

# In Catilinam IV <sup>★</sup>

Marcus Tullius Cicero <sup>a</sup>, Julius Caesar <sup>b</sup>, Publius Maro Vergilius <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Buckingham Palace, Paestum*

<sup>b</sup>*Senate House, Rome*

<sup>c</sup>*The White House, Baiae*

---

## Abstract

Cum M. Cicero consul Nonis Decembribus senatum in aede Iovis Statoris consuleret, quid de iis coniurationis Catilinae sociis fieri placeret, qui in custodiam traditi essent, factum est, ut duae potissimum sententiae proponerentur, una D. Silani consulis designati, qui morte multandos illos censebat, altera C. Caesaris, qui illos publicatis bonis per municipia Italiae distribuendos ac vinculis sempiternis tenendos existimabat.

*Key words:* Cicero; Catiline; orations.

---

## 1 Introduction

Gauge invariance is a central organizing principle of modern physics, expressing the idea that observable quantities should remain unchanged under certain local transformations of the mathematical description. In classical field theories and quantum electrodynamics, this concept ensures that different representations related by gauge transformations correspond to the same physical reality [3,5]. From an abstract viewpoint, gauge invariance provides a systematic way to separate physically meaningful dynamics from redundant coordinate or potential offsets. This symmetry-based perspective has proven essential for constructing robust and consistent models in physics, and it motivates the extension of invariance principles beyond their traditional domain toward engineered dynamical systems subject to measurement distortions and unknown offsets.

## 2 Work

### 2.1 The System Model

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times 1}$  and  $w \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times 1}$  be the state, measurement, exogenous vectors, respectively, the SIMO/MIMO LTI system addressed in this paper is stated as,

$$\dot{x} = Ax + B_w w + B_u u, y = Cx + D_w w \quad (1)$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $B_w \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$  and  $D_w \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times r}$ . The following additional rank condition

$$\text{rank}(C) = r < p \quad (2)$$

arises in sparse sensor applications[4], topologies used in Multi Agen Systems(MAS)[2] and distributed networks [7], and is also called Strictly Output Redundant(SOR) system [6]. Here, the system output is overdetermined, therefore,

$$\dim \mathcal{N}(C^T) = p - r \geq 1 \quad (3)$$

or using orthogonality  $C^T C^\perp = 0$ ,

$$\dim \mathcal{N}(C^\perp) = p - r \geq 1 \quad (4)$$

which ensures nontrivial orthogonal component in the output space.

---

<sup>★</sup> This paper was not presented at any IFAC meeting. Corresponding author M. T. Cicero. Tel. +XXXIX-VI-mmmxxi. Fax +XXXIX-VI-mmmxxv.

*Email addresses:* `cicero@senate.ir` (Marcus Tullius Cicero), `julius@caesar.ir` (Julius Caesar), `vergilius@culture.ir` (Publius Maro Vergilius).

## 2.2 The Observer Models

The classical Luenberger Observer is defined as,

$$\dot{\hat{x}} = A\hat{x} + B_u u + L(y - \hat{y}), \hat{y} = C\hat{x} \quad (5)$$

where  $L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  is the observer gain. Assuming  $(A, C)$ -pair observable, the error dynamics are obtained for the error  $e \triangleq x - \hat{x}$  as,

$$\dot{e} = (A - LC)e + (B_w - LD_w)w \quad (6)$$

The  $\mathbb{H}_\infty$  optimal observer for objective function  $z = C_z e$  is designed using the following LMI problem[1],

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{Y, P} (\gamma) \quad \text{s.t.} \\ & \begin{bmatrix} (PA - YC) + \star^T & PB_w - YD_w & C_z^T \\ \star & -\gamma I & 0 \\ \star & 0 & -\gamma I \end{bmatrix} \prec 0 \\ & P \succ 0 \end{aligned}$$

where the observer gain is recovered with  $L = P^{-1}Y$ .

