Math 1530: Homework 9

Milan Capoor

5 December 2023

7.1

Let R be an integral domain, and let $a, b \in R$. Prove that the following are equivalent:

- (a) $a \mid b$ and $b \mid a$.
- (b) There is a unit $u \in R^*$ satisfying a = bu.
- (c) There is an equality of principal ideals aR = bR

By Definition 7.3, if $a \mid b$ then $bR \subseteq aR$. Similarly, if $b \mid a$ then $aR \subseteq bR$. Thus, aR = bR. So $(a) \implies (c)$.

Now suppose aR = bR. Then $a \in bR \implies a = bu \quad (u \in R)$ and $b \in aR \implies b = ac \quad (c \in R)$. Thus,

$$a = bu = (ac)u \implies cu = 1$$

Which means that u is a unit satisfying a = bu and $(c) \implies (b)$

Finally, suppose we have a unit $u \in R^*$ with a = bu. Clearly, $b \mid a$. But by definition, there exists some $v \in R^*$ such that uv = 1. And so we can write

$$a = bu \implies a \cdot 1 = bu \cdot 1 \implies auv = bu \implies av = b \implies a \mid b$$

because R is an integral domain. Thus, $(b) \implies (a)$ and we have a loop of equivalences

$$(a) \rightarrow (c) \rightarrow (b) \rightarrow (a)$$

so we are done.

Let R be a Euclidean domain with size function σ , and let $a \in R$ be a non-zero element of R. Prove that

$$a \in R^* \iff \sigma(a) = \sigma(1)$$

If $a \in R^*$, then a is a unit. Thus, there exists some nonzero $b \in R$ such that ab = 1. By Proposition 7.15

$$\sigma(b) = \sigma(ab) = \sigma(1)$$

Further, by the properties of a size function,

$$\sigma(a) \le \sigma(ab) = \sigma(1)$$

Now consider the ideal I=(1)=R. By Corollary 7.11, $\sigma(1)\leq \sigma(r)$ for every non-zero $r\in I=R$. Thus,

$$\sigma(a) \le \sigma(1) \le \sigma(a) \implies \sigma(a) = \sigma(1)$$

Now for the other direction, suppose $\sigma(a) = \sigma(1)$. Then, since $a \neq 0$, we can write

$$1 = aq + r$$

with $\sigma(r) < \sigma(a)$.

But

$$\sigma(a) = 1 \implies \sigma(r) = 0 \implies 1 = aq$$

so a is a unit.

For a complex number $z = x + yi \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\sigma(z)$ be the square of its norm,

$$\sigma(z) = \sigma(x + yi) = x^2 + y^2$$

(a) Prove that $\sigma(z) = 0$ if and only if z = 0.

If
$$z = 0$$
, then $\sigma(z) = 0^2 + 0^2 = 0$.

Now suppose $\sigma(z)=0$. Then $x^2+y^2=0$. But $x^2,y^2\geq 0$ so $x^2+y^2\geq 0$. So the only way for $\sigma(z)$ to be 0 is if $x^2=y^2=0$. Thus, z=0.

(b) Prove that $\sigma(z_1z_2) = \sigma(z_1)\sigma(z_2)$.

Let $z_1 = a + bi$ and $z_2 = c + di$. Then

$$\sigma(z_1 z_2) = \sigma((a+bi)(c+di))$$

$$= \sigma((ac-bd) + (ad+bc)i)$$

$$= (ac-bd)^2 + (ad+bc)^2$$

$$= a^2c^2 - 2abcd + b^2d^2 + a^2d^2 + 2adcb + b^2c^2$$

$$= a^2c^2 + b^2d^2 + a^2d^2 + b^2c^2$$

$$= (a^2 + b^2)(c^2 + d^2)$$

$$= \sigma(z_1)\sigma(z_2)$$

(c) Is $\sigma(z_1 + z_2) = \sigma(z_1) + \sigma(z_2)$? If not, give a counterexample. Can you find an inequality relating these three quantities?

