

Looking for the Phonological Mapping Negativity (in all the wrong places)

Massimiliano Canzi

`massimiliano.canzi@uni-konstanz.de`

June 24 2021

Section 1

Introduction

Introduction

Four years ago

Introduction

Four years ago

It was a dark and stormy night in Manchester, England

Introduction

Four years ago

It was a dark and stormy night in Manchester, England

Me:

Introduction

Four years ago

It was a dark and stormy night in Manchester, England

Me: “What if we used ERP to help settle the decade-long debate of feed-forward vs interactive speech perception?”

Introduction

Four years ago

It was a dark and stormy night in Manchester, England

Me: “What if we used ERP to help settle the decade-long debate of feed-forward vs interactive speech perception? It sounds fairly straightforward!”

Introduction

Four years ago

It was a dark and stormy night in Manchester, England

Me: “What if we used ERP to help settle the decade-long debate of feed-forward vs interactive speech perception? It sounds fairly straightforward!”

[It obviously really wasn't]

Introduction

Event-related potentials (**ERP**) are measured brain responses that are direct result of a **sensory, cognitive** or motor event (**Luck 2005**)

Introduction

Event-related potentials (**ERP**) are measured brain responses that are direct result of a **sensory, cognitive** or motor event (**Luck 2005**)

Event-related potential components are measured with electro-encephalography (**EEG**) equipment.

Introduction

ERP (and EEG) offer unparalleled temporal resolution,

Introduction

ERP (and EEG) offer unparalleled temporal resolution, opening a direct window into cognitive processes of online language processing and **speech perception**.

Introduction

ERP (and EEG) offer unparalleled temporal resolution, opening a direct window into cognitive processes of online language processing and **speech perception**.

The original goal of my thesis was that to **design** a handful of **ERP experiments to investigate lexical feedback** and top-down processes of speech perception.

Introduction

ERP (and EEG) offer unparalleled temporal resolution, opening a direct window into cognitive processes of online language processing and **speech perception**.

The original goal of my thesis was that to **design** a handful of **ERP experiments to investigate lexical feedback** and top-down processes of speech perception. But how?

Introduction

- Mismatch Negativity (**MMN**)

* Originally named Phonological Mismatch Negativity

Introduction

- Mismatch Negativity (**MMN**)
- Phonological Mapping* Negativity (**PMN**)

* Originanly named Phonological Mismatch Negativity

Introduction

- Mismatch Negativity (**MMN**)
- Phonological Mapping* Negativity (**PMN**)
- **N400**

* Originally named Phonological Mismatch Negativity

Introduction

- Mismatch Negativity (**MMN**)
- Phonological Mapping* Negativity (**PMN**)
- **N400**
- **P600**

* Originanly named Phonological Mismatch Negativity

MMN

The mismatch negativity (MMN) is a cross-sensorial ERP component often observed in frontocentral regions of the scalp between 150 and 250 ms post stimulus onset

MMN

The mismatch negativity (MMN) is a cross-sensorial ERP component often observed in frontocentral regions of the scalp between 150 and 250 ms post stimulus onset

The mismatch negativity reflects the perception of a deviant stimulus in a sequence of standard stimuli (e.g. Garrido et al., 2009)

MMN

The mismatch negativity (MMN) is a cross-sensorial ERP component often observed in frontocentral regions of the scalp between 150 and 250 ms post stimulus onset

The mismatch negativity reflects the perception of a deviant stimulus in a sequence of standard stimuli (e.g. Garrido et al., 2009)

In the auditory domain, a deviant stimulus can be identified by differences in pitch, duration, stress and frequency range (Erlbeck et al., 2014)

N400

The N400 (Kutas & Hillyard 1980) is part of the normal brain response to words and other meaningful stimuli.

