# TTIC 31230, Fundamentals of Deep Learning

David McAllester, Winter 2020

Machine Translation and Attention

# Review of Auto-regressive Language Modeling

An auto-regressive language model defines

$$P_{\Phi}(w_t \mid w_0, \cdots, w_{t-1})$$

### Training an Auto-regressive Language Model

At train time the full sentence is given and the loss function is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = -\ln P_{\Phi}(w_0, \dots, w_T) = \sum_{t} -\ln P_{\Phi}(w_t \mid w_0, \dots, w_{t-1})$$

# Sampling from an Auto-regressive Language Model

Draw  $w_0$  from  $P_{\Phi}(w_0)$ , t=0 While  $w_t \neq < EOS >$  Draw word  $w_{t+1}$  from  $P_{\Phi}(w_{t+1} \mid w_0, \cdots, w_t)$ . increment t

#### Machine Translation

$$w_0, \dots, w_{T_{\text{in}}} \Rightarrow \tilde{w}_0, \dots, \tilde{w}_{T_{\text{out}}}$$

Translation is a **sequence to sequence** (seq2seq) task.

Sequence to Sequence Learning with Neural Networks, Sutskever, Vinyals and Le, NeurIPS 2014, arXiv Sept 10, 2014.

#### Machine Translation

We define a model

$$P_{\Phi}\left(\tilde{w}_{0},\ldots,\tilde{w}_{T_{\mathrm{out}}}\mid w_{0},\ldots,w_{T_{\mathrm{in}}}\right)$$

$$\Phi^* = \underset{\Phi}{\operatorname{argmin}} E_{\operatorname{Pop}} \left[ -\ln P_{\Phi} \left( \tilde{w}_0, \dots, \tilde{w}_{T_{\operatorname{out}}} \mid w_0, \dots, w_{T_{\operatorname{in}}} \right) \right]$$

$$= \underset{\Phi}{\operatorname{argmin}} E_{\langle x, y \rangle \sim \operatorname{Pop}} \left[ -\ln P_{\Phi}(y | x) \right]$$

# Translation Using Thought Vectors

The final state of a **right-to-left** (backward) RNN is viewed as a "thought vector" representation of the input sentence.

We use the input thought vector  $h_{in}[0, J]$  as the initial hidden state of a **left-to-right** (forward) RNN language model generating the output sentence.

Computing the input thought vector backward provides a good start to the forward generation of the output.

### Machine Translation Decoding

We can sample a translation

$$w_t \sim P(w_t \mid \overleftarrow{h}_{\text{in}}[0, J], \ w_0, \dots, w_{t-1})$$

But typically we do a greedy decoding

$$w_t = \underset{w_t}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(w_t \mid \overleftarrow{h}_{\text{in}}[0, J], \ w_0, \dots, w_{t-1})$$

### Machine Translation Using Vector Sequences

Neural Machine Translation by Jointly Learning to Align and Translate Dzmitry Bahdanau, Kyunghyun Cho, Yoshua Bengio, ICLR 2015 (arXiv Sept. 1, 2014)

# Machine Translation Using Vector Sequences

$$P_{\Phi}(w_{t_{\text{out}}} \mid \overset{\leftrightarrow}{h_{\text{in}}} [T_{\text{in}}, J], \ w_0, \cdots, w_{t_{\text{out}}-1})$$

$$= \operatorname{softmax}_{w_{t_{\text{out}}}} e[w_{t_{\text{out}}}, J] \ \vec{h}_{\text{out}}[t_{\text{out}} - 1, J]$$

# Computing $\vec{h}_{\mathrm{out}}[t_{\mathrm{out}}, J]$

Attention 
$$P(t_{\text{in}}|t_{\text{out}})$$
:  $\alpha[t_{\text{out}},t_{\text{in}}] = \operatorname{softmax}_{t_{\text{in}}} e[w_{t_{\text{out}}},J] \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{h}_{\text{in}} [t_{\text{in}},J]$ 

