

# Strategies: borrowing ideas from Engineering Returns

December 19, 2011

By [systematicinvestor](#)



(This article was first published on [Systematic Investor » R](#), and kindly contributed to R-bloggers)

Frank Hassler at [Engineering Returns](#) blog wrote an excellent article [Rotational Trading: how to reduce trades and improve returns](#). The article presents four methods to reduce trades:

- Trade less frequently. I.e. weekly instead of daily rebalancing.
- Different criteria for enter / exit a trade.
- Smooth the rank over the last couple of bars.
- Combination of above.

I want show how to implement these ideas using the backtesting library in the [Systematic Investor Toolbox](#). I will use the 21 ETFs from the [ETF Sector Strategy](#) post as the investment universe.

Following code loads historical prices from Yahoo Fiance and compares performance of the daily versus weekly rebalancing using the backtesting library in the [Systematic Investor Toolbox](#):

```
1 # Load Systematic Investor Toolbox (SIT)
2 setInternet2(TRUE)
3 con = gzcon(url('https://github.com/systematicinve
4 source(con)
5 close(con)
6
7 *****
8 # Load historical data
9 *****
10 load.packages('quantmod')
11 tickers = spl('XLY,XLP,XLE,XLF,XLV,XLI,XLB,XLK
12
13 data <- new.env()
14 getSymbols(tickers, src = 'yahoo', from = '1970
15 for(i in ls(data)) data[[i]] = adjustOHLC(c
16 bt.prep(data, align='remove.na', dates='1970::
17
18 *****
19 # Code Strategies : weekly rebalancing
20 *****
21 prices = data$prices
22 n = len(tickers)
23
24 # find week ends
25 week.ends = endpoints(prices, 'weeks')
26 week.ends = week.ends[week.ends > 0]
27
28
29 # Rank on ROC 200
30 position.score = prices / mlag(prices, 200)
31 position.score.ma = position.score
32 buy.rule = T
```

```

33
34 # Select Top 2 funds daily
35 data$weight[] = NA
36 data$weight[] = ntop(position.score, 2)
37 capital = 100000
38 data$weight[] = (capital / prices) * bt.exr
39 top2.d = bt.run(data, type='share', trade.summa
40
41 # Select Top 2 funds weekly
42 data$weight[] = NA
43 data$weight[week.ends,] = ntop(position.sco
44 capital = 100000
45 data$weight[] = (capital / prices) * bt.exr
46 top2.w = bt.run(data, type='share', trade.summa
47
48 # Plot Strategy Metrics Side by Side
49 plotbt.strategy.sidebyside(top2.d, top2.w, per

```

System	top2.d	top2.w
Period	Aug2001 - Dec2011	Aug2001 - Dec2011
Cagr	2.4	4.6
DVR	3	9.2
Sharpe	22	31.8
R2	13.8	28.9
Win.Percent	52.8	55.5
Avg.Trade	0.1	0.4
MaxDD	-55.8	-54.7
Num.Trades	443	164

The number of trades falls down from 443 to 164 as we switch from daily to weekly rebalancing. The additional bonus is the better returns for the weekly rebalancing.

Next, let's examine different entry/exit rank. We will buy top 2 ETFs and will keep them till their ranks drop below 4/6.

```

1 *****
2 # Code Strategies : different entry/exit rank
3 *****
4
5 # Select Top 2 funds, Keep till they are in 4/6 ran
6 data$weight[] = NA
7 data$weight[] = ntop.keep(position.score, 2, 4)
8 capital = 100000

```

```

9      data$weight[] = (capital / prices) * bt.exrem(c
10 top2.d.keep4 = bt.run(data, type='share', trade.sur
11
12 data$weight[] = NA
13 data$weight[] = ntop.keep(position.score, 2, 6)
14 capital = 100000
15 data$weight[] = (capital / prices) * bt.exrem(c
16 top2.d.keep6 = bt.run(data, type='share', trade.sur
17
18 # Plot Strategy Metrics Side by Side
19 plotbt.strategy.sidebyside(top2.d, top2.d.keep4, to

```

System	top2.d	top2.d.keep4	top2.d.keep6
Period	Aug2001 - Dec2011	Aug2001 - Dec2011	Aug2001 - Dec2011
Cagr	2.4	5.4	5.3
DVR	3	17.9	11.8
Sharpe	22	35.5	34.3
R2	13.8	50.4	34.5
Win.Percent	52.8	60	55.8
Avg.Trade	0.1	0.8	1.6
MaxDD	-55.8	-47.6	-54.1
Num.Trades	443	95	52

The number of trades falls down from 443 to 95 to 52 as we hold on to our selection for longer periods.

