## TTIC 31230 Fundamentals of Deep Learning, winter 2019

### **CNN Problems**

In these problems, as in the lecture notes, capital letter indeces are used to indicate subtensors (slices) so that, for example, M[I, J] denotes a matrix while M[i, j] denotes one element of the matrix, M[i, J] denotes the *i*th row, and M[I, j] denotes the *j*th collumn.

We also adopt the convention, similar to true Einstein notation, that repeated capital indeces in a product of tensors are implicitly summed. We can then write the inner product  $e[w,I]^{\top}h[t,I]$  as e[w,I]h[t,I]. Using this implicit summation notation we can avoid ever using transpose.

**Problem 1.** Consider convolving a kernel  $K[n_{\text{out}}, \Delta x, \Delta y, n_{\text{in}}]$  with thresholds  $B[n_{\text{out}}]$  on a layer  $L[b, x, y, n_{\text{in}}]$  where  $B, X, Y, N_{\text{out}}, N_{\text{in}}, \Delta X, \Delta Y$  are the number of possible values for  $b, x, y, n_{\text{out}}, n_{\text{in}}, \Delta x$  and  $\Delta y$  respectively. How many floating point multiplies are required in computing the convolution on the batch (without any activation function)?

#### **Solution:**

$$BXY \Delta X \Delta Y N_{\text{out}} N_{\text{in}}$$

**Problem 2:** Suppose that we want a video CNN producing layers of the form L[b, x, y, t, n] which are the same as the layers of an image CNN but with an additional time index. Write the equation for computing  $L_{\ell+1}[b, x, y, t, j]$  from the tensor  $L_{\ell}[B, X, Y, T, I]$ . Your filter should include an index  $\Delta t$  and handle a stride s applied to both space and time. Use the repeated index notation for summation.

#### Solution:

$$L_{\ell+1}[b, x, y, t, n_{\text{out}}] = \sigma(K_{\ell+1}[n_{\text{out}}\Delta X, \Delta Y, \Delta T, N_{\text{in}}]L_{\ell}[b, sx + \Delta X, sy + \Delta Y, st + \Delta T, N_{\text{in}}] - B[n_{\text{out}}])$$

**Problem 3.** Consider a bottleneck multi-layer perceptron (MLP) with residual connections defined as follows where  $N_{\text{bottle}}$  is smaller than  $N_{\text{in}} = N_{\text{out}}$ .

$$\begin{split} \tilde{L}_{\ell}[n_{\text{bottle}}] &= \text{ReLU}(W_{\ell}^{b,1}[n_{\text{bottle}}, N_{\text{in}}]L_{\ell}[N_{\text{in}}] - B_{\ell}^{b,1}[n_{\text{bottle}}]) \\ \hat{L}_{\ell}[n_{\text{out}}] &= \text{ReLU}(W_{\ell}^{b,2}[n_{\text{out}}, N_{\text{bottle}}]\tilde{L}_{\ell}[N_{\text{bottle}}] - B_{\ell}^{b,2}[n_{\text{out}}]) \\ L_{\ell+1}[n] &= L_{\ell}[n] + \hat{L}_{\ell}[n] \end{split}$$

(a) What is the number of multiplications done by this network as a function of  $N_{\text{in}} = N_{\text{out}} = N$ ,  $N_{\text{bottle}}$  and the number of layers L (including the input layer)?

Under what conditions does this give fewer multiplications than the standard MLP with one matrix between layers?

**Solution**: The number of multiplications is  $2NN_{\text{bottle}}(L-1)$ . For a standard MLP (with no botleneck) the number of multiplications is  $N^2(L-1)$ . The bottleneck layer has fewer multiplications for  $N_{\text{bottle}} < N/2$ .