## 2.3 The Projection

The projection operator defined using Gauge-Invariance principle is "proposed" as follows,

$$\Pi \triangleq I - C(C^T C)^{-1}C^T \quad (7)$$

which satisfies the following properties,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi C &= (I - C(C^T C)^{-1}C^T)C = 0 \\ \Pi C^\perp &= (I - C(C^T C)^{-1}C^T)C^\perp = C^\perp, C^T C^\perp = 0 \\ \Pi^2 &= \Pi, \Pi \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Using the projection operator on the measured output yields,

$$\Pi y = \Pi(Cx + D_w w) = \Pi D_w w \quad (9)$$

The projection eliminates the state dependent component of the output, isolating the exogenous component establishing state invariance. This is an algebraic measurement of the disturbance reflected to the output. The exogenous input is recovered under the following condition,

$$\text{rank}(\Pi D_w) = r \quad (10)$$

or equivalently,

$$\ker(\Pi D_w) = 0. \quad (11)$$

with the least square estimator,

$$\hat{\omega} = (\Pi D_w)^\dagger (\Pi y) \quad (12)$$

where  $(.)^\dagger$  is the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse. The exogenous signal recovery fails if

$$D_w w \in \text{Im}(C), \quad (13)$$

otherwise,

$$\hat{\omega} \rightarrow \omega \quad (14)$$

## 2.4 The GI-Luenberger Observer

The classical Luenberger observer is fed with the sensed exogenous input forming the GI-Luenberger observer, the observer expression becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\hat{x}} &= A\hat{x} + B_w \hat{\omega} + B_u u + L(y - \hat{y}) \\ \hat{y} &= C\hat{x} + D_w \hat{\omega} \\ \hat{\omega} &= (\Pi D_w)^\dagger (\Pi y) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

the error dynamics are obtained as,

$$\dot{e} = (A - LC)e \quad (16)$$

The exogenous input is recovered since,

$$\Pi y = \Pi Cx + \Pi D_w w = \Pi D_w w \quad (17)$$

and,

$$(\Pi D_w)^\dagger \Pi y = (\Pi D_w)^\dagger (\Pi D_w) w = w \quad (18)$$

under the following condition, where  $D_w w$  is partitioned as,

$$D_w = C\alpha + C^\perp \beta, \beta \neq 0 \quad (19)$$

since,

$$\Pi D_w = \Pi C\alpha + \Pi C^\perp \beta = \Pi C^\perp \beta, \beta \neq 0 \quad (20)$$

and finally,

$$\Pi D_w \neq 0 \quad (21)$$

## 2.5 Robust Observer

Let the system be given as,

$$\dot{x} = Ax + B_w w, y = (C_0 + \Delta C_0)x + D_w w \quad (22)$$

where  $\|\Delta\|_\infty \leq 1$ , the projection,

$$\Pi \triangleq I - C_0(C_0^T C_0)^{-1} C_0^T \quad (23)$$

on the output gives,

$$\Pi(C_0 x + \Delta C_0 x + D_w w) = \Pi \Delta C_0 x + \Pi D_w w \quad (24)$$

The uncertainty model,

$$\Delta C_0 = H \Delta E \quad (25)$$

where  $\Delta^T \Delta \leq I$  or  $\|\Delta\|_\infty \leq 1$ . Hence,

$$\Pi y = \Pi H \Delta E x + \Pi D_w w \quad (26)$$

For

$$C_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (27)$$

Case 1:  $H = C_0$ ,  $\Delta = \delta$ , and  $E = 1$  hence,

$$C = C_0 + C_0 \delta = C_0(1 + \delta) \quad (28)$$

Case 2:

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (29)$$

and

$$\Delta = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (30)$$

and  $E = 1$  hence,

$$C = C_0 + \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \delta_1 + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \delta_2 \quad (31)$$

where  $\delta_1 \neq \delta_2$ .

### 3 Numerical Example

#### 3.1 Example 1

#### References

- [1] Guang-Ren Duan and Hai-Hua Yu. *LMIs in control systems: analysis, design and applications*. CRC press, 2013.
- [2] Reza Olfati-Saber, J Alex Fax, and Richard M Murray. Consensus and cooperation in networked multi-agent systems. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 95(1):215–233, 2007.

- [3] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder. *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*. Addison-Wesley, 1995.
- [4] Yasser Shoukry and Paulo Tabuada. Event-triggered state observers for sparse sensor noise/attacks. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 61(8):2079–2091, 2015.
- [5] C. N. Yang and R. L. Mills. Conservation of isotopic spin and isotopic gauge invariance. *Phys. Rev.*, 96:191–195, 1954.
- [6] Guitao Yang, Alexander J Gallo, Angelo Barboni, Riccardo MG Ferrari, Andrea Serrani, and Thomas Parisini. On the output redundancy of lti systems: A geometric approach with application to privacy. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2025.
- [7] Guitao Yang, Hamed Rezaee, Andrea Serrani, and Thomas Parisini. Sensor fault-tolerant state estimation by networks of distributed observers. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 67(10):5348–5360, 2022.

#### A A summary of Latin grammar

#### B Some Latin vocabulary