## Homework #1

## Jonathan McFadden

TCSS - 580 : Winter 2018
Information Theory

**Problem 2.3):** Let  $\mathbb{P}^n$  be the set of all *n*-dimensional probability vectors, with elements  $\vec{p} \in \mathbb{P}^n$  defined as  $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_i, \dots, p_n)$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ni i \le n$ . By the definition of a probability space, we must have

$$\vec{p} \cdot \vec{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{p_i\} = 1 , \quad \forall \vec{p} \in \mathbb{P}^n,$$
 (2.3-1)

where vector  $\vec{1}$  is defined as  $\vec{1} = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k, \dots, q_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  with  $q_k = 1, \forall k \in [1, n]$  (where the interval [1, n] is defined such that  $[1, n] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ ). Furthermore, the definition of a probability space also requires that, for any  $\vec{p} \in \mathbb{P}^n$ , the elements of  $\vec{p}$  (the  $p_i \in \vec{p}$  such that  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ni i \leq n$ ) satisfy the condition

$$p_i \ge 0 \tag{2.3-2}$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ni i \leq n$ .

The expression in 2.3-1 guarantees that the  $p_i$  of any  $\vec{p} \in \mathbb{P}^n$  satisfy the bound  $0 \le p_i \le 1$  where  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ni i \le n$ . Therefore, the relation

$$p_i \log_2[p_i] \ge 0 \tag{2.3-3}$$

holds for all  $p_i$  of any  $\vec{p} \in \mathbb{P}^n$ . Moreover, for the cases where  $p_i = 0$  or  $p_i = 1$ , it is clear that the expression in 2.3-3 reduces to equality. Specifically, the realation  $p_i \log_2 [p_i]$  becomes

$$p_i \log_2[p_i] = 0 (2.3-4)$$

for the case where  $p_i = 0$  or  $p_i = 1$ . Moreover, the relation in 2.3-4 also represents the **smallest** possible value/result for the expression  $p_i \log_2 [p_i]$ . That is to say, that when  $p_i = 0$  or  $p_i = 1$ , then  $p_i \log_2 [p_i]$  is at a minimum.

The result in 2.3-1, makes it is clear that only **ONE**  $p_i$  in each  $\vec{p} \in \mathbb{P}^n$  may have the value  $p_i = 1$ ; therefore the probability vectors  $\vec{p} \in \mathbb{P}^n$  which result in a minimum value for  $p_i \log_2 [p_i]$  all have exactly one non-zero element with the non-zero element having a value of one. This implies that there are only n such probability vectors,  $\vec{p}^*$  within any  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Furthermore, the value of  $H(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n \{p_i \log_2 [p_i]\}$  for any such  $\vec{p}^*$  is also zero.

**Problem 2.4 a):** Recall the chain-rule for conditional entropies of X given Y,

$$H(X \mid Y) = H(X, Y) - H(Y)$$
 (2.4-1)

We apply the expression in 2.4-1 to the case of g(X) given X to obtain

$$H\left(g\left(X\right)\mid X\right) = H\left(g\left(X\right), X\right) - H\left(X\right) \tag{0.0.1}$$

by rearranging the expression in the previous result as follows,

$$H(g(X), X) = H(X) + H(g(X) | X)$$
 (2.4-2)

we obtain the desired result.

**Problem 2.4 b):** For any given value of X, we automatically know g(X). Therefore, the expression for H(g(X), X) in 2.4-2 becomes

$$H(g(X), X) = H(X)$$

which is the desired result.

<u>Problem 2.4 c):</u> Recalling the expression for the conditional entropy chain rule in 2.4-1 and using it for the case where X = X and Y = g(X) yields the result

$$H\left(X\mid g\left(X\right)\right) = H\left(X,g\left(X\right)\right) - H\left(g\left(X\right)\right)$$

rearranging the above expression yields

$$H(X, g(X)) = H(g(X)) + H(X \mid g(X))$$
 (2.4-3)

we obtain the desired result.

**Problem 2.4 d):** For any arbitrary function, g(X), of a random variable X, the entropy  $H(X \mid g(X))$  satisfies the condition

$$H\left(X\mid g\left(X\right)\right)\geq0\tag{2.4-4}$$

for the case where g(X) is one-to-one, the relation in 2.4-4 simplifies to

$$H\left(X\mid g\left(X\right)\right)=0$$

Applying the relation in 2.4-4 to the expression in 2.4-3 yields

$$\begin{split} H\left(X,g\left(X\right)\right) &= H\left(g\left(X\right)\right) + H\left(X\mid g\left(X\right)\right) \\ &\geq H\left(g\left(X\right)\right) + H\left(X\mid g\left(X\right)\right) - H\left(X\mid g\left(X\right)\right) \\ &\geq H\left(g\left(X\right)\right) \end{split}$$

