

```

#Problem 1
#Two machines are used for filling plastic bottles with a net volume of 16.0
ounces.
#The fill volume can be assumed to be normal with standard deviation  $\sigma_1 =$ 
0.0302
#and  $\sigma_2 = 0.0254$  ounces. A member of the quality engineering staff suspects
that
#both machines fill to the same mean net volume, whether or not this volume is
16.0
#ounces. A random sample of 10 bottles is taken from the output of each
machine.
#Machine 1 Machine 2
#16.03 16.01 16.02 16.03
#16.04 15.96 15.97 16.04
#16.05 15.98 15.96 16.02
#16.05 16.02 16.01 16.01
#16.02 15.99 15.99 16.00
#a. Do you think the engineer is correct? Use  $\alpha = 0.05$ .
#b. What is the P-value for this test?
#c. Calculate a 95% confidence interval on the difference in means. Provide a
#practical interpretation of this interval.
M1<-c(16.03,16.04,16.05,16.05,16.02,16.01,15.96,15.98,16.02,15.99)
M2<-c(16.02,15.97,15.96,16.01,15.99,16.03,16.04,16.02,16.01,16.00)
M0<- 0
S1<- 0.0302
S2<- 0.0254
N1<- length(M1)
N2<- length(M2)
z.test(M1,M2,alternative = "two.sided", mu=0,S1,S2,conf.level=0.95)

#Problem 2
#A polymer is manufactured in a batch chemical process. Viscosity measurements
are
#normally made on each batch, and long experience with the process has
indicated
#that the variability in the process is fairly stable with  $\sigma = 19.128$ . Fifteen
batch
#viscosity measurements are given as follows:
# 724, 718, 776, 760, 745, 759, 795, 756, 742, 740, 761, 749, 739, 747, 742
#A process change that involves switching the type of catalyst used in the
process is
#made. Following the process change, eight batch viscosity measurements are
taken:
# 735, 775, 729, 755, 783, 760, 738, 780
#Assume that process variability increased due to the catalyst change to  $\sigma =$ 
21.2833.
#If the difference in mean batch viscosity is 10 or less, the manufacturer
would like
#to detect it with a high probability.
#a. Formulate and test an appropriate hypothesis using  $\alpha = 0.10$ . What are your
#conclusions?
# b. Find the P-value.
#c. Find a 90% confidence interval on the difference in mean batch viscosity
resulting
#from the process change.
#d. Compare the results of parts (a) and (c) and discuss your findings.

```

```

m1<- 1/15 * sum(724, 718, 776, 760, 745, 759, 795, 756, 742, 740, 761, 749,
739, 747, 742)
m2<- 1/8 * sum(735, 775, 729, 755, 783, 760, 738, 780)
delta_u = 10
H1 <- delta_u
z <- z.test(M1,M2,alternative = "two.sided",
mu=0,19.125,21.2833,conf.level=0.9)
LC <- (m1 - m2) - qnorm(z)* sqrt(((19.125^2/15)+(21.2833^2/8)))
UC<- (m1 - m2) + qnorm(z)* sqrt(((19.125^2/15)+(21.2833^2/8)))

```

### #Problem 3

#Two catalysts may be used in a batch chemical process. Twelve batches were prepared using catalyst 1, resulting in an average yield of 86 and a sample standard

#deviation of 3. Fifteen batches were prepared using catalyst 2, and they resulted in

#an average yield of 89 with a standard deviation of 2. Assume that yield measurements are approximately normally distributed with the same standard deviation.

#a. Is there evidence to support a claim that catalyst 2 produces a higher mean yield

#than catalyst 1? Use  $\alpha = 0.01$ .