Next, let's examine rank smoothing. Instead of using the most recent rank, we will use different averages of rank's recent values.

```

1  *****
2  # Code Strategies : Rank smoothing
3  *****
4
5  models = list()
6  models$Bench = top2.d
7  for( avg in spl('SMA,EMA') ) {
8      for( i in c(3,5,10,20) ) {
9          position.score.smooth = bt.apply.matrix(po:
10             position.score.smooth[!buy.rule,] = NA
11
12          data$weight[] = NA
13          data$weight[] = ntop(position.score.smc
14          capital = 100000
15          data$weight[] = (capital / prices) * bt

```

```

16         models[[ paste(avg,i) ]] = bt.run(data, type='share', trade.sur
17     }
18 }
19
20 # Plot Strategy Metrics Side by Side
21 plotbt.strategy.sidebyside(models, performance.fns = c('maxDD', 'numTrades',

```

System	Bench	SMA 3	SMA 5	SMA 10	SMA 20	EMA 3	EMA 5	EMA 10	EMA 20
Period	Aug2001 - Dec2011	Aug2001 - Dec2011	Aug2001 - Dec2011	Aug2001 - Dec2011	Aug2001 - Dec2011	Aug2001 - Dec2011	Aug2001 - Dec2011	Aug2001 - Dec2011	Aug2001 - Dec2011
Cagr	2.4	2.9	4.4	5.4	6.5	2.3	3.3	4.6	5.3
DVR	3	4.1	8	12.9	19.1	2.7	4.3	9.1	11.5
Sharpe	22	24.2	30.5	34.8	39.4	21.5	26	31.4	34.2
R2	13.8	16.6	26.3	37.1	48.4	12.8	16.5	29	33.6
Win.Percent	52.8	52.4	54.4	57.5	59.2	50.6	53.5	55.4	59.3
Avg.Trade	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.9	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.9
MaxDD	-55.8	-54.1	-54.6	-51.8	-49	-56.3	-55.7	-54.2	-50.9
Num.Trades	443	248	180	134	96	247	200	130	91

The number of trades falls down as we increase the length of period used in averaging. There is no big difference in using simple moving average (SMA) versus exponential smoothing average (EMA).

Next, let's combine different methods to reduce number of trades.

```

1  *****
2  # Code Strategies : Combination
3  *****
4
5  # Select Top 2 funds daily, Keep till they are 6 r
6  position.score.smooth = bt.apply.matrix(position.s
7  position.score.smooth[!buy.rule,] = NA
8  data$weight[] = NA
9  data$weight[] = ntop.keep(position.score.smooth
10 capital = 100000
11 data$weight[] = (capital / prices) * bt.exrem(c
12 top2.d.keep6.EMA10 = bt.run(data, type='share', tra
13
14 # Select Top 2 funds weekly, Keep till they are 6 r
15 data$weight[] = NA
16 data$weight[week.ends,] = ntop.keep(position.s
17 capital = 100000
18 data$weight[] = (capital / prices) * bt.exrem(c
19 top2.w.keep6 = bt.run(data, type='share', trade.sur
20
21 # Select Top 2 funds weekly, Keep till they are 6 r
22 position.score.smooth[] = NA
23 position.score.smooth[week.ends,] = bt.apply.m
24 position.score.smooth[!buy.rule,] = NA
25
26 data$weight[] = NA
27 data$weight[week.ends,] = ntop.keep(position.s
28 capital = 100000
29 data$weight[] = (capital / prices) * bt.exrem(c
30 top2.w.keep6.EMA10 = bt.run(data, type='share', tra

```

```

31
32 # Plot Strategy Metrics Side by Side
33 plotbt.strategy.sidebyside(top2.d, top2.d.keep6, top2.w, top2.w.keep6, top2.w.keep6.EMA10)

```

System	top2.d	top2.d.keep6	top2.d.keep6.EMA10	top2.w	top2.w.keep6	top2.w.keep6.EMA10
Period	Aug2001 - Dec2011	Aug2001 - Dec2011	Aug2001 - Dec2011	Aug2001 - Dec2011	Aug2001 - Dec2011	Aug2001 - Dec2011
Cagr	2.4	5.3	3.9	4.6	6.7	7.3
DvR	3	11.8	10.1	9.2	22	15.3
Sharpe	22	34.3	28.3	31.8	39.4	41
R2	13.8	34.5	35.6	28.9	55.8	37.2
Win.Percent	52.8	55.8	65.8	55.5	61.9	71.4
Avg.Trade	0.1	1.6	1.8	0.4	2.1	3.8
MaxDD	-55.8	-54.1	-56.5	-54.7	-54.2	-58.9
Num.Trades	443	52	38	164	42	28

The overall winner is a weekly strategy that buys top 2 ETF's based on 10 week exponential average rank and keeps them till their ranks drop below 6. The number of trades falls down from 443 to 28 and performance (CAGR) goes up from 2.4% to 7.3%.

The next step, which you can do as a homework, is to find ways to control the strategy's drawdowns. One solution is discussed in the [Avoiding severe draw downs](#) post.

To view the complete source code for this example, please have a look at the `bt.rotational.trading.trades.test()` function in `bt.test.r` at [github](#).