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1. Hand it the peer copy document review with answers to these questions. Note that I have read all the copy documents, and everyone has something to improve. Don’t say everything is great.
   1. Who’s copy document did you review?

* Carly Feller
  1. What typos are in your partner’s copy document?
* who is looking to travel, eat good food (missing comma) and see the world
* from others while on my vacations (missing period) So now,
* IF (caps locked) you
* it is a hugely populate (missing “d”) city
* Cable card (Cable car?) ride:
  1. What didn’t they communicate clearly? Give me details here?
* There was one restaurant (Bella’s) that I think would’ve benefitted from talking about the difference in food selection from Harry’s.
* Expanding on descriptions of the clubs: Shoko, Bling Bling, and Sutton would give the reader a well rounded idea of what to expect from the clubs in Barcelona.
  1. Did the copy seem like it was too much/not enough? Explain.
* The copy seemed like it was enough, especially if pictures are going to be added to compliment the writing. Besides the two things above that I thought would benefit from expanding on, I feel like the copy gave a full overview of what to expect in terms of food, activities, and night life in Barcelona
  1. Where could your partner use headings, bullet points, etc. to make the copy stand out more to users?
* Overall, I think the headings were used well. I don’t think that bullet points are necessary for the function of the writing. The only thing I could think of that may help the layout is making each page heading larger than the subheadings.
  1. Any other comments?
* I think the copy was well done, and I think it could only get better by adding pictures to compliment it. It made me a little jealous that I’m not in Barcelona right now but that’s a good thing since the reader should be excited and intrigued by what is being written about.