# Math 1010: Problem set 6

## Problem 1

Prove the converse of Theorem 3.2.5 in the book by showing that if  $x = \lim a_n$  for some sequence  $(a_n)$  contained in A satisfying  $a_n \neq x$ , then x is a limit point of A.

Suppose  $x = \lim a_n$  for some  $(a_n) \in A$  with  $a_n \neq x$ . Let  $V_{\varepsilon}(x)$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of x.

Since  $(a_n) \to x$ ,  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq N \implies a_n \in V_{ep}(x)$ . However,  $(a_n) \in A$  and  $a_n \neq x$  so  $V_{\varepsilon}(x)$  contains a point other than x which is in A. Therefore, x is a limit point of A.

Let  $a \in A$ . Prove that a is an isolated point of the set A if and only if there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of a,  $V_{\varepsilon}(a)$ , such that  $V_{\varepsilon}(a) \cap A = \{a\}$ .

Suppose a is an isolated point of A. Then it is not a limit point. Therefore, by definition,  $\exists V_{\varepsilon}(a)$  which does not intersect A at any point other than a, i.e,  $V_{\varepsilon}(a) \cap A = \{a\}$ .

Now suppose there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of a,  $V_{\varepsilon}(a)$ , such that  $V_{\varepsilon}(a) \cap A = \{a\}$ . Then a cannot be a limit point of A because  $V_{\varepsilon}(a)$  does not intersect A at any point other than a. Therefore, a is an isolated point of A.

Prove Theorem 3.2.8 from the book.

Theorem 3.2.8 says a set  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is closed iff every Cauchy Sequence contained in F has a limit in F.

Suppose F is closed. Let  $(x_n) \to x$  be a Cauchy sequence in F. Then by Theorem 3.2.5, x is a limit point of F because x is the limit of a sequence in F. Since F is closed,  $x \in F$ . Therefore, every Cauchy sequence contained in F has a limit in F.

Now suppose every Cauchy sequence contained in F has a limit in F. Assume F is not closed, i.e.  $\exists x$ , a limit point of F which is not contained in F. Since every  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of x intersects F at a point other than x, we can construct a sequence  $(x_n)$  by picking a point  $x_n \in V_{1/n}(x) \cap F$  such that  $x_n \neq x$ . Clearly  $(x_n) \to x$ .

However, by construction, all the elements of the Cauchy sequence  $(x_n)$  are in F so by assumption x must be in F. This is a contradiction. Therefore, F is closed.

Let  $x \in O$  where O is some open set. If  $(x_n)$  is a sequence converging to x, prove that all but a finite number of terms of  $(x_n)$  must lie in O.

Since O is open,  $\exists V_{\varepsilon}(x) \subseteq O$ . Since  $(x_n) \to x$ ,  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq N \implies x_n \in V_{\varepsilon}(x)$ . Since  $(x_n)$  is an infinite sequence, there is an infinite number of terms of  $(x_n)$  which are in  $V_{\varepsilon}(x)$ . The only terms which could be outside O are the first N-1 terms of  $(x_n)$ .

Prove the converse of Theorem 3.3.4 in the book, by showing that if a set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  is closed and bounded then it is compact.

Suppose K is closed and bounded.

By definition, a set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is compact if every sequence in K has a convergent subsequence whose limit is in K.

Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in K. Since K is bounded,  $(x_n)$  is bounded. By the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem,  $(x_n)$  has a convergent subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$ . Since K is closed, all convergent sequences in K have their limit is in K. Therefore, every sequence in K has a convergent subsequence whose limit is in K.