

//--\\--//
\\--//-- Musk Slaughters Clayton [~] 04/12/2023
--\\--//--\\--

/ Introduction /

Here's an interview between [Elon Musk] and some dude from the [BBC].
Check out the [behaviors] of the guy from the [BBC] in this [interview]...

| 04/12/2023 | You Just Lied | <https://youtu.be/XqJKAnN0-gM> |

Which has been written about in this article...

[<https://www.msn.com/en-us/tv/news/elon-musk-leaves-bbc-reporter-stammering-after-challenging-him-to-cite-examples-of-rising-hate-speech/ar-AA19M5yd>]

/ Noam Chomsky /

/ Introduction

...his [behavior] in this [interview], is [indicative] of the [type of person] who
[doesn't understand] the [concept] of:

| *If we do not believe in free speech for those we despise, |*
| *then we don't believe in it at all.* | -[Noam Chomsky]

But, it could just be that the dude [James Clayton] knows what the hell that means,
and [chooses to ignore it].

[Here is what the phrase actually means].

It means → even if you do not fucking [like] somebody for [whatever reason at all]...
...that person [still] has the [right] to be [heard].

Why...?

/ Absolute Power Corrupts Absolutely /

/ Noam Chomsky

Because sometimes [people] that are [liked] by (most/a lot of) people,
they constantly [lie to people], and "*Absolute power corrupts absolutely*".

It's not a [fringe case], or [very unlikely], that [certain people] will
(occasionally/constantly) lie, nah.

It's actually [human nature].

When those in [power] have the [ability] to [prevent their opposition] from being [heard],
it leads to [tyranny] and [oppression].

Then, you have to [carry around a dictionary] and [read definitions] of [certain words]
for [people] who [don't know how to read one]... because I gotta tell ya, [a lot of people]
make it [very far in life] never having to [pick up a single dictionary] in their [entire life].

That's because, those who [have power], they want to [have as much power as they possibly can],
and thus, they will use [every tool at their disposal] to [prevent other people] from [attaining]
that [level of power].

They will go so far as to roll over people's right to [speak their mind].
Even if someone is [wrong] about something, that doesn't mean they should be [silenced].
Because the opposite would be, that if someone is [right] about something then they should
[always be heard].

In some cases, people will intentionally confuse [fact] and [opinion], and it turns out
that [accuracy] is often [confused] in many cases.

Notice how both of those statements sound pretty stupid...?
[That's why the first amendment of the constitution exists].

-----/ Absolute Power Corrupts Absolutely
Always Accurate /-----

In some cases, people that [spread misinformation], they'll do what this dude is doing in this interview, where if you're WRONG...?

Don't [take accountability] for [certain facts] being [stated], just [continue] to move on to the [next subject], because apparently that's what their viewers want to see.

Nah, that shit is stupid.
Anyone who [strives for accuracy] is going to [admit] when they're [wrong] about something.
Nobody in the [history of humanity], has [always consistently been (correct/accurate)].

Except [Thomas Edison].
And, [Albert Einstein].
And, [Isaac Newton].
And, [Dr. Emmett Brown] from [Back to the Future].
None of these guys were ever, ever wrong.
Oh wait, hold up a second...

Some people [masquerade] as if they're [always accurate], [every single time they speak].
It's the sign of [stupidity], not [importance].

I like to encompass the way they think...
Maybe in their minds, it's a [privilege] for people to hear them constantly stating the truth out loud. Because- they're fuckin' [really, really important]...
And, with [importance], comes a [consistently high levels of accuracy].
Always accurate. Never wrong.

That sounds fuckin' stupid, right...?
Right. It does.

-----/ Always Accurate
Spreading Misinformation (1) /-----

Yeah, so, talking about being against [spreading misinformation]...
...while [spreading misinformation]...
...would sorta be like [George W. Bush] signing the [USA-PATRIOT Act] into law...
...in order to [fight terrorists].

The notion I'm alluding to, is that there's an [ulterior motive].
[Ulterior motive] means that someone has a [hidden agenda].

Yeah.
A lot of people do this shit.

So in this particular interview, the dude from the [BBC] says:
[Clayton]: There's a rise in hate speech on Twitter...

Well, if that were [true], then he should be able to [cite specific examples].

Wanna know why he can't cite a single example...?
Uh- it's because the dude from the [BBC] was [expecting] that [everyone] would just [agree] when he suggested:
[Clayton]: There's a [rise] in [hate speech] on [Twitter]...
(Everybody knows that...)