**Problem 2.9 a):** Let  $\rho(X,Y)$  be a function which is defined according to

$$\rho(X,Y) = H(X \mid Y) + H(Y \mid X) \tag{2.9-1}$$

for all x and y. Since conditional probabilities are always non-zero (<u>for arbitrary X and Y we have  $H(X \mid Y) \ge 0$ ), we can say that  $H(X \mid Y)$  has the property</u>

$$H(X \mid Y) \ge 0$$

and that  $H(Y \mid X)$  has the property

$$H(Y \mid X) \ge 0$$

Applying these properties of  $H(X \mid Y) \ge 0$  and  $H(Y \mid X) \ge 0$  to the expression in 2.9-1 yields

$$\rho(X,Y) = H(X \mid Y) + H(Y \mid X) \ge 0 \tag{2.9-2}$$

which indicates that  $\rho(X,Y)$  satisfies the first property of a metric over all x and y. By its definition in 2.9-1, we can say that  $\rho(X,Y)$  is symmetric; therefore, we can additionally say that  $\rho(X,Y)$  satisfies the second condition of a metric over all x and y.

Now, consider three random variables, X, Y, and Z. Then write

$$\rho\left(X,Y\right) = H\left(X\mid Y\right) + H\left(Y\mid X\right) \tag{2.9-3}$$

and

$$\rho(Y, Z) = H(Y \mid Z) + H(Y \mid Z) \tag{2.9-4}$$

and

$$\rho(X, Z) = H(X \mid Z) + H(Z \mid X) \tag{2.9-5}$$

We now add the expression in 2.9-3 and 2.9-4 to obtain

$$H(X \mid Y) + H(Y \mid X) + H(Y \mid Z) + H(Z \mid Y)$$

$$\left[H(X \mid Y) + H(Y \mid Z)\right] + \left[H(Z \mid Y) + H(Y \mid X)\right]$$
(2.9-6)

By the chain rule for conditional entropies, we have  $H(X \mid Y) + H(Y \mid Z) = H(X, Y \mid Z)$  and  $H(Z \mid Y) + H(Y \mid X) = H(Z, Y \mid X)$  so the expression in 2.9-6 becomes

$$H(X, Y \mid Z) + H(Z, Y \mid X)$$

Again appling the chain rule for conditional entropies to the previous result, we have

$$\left[ H\left( X\mid Z\right) +H\left( Y\mid X,Z\right) \right] +\left[ H\left( Z\mid X\right) +H\left( Y\mid Z,X\right) \right]$$

Since conditional entropies are always greater or equal to zero, the  $H(Y \mid X, Z)$  and  $H(Y \mid Z, X)$  terms in the previous result satisfy  $H(Y \mid X, Z) \ge 0$  and  $H(Y \mid Z, X) \ge 0$ . This allows us to rewrite the previous result as

$$\rho(X,Y) + \rho(Y,Z) = H(Y \mid Z) + H(Y \mid Z) + H(X \mid Y) + H(Y \mid X)$$

$$= \left[H(X \mid Z) + H(Y \mid X, Z)\right] + \left[H(Z \mid X) + H(Y \mid Z, X)\right]$$

$$\geq H(X \mid Z) + H(Z \mid X)$$

Using the definition from 2.9-5, the previous result becomes

$$\rho(X,Y) + \rho(Y,Z) = \left[H\left(X\mid Z\right) + H\left(Y\mid X,Z\right)\right] + \left[H\left(Z\mid X\right) + H\left(Y\mid Z,X\right)\right]$$

$$\geq H\left(X\mid Z\right) + H\left(Z\mid X\right)$$

$$\geq \rho(X,Z)$$

thereby indicating that  $\rho(X,Y) + \rho(Y,Z) \ge \rho(X,Z)$  holds and, by extension, that the definition in 2.9-1 satisfies the fourth condition of a metric over all x and y.

Finally, we consider the case where X = Y via a one-to-one mapping. Since H(X,Y) = 0 iff X is a function of Y and H(Y,X) = 0 iff Y is a function of X,  $\rho(X,Y)$  can only equal zero if and only if X = Y. This satisfies the third and only remaining condition of a metric over all x and y; therefore, for cases where X and Y are related by a one-to-one mapping,  $\rho(X,Y)$  is a metric over all x and y.

