

CODE COVERAGE FUNDAMENTALS

What is code coverage?

Is a measure which describes the degree of which the source code of the program has been tested.

Why use Code Coverage?

- It helps you to measure the efficiency of test implementation
- It offers a quantitative measurement
- It defines the degree to which the source code has been tested

Code Coverage Methods

- **Statement Coverage**
 - Is a white box test design technique, which involves execution of all the executable statements in the source code at least once.
 - $SC = 100 * (\text{Number of executed statements}) / (\text{Total number of statements})$
- **Decision Coverage**
 - Reports the true or false outcomes of each Boolean expression.
 - $DC = \text{Number of Decision Outcomes Exercised} / \text{Total Number of Decision Outcomes}$
- **Branch Coverage**
 - In the branch coverage, every outcome from a code module is tested.
 - $\text{Branch Coverage} = \text{Number of Executed Branches} / \text{Total Number of Branches}$
 - Advantages
 - Allows you to validate-all the branches in the code
 - Ignores branches inside the Boolean expressions
- **Condition Coverage**
 - CC will reveal how the variables/subexpressions in the conditional statement are evaluated.
 - $CC = \text{Number of Executed Operands} / \text{Total Number of Operands}$
- **Finite State Machine (FSM) Coverage**
 - It works on the behaviour of the design
 - It checks how many sequences are included in a finite state machine.

Which type of Code Coverage to Choose

Tester needs to check that the

- Code under test has single or multiple undiscovered defects
- Cost of the potential penalty
- Cost of lost reputation
- Cost of lost sale, etc.

The higher the probability of defects, the more severe the level of coverage you need to choose

Advantages of Using Code Coverage

- Helpful to evaluate a quantitative measure of code coverage
- It allows you to create extra test cases to increase coverage
- It allows you to find the areas of a program which is not exercised by a set of test cases

Disadvantages of Using Code Coverage

- Even when any specific feature is not implemented in design, code coverage still report 100% coverage.
- It is not possible to determine whether we tested all possible values of a feature with the help of code coverage.

HOW TO MISUSE CODE COVERAGE

Using code coverage well

- Think of what feature in the interface that condition corresponds to.
- Rethink how I should have tested that before
- Run your new tests and recheck coverage.
- Repeat for other features.

What's all this about "having enough time"?

- Divide the code under test into 3 categories
 - **High risk** code could cause severe damage, or has many users, or seems likely to have many mistakes whose costs will add up.
 - **Low risk** code is unlikely to have bugs important enough to stop or delay a shipment, even when all the bugs are summed together.
 - **Medium risk** code is somewhere in between. Bugs here would not be individually critical, but having too many of them would cause a schedule slip.
- Test the high risk code thoroughly . Use most of the remaining time testing the medium risk code.
- Check coverage
 - Since high risk code is tested, I expect good coverage.
 - I expect lower coverage for medium risk code.
 - The coverage for low risk code is pretty uninteresting.

How product testers can misuse code coverage

1.- Examine the tests and compare them to the external description of what that part of the product is to do

2-. Are the tests really testing what you thought they were testing?

3.- Talk briefly to the developer to get an idea of what you missed.

- It's not cost effective. You don't have time to learn the code
- You should be as worried about discovering errors the code overlooks as about exercising the errors it purpots to handle.

Product testers have more tasks than developer testers.

- 1) They either supplement or replace developer testing by testing particular features in isolation.
- 2) They also test user scenarios that span features
- 3) Product testers also test the product against reasonable user expectations.