Again let $z_1 = a + bi$ and $z_2 = c + di$. Then

$$\sigma(z_1 + z_2) = \sigma((a+bi) + (c+di))$$

$$= \sigma((a+c) + (b+d)i)$$

$$= (a+c)^2 + (b+d)^2$$

$$= a^2 + 2ac + c^2 + b^2 + 2bd + d^2$$

$$= (a^2 + b^2) + (c^2 + d^2) + 2(ac + bd)$$

$$= \sigma(z_1) + \sigma(z_2) + 2(ac + bd)$$

So $\sigma(z_1 + z_2) = \sigma(z_1) + \sigma(z_2) + 2(ac + bd)$. Thus, $\sigma(z_1 + z_2) = \sigma(z_1) + \sigma(z_2)$ if and only if ac + bd = 0.

For example, let $z_1 = 2 + i$ and $z_2 = 1 + i$. Then

$$\sigma(z_1 + z_2) = \sigma(3 + 2i) = 9 + 4 = 13$$

$$\sigma(z_1) + \sigma(z_2) = \sigma(2 + i) + \sigma(1 + i) = 5 + 2 = 7$$

so
$$\sigma(z_1) + \sigma(z_2) \le \sigma(z_1 + z_2)$$
.

However, for $z_1 = 1 + i$ and $z_2 = -1 + i$, we have

$$\sigma(z_1 + z_2) = 4 = \sigma(z_1) + \sigma(z_2) = 4$$

so
$$\sigma(z_1 + z_2) = \sigma(z_1) + \sigma(z_2)$$
.

And finally, with $z_1 = 2 + i$ and $z_2 = -2 - i$, we have

$$\sigma(z_1 + z_2) = 0$$

$$\sigma(z_1) + \sigma(z_2) = 5 + 5 = 10$$

so
$$\sigma(z_1 + z_2) \le \sigma(z_1) + \sigma(z_2)$$
.

For each of the following rings R and elements α , determine whether α is irreducible in R. Justify your answer by either factoring α , or proving that it is irreducible. (Hint. For (a) and (b), it might be helpful to use the size function for $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.)

(a) $R = \mathbb{Z}[i], \ \alpha = 2 + 3i$

First note that $\sigma(2+3i)=2^2+3^2=13$. Let $\alpha=\zeta_1\zeta_2$. By Exercise 7.6, $\sigma(\zeta_1\zeta_1)=\sigma(\zeta_1)\sigma(\zeta_2)$ so

$$\sigma(\alpha) = \sigma(\zeta_1)\sigma(\zeta_2) = 13$$

But this means that $\sigma(\zeta_1) = 1$ and $\sigma(\zeta_2) = 13$ or vice versa. However, from exercise 7.4, we know that $\sigma(\zeta) = 1$ if and only if ζ is a unit. So α has a unit factor and is thus irreducible.

(b) $R = \mathbb{Z}[i], \ \alpha = 4 + 3i$

$$\alpha = (1+2i)(2-i)$$

(c) $R = \mathbb{F}_2[x], \ \alpha = x^5 + x + 1$

$$\alpha = (x^2 + x + 1)(x^3 - x^2 + 1)$$

(d) $R = \mathbb{F}_2[x], \ \alpha = x^5 + x^2 + 1$

From example 7.13, R is a Euclidean Domain so it is a PID. Consider the principal ideal $I = \alpha R$.

Consider two elements $a, b \in R$. Then (a+I)(b+I) = ab+I. Suppose ab = 0. But since

$$ab = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x^i\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_i x^j\right)$$

and $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$, every coefficient will be 0 or 1. Chiefly, this means that there will be no negative coefficients so the only way for the product to be zero is if one of the factors is 0. Hence, $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/\alpha F_2[x]$ is an integral domain. Then by Theorem 3.43, αR is prime so by Theorem 7.16, α is irreducible.

Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$ be the ring that we studied in Example 7.21.

(a) Prove that ± 1 are the only units in R

Assume $a + b\sqrt{-3}$ is a unit in R. Then there exists some $c + d\sqrt{-3}$ such that

$$(a+b\sqrt{-3})(c+d\sqrt{-3}) = 1 \implies \begin{cases} ac-3bd = 1\\ ad+bc = 0 \end{cases}$$

We can solve this system of equations via

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & -3b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{a^2 + 3b^2} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ -b \end{pmatrix}$$

SO

$$(a+b\sqrt{-3})(\frac{a}{a^2+3b^2} - \frac{b}{a^2+3b^2}\sqrt{-3}) = 1$$

 $|a|=|a^2+3b^2|$ only in the cases a,b=0 and $a=\pm 1,b=0$. In the first case we have 0=1 which is false and in the second, we get 1=1 which is true. In any other case, the quantity $\frac{a}{a^2+3b^2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ so the only units in R are ± 1 .

