

# APMA 1655: Final Exam Review

## Contents

1	Probability	2
1.1	Definitions . . . . .	2
1.2	Set Operations . . . . .	2
1.3	Probability Space . . . . .	3
1.4	Properties of Probability . . . . .	3
1.5	Conditional Probability . . . . .	4
1.6	Random Variable . . . . .	5
1.7	Cumulative Distribution Functions (CDF) . . . . .	5
1.8	Continuous Random Variable . . . . .	6
1.9	Discrete Random Variables . . . . .	6
1.10	Expected Value (Mean) . . . . .	7
1.11	Transformations of RV . . . . .	7
1.12	Variance . . . . .	8
1.13	The Law of Large Numbers (LLN) . . . . .	9
1.14	Monte Carlo Integration . . . . .	9
1.15	Law of the Iterated Logarithm . . . . .	10
1.16	Central Limit Theorem . . . . .	10
1.17	Error Bounds . . . . .	13
1.18	Random Vectors . . . . .	14
2	Statistics	15
2.1	Statistical Models . . . . .	15
2.2	Hypothesis Testing . . . . .	15
2.3	Uniformly Most Powerful Test (UMP Test) . . . . .	16
2.4	The Maximum Likelihood Estimator . . . . .	16

# 1 Probability

## 1.1 Definitions

**Random Event:** an event with more than one possible outcome of varying likelihoods where the true outcome is a priori unknown

**Sample Space  $\Omega$ :** the set of all possible outcomes of an experiment

**Event:** Each subset E of  $\Omega$

**Impossible Event:** the empty set  $\emptyset$

## 1.2 Set Operations

Suppose  $\Omega$  is a sample space and A and B are events  $\{\omega \in \Omega : \omega \in A \text{ and } \omega \in B\}$

**Intersection ( $A \cap B$ ):** A and B

**Union ( $A \cup B$ ):** A or B

**Complement ( $A^c$ ):** not A

**De Morgan's Laws:**

$$\begin{aligned}(A \cup B)^c &= A^c \cap B^c \\ (A \cap B)^c &= A^c \cup B^c\end{aligned}$$

### Infinite Sets

**Infinite Intersection:** the collection of events that are in all the sets  $A_1, \dots, A_n$

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega \in A_n, \forall n = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

**Infinite union:** the collection of events in at least one of the sets (“at least one of these events happens”)

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \{\omega \in \Omega : \exists i \mid \omega \in A_i\}$$

## 1.3 Probability Space

### Definitions

**Disjoint:**  $A \cap B = \emptyset$

**Mutually disjoint:** all pairwise intersections of  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are empty:  $A_n \cup A_m = \emptyset \quad n \neq m$

**Probability  $\mathbb{P}$ :** a real-valued function  $\mathbb{P} : \{\text{subsets of } \Omega\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . This is often defined as

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \frac{\#A}{\#\Omega} \quad A \subset \Omega$$

**Probability space:** The pair  $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$  if  $\mathbb{P}$  satisfies the following three axioms:

1.  $\mathbb{P}(A) \geq 0 \quad \forall A \subset \Omega$
2.  $\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$
3. For any sequence of disjoint subsets  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i)$$

## 1.4 Properties of Probability

1.  $\mathbb{P}(\emptyset) = 0$
2. if  $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$

$$\mathbb{P}(E_1 \cup E_2) = \mathbb{P}(E_1) + \mathbb{P}(E_2)$$

3. if  $A, B \subset \Omega$  and  $A \subset B$ , then  $\mathbb{P}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}(B)$
4.  $0 \leq \mathbb{P}(A) \leq 1$
5.  $\mathbb{P}(A^c) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(A)$
6.  $\mathbb{P}(A \cup B) = \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B) - \mathbb{P}(A \cap B)$
- 7.

