**Subject:** Fwd: APS, Public Guardian and POA **From:** Mark Cao <mark.cao@gmail.com>

Date: 12/29/2024, 4:36 PM

To: Hanh Yu <hanhcaoyu@gmail.com>

Here is the email on 5/16/23 in which I explained why the POA assigned to you became nullified. And attached is a copy of it.

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Mark Cao < mark.cao@gmail.com > Date: Tue, May 16, 2023 at 9:06 AM Subject: APS, Public Guardian and POA

To: Hien Cao < <a href="https://news.ncbe/hcaosc@gmail.com">hcaosc@gmail.com</a>>, Hanh Yu < <a href="https://news.ncbe/hanhcaoyu@gmail.com">hanhcaoyu@gmail.com</a>>

Dear Hien and Hanh,

Just to update you on a few things.

- \* Dad's case with Adult Protective Services was closed a couple of weeks ago, by way of referral to OC Mental Health.
- \* Dad's case with OC Mental Health started to be resolved yesterday, toward eventual closure. The officer was satisfied that the POA signed by Dad sufficed to mitigate any immediate need for a public guardian to serve as a conservator. I am going to put the POA under the Dad share folder for your records.

Due to the POA, I would rather have had all of us, jointly or separately, help in his decisions. But he was more savvy than me, most likely from remembering the advice of his lawyers in Anaheim. He said that too many cooks spoil the broth. To be honest, given our track record, I don't completely disagree with him. I want to do things efficiently, including selling his home and relocating him. These things have been consuming a great deal of time. If you have opinions on those matters, I prefer you go through me first. This is a strong preference, to make sure we do not create unnecessary confusion for Dad.

Thanks, Huy

—Attachments:

POA 2003-05-15.pdf 400 KB

1 of 1 3/4/2025, 1:21 PM