

Subject: Re: Very Important
From: Hanh Cao Yu <hanh.cao.yu@stanfordalumni.org>
Date: 7/11/2023, 11:29 AM
To: Mark Cao <mark.cao@gmail.com>
CC: Hien Cao <hcaosc@gmail.com>

Huy,

It sounds like Dad is struggling to get rid of the boomerang lady, and she's continuing to manipulate and outfox everyone.

As both she and Dad left a nasty message on my voicemail a few months ago, I don't feel comfortable visiting while she's around. I'll skip the July visit.

H.

On Mon, Jul 10, 2023 at 9:45 AM Mark Cao <mark.cao@gmail.com> wrote:

I told Dad about your decision. His feelings did not appear to be not hurt. Until I get the paperwork changed, in the unfortunate event that he would pass away beforehand, please just send any cash you get from Dad's inheritance directly and completely to Hien.

Hien will be the beneficiary of the injury settlement and all the other liquid assets.

Regarding your last sentence, I agree with you in theory. But in practice, this is the same approach suggested by the investigator, which dad has explicitly rejected. There are many large risks of losing Independence completely and especially of being in the care of total strangers.

The situation with the greedy Annalise is reaching a crisis point. It looks like she's out-foxing dad.

Huy

On Sat, Jul 8, 2023, 10:53 AM Hanh Cao Yu <hanh.cao.yu@stanfordalumni.org> wrote:

I don't want to hurt Dad's feelings but I'm sure he'll need all his resources to get the care he needs.

H.

On Sat, Jul 8, 2023, 10:17 AM Mark Cao <mark.cao@gmail.com> wrote:

Thank you. Yes, I already took you off as primary trustee. You are now the first contingent trustee. Per your wishes, I will let dad know and take you off as any kind of beneficiary.

If you are unwilling to serve any kind of role in the healthcare directive, that also would be good to know.

It's not good to involve the neighbors in this. As I hinted before, at least one of the neighbors is a bigot. Dad asked one of his neighbors to be a witness for these signings, so revisions is not something I want to do regularly.

On Sat, Jul 8, 2023, 10:00 AM Hanh Cao Yu <hanh.cao.yu@stanfordalumni.org> wrote:

I'd like to be taken off the list as Dad's beneficiary and primary trustee. I had assumed that you've taken on that role, Huy because of the power of attorney.

I don't want to speak for Hien. He can let you know himself, his preference.

All I meant to say was I prefer for you to be the beneficiary since you've been doing all of the work to take care of Dad.

H.

On Sat, Jul 8, 2023, 9:23 AM Mark Cao <mark.cao@gmail.com> wrote:

After months of preparation of Dad's docs and finances, in which I kept you in the loop, Hanh texted to me several days ago. It must have taken Hanh several seconds to do this. She texted her preference to have Hanh and Hien be removed as any kind of beneficiary for Dad's assets (I can provide a screenshot if that is needed).

As I stated before, any kind of decision like this is fine with me. It's equitable. Mom will be subtracting from or outright removing anybody favored by Dad to assure equity. And this is fine with me to have Mom opposing what I am doing for my parent. I absolutely cannot do the right thing for my aging parent if Mom imposes her conditions on how I help my parent.

Please, know that it takes significant resources, the most valuable of which is time, to do these things. But it seems worthwhile to do Dad's documents once to get it right. If you are unwilling to be:

- * his trustee (someone he can rely on)
- * his beneficiary

then please let me know now so I can help redo his documents, just one more time.

There is a lot of urgency to these tasks because of (1) his age and (2) things like his \$250K settlement for which his lawyer does not seem to be in any hurry to close. Therefore beneficiary assignments are needed.

Thanks,

Huy

--

Hanh