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n)$$

## 1.5 Conditional Probability

If  $\mathbb{P}(B) > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$$

**Theorem:** If  $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$  is a probability space,  $B \subset \Omega$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(B) > 0$ , then  $(\mathbb{P}(A|B), \Omega)$  is also a probability space

**Multiplication Law**

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A|B) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B)$$

**Partition:**  $B_1, \dots, B_n \subset \Omega$  if they are mutually disjoint and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i = \Omega$

**The Law of Total Probability:** If  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  provide a partition of  $\Omega$

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A|B_i) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B_i)$$

**Corollary of the Law of Total Probability:** If  $0 < \mathbb{P}(B) < 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{P}(A|B) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B) + \mathbb{P}(A|B^c) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B^c)$$

**Bayes' Rule:** Suppose  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  partition  $\Omega$  and  $\mathbb{P}(B_i), \mathbb{P}(A) > 0$  ( $i \in [1, n]$ ). Then

$$\mathbb{P}(B_i|A) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A|B_i) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A|B_j) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B_j)} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

**Independence:** events that do not affect each other's outcomes:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{P}(A|B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \\ \mathbb{P}(B|A) = \mathbb{P}(B) \end{cases}$$

For independent events,

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B)$$

**Mutually independent:** if  $\mathbb{P}(A_m \cap A_n) = \mathbb{P}(A_m) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A_n)$   $m \neq n$

## 1.6 Random Variable

**Definition:** On a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$ , a real valued function  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a random variable

**Continuous Random Variable:** a random variable with a continuous CDF

**Discrete Random Variable:** a random variable with a discrete CDF

**Independent Random Variables:**  $Y, Z$  on  $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$  are independent if

$$\mathbb{P}((Y \in A) \cap (Z \in B)) = \mathbb{P}(Y \in A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(Z \in B)$$

for any subsets  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$

## 1.7 Cumulative Distribution Functions (CDF)

$$F_X(x) = \mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \leq x\})$$

**Bernoulli Distribution:**  $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$  if

$$F_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 0 \\ 1 - p & 0 \leq x < 1 \\ 1 & x \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

**Properties of the CDF  $F_X$**

1.  $F_X(x_1) \leq F_X(x_2) \quad x_1 \leq x_2$

2.

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F_X(x) = 0 \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F_X(x) = 1 \end{cases}$$

3.  $F_X$  is right continuous ( $F_X(x_0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} F_X(x)$ )

4.  $\mathbb{P}(X = x_0) = F_X(x_0) - \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} F_X(x)$  Note that this is zero if the CDF is continuous

**Grand Theorem:** Given a CDF, there exist a corresponding probability space and a random variable

## 1.8 Continuous Random Variable

For a continuous random variable and a real number  $x_0$

$$\mathbb{P}(X = x_0) = 0$$

**Theorem:** if  $F_X$  is a CDF, it is piecewise differentiable

**Probability density function (PDF):**  $p_X(x) = F'_X(x)$

For a continuous random variable  $X$ ,

$$F_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x p_X(t) dt$$

**Theorem:** For  $X$  a continuous random variable with PDF  $p_X$ ,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_X(t) dt = 1$$

**Normal Distribution:**  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  (Normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ ) if

$$F_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(t-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dt$$

## 1.9 Discrete Random Variables

For a discrete random variable  $X$ , its CDF is

$$F_X(x) = \sum_{k=1}^K p_k \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[x_k, \infty)}(x)$$

where  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^K$  is the **probability mass function** and is the probability  $\mathbb{P}(X = x_k)$

**Example:**  $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$

$$F_X(x) = (1 - p) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[0, \infty)}(x) + p \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(x)$$

**Poisson Distribution:**  $X \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda)$  if

$$F_X(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[k, \infty)}(x)$$

## 1.10 Expected Value (Mean)

**Discrete Version:** IF  $X$  is a discrete RV and  $\sum_{k=0}^K |x_k| \cdot p_k < \infty$  (i.e. if the sum is absolutely convergent), then

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \sum_{k=0}^K x_k \cdot p_k$$

**Continuous version:** IF  $X$  is a continuous RV then if  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x| \cdot p_X(x) dx < \infty$  then

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \cdot p_X(x) dx$$

For a permutation  $\sigma$  and an absolutely convergent series,

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k \cdot p_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{\sigma(k)} \cdot p_{\sigma(k)}$$

In other words, the order of summation does not matter.

## 1.11 Transformations of RV

For a real-valued function  $g(x)$ ,  $g(X)$  is also a random variable.