N400

The N400 (Kutas & Hillyard 1980) is part of the normal brain response to words and other meaningful stimuli.

nurse

N400

The N400 (Kutas & Hillyard 1980) is part of the normal brain response to words and other meaningful stimuli.

nurse doctor

N400

The N400 (Kutas & Hillyard 1980) is part of the normal brain response to words and other meaningful stimuli.

nurse doctor |

N400

The N400 (Kutas & Hillyard 1980) is part of the normal brain response to words and other meaningful stimuli.

nurse doctor | pizza

N400

The N400 (Kutas & Hillyard 1980) is part of the normal brain response to words and other meaningful stimuli.

nurse doctor | pizza pineapple

N400

The N400 (Kutas & Hillyard 1980) is part of the normal brain response to words and other meaningful stimuli.

nurse doctor | pizza pineapple

- Other paradigms include cloze-probability mismatch (e.g. Connolly and Phillips 1994)

Introduction

oooooooo●oooooooo

Methods

oooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

oooooooo

Experiment 3

oooooooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

P600

Phonological Mapping Negativity

The Phonological Mapping (or Mismatch) Negativity, **PMN** is an event-related potential component hypothesized to index phonological mismatch and mapping

Phonological Mapping Negativity

The Phonological Mapping (or Mismatch) Negativity, **PMN** is an event-related potential component hypothesized to index phonological mismatch and mapping (e.g. Connolly and Phillips 1994; Connolly et al. 2001)

Phonological Mapping Negativity

However, while some studies (e.g. Connolly and Phillips 1994) have linked the PMN to phonological mapping during the lexical selection stage of speech perception..

Phonological Mapping Negativity

However, while some studies (e.g. Connolly and Phillips 1994) have linked the PMN to phonological mapping during the lexical selection stage of speech perception..

others (e.g. Newman & Connolly) report that the PMN is a **marker of acoustic and pre-lexical information.**

Connolly and Phillips (1994)

Event-Related Potential Components Reflect Phonological and Semantic Processing of the Terminal Word of Spoken Sentences:

Connolly and Phillips (1994)

Event-Related Potential Components Reflect Phonological and Semantic Processing of the Terminal Word of Spoken Sentences:

- The piano is out of

Connolly and Phillips (1994)

Event-Related Potential Components Reflect Phonological and Semantic Processing of the Terminal Word of Spoken Sentences:

- The piano is out of tune

Connolly and Phillips (1994)

Event-Related Potential Components Reflect Phonological and Semantic Processing of the Terminal Word of Spoken Sentences:

- The piano is out of tune (no mismatch)

Connolly and Phillips (1994)

Event-Related Potential Components Reflect Phonological and Semantic Processing of the Terminal Word of Spoken Sentences:

- The piano is out of tune (no mismatch)
- The piano is out of

Connolly and Phillips (1994)

Event-Related Potential Components Reflect Phonological and Semantic Processing of the Terminal Word of Spoken Sentences:

- The piano is out of tune (no mismatch)
- The piano is out of tuna

Connolly and Phillips (1994)

Event-Related Potential Components Reflect Phonological and Semantic Processing of the Terminal Word of Spoken Sentences:

- The piano is out of tune (no mismatch)
- The piano is out of tuna (N400)

Connolly and Phillips (1994)

Event-Related Potential Components Reflect Phonological and Semantic Processing of the Terminal Word of Spoken Sentences:

- The piano is out of tune (no mismatch)
- The piano is out of tuna (N400)
- The piano is out of

Connolly and Phillips (1994)

Event-Related Potential Components Reflect Phonological and Semantic Processing of the Terminal Word of Spoken Sentences:

- The piano is out of tune (no mismatch)
- The piano is out of tuna (N400)
- The piano is out of pizza

Connolly and Phillips (1994)

Event-Related Potential Components Reflect Phonological and Semantic Processing of the Terminal Word of Spoken Sentences:

- The piano is out of tune (no mismatch)
- The piano is out of tuna (N400)
- The piano is out of pizza (N400 and PMN)

Connolly and Phillips (1994)

Event-Related Potential Components Reflect Phonological and Semantic Processing of the Terminal Word of Spoken Sentences:

- The piano is out of tune (no mismatch)
- The piano is out of tuna (N400)
- The piano is out of pizza (N400 and PMN)
- ...

Newman et al. (2003)

Phoneme deletion task to study the PMN:

Newman et al. (2003)

Phoneme deletion task to study the PMN:

Delete /k/ from the word “clap”

Newman et al. (2003)

Phoneme deletion task to study the PMN:

Delete /k/ from the word “clap”

- lap

Newman et al. (2003)

Phoneme deletion task to study the PMN:

Delete /k/ from the word “clap”

- lap
- aap

Newman et al. (2003)

Phoneme deletion task to study the PMN:

Delete /k/ from the word “clap”

- lap
- aap
- dog

Phonological Mapping Negativity

Lewendon et. al (2020) suggest that the possibility exists that the PMN is an extension of either the Mismatch Negativity (**MMN**) or **N400** components

Phonological Mapping Negativity

Lewendon et. al (2020) also report that the majority of the literature on the PMN is characterized by contradictory findings and methodological limitations, e.g.

