## TTIC 31230 Fundamentals of Deep Learning, winter 2019 Backpropagation Problems

**Problem 1: Backpropagation through softmax.** Consider the following softmax.

$$\begin{split} Z[b] &=& \sum_{j} \, \exp(s[b,j]) \\ p[b,j] &=& \exp(s[b,j])/Z[b] \end{split}$$

An alternative way to compute this is to initialize the tensors Z and p to zero and then execute the following loops.

for 
$$b, j$$
  $Z[b] += \exp(s[b, j])$   
for  $b, j$   $p[b, j] += \exp(s[b, j])/Z[b]$ 

Each individual += operation inside the loops can be treated independently in backpropagation.

(a) Give a back-propagation loop over += updates based on the second loop for adding to s.grad using p.grad (and using the forward-computed tensors Z and s).

 $\textbf{Solution:} \quad \text{For } b, j \quad s. \operatorname{grad}[b,j] += p. \operatorname{grad}[b,j] \exp(s[b,j]) / Z[b]$ 

(b) Give a back-propagation loop over += updates based on the second equation for adding to Z.grad using p.grad (and using the forward-computed tensors s and Z).

**Solution**: For b, j Z.grad[b]  $\rightarrow$  p.grad[b, j]  $\exp(s[b, j])/Z[b]^2$ 

(c) Give a back-propagation loop over += updates based on the first equation for adding to s.grad using Z.grad (and using the forward-computed tensor s).

**Solution**: For b, j s.grad[b, j] += Z.grad $[b] \exp(s[b, j])$ 

Problem 2: Optimizing Backpropagation through softmax. Show that the addition to s.grad shown in problem 1 can be computed using the following more efficient updates.

for 
$$b, j$$
  $e[b] = p[b, j]p.\operatorname{grad}[b, j]$   
for  $b, j$   $s.\operatorname{grad}[b, j] += p[b, j](p.\operatorname{grad}[b, j] + e[b])$ 

**Solution**: The updates for problem 1 can be written as

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{for } b & Z. \text{grad}[b] & = & \displaystyle \sum_{j} -p. \text{grad}[b,j] \exp(s[b,j])/Z[b]^2 \\ \\ & = & \left( \displaystyle \sum_{j} -p[b,j]p. \text{grad}[b,j] \right)/Z[b] \\ \\ & = & e[b]/Z[b] \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{for } b,j & s. \text{grad}[b,j] & = & p. \text{grad}[b,j] \exp(s[b,j])/Z[b] + Z. \text{grad}[b] \exp(s[b,j]) \\ & = & p. \text{grad}[b,j] \left( \exp(s[b,j])/Z[b] \right) + e[b] \left( \exp(s[b,j])/Z[b] \right) \\ & = & p[b,j] (p. \text{grad}[b,j] + e[b]) \end{array}$$

This formula shows how hand-written back-propagation methods for "layers" such as softmax can be more efficient than compiler-generated back-propagation code. While optimizing compilers can of course be written, one must keep in mind the trade-off between the abstraction level of the programming language and the efficiency of the generated code.

**Problem 3. Backpropogation through batch normalization.** Consider the following set of += statements defining batch normalization where all computed tensors are initialized to zero.

For 
$$b, j$$
  $\mu[j] += \frac{1}{B} x[b, j]$   
For  $b, j$   $s[j] += \frac{1}{B-1} (x[b, j] - \mu[j])^2$   
For  $b, j$   $x'[b, j] += \frac{x[b, j] - \mu[j]}{\sqrt{s[j]}}$ 

Give backpropagation += (or -=) loops for computing  $x.\operatorname{grad}[b,j]$ ,  $\mu.\operatorname{grad}[j]$ , and  $s.\operatorname{grad}[j]$  from  $x'.\operatorname{grad}[b,j]$ . The loops should be given in the order they are to be executed.