Assuming the expected value exists,

- If  $X$  is discrete,

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \sum_{k=0}^K g(x_k) \cdot p_k$$

- If  $X$  is continuous,

$$\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \cdot p_X(x) dx$$

### Properties of Expected Values

- For a constant  $c$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(c) = c$
- For constants  $a, b$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[aX + b] = a \cdot \mathbb{E}(X) + b$$

- For  $g_1(x), \dots, g_J(x)$  as functions where  $\mathbb{E}[g_k(x)]$  exists for all  $k = 1, \dots, J$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[g_1(X) + \dots + g_J(X)] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^J g_k(X)\right] = \sum_{k=1}^J \mathbb{E}[g_k(X)]$$

(the expected value is linear)

## 1.12 Variance

For a RV  $X$  that follows some distribution and generates numbers  $X_1, \dots, X_n$

**Sample average:**

$$\overline{X}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \approx \mathbb{E}X$$

**Variance:** For a RV  $X$  whose expected value exists,

$$\text{Var } X = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}X)^2]$$

this can also be written

$$\text{Var } X = \sum_{k=0}^K (x_k - \mathbb{E}X)^2 \cdot p_k \quad (\text{X discrete})$$

$$\text{Var } X = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mathbb{E}X)^2 \cdot p_X(x) dx \quad (\text{X continuous})$$

### Properties of Variance

1.  $\text{Var } X = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}X)^2] = \mathbb{E}(X^2) - (\mathbb{E}X)^2$
2.  $\text{Var}(aX + b) = a^2 \text{Var}(X)$
3. For any constant  $c$ ,  $\text{Var } c = 0$
4. If  $\text{Var } X = 0$  then there exists a  $c$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(X = c) = 1$



### 1.13 The Law of Large Numbers (LLN)

**Theorem:** Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be an infinitely long sequence of independently and identically distributed RVs defined on  $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$ , then

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_1(\omega) + X_2(\omega) + \dots + X_n(\omega)}{n} = \mathbb{E}X_1 \right\} \right) = 1$$

where  $\mathbb{E}X_1 = \mathbb{E}X_2 = \dots$  because the CDFs are equal (by identical distribution)

**Generalized Theorem:** Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be iid RVs defined on  $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$ . If  $\mathbb{E}[g(X_1)]$  exists, then

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(X_1(\omega)) + g(X_2(\omega)) + \dots + g(X_n(\omega))}{n} = \mathbb{E}[g(X_1)] \right\} \right) = 1$$

### 1.14 Monte Carlo Integration

If we seek to solve a very hard integral, e.g.,

$$I = \int_0^1 \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}x)}{1 + 2 \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}x)} \right) dx$$

We let  $U \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$  whose PDF is  $\mathbf{1}_{[0,1)}(x)$ . Denote the integrand  $g(x)$ . Then

$$I = \mathbb{E}[g(U)]$$

We can generate  $X_1(\omega), X_2(\omega), \dots \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Unif}(0, 1)$  and with enough random values

$$\overline{g(X_n)} = \frac{g(X_1) + g(X_2) + \dots + g(X_n)}{n} \approx \mathbb{E}[g(X_1)] = \int_0^1 \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}x)}{1 + 2 \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}x)} \right) dx$$

For integrals with bounds  $(a, b)$  rather than  $(0, 1)$  we can use the same method but define a new random variable from  $U \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$  where

$$X = a + (b - a) \cdot U \sim \text{Unif}(a, b)$$

## 1.15 Law of the Iterated Logarithm

**Error:**  $e_n(\omega) = \overline{g(X_n)} - \mathbb{E}[g(X_1)]$

**Theorem:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be iid RVs on  $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$  with  $\mathbb{E}X_1$  and  $\text{Var } X_1$  existing. Then (heuristically)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega : |e_n(\omega)| \leq \sqrt{\text{Var } X_1 \cdot \frac{2 \log(\log n)}{n}}\}) &\approx 1 \\ \mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega : |e_n(\omega)| > \sqrt{\text{Var } X_1 \cdot \frac{2 \log(\log n)}{n}}\}) &\approx 0\end{aligned}$$

## 1.16 Central Limit Theorem

**Theorem:** Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of iid RVs on  $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$ . Suppose  $\mathbb{E}X_i$  and  $\text{Var } X_i$  exist. Define a sequence of random variables  $\{G_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  so that

$$G_n(\omega) = \sqrt{n} \cdot e_n(\omega) = \sqrt{n} \cdot (\overline{X_n}(\omega) - \mathbb{E}X_1)$$

Then the Cdf of  $G_n$  converges to the CDF of  $N(0, \text{Var } X_1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(G_n \leq x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \cdot \text{Var } X_1}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\text{Var } X_1}\right) dt$$

