Subject: What am I going to do with you? From: Mark Cao <mark.cao@gmail.com>

Date: 1/11/2025, 12:47 PM

To: Hanh Yu <hanhcaoyu@gmail.com>

CC: Thanh Xuan Huynh <Thanhxhuynh2003@yahoo.com>

Hanh,

What am I going to do with you? You completely exasperate me. Your behavior, in fact, is borderline abusive *toward Dad*. Put yourself in his shoes:

- * Your exercising of decision-making should be respected. How would you feel if your decisions weren't respected? How would you feel if, say, Huy interfered with POA decision making for Thanh Huynh? Or, Huy interfered with the POA decision making for Hanh Yu?
- * You (in Dad's shoes) explicitly rejected Thanh Huynh and Hanh Yu as your Durable POAs, by reassigning the POA. I already chronicled what led up to that, at the time before his stroke nearly two years ago when he still had significant reasoning faculties. Frankly, he had very good reasons for choosing someone else to help him in accordance with his wishes. Notice how you and Mom, with your extremely bossy and overbearing personalities, could only stand to deal with Dad once he lost his ability to verbally respond to your overbearing behavior?
- * You wrote the following to Dr. Yap, again exceeding your bounds:

Dear Dr. Yap,

I am the daughter of Mr. Cao who was admitted into hospice six months ago. Under thoughtful care and attention from my family (my mother who has increased the frequency of visits to him since admission into hospice) he has shown marked I,provements—greater alertness (speaking more clearly, less aspiration, greater recall of people and recent events) with good appetite for Vietnamese homemade food), movement of his left hand, interest in going outdoors, etc.) I believe that hospice is no longer necessary. Can a meeting be set up with me and my brothers, Hien Cao and Huy Cao to discuss?

Thank you for your immediate response.

Hanh Cao Yu

(510) 331-7454

- * You did not bother to even research hospice protocols. Had you done so, you would have seen that a six-month review is automatic.
- * You are continually misrepresenting yourself to professionals. For example, for you to include Hien Cao is a gross misrepresentation of how involved he should be. Hien is the one who recommended death for Dad right after his stroke. You also misrepresent yourself as a caring daughter, when your view has been that Dad wishes for death. Another example, you represent Dad improving under Mom's care. (1) Dad was under B&C care; (2) Mom visited on average two days per week, often overloading him with fructose, which gives him a temporary glucose high, but makes his condition

worse the rest of the week.

You really have gone way too far. You do not bother to do basic research, and your current actions are not in Dad's interests at all. To the contrary, perhaps you're interfering in his care only because the hospice found Mom's outbursts so uncompelling, that you are penalizing them and acting on *her* behalf rather than Dad's.

You and Mom have been so disruptive to Dad's care that you are *this* close to having your visitation rights revoked.

Cordially, Huy