**Problem 4. Backpropagation through a UGRNN.** Equations defining a UGRNN are given below.

$$\tilde{R}_{t}[b,j] = \left(\sum_{i} W^{h,R}[j,i]h_{t-1}[b,i]\right) + \left(\sum_{k} W^{x,R}[j,k]x_{t}[b,k]\right) - B^{R}[j]$$

$$R_{t}[b,j] = \tanh(\tilde{R}_{t}[b,j])$$

$$\tilde{G}_{t}[b,j] = \left(\sum_{i} W^{h,G}[j,i]h_{t-1}[b,i]\right) + \left(\sum_{k} W^{x,G}[j,k]x_{t}[b,k]\right) - B^{G}[j]$$

$$G_{t}[b,j] = \sigma(\tilde{G}_{t}[b,j])$$

$$h_{t}[b,j] = G_{t}[b,j]h_{t-1}[b,j] + (1 - G_{t}[b,j])R_{t}[b,j]$$

(a) Rewrite the first equation defining  $\tilde{R}_t$  using += loops instead of summations assuming that all computed tensors are initialized to zero.

## **Solution**:

for 
$$b, j, i$$
  $\tilde{R}_t[b, j]$  +=  $W^{h,R}[j, i]h_{t-1}[b, i]$   
for  $b, j, k$   $\tilde{R}_t[b, j]$  +=  $W^{X,R}[k, i]x_t[b, k]$   
for  $b, j$   $\tilde{R}_t[b, j]$  -=  $B^R[j]$ 

(b) Give += loops for the backward computation for your solution to part (a) using the convention that parameter gradients are averaged over the batch and where the batch size is B.

## **Solution**:

for 
$$b, j, i$$
  $W^{h,R}$ .grad $[j, i]$  +=  $\frac{1}{B} h_{t-1}[b, i] \tilde{R}_t$ .grad $[b, j]$   
for  $b, j, i$   $h_{t-1}$ .grad $[b, j]$  +=  $W^{h,R}[j, i] \tilde{R}_t$ .grad $[b, j]$   
for  $b, j, k$   $W^{x,R}$ .grad $[j, k]$  +=  $\frac{1}{B} x[b, k] \tilde{R}_t$ .grad $[b, j]$   
for  $b, j$   $B^R$ .grad $[j]$  -=  $\frac{1}{B} \tilde{R}_t$ .grad $[b, j]$ 

**Problem 5. Writing framework code.** Consider a function  $c: R^d \times R^s \to R^s$ , in other words a function that takes a vector of dimension d and a vector of dimension s and yields a vector of dimension s. Given a sequence of vectors  $x_0, x_2, \ldots, x_T$  with  $x_t \in R^d$  we can define a sequence of vectors  $h_0, h_1, \ldots, h_T$  by the equations

$$h_0 = c(x_0, 0)$$
  
 $h_t = c(x_t, h_{t-1}) \text{ for } 1 \le t \le T$ 

When the function c is defined by a neural network the resulting network mapping  $x_1, \ldots, x_T$  to  $h_0, \ldots, h_T$  is called a recurrent neural network (RNN). a. In the educational framework EDF we work with objects where each object has a value attribute and a gradient attribute each of which have tensor values where the value tensor and the gradient tensor are the same shape. Each object is assigned a value in a forward pass and assigned a gradient in a backward pass. Suppose that we are given an EDF procedure CELL which takes as arguments a parameter object Phi and two EDF objects X and H where the value attribute of the object X is a d-dimensional vector and the value attribute of the object H is an s-dimensional vector. A call to the procedure CELL(Phi,X,H) returns an EDF object whose value attribute is computed in a forward pass in some possibly complex way from the value attributes of Phi, X and H. Given a sequence X[] of EDF objects whose value attributes are d-dimensional vectors, and an EDF object ZERO representing the constant s-dimensional zero vector, write a procedure for constructing the sequence of EDF objects representing  $h_1, h_2, \ldots$  $h_T$  as defined by the above RNN equations. Your solution can be in Python or informal high level pseudo code.

**Solution**: We can use the equations given as the definition of the computation graph if we replace c in the equations with the function CELL.

```
\begin{split} &X = list() \\ &H = list() \\ &H[0] = CELL(Phi,X[0],ZERO) \\ &for \ t \ in \ range(1,T) \\ &H[t] = CELL(Phi,X[t],H[t-1]) \end{split}
```

**b.** Deep learning systems generally make extensive use of parallel computation for training. How does the parallel running time of an RNN computation graph scale with the length T?

**Solution**: The parallel running time is proportional to T. RNNS are fundamentally serial and this is a problem. RNNs have recently been largely replaced by the transformer architecture.