sim \text{Pop}} -\ln P_n(\mathcal{Y}[n] | \mathcal{Y}[N(n)]) \\
&= \sum_n E_{\mathcal{Y} \sim \text{Pop}} -\ln \text{Pop}(\mathcal{Y}[n] | \mathcal{Y}[N(n)]) = E_{\mathcal{Y} \sim \text{Pop}} - \ln \widetilde{\text{Pop}}(\mathcal{Y})
\end{aligned}$$

## Contrastive Divergence (CDk)

In contrastive divergence we first construct an MCMC process whose stationary distribution is  $P_s$ . This could be Metropolis or Gibbs or something else.

**Algorithm CDk:** Given a gold segmentation  $\mathcal{Y}$ , start the MCMC process from initial state  $\mathcal{Y}$  and run the process for  $k$  steps to get  $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}'$ . Then take the loss to be

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CD}} = s(\hat{\mathcal{Y}}') - s(\mathcal{Y})$$

If  $P_s = \text{Pop}$  then the the distribution on  $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}'$  is the same as the distribution on  $\mathcal{Y}$  and the expected loss gradient is zero.

## Gibbs CD1

CD1 for the Gibbs MCMC process is a particularly interesting special case.

**Algorithm (Gibbs CD1):** Given  $\mathcal{Y}$ , select a node  $n$  at random and draw  $y \sim P(\mathcal{Y}[n] \mid \mathcal{Y}[N(n)])$ . Define  $\mathcal{Y}[n = y]$  to be the assignment (segmentation) which is the same as  $\mathcal{Y}$  except that node  $n$  is assigned label  $y$ . Take the loss to be

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CD}} = s(\mathcal{Y}[n = y]) - s(\mathcal{Y})$$

## Gibbs CD1 Theorem

Gibbs CD1 is equivalent in expectation to pseudolikelihood.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\text{PL}} &= E_{\mathcal{Y} \sim \text{Pop}} \sum_n -\ln P_s(\mathcal{Y}[n] = y \mid \mathcal{Y}[N(n)]) \\ &= E_{\mathcal{Y} \sim \text{Pop}} \sum_n -\ln \frac{e^{s(\mathcal{Y})}}{Z_n} \quad Z_n = \sum_{y'} e^{s(\mathcal{Y}[n=y'])} \\ &= E_{\mathcal{Y} \sim \text{Pop}} \sum_n (\ln Z_n - s(\mathcal{Y})) \\ \nabla_{\Phi} \mathcal{L}_{\text{PL}} &= E_{\mathcal{Y} \sim \text{Pop}} \sum_n \left( \frac{1}{Z_n} \sum_{y'} e^{s(\mathcal{Y}[n=y'])} \nabla_{\Phi} s(\mathcal{Y}[n] = y') \right) - \nabla_{\Phi} s(\mathcal{Y}) \\ &= E_{\mathcal{Y} \sim \text{Pop}} \sum_n \left( \sum_{y'} P(\mathcal{Y}[n = y' \mid \mathcal{Y}[N(n)]) \nabla_{\Phi} s(\mathcal{Y}[n = y']) \right) - \nabla_{\Phi} s(\mathcal{Y})\end{aligned}$$

## Gibbs CD1 Theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\Phi} \mathcal{L}_{\text{PL}} &= E_{\mathcal{Y} \sim \text{Pop}} \sum_n \left( \sum_{y'} P(\mathcal{Y}[n = y' \mid \mathcal{Y}[N(n)]]) \nabla_{\Phi} s(\mathcal{Y}[n] = y') \right) - \nabla_{\Phi} s(\mathcal{Y}) \\
&= E_{\mathcal{Y} \sim \text{Pop}} \sum_n \left( E_{y' \sim P(\mathcal{Y}[n=y' \mid \mathcal{Y}[N(n)])} \nabla_{\Phi} s(\mathcal{Y}[n] = y') \right) - \nabla_{\Phi} s(\mathcal{Y}) \\
&\propto E_{\mathcal{Y} \sim \text{Pop}} E_n E_{y' \sim P(\mathcal{Y}[n=y' \mid \mathcal{Y}[N(n)])} (\nabla_{\Phi} s(\mathcal{Y}[n] = y') - \nabla_{\Phi} s(\mathcal{Y})) \\
&= E_{\mathcal{Y} \sim \text{Pop}} E_n E_{y' \sim P(\mathcal{Y}[n=y' \mid \mathcal{Y}[N(n)])} \nabla_{\Phi} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Gibbs CD}(1)}
\end{aligned}$$



**END**