The dude didn't say (Everybody knows that...), but that phrase can [practically] be [heard] with his [body language], and the [gestures] he makes with his [hands].

-----/ Spreading Misinformation (1)
Hand Gestures /-----

[Police officers] and [politicians] do this shit too, sometimes:
[Idiot] : If you wave your hands around...?

That indicates that you're a [dominant person].

And, being a [dominant person] automatically means that you're

[absolutely correct], in [every given situation]...
...where you use your hands like [this].

[Someone]: It does...?

[Idiot] : *nodding* Yup, it's a [proven fact].

[Someone]: It's a [proven fact]...?

[Idiot] : *nodding* Yup, [proven fact].
I mean, everybody knows this.

[Someone]: ...is it on [Wikipedia]...?

[Idiot] : Well, the fact is that moving your hands around indicates that
you're a dominant person, that is a fact.

But, I'd be lyin' to ya if I said that it automatically
indicates that you'll always be correct when you do that.

So, I'm not gonna lie to ya.
cocks head slightly Moving your hands around...?

It's a proven fact, it automatically indicates that you're a
dominant person. Nobody can even legally argue with that.

[Someone]: Alright...

[Idiot] : Alright bud...?

[Someone]: Alright.

[Idiot] : Alright... so, make sure that you move your hands around a lot,
in order to be taken seriously.
Don't fuck around about it, either.

That's what a lot of people do, but it doesn't indicate that they're automatically
correct. Merging the statements and body language together is a form of
[psychological manipulation], and that is what this [interviewer] from the [BBC] is doing.

-----/ Hand Gestures
\ Spreading Misinformation (2) /-----

[Clayton]: There's a [rise] in [hate speech] on [Twitter]...
(Everybody knows that...)

Alright, well, if that were [true], then he [should] be able to [cite specific examples]
of it [occurring]. But instead, what he's doing is trying to pass that statement off without
doing so, and just coming up with [excuses] instead of [examples].

That's some textbook [Royal Family Boy 101/Prince Andrew/Governor Cuomo] action right there.

Anyway, regarding what [Elon Musk] says about [free speech], and whether something is
[hate speech] or not, it is up to an [individual] to determine whether something is [hate speech].

But, sometimes things are [misabeled] as a way to [control people], and the [terms]
[constantly evolve], because people like [Prince Andrew] are [plentiful]. They're [stupid].

They're not [THAT stupid] in the sense where they know if people start to [identify terms]
and such, that they'll [continue] to [use the terms] that [people] have [adjusted to] and
[become acquainted with].

There's a [latency] involved in this phenomenon, and [George Carlin] talked a lot about how
terms are [constantly updated]...

Shell Shock → Battle Fatigue → Operational Exhaustion → Post Traumatic Stress Disorder

Now, think of a [brand new chart] of terms like THAT, where [hate speech] is at the
[tail end], and then you've got a [really nice picture] of [how fuckin' stupid] this
dude sounds, trying to [argue] with the [richest dude in the world]. (not for that particular reason...)

Anyway, the term is [real]. The [concept] behind the [term] is [real].
[Hate speech] is [bad], when it is [identified correctly].

However, there are cases where people identify things [incorrectly], and they do this [intentionally],
as a level of [control].

The thing is, people will catch on to these terms, then the mass population has to
catch up and do the whole "Oh, it's [Prince Andrew] crying wolf again... Oh boy."

-----/ Spreading Misinformation (2)
\-----

Much like how [Noam Chomsky] caught flak for [defending] a [French professor] named [Robert Faurisson] for writing a book about denying the existence of the holocaust...

...[Elon Musk] is catching flak for "*protecting [hate speech]*".
The dude isn't protecting [hate speech], at all.

They were both protecting and defending [free speech].
[Big difference].

That's not what either one of these dudes were defending at all, but- there are [morons] on [planet Earth] that like to [eliminate context], and [remove absolutes] from the equation.

[Person] : Did [OJ Simpson] kill [Nicole Simpson] and [Ron Goldman]...?
[Someone]: Well, [he was not convicted of murder].
[Person] : Ok, does that answer the question,
 "*Did [OJ Simpson] kill [Nicole Simpson] and [Ron Goldman]*"...?
[Someone]: It [doesn't matter].
 [He wasn't convicted of murder].
[Person] : Well, it [DOES matter], because [if he killed them], and [wasn't convicted]...
[Someone]: Look buddy, you're really [annoying me] right now.