- Contrasting theories of the PMN

Phonological Mapping Negativity

Lewendon et. al (2020) also report that the majority of the literature on the PMN is characterized by contradictory findings and methodological limitations, e.g.

- Contrasting theories of the PMN
- Mixed topographical locations:

Phonological Mapping Negativity

Lewendon et. al (2020) also report that the majority of the literature on the PMN is characterized by contradictory findings and methodological limitations, e.g.

- Contrasting theories of the PMN
- Mixed topographical locations:
 - Some studies report discovering the PMN in frontal and central sites, others in parietal / mid-line / evenly spread across the scalp.

Phonological Mapping Negativity

Lewendon et. al (2020) also report that the majority of the literature on the PMN is characterized by contradictory findings and methodological limitations, e.g.

- Contrasting theories of the PMN
- Mixed topographical locations:
 - Some studies report discovering the PMN in frontal and central sites, others in parietal / mid-line / evenly spread across the scalp.
- Methodological limitations:

Phonological Mapping Negativity

Lewendon et. al (2020) also report that the majority of the literature on the PMN is characterized by contradictory findings and methodological limitations, e.g.

- Contrasting theories of the PMN
- Mixed topographical locations:
 - Some studies report discovering the PMN in frontal and central sites, others in parietal / mid-line / evenly spread across the scalp.
- Methodological limitations:
 - Few participants (usually < 10)

Phonological Mapping Negativity

Lewendon et. al (2020) also report that the majority of the literature on the PMN is characterized by contradictory findings and methodological limitations, e.g.

- Contrasting theories of the PMN
- Mixed topographical locations:
 - Some studies report discovering the PMN in frontal and central sites, others in parietal / mid-line / evenly spread across the scalp.
- Methodological limitations:
 - Few participants (usually < 10)
 - Few trials (usually < 40)

Phonological Mapping Negativity

Lewendon et. al (2020) also report that the majority of the literature on the PMN is characterized by contradictory findings and methodological limitations, e.g.

- Contrasting theories of the PMN
- Mixed topographical locations:
 - Some studies report discovering the PMN in frontal and central sites, others in parietal / mid-line / evenly spread across the scalp.
- Methodological limitations:
 - Few participants (usually < 10)
 - Few trials (usually < 40)
 - Confounding variables

Introduction

oooooooooooooooo●o

Methods

ooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

ooooooo

Experiment 3

ooooooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Research questions

Research questions

- Is the PMN in response to acoustic, phonetic, phonological, lexical mapping and mismatch, none or a combination of all?

Research questions

- Is the PMN in response to acoustic, phonetic, phonological, lexical mapping and mismatch, none or a combination of all?
- Is any other ERP component found in response to acoustic, phonetic and phonological mismatch in place of / together with the PMN?

Introduction

ooooooooooooooooo●

Methods

ooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

ooooooo

Experiment 3

ooooooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Research questions

Why the PMN..

Research questions

Why the PMN.. *and why now?*

Research questions

Why the PMN.. *and why now?*

- The PMN might play an important role in future investigations of architectures of grammar (placed in between acoustic and lexical processing)

Research questions

Why the PMN.. *and why now?*

- The PMN might play an important role in future investigations of architectures of grammar (placed in between acoustic and lexical processing)
- Clinical studies have used the PMN as a *marker of phonological processing abilities* (Robson et al. 2017). However, it is not clear what processes sexactly the PMN stands for.

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

●oooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

ooooooo

Experiment 3

ooooooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Section 2

Methods

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

●●●oooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

oooooooo

Experiment 3

oooooooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Experimental design

Experimental design

Three neuro-imaging experiments designed to introduce new contexts in which to probe the elicitation of the PMN ERP component.

Experimental design

Three neuro-imaging experiments designed to introduce new contexts in which to probe the elicitation of the PMN ERP component.

Experiments **1**, **2**, and **3** were designed to simultaneously work independently while also being fully comparable.