(b) We now consider introducing a multiplicative constant  $\gamma$  into the residual connection.

$$L_{\ell+1}[n] = \gamma(L_{\ell}[n] + \hat{L}_{\ell}[n])$$

If the network is initialized such that each response of  $L_{\ell}[n]$  and  $\hat{L}[n]$  has zero mean and unit variance, and are assummed to be independent, what value of  $\gamma$  gives that  $h[\ell+1,j]$  has zero mean and unit variance.

# Solution: $1/\sqrt{2}$

(c) The main advantage of a stack of residual connections is that there is direct additive path from the loss to each layer of the stack, including the input layer. Give a reason why the introduction of the constant  $\gamma < 1$  as in part (b) might be damaging to the optimization of the lower layers of the residual stack.

**Solution**: When we introduce  $\gamma < 1$  as in (b) the gradient update on the bottom layer is reduced by  $\gamma^{L-2}$ . This could harm the learning along the direct connection between the loss and the first layer of the network.

**Problem 4:** Images have translation invariance — a person detector must look for people at various places in the image. Translation invariance is the motivation for convolution — all places in the image are treated the same.

Images also have some degree of scale invariance — a person detector must look for people of different sizes (near the camera or far from the camera). We would like to design a deep architecture that treats all scales (sizes) the same just as CNNs treat all places the same.

Consider a batch of images I[b,x,y,c] where c ranges over the three color values red, green, blue. We start by constructing an "image pyramid"  $I_s[x,y,c]$ . We assume that the original image I[b,x,y,c] has spatial dimensions  $2^k$  and construct images  $I_s[b,x,y,c]$  with spatial dimensions  $2^{k-s}$  for  $0 \le s \le k$ . The image pyramid  $I_s[b,x,y,i]$  for  $0 \le s \le k$  is defined by the following equations.

$$I_0[b, x, y, c] = I[b, x, y, c]$$

$$I_{s+1}[b,x,y,c] = \frac{1}{4} \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_s[b,2x,2y,c] + I_s[b,2x+1,2y,c] \\ +I_s[b,2x,2y+1,c] + I_s[b,2x+1,2y+1,c] \end{array} \right)$$

We want to compute a set of layers  $L_{\ell,s}[b,x,y,i]$  where s is the scale and  $\ell$  is the level of processing with  $\ell+s \leq k$  and where  $L_{\ell,s}[b,x,y,i]$  has spatial dimensions

 $2^{k-\ell-s}$  (increasing either the processing level or the scale reduces the spatial dimentions by a factor of 2). First we set

$$L_{0,s}[b, x, y, c] = I_s[b, x, y, c].$$

Give an equation for a linear threshold unit to compute  $L_{\ell+1,s}[b,x,y,j]$  from  $L_{\ell,s}[b,x,y,j]$  and  $L_{\ell,s+1}[b,x,y,j]$ . Use parameters  $W_{\ell+1,\leftarrow}[\Delta x,\Delta y,i,j]$  for the dependence of  $L_{\ell+1,s}$  on  $L_{\ell,s+1}$  and parameters  $W_{\ell+1,\uparrow}[\Delta x,\Delta y,i,j]$  for the dependence of  $L_{\ell+1,s}$  on  $L_{\ell,s}$ . Use  $B_{\ell+1}[j]$  for the threshold. Note that these parameters do not depend on s— they are scale invariant.

## **Solution**:

$$L_{\ell+1,s}[b,x,y,j] = \sigma \left( \begin{array}{cc} \sum_{\Delta x,\Delta y,i} W_{\ell+1,\leftarrow}[\Delta x,\Delta y,i,j] L_{\ell,s+1}[b,x+\Delta x,y+\Delta y,i,j] \\ + & \sum_{\Delta x,\Delta y,i} W_{\ell+1,\uparrow} \left[\Delta x,\Delta y,i,j\right] L_{\ell,s} \left[b,2x+\Delta x,2y+\Delta y,i,j\right] \\ + & B_{\ell+1}[j] \end{array} \right)$$

Note: I am not aware of this architecture in the literature. A somewhat related architecture is "Feature Pyramid Networks" arxiv 1612.03144.