And that right there, is a [perfect example] of how someone will use [social pressure] and [distractions], in order to [refuse to answer the fucking question].

When [certain people] do this, it causes people to [make assumptions] based on [hearsay], as well as [blindly agree], and [follow along] with the fucking [moronic rhetoric].

So, some [Rich Boy 5000] can go around and pull an [Prince/Andrew/Cuomo]...

[Prince] : I had no sexual relations with [Virginia Guiffre].
[Cuomo] : In my mind, I did not sexually harass any of my aides.
[Clinton]: I puffed, but I did not inhale.

Every single example right there is meant to [extract information] about how [people lie].
[A lot of people do this].

I went to [Shenendehowa High School] in [Clifton Park NY], and a lot of the people I went to school with, did exactly this, and [so many people in our society continue to do this].

Now, when it comes to [Robert Faurisson], was [Noam Chomsky] defending what the guy wrote regarding the holocaust denial...?

Nope.
Wasn't defending what the guy wrote at all, he was [defending his right to speak freely].

There's a [difference], and it turns out, that a lot of people on [planet Earth] don't fucking [understand] this concept, at all.

Or, [maybe they get it]... but they may want to [squeeze an inch or two] in on [everybody] that they can, or maybe they think they're [really important], and that means they're an exception to the rule, and that allows them to have the [innate ability] to act exactly like [this interviewer].

Is there a [rise] in hate speech on [Twitter]...?

Nah.
If there were a [scientific paper] written by [somebody], [somewhere], that cited [references] to [exact numbers] collected over a [period of time], then the [information] could be [validated].

The process of [validation] takes time.
Some people are pretty stupid, and they think that it's ok to [have sex with a girl] for [(2) seconds], and then [ejaculate]. This process is called [premature ejaculation], and it is a [metaphor/analogy] for how ["thorough"] some people are, [such as this interviewer].

[Doesn't sound very "thorough", does it]...?

What if that's how [thorough] he is, when he [wipes] his [ass]...?
I could imagine that the dude's underwear all have a [brown stain where his asshole is].

That's how [thorough] some people in our society actually are.

Like [Dr. Gurbek] and [Dr. Glosman] at [Saratoga County Mental Health], regarding the court ordered [730 Hearing] on [02/23/2023] that [Town of Halfmoon Justice Joe Fodera] ordered me to go get, so that they could all [ignore the audio recording that counts as evidence], and that [evidence disproves a statement] that [someone made to the police] on [06/28/2022].

When (2) doctors ask questions for (10) minutes and they ignore (multiple exhibits)...?
That's basically the [same thing] as a [guy] having [sex] with a [girl] for [(2) seconds] and then [ejaculating].

Doesn't sound very ["thorough"] at all, does it...?
In that context, [that process I've described is called "obstruction of justice"].

It is basically synonymous with [Prince Andrew] saying that he never had sex with [Virginia Guiffre], when the fucking [picture] of [him] and [her], and [Ghislane Maxwell] exists.

Or, [Andrew Cuomo] saying that he [never sexually harassed (11) women], when the [records/statements] exist.

Or, [Joe Fodera] saying that I'm [incompetent to stand trial], when the [audio recording] exists.

In other words, what I'm suggesting is that [sometimes people stand to look like a fucking joke].

If you're a [news organization], or if you're like [Tucker Carlson] who has millions upon millions of people that watch him on [Fox News], you'll [go in front of a camera] and [say a bunch of false shit every single time the camera is rolling], and a [lot] of [people] will [blindly follow along].

That's [propaganda], not [news].

[Person 1]: Yeah, [Tucker Carlson] says that [climate change is not real], and it's [stupid].
[Person 2]: Weird, you'd think that it was, with all of this really shitty weather and stuff.
[Person 1]: Yeah, well, this is [Tucker god damn Carlson] we're talkin' about dude.
 There's [no way] that he would ever lie about something like that. (← Fallacy alert)
[Person 2]: Nah, not at all.

And there ya have it.
That's why I'm gonna close this off, like so...

[Town of Halfmoon Justice Joe Fodera]
<https://github.com/mcc85s/FightingEntropy/tree/main/Docs/20230412>

-----/ Spreading Misinformation (3)
Conclusion /-----

| It is the responsibility of every citizen, to question authority. | -[Benjamin Franklin]

-----/ Conclusion

Michael C. Cook Sr. |
Security Engineer |
Secure Digits Plus LLC |