Weighted Sum:  $\tilde{h}_{\text{in}}[t_{\text{out}},J] = \alpha[t_{\text{out}},T_{\text{in}}] \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{h}_{\text{in}} [T_{\text{in}},J]$ 

RNN:  $\tilde{h}_{\text{out}}[0,J] = \tilde{h}_{\text{in}}[0,J/2]; \tilde{h}_{\text{in}}[T_{\text{in}},J/2]$ 

RNN:  $\tilde{h}_{\text{out}}[t_{\text{out}},J] = \operatorname{CELL}(\tilde{h}_{\text{out}}[t_{\text{out}},J], e[w_{t_{\text{out}}},I], \tilde{h}_{\text{in}}[t_{\text{out}},J])$ 

#### Attention

$$\alpha[t_{\text{out}}, t_{\text{in}}] = \underset{t_{\text{in}}}{\text{softmax}} \ e[w_{t_{\text{out}}}, J] \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{h}_{\text{in}} [t_{\text{in}}, J]$$
$$\tilde{h}_{\text{in}}[t_{\text{out}}, J] = \alpha[t_{\text{out}}, T_{\text{in}}] \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{h}_{\text{in}} [T_{\text{in}}, J]$$

 $\tilde{h}_{\rm in}[t_{\rm out},J]$  is a convex combination of vectors  $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{h}_{\rm in}[t_{\rm in},J]$ .

More generally, attention computes a convex combination of vectors where the combination weights are computed by a softmax of an inner product with a "query" vector (such as  $e[w_{t_{out}}, J]$  above).

# Attention in Image Captioning

We can treat image captioning as translating an image into a caption.

In translation with attention involves an attention over the input aligning output words with positions in the input.

For each output word we get an attention over the image positions.

# Attention in Image Captioning



A woman is throwing a frisbee in a park.



A little <u>girl</u> sitting on a bed with a teddy bear.

 $\mathrm{Xu}$ et al. ICML 2015

### Further Comments on Decoding

We can sample a translation

$$w_t \sim P(w_t \mid \overleftarrow{h}_{\text{in}}[0, J], \ w_0, \dots, w_{t-1})$$

Typically we do a greedy decoding

$$w_t = \underset{w_t}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(w_t \mid \overleftarrow{h}_{\text{in}}[0, J], \ w_0, \dots, w_{t-1})$$

or we might try maximize total probability.

$$w_0, \dots, w_{T_{\text{out}}} = \underset{w_0, \dots, w_{T_{\text{out}}}}{\operatorname{argmax}} P_{\Phi} \left( w_0, \dots, w_{T_{\text{out}}} \mid \overleftarrow{h}_{\text{in}}[0, J] \right)$$

## Greedy Decoding vs. Beam Search

We would like

$$W_{\text{out}}[T_{\text{out}}]^* = \underset{W_{\text{out}}[T_{\text{out}}]}{\operatorname{argmax}} P_{\Phi}(W_{\text{out}}[T_{\text{out}}] \mid W_{\text{in}}[T_{\text{in}}])$$

But a greedy algorithm may do well

$$w_t = \underset{w_t}{\operatorname{argmax}} P_{\Phi}(w_t \mid W_{\text{in}}[T_{\text{in}}], \ w_0, \dots, w_{t-1})$$

But these are not the same.

### Example

"Those apples are good" vs. "Apples are good"

$$P_{\Phi}(\text{Apples are Good }) > P_{\Phi}(\text{Those apples are good })$$

$$P_{\Phi}(\text{Those}|\varepsilon) > P_{\Phi}(\text{Apples}|\varepsilon)$$

#### Beam Search

At each time step we maintain a list the K best words and their associated hidden vectors.

This can be used to produce a list of k "best" decodings which can then be compared to select the most likely one.

# $\mathbf{END}$