**Corollary:** Under the same conditions,

$$\frac{G_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{\text{Var } X_1}} = \sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{\overline{X_n}(\omega) - \mathbb{E}X_1}{\sqrt{\text{Var } X_1}} \rightsquigarrow N(0, 1)$$

### Proof of the CLT

**Weak Convergence  $\mathbf{G_n} \xrightarrow{w} \mathbf{G}$ :** A sequence  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  of RV converge weakly to a continuous RV  $G$  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_{G_n}(x) = F_G(x)$$

**Strong Convergence:** if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n(\omega) = G(\omega) \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$$

**Moment Generating Functions:** For a RV  $X$ ,

$$M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}]$$

is the moment generating function.

**k-th Moment of X:**

$$\frac{d^k}{dt^k} M_X(0) = \mathbb{E} X^k$$

For a sequence of RVs  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  with continuous RV  $G$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{G_n}(t) = M_G(t) \implies G_n \xrightarrow{w} G$$

Then if  $G \sim N(0, \text{Var } X_1)$ , proof of the CLT simply depends on proving the convergence of the moment-generating functions.

**Some Lemmas:**

1. For  $S_n(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(\omega)$  with  $X_i$  RVs, if  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent

$$M_{S_n}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n M_{X_i}(t)$$

2. If the same RVs  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are also identically distributed

$$M_{S_n}(t) = (M_{X_1}(t))^n$$

3. For  $\{C_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  being a sequence of real-numbers for which  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = 0$ , if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \cdot C_n = \lambda$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + C_n)^n = e^\lambda$$

4. If  $G \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  then

$$M_G(t) = \exp\left(\frac{t^2 \sigma^2}{2}\right)$$

Finally the proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_n &= \sqrt{n} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) - \mathbb{E}X_1 \right] \\
&= \sqrt{n} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) - \frac{1}{n} \cdot n \cdot \mathbb{E}X_1 \right] \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_1 \right] \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mathbb{E}X_1) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{G_n}(t) &= \mathbb{E}[e^{tG_n}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mathbb{E}X_1)\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} (X_i - \mathbb{E}X_1)\right)\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^n \exp\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} (X_i - \mathbb{E}X_1)\right)\right] \quad (\text{by iid}) \\
&= \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} (X_i - \mathbb{E}X_1)\right)\right]\right)^n \\
&= \left(\mathbb{E}\left[1 + \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}(X_1 - \mathbb{E}X_1) + \frac{t^2}{2n}(X_1 - \mathbb{E}X_1)^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!n^{k/2}}(X_1 - \mathbb{E}X_1)^k\right]\right)^n \\
&= \left(1 + \underbrace{\frac{t^2}{2n}\text{Var } X_1 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!n^{k/2}}(X_1 - \mathbb{E}X_1)^k}_{C_n}\right)^n \quad (\text{because } \mathbb{E}[X_1 - \mathbb{E}X_1] = 0)
\end{aligned}$$

Using the lemmas above  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n = 0$  and

$$n \cdot C_n = \lambda = \frac{t^2}{2} \text{Var } X_1 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!n^{\frac{k}{2}-1}} \mathbb{E}[(\dots)^k]$$

But when  $k \geq 3$ ,  $(\frac{k}{2} - 1) > 0$  so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \cdot C_n = \frac{t^2}{\text{Var } X_1} := \lambda$$

Then again by the lemmas

$$M_{G_n}(t) = (1 + C_n)^n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} e^\lambda = \exp\left(\frac{t^2}{2} \text{Var } X_1\right)$$

But from the final lemma, the MGF of  $N(0, \text{Var } X_1)$  is

$$M_G(t) = \exp\left(\frac{t^2}{2} \text{Var } X_1\right)$$

Thus,

$$M_{G_n}(t) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} M_G(t)$$

and

$$G_n \xrightarrow{w} G \sim N(0, \text{Var } X_1) \quad \blacksquare$$

## 1.17 Error Bounds

Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of iid RVs on  $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$ . Suppose  $\mathbb{E}X_i$  and  $\text{Var } X_i$  exist.