(b) Prove that $1 + \sqrt{-3}$ and $1 - \sqrt{-3}$ are irreducible elements of R. (Hint. After you prove that $1 + \sqrt{-3}$ is irreducible, you can use Exercise 3.5(b) to prove that $1 - \sqrt{-3}$ is also irreducible.)

By part (a), they are not units.

Now we want to show that $1 + \sqrt{-3}$ has a unit factor. Consider

$$1 + \sqrt{-3} = (a + b\sqrt{-3})(c + d\sqrt{-3})$$

Expanding,

$$1 + \sqrt{-3} = (ac - 3bd) + (ad + bc)\sqrt{-3} \implies \begin{cases} ac - 3bd = 1\\ ad + bc = 1 \end{cases}$$

solving for c and d gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & -3b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a+3b}{a^2+3b^2} \\ \frac{a-b}{a^2+3b^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

We want both of these to be solvable in the integers so

$$a-b = \lambda(a^2+3b^2)$$
 $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \implies |a^2+3b^2| \le |a-b|$

This is clearly true at a, b = 0 and $a = \pm 1, b = 0$ but $0 \neq 1 + \sqrt{-3}$ and

$$(1+0\sqrt{-3})(\frac{1+3(0)}{1+0}) = (1)(1) \neq 1+\sqrt{-3}$$

In any case where b > a, the LHS is greater than the RHS. So we must have $b \le a$. But at a = 2, b = 1, the LHS is already too large. Thus, there are no solutions in the integers and $1 + \sqrt{-3}$ is irreducible.

From exercise 3.5, we have a homomorphism $\phi(a+b\sqrt{-3})=a-b\sqrt{-3}$. Suppose $1-\sqrt{-3}$ is reducible. Then there exists some $a+b\sqrt{-3}$ such that

$$1 - \sqrt{-3} = (a + b\sqrt{-3})(c + d\sqrt{-3})$$

but since ϕ is a homomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}] \to \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$,

$$1 - \sqrt{-3} = (a + b\sqrt{-3})(c + d\sqrt{-3}) \implies \phi^{-1}(1 - \sqrt{-3}) = 1 + \sqrt{-3} = \phi^{-1}(a + b\sqrt{-3})\phi^{-1}(c + d\sqrt{-3})$$

But we just showed that $1+\sqrt{-3}$ is irreducible so this is a contradiction. Thus, $1-\sqrt{-3}$ is irreducible.

(c) Prove that 2 is an irreducible element of R. (We already proved this in the text, but try doing it yourself without looking back.)

By part (a), 2 is not a unit.

Does it factor into units? Consider,

$$2 = (a + b\sqrt{-3})(c + d\sqrt{-3}) = (a - b\sqrt{-3})(c - d\sqrt{-3})$$

Multiplying,

$$4 = (a + b\sqrt{-3})(c + d\sqrt{-3})(a - b\sqrt{-3})(c - d\sqrt{-3}) = (a^2 + 3b^2)(c^2 + 3d^2)$$

with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We know the only integer factorizations are $4 = 2 \cdot 2 = 4 \cdot 1$.

Checking the first case,

$$\begin{cases} a^2 + 3b^2 = 2\\ c^2 + 3d^2 = 2 \end{cases}$$

we find no solutions in the integers because if b > 1, then the LHS is greater than two and $a = \sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Similar argument gives no solutions to the second case.

Thus, we try

$$\begin{cases} a^2 + 3b^2 = 4\\ c^2 + 3d^2 = 1 \end{cases}$$

(or vice versa). The second line gives $c = \pm 1$ and d = 0 (or $a = \pm 1$ and b = 0). But then one of the factors of two is a unit, so it is irreducible.

(d) Use (a), (b), (c) and the formula

$$4 = 2 \cdot 2 = (1 + \sqrt{-3})(1 - \sqrt{-3})$$

to deduce that R is not a UFD.

From (a), (b), and (c), we have that 2, $1 + \sqrt{-3}$, $1 - \sqrt{-3}$ are irreducible elements of R. The formula shows that 4 has two distinct factorizations into irreducibles. Thus, R is not a UFD.