#b. Find a 99% confidence interval on the difference in mean yields that can be used

#to test the claim in part (a).

```
s1 <- 3/sqrt(15)
```

```
s2 <- 2/sqrt(15)
```

```
xbar <- 89-86
```

```
p_val <- 2*pnorm(-abs((xbar)/(s1/sqrt(15))))
```

```
t<- qt(0.01, (12+13), lower.tail=TRUE)
```

```
CI <- xbar + t*sqrt((1/12)+(1/15))
```

### #Problem 4

#A computer scientist is investigating the usefulness of two different design languages in improving programming tasks. Twelve expert programmers who are familiar with both languages are asked to code a standard function in both languages

#and the time (in minutes) is recorded. The data follow:

```
# Time
```

```
#Programmer Design Language 1 Design Language 2
```

```
#1 17 18
```

```
#2 16 14
```

```
#3 21 19
```

```
#4 14 11
```

```
#5 18 23
```

```
#6 24 21
```

```
#7 16 10
```

```
#8 14 13
```

```
#9 21 19
```

```
#10 23 24
```

```
#11 13 15
```

```
#12 18 20
```

#Find a 95% confidence interval on the difference in mean coding times. Is there any

#indication that one design language is preferable

```
DL1<- c(17, 16, 21, 14, 18, 24, 16, 14, 21, 23, 13, 18)
```

```

DL2<- c(18, 14, 19, 11, 23, 21, 10, 13, 19, 24, 15, 20)
n = 12
M1<- avg(DL1)
M2<- avg(DL2)
t<- qt(0.0975, (11), lower.tail=TRUE)
LC <- (M1-M2) - t*sqrt(2.96^2 / n)
UC<- (M1-M2) + t*sqrt(2.96^2 / n)

```

#### #Problem 5

```

#Two chemical companies can supply a raw material. The concentration of a
#particular element in this material is important. The mean concentration for
both
#suppliers is the same, but you suspect that the variability in concentration
may differ
#for the two companies. The standard deviation of concentration in a random
sample 4
#of  $n_1 = 10$  batches produced by company 1 is  $s_1 = 4.7$  grams per liter, and for
#company 2, a random sample of  $n_2 = 16$  batches yields  $s_2 = 5.8$  grams per liter.
Is
#there sufficient evidence to conclude that the two population variances
differ? Use
# $\alpha = 0.05$ .
n1 = 10
s1 = 4.7
n2 = 16
s2 = 5.8
a = 0.05
F1 = s1^2 / s2^2
F2<- f(0.975, 9, 15)
Rslt <- F1 > F2

```

#### #Problem 6

```

#A research study quantified the absorption of electromagnetic energy and the
#resulting thermal effect from cellular phones. The experimental results were
#obtained from in vivo experiments conducted on rats. The arterial blood
pressure
#values (mmHg) for the control group (8 rats) during the experiment are  $\bar{x}_1 =$ 
90,  $s_1$ 
#= 5 and for the test group (9 rats) are  $\bar{x}_2 = 115$ ,  $s_2 = 10$ .
#a. Is there evidence to support the claim that the test group has higher mean
blood
#pressure? Use  $\alpha = 0.05$ , and assume that both populations are normally
distributed
#but the variances are not equal.
#b. What is the P-value for this test?
#c. Calculate a confidence interval to answer the question in part (a).
#d. Do the data support the claim that the mean blood pressure from the test
group is
#at least 15 mmHg higher than the control group? Make the same assumptions as
in
#part (a).
#e. Explain how the question in part (d) could be answered with a confidence
interval.
x1 <- 90
s1 <- 5

```

```
n1 <- 8
x2 <- 115
s2 <- 10
n2 <- 9
ta<- (x1-x2) / sqrt((s1^2 / n1) + (s2^2 / n2))
p_val <- pnorm(ta)
CI_LL <- (x1-x2) - ta * sqrt((s1^2 / n1) + (s2^2 / n2))
CI_UL <- (x1-x2) + ta * sqrt((s1^2 / n1) + (s2^2 / n2))
H0 <- (x1-x2)-15
td <- H0 / sqrt((s1^2 / n1) + (s2^2 / n2))
```