From the law of the iterated logarithm,  $|e_n(\omega)| \leq \sqrt{2 \log(\log n)} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\text{Var } X_1}{n}}$  with probability around 100%.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left( |e_n(\omega)| \leq z \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\text{Var } X_1}{n}} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left( -z \leq \sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{e_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{\text{Var } X_1}} \leq z \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left( \sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{e_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{\text{Var } X_1}} \leq z \right) - \mathbb{P} \left( \sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{e_n(\omega)}{\sqrt{\text{Var } X_1}} \leq -z \right) \\ &\approx \Phi(z) - \Phi(-z) \quad (CLT) \\ &= 2\Phi(z) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

Where  $\Phi$  is the CDF of  $N(0, 1)$ .

Now let  $z^*$  denote the positive real number such that  $\Phi(z^*) = 0.975$  so

$$\mathbb{P} \left( |e_n(\omega)| \leq z^* \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\text{Var } X_1}{n}} \right) \approx 2\Phi(z^*) - 1 = 0.95$$

Generally, you can choose  $z^*$  such that  $\Phi(z^*) = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$  so  $2\Phi(z^*) - 1 = 1 - \alpha$ . Then  $z^*$  is the “ $1 - \alpha/2$  quantile of  $N(0, 1)$ .”

All together, this gives

$$|e_n(\omega)| \leq z^* \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\text{Var } X_1}{n}} \approx 1.96 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\text{Var } X_1}{n}}$$

**Conclusion:** using the CLT we can establish much tighter error bounds than the LIL approach at the cost of only 5% confidence.

## 1.18 Random Vectors

**Random Vector:** a column vector  $\vec{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)^T$  defined on  $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$  if each of its components is a RV.

**CDF of a Random Vector:** an n-variable function

$$F_{\vec{X}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \mathbb{P} \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{\omega \in \Omega : X_i(\omega) \leq x_i\} \right)$$

**Continuous Random Vector:** a random vector  $\vec{X}$  if  $F_{\vec{X}}$  is differentiable

**The PDF of a Random Vector:**

$$p_{\vec{X}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} F_{\vec{X}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

**Expected value of a Random vector:** If  $\vec{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^T$  is a continuous random vector with PDF  $p_{\vec{X}}$ ,  $g(\vec{x})$  is an n-variable function,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \cdot p_{\vec{X}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n < \infty$  then

$$\mathbb{E}[g(\vec{X})] = \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}}_{n \text{ integrals}} g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot p_{\vec{X}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n$$

## 2 Statistics

### 2.1 Statistical Models

**Sample Data:** a collection  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n = X_i(\omega^*)$  of deterministic numbers for some fixed  $\omega^* \in \Omega$

**Sample size:**  $n$  in the definition of data

**$\mathfrak{F}$ -based model:** Let  $\mathfrak{F} = \{F_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$  be some family of real-valued functions satisfying the CDF properties. Then the  $\mathfrak{F}$ -based model is the assumption that there exists some “true”  $\theta^* \in \Theta$  for which

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} F_{\theta^*}(x) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 \leq x)$$

**Parameter Space:**  $\{F_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ , the family of functions from which we are selecting

**Parametric Model:** a model for which  $\Theta$  is a subset of a finite-dimensional space

**Non-parametric Model:** a model for which  $\Theta$  is a subset of an infinite-dimensional space

**Unspecified model:** a model for which the underlying assumption is incorrect (i.e. no true  $\theta^*$  exists)

**Statistical inference:** the process of combining probability theory and data to infer the value of  $\theta^*$

### 2.2 Hypothesis Testing

We assume the  $\{F_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ -based model is correct. We then let  $\Theta = \Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1$ , giving us two hypotheses ( $\Theta_0$  and  $\Theta_1$  partition  $\Theta$ ). Either:

1. **The Null Hypothesis:**  $H_0 : \theta^* \in \Theta_0$
2. **The Alternative Hypothesis:**  $H_1 : \theta^* \in \Theta_1$

**Test:** For sample size  $n$ , a test is any function  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ . If  $T(\vec{x}) = 1$  we reject  $H_0$ . If it is 0, we accept  $H_0$ . As  $T$  outputs in  $\{0, 1\}$ ,

$$T(X_1(\omega), \dots, X_n(\omega)) = R(\omega) \sim \text{Bernoulli}(r)$$

where  $r = \mathbb{P}(R = 1) = \mathbb{E}R$

**Type 1 Error:** the null hypothesis is true ( $\theta^* \in \Theta_0$ ) but we reject it ( $T = 1$ )

**Type 2 Error:** the null hypothesis is false ( $\theta^* \in \Theta_1$ ) but we fail to reject it ( $T = 0$ )

### Criteria for a Good Test

We define a function

$$\beta_T(\theta) = \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}}_n T(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n p(\xi_i|\theta) d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_n$$

where  $p(\xi_i|\theta) = F'_\theta(\xi_i)$  so  $\beta_T(\theta^*) = \mathbb{E}[T(\vec{X})]$