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

oo●oooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

ooooooo

Experiment 3

ooooooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Equipment

Hardware:

Equipment

Hardware:

- 64 active pin-type **BioSemi** electrodes / **ActiView**

Equipment

Hardware:

- 64 active pin-type **BioSemi** electrodes / **ActiView**
- Neurobehavioral Systems' **Presentation**

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

ooo●ooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

ooooooo

Experiment 3

ooooooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Equipment

Software:

Equipment

Software:

- MATLAB (2018b; 2019a; 2019b)

Equipment

Software:

- MATLAB (2018b; 2019a; 2019b)
- EEGLAB (Delorme & Makeig 2004)

Equipment

Software:

- MATLAB (2018b; 2019a; 2019b)
- EEGLAB (Delorme & Makeig 2004)
- ERPLAB (Lopez-Calderon & Luck, 2014)

Equipment

Software:

- MATLAB (2018b; 2019a; 2019b)
- EEGLAB (Delorme & Makeig 2004)
- ERPLAB (Lopez-Calderon & Luck, 2014)
- R (4.1) (R Core Team 2021)

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

oooo●oo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

ooooooo

Experiment 3

ooooooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Equipment

Statistical analyses:

Equipment

Statistical analyses:

- Exploratory channel-level multivariate testing with package ERP (Causeur et al. 2020) and the Adaptive Factor Adjustment (AFA) procedure (Sheu et al. 2016)

Equipment

Statistical analyses:

- Exploratory channel-level multivariate testing with package ERP (Causeur et al. 2020) and the Adaptive Factor Adjustment (AFA) procedure (Sheu et al. 2016)
- Mean amplitude modelling with mixed-effect models & package lme4 (Bates et al. 2015)

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

ooooo●o

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

ooooooo

Experiment 3

ooooooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Equipment

Data visualisation:

Equipment

Data visualisation:

- Grand-Average / difference ERP plots with `ggplot2` (Wickham 2016)

Equipment

Data visualisation:

- Grand-Average / difference ERP plots with **ggplot2** (Wickham 2016)
- Cubic spline interpolation scalp maps with package **akima** (Akima and Gebhardt 2020)

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

ooooooo●

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

ooooooo

Experiment 3

ooooooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Reproducibility



Reproducibility



Data, code and model summaries are freely available on GitHub at the repository `mcanzi/phd_codedata`

Reproducibility



Data, code and model summaries are freely available on GitHub at the repository `mcanzi/phd_codedata`

PhD thesis has been submitted and *will available through open access* following thesis defense (in August) and corrections.

Section 3

Experiment 1

Procedure

- Participants were trained to learn three pairs of tri-syllabic nonce words in a computerized training phase (e.g. *pitabu dipida*)

Procedure

- Participants were trained to learn three pairs of tri-syllabic nonce words in a computerized training phase (e.g. *pitabu dipida*)
 - Transitional probabilities within the two items of each nonce-word pair was 1.0

Procedure

- Participants were trained to learn three pairs of tri-syllabic nonce words in a computerized training phase (e.g. *pitabu dipida*)
 - Transitional probabilities within the two items of each nonce-word pair was 1.0
- Participants were tested on their knowledge of the experimental stimuli in a computerized task

Procedure

- Participants were trained to learn three pairs of tri-syllabic nonce words in a computerized training phase (e.g. *pitabu dipida*)
 - Transitional probabilities within the two items of each nonce-word pair was 1.0
- Participants were tested on their knowledge of the experimental stimuli in a computerized task
- During EEG data collection, stimuli were played back to participants during a passive listening task, however..

Procedure

- Participants were trained to learn three pairs of tri-syllabic nonce words in a computerized training phase (e.g. *pitabu dipida*)
 - Transitional probabilities within the two items of each nonce-word pair was 1.0
- Participants were tested on their knowledge of the experimental stimuli in a computerized task
- During EEG data collection, stimuli were played back to participants during a passive listening task, however..
 - In 33% of total trials, the first syllable of the second nonce-word of each pair would be manipulated to break expectations

