

Subject: Re: Police encounter on Saturday 4/29
From: Hanh Cao Yu <hanh.cao.yu@stanfordalumni.org>
Date: 4/30/2023, 11:51 PM
To: Mark Cao <mark.cao@gmail.com>

Well, it's good that the police is primarily directing their ire at Ken and Annalise. The amount of police record backs up Michelle's advice to file a restraining order against both of them. I assume you didn't talk about the RO or eviction with dad yesterday?

H.

On Sun, Apr 30, 2023 at 3:36 PM Mark Cao <mark.cao@gmail.com> wrote:

Lots of interesting encounters yesterday, perhaps the most interesting being the one with police.

I was at the car locksmith at around 2:15 PM. The police called me, mistaking me for the "landlord." (I later tried to find out how the police got my phone number, but Ken wouldn't fess up to being the one. But there is no other way they could have gotten my phone number.) Since the locksmith was close to Chipper, I went back there immediately.

The police had it up to here with that household. When I arrived, I saw Ken looking every bit like a crazy old man: Messy long white hair, standing on the driveway in a bathrobe, animatedly talking to the police. The police immediately shifted to talking to me, since I was the most sane one there. There were two cops. Their main points:

- * They have been coming out at least once per week, a tremendous waste of city time and resources.
- * They focused most of their exasperation on Ken and Annalise. They said Dad most of the time is quiet whenever they are there.
- * They said Dad's residence is such a nuisance that it has attracted the attention of police, city council, the fire department and code enforcement.
- * They said if events keep going like this, they will be forced to charge Ken and Annalise with misuse of police resources. They singled out Annalise for her false rape accusation of about a week ago. They told me that rape accusations take 2-3 hours to process because of how serious they are.
- * Dad heard most of what they said to me. He seemed to be absorbing the info at the moment, interrupting only occasionally, to side with Annalise (which made it obvious he didn't get their point). After the police left, it was obvious he didn't take the encounter seriously.
- * The police advised me to apply for an emergency conservatorship, including in it the police record at the household, as supporting evidence.

Huy

On Sun, Apr 30, 2023 at 11:12 AM Hanh Cao Yu <hanh.cao.yu@stanfordalumni.org> wrote:

Ok. Thanks for summarizing Dad's illogical thinking. It will be good for him to spin for a little bit and get good and scared. At some point, there will be emergency calls for damage control.

I will do some legal consultations on my own to understand legal options when the time comes. I'd like to avoid being in a reactive mode.

H.

On Sun, Apr 30, 2023, 7:51 AM Mark Cao <mark.cao@gmail.com> wrote:

I am puzzled by this message, hence my texts to you last evening.

Dad basically wants the following sequence:

- * He wants the public guardianship "cancelled" on the premise that a Living Will takes care of all matters sufficiently.
- * He wants the Living Will to be revocable. (This is why he got upset when I told him that if he revokes the POA part of the Living Will, he'll be reverted back to a public guardianship.)
- * To the extent he is controlled by a POA, he wants complete control over whoever is doing the POA.
- * He wants to be the one who revises the Living Will in such a way as to work around the public guardianship.

This is what I'd suggest to you. I think researching POA won't be a good use of your time. Instead, let him learn about the public guardianship via his own experience and lawyer. Both will consume his assets, but that will be his price to pay for learning the hard way.

After he suffers financially, maybe he will ask us for help. At that point he will be realistic about what he can ask of us.

But I think he won't do that. His health is declining so fast that I doubt he will last more than a year or two.

Huy

On Sat, Apr 29, 2023 at 8:34 PM Hanh Cao Yu <hanh.cao.yu@stanfordalumni.org> wrote:

Huy,

I will set up a 30-minute legal consultation setup through my work just to better understand how to proceed with Dad's Will and trust setup for POA and springing trusteeship. If they make a referral, my coverage offers 25% off.

Jeff's work has more generous coverage. Below are the relevant items. I will set up a consultation also, and see if they can, at minimum, setup a durable, general POA document.

H.

Elder Law Matters

This service covers counseling the Participant over the phone or in the office on any personal issues relating to the Participant's parents as they affect the Participant. The service includes reviewing documents of the parents to advise the Participant of the effect on the Participant. The documents include Medicare or Medicaid materials, prescription plans, leases, nursing home agreements, powers of attorney, living wills and wills. The service also includes preparing deeds involving the parents when the Participant is either the grantor or grantee; and preparing promissory notes involving the parents when the Participant is the payor or payee.

Uncontested Guardianship or Conservatorship

This service covers establishing an uncontested guardianship or conservatorship over a person and his or her estate when the Plan Member or spouse is being appointed as guardian or conservator. It includes obtaining a permanent and/or temporary guardianship or conservatorship, gathering any necessary medical evidence, preparing the paperwork, attending the hearing and preparing the initial accounting. If the proceeding becomes contested, the

Plan Member or spouse must pay all additional legal fees. This service does not include representation of the person over whom guardianship or conservatorship is sought, any annual accountings after the initial accounting or terminating the guardianship or conservatorship once it has been established.

--

Hanh

--

Hanh