This function can be interpreted “if  $F_\theta$  is the true CDF, the probability of rejecting  $H_0$  through  $T$  is  $\beta_T(\theta)$ ”

**Minimize Type 1 Error:** make  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} (\text{“the significance of T”})$  small

**Minimize Type 2 Error:** make  $\beta_T(\theta)$  large for every  $\theta \in \Theta_1$

## 2.3 Uniformly Most Powerful Test (UMP Test)

**Definition:** Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  be pre-specified. Suppose  $T^*$  is a test with significance  $\alpha$  ( $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \beta_{T^*}(\theta) = \alpha$ ). Then  $T^*$  is said to be a UMP test with significance  $\alpha$  if for all  $T$  for which  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \beta_T(\theta) = \alpha$

$$\beta_T(\theta) \leq \beta_{T^*}(\theta) \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta_1$$

**Neyman-Pearson Lemma:** With  $\Theta = \{\theta_0, \theta_1\}$ ,  $\Theta_0 = \{\theta_0\}$ ,  $\Theta_1 = \{\theta_1\}$ . Let  $p(\xi|\theta) = F'_\theta(\xi)$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ . For any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , the UMP test with significance  $\alpha$  is

$$T_\alpha(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = \mathbf{1} \left( \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n p(\xi_i|\theta_1)}{\prod_{i=1}^n p(\xi_i|\theta_0)} > C_\alpha \right)$$

where  $C_\alpha$  is the solution to  $\beta_{T_{NP, \alpha}}(\theta_0) = \alpha$

## 2.4 The Maximum Likelihood Estimator

**Point Estimating:** the process of estimating  $\theta^*$  in the  $\mathfrak{F}$ -based model, usually via MLE, the method of moments, or mean squared estimation.



**The Likelihood Function:** We assume the  $\{F_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ -based model is correct. We assume that  $F_\theta$  is piecewise differentiable for all  $\theta$  and we have a collection of given, fixed, deterministic data  $D = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . Then

$$L(\theta|D) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i|\theta)$$

**The MLE:** We select the  $\theta$  which maximized the likelihood function

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta|D)$$

which by the consistency property is approximately equal to  $\theta^*$

**Loss function:**  $-L(\theta|D)$  as

$$\arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta|D) = \arg \min_{\theta \in \Theta} -L(\theta|D)$$

**Log-likelihood function:**

$$l(\theta|D) = \log L(\theta|D) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log p(x_i|\theta)$$

**Calculating the MLE**

**Example:**  $\Theta = \mathbb{R}$  and  $F_\theta$  is the CDF of  $N(0, 1)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} p(\xi|\theta) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\xi - \theta)^2}{2}\right) \\ l(\theta|D) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log p(x_i|\theta) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_i - \theta)^2}{2}\right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} (x_i - \theta)^2 \right) \\ &= -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} (x_i - \theta)^2 \end{aligned}$$

The max will occur at the critical point so

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\theta} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} l(\theta|D) = 0 \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} (x_i - \theta)^2 \right) = \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \theta) \\
&= -n \cdot \theta + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0 \\
\implies \theta &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \bar{x}_n
\end{aligned}$$

From the second derivative

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} l(\theta|D) = -n < 0$$

so this is the maximum

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \bar{x}_n$$

**Consistency of the MLE:** Supposing that on  $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$ , the  $\{F_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta}$ -based model is correct and the “regularity conditions” apply,

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\{\omega \in \Omega : |\hat{\theta}(\omega) - \theta^*| < \varepsilon\}) = 1$$

where  $\hat{\theta}_n(\omega) = \hat{\theta}_{MLE}(X_1(\omega), \dots, X_n(\omega))$

**Asymptotic normality of the MLE:** under the same conditions as consistency,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^*) \sim N(0, \frac{1}{I(\theta^*)})$$

where the “Fisher Information”  $I(\theta)$  is

$$I(\theta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log p(\xi|\theta) \right)^2 \cdot p(\xi|\theta) d\xi$$