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

oooooooo

Experiment 1

oo●ooooo

Experiment 2

oooooooo

Experiment 3

oooooooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Stimuli

pitabu

Stimuli

pitabu dipida

Stimuli

pitabu dipida

pitabu

Stimuli

pitabu dipida

pitabu **b**apida

Stimuli

pitabu dipida

pitabu **b**apida

pitabu

Stimuli

pitabu dipida

pitabu **b**apida

pitabu **b**upida

Stimuli

pitabu dipida

pitabu **b**apida

pitabu **b**upida

- Stimuli were synthesized using Mac OS Text-to-Speech

Stimuli

pitabu dipida

pitabu **b**apida

pitabu **b**upida

- Stimuli were synthesized using Mac OS Text-to-Speech
- Vowel, syllable and word length were controlled for (each syllable was 200 ms long)

Stimuli

pitabu dipida

pitabu **b**apida

pitabu **b**upida

- Stimuli were synthesized using Mac OS Text-to-Speech
- Vowel, syllable and word length were controlled for (each syllable was 200 ms long)
- Speaker and pitch contours were the same for all stimuli.

Participants

22 Participants ($F = 13$) took part to the experiment.

- 22 right-handed adults

Participants

22 Participants ($F = 13$) took part to the experiment.

- 22 right-handed adults
- 22 BrE speakers

Participants

22 Participants ($F = 13$) took part to the experiment.

- 22 right-handed adults
- 22 BrE speakers
- Age ($M = 22$, 18-25)

Participants

22 Participants ($F = 13$) took part to the experiment.

- 22 right-handed adults
- 22 BrE speakers
- Age ($M = 22$, 18-25)
- Normal or corrected to normal vision and hearing

Participants

22 Participants ($F = 13$) took part to the experiment.

- 22 right-handed adults
- 22 BrE speakers
- Age ($M = 22$, 18-25)
- Normal or corrected to normal vision and hearing
- No reported use of psychoactive medications

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

oooooooo

Experiment 1

oooo●ooo

Experiment 2

oooooooo

Experiment 3

oooooooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Results

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

ooooooo

Experiment 1

ooooo●oo

Experiment 2

ooooooo

Experiment 3

ooooooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Results

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

ooooooo

Experiment 1

ooooooo●o

Experiment 2

ooooooo

Experiment 3

ooooooo

General Discussion

ooooooo

Discussion

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

ooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo●

Experiment 2

ooooooo

Experiment 3

ooooooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Discussion

Section 4

Experiment 2

Methods



Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

ooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

oo●oooo

Experiment 3

ooooooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Methods

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

oooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

ooo●ooo

Experiment 3

oooooooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Results

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

oooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

oooo●oo

Experiment 3

oooooooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Results

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

ooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

ooooo●o

Experiment 3

ooooooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Discussion

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

ooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

ooooooo●

Experiment 3

ooooooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Discussion

Introduction	Methods	Experiment 1	Experiment 2	Experiment 3	General Discussion
oooooooooooooooooooo	ooooooo	ooooooooo	ooooooo	●oooooo	ooooooooo

Section 5

Experiment 3

Methods



Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

ooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

ooooooo

Experiment 3

oo●oooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Methods

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

ooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

ooooooo

Experiment 3

ooo●ooo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Results

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

ooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

ooooooo

Experiment 3

oooo●oo

General Discussion

oooooooo

Results

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

ooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

ooooooo

Experiment 3

ooooo●o

General Discussion

oooooooo

Discussion

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

ooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

ooooooo

Experiment 3

ooooooo●

General Discussion

oooooooo

Discussion

Introduction	Methods	Experiment 1	Experiment 2	Experiment 3	General Discussion
oooooooooooooooooooo	oooooooo	oooooooo	oooooooo	oooooooo	●oooooooo

Section 6

General Discussion

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

ooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

ooooooo

Experiment 3

ooooooo

General Discussion

●oooooooo

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

ooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

ooooooo

Experiment 3

ooooooo

General Discussion

oo●oooo

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

ooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

ooooooo

Experiment 3

ooooooo

General Discussion

ooo●oooo

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

ooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

ooooooo

Experiment 3

ooooooo

General Discussion

oooo●ooo

Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

oooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

oooooooo

Experiment 3

oooooooo

General Discussion

ooooo●oo

Thank you!

References



Introduction

oooooooooooooooooooo

Methods

oooooooo

Experiment 1

oooooooo

Experiment 2

oooooooo

Experiment 3

oooooooo

General Discussion

oooooooo●

Questions?