**Problem 2.9 b):** Starting with the expression  $I(X;Y) = H(X) - H(X \mid Y)$  we rearrange to obtain

$$H(X \mid Y) = H(X) - I(X;Y)$$
 (2.9-7)

We also obtain

$$H(Y \mid X) = H(Y) - I(Y; X)$$
 (2.9-8)

similarly. We now apply the expressions in 2.9-7 and 2.9-8 to the definition of  $\rho(X,Y)$  in 2.9-1 to obtain the result

$$\rho(X,Y) = H(X \mid Y) + H(Y \mid X)$$

$$= H(X) - I(X;Y) + H(Y) - I(Y;X)$$

Noting that I(X;Y) = I(Y;X) the previous result can be simplied to the expression

$$\rho(X,Y) = H(X) - I(X;Y) + H(Y) - I(Y;X)$$

$$= H(X) - I(X;Y) + H(Y) - I(X;Y)$$

$$= H(X) + H(Y) - 2I(X;Y)$$
(2.9-9)

which proves the first line of the problem. Next, we note that I(X;Y) = H(X) + H(Y) - H(X,Y) and apply this relation to the expression in 2.9-9 so that we obtain

$$\rho(X,Y) = H(X) + H(Y) - 2I(X;Y)$$

$$= H(X) + H(Y) - I(X;Y) - I(X;Y)$$

$$= H(X) + H(Y) - I(X;Y) - [H(X) + H(Y) - H(X,Y)]$$

$$= H(X,Y) - I(X;Y)$$
(2.9-10)

as our result and proving the second line of the problem. Finally, we again note I(X;Y) = H(X) + H(Y) - H(X,Y) and then apply it to the expression in 2.9-10 to yield the result

$$\begin{split} \rho\left(X,Y\right) &= H\left(X,Y\right) - I\left(X;Y\right) \\ &= H\left(X,Y\right) - \left[H\left(X\right) + H\left(Y\right) - H\left(X,Y\right)\right] \\ &= 2 H\left(X,Y\right) - H\left(X\right) - H\left(Y\right) \end{split}$$

which proves the third and final line of the problem.

Problem 2.10 a):

Problem 2.10 b):

Problem 2.11 a):

Problem 2.11 b):

Problem 2.11 c):

Problem 2.11 d):

**Problem 2.24 a):** Consider a choice of four unique objects and specify a specific, arbitrarily picked object to be "special". We can model this situation using two random variables X and Y. We define X to be the random variable describing whether the "special" object was picked, so  $\mathcal{X} = \{0,1\}$  with X = 0 if the "special" object was picked and X = 1 otherwise. The entropy of this random variable, H(X), is the entropy we seek to find, namely H(1/4). Additionally, we define Y to be the random variable describing which of the three "non-special" items was picked, thus  $\mathcal{Y} = \{0,1,2\}$ . We define Y = 0 if the first "non-special" object was picked, Y = 1 for the second, and Y = 2 for the third.

We can also define a third random variable Z which describes choosing one of four unique objects, thus  $\mathcal{Z} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\Pr[Z = z] = 1/4$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Furthermore, we can equate Z = XY, thus we can say

$$H(Z) = H(X,Y)$$
$$= H(X) + H(Y \mid X)$$

by also using the chain rule  $H(X,Y) = H(X) + H(Y \mid X)$ . Without loss of generality, we also say that the "special" object is represented by Z = 0. That is to say that H(X) = H(1/4) = H(Z = 0).

We continue by appling the relation H(X) = H(1/4) to the previous result gives us

$$H(Z) = H(X) + H(Y \mid X)$$
$$= H(1/4) + H(Y \mid X)$$

which becomes

through some simple rearranging along with noting the definition of  $H(Y \mid X)$  and the fact that Z = XY implies that p(z) = p(x, y). Now, since  $\Pr[Z = z] = 1/4$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{Z}$  we can compute the value of H(Z) to be

$$H(Z) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \log_2 \left[ \frac{1}{4} \right] \right\} = 2$$
 (2.24-2)

Moreover, we can also evaluate the summation over z in the second term of 2.24-1 to obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{z \\ z \neq 0}} \left\{ p\left(z\right) \left( \sum_{\substack{x,y \\ y \in \mathcal{Y}}} \left\{ p\left(y \mid x\right) \log_2 \left[ p\left(y | x\right) \right] \right\} \right) \right\} = \frac{3}{4} \sum_{\substack{x,y \\ x = 1 \\ y \in \mathcal{Y}}} \left\{ p\left(y \mid x\right) \log_2 \left[ p\left(y | x\right) \right] \right\}$$

Additionally, we can say that, when X = 1, p(y|x) = 1/3 for all  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ . Therefore, we can evaluate the

summation over x and y in the previous result

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\substack{z \\ z \neq 0}} & \left\{ p\left(z\right) \left( \sum_{\substack{x,y \\ x = 1 \\ y \in \mathcal{Y}}} \left\{ p\left(y \mid x\right) \log_2 \left[ p\left(y \mid x\right) \right] \right\} \right) \right\} = \frac{3}{4} \sum_{\substack{x,y \\ x = 1 \\ y \in \mathcal{Y}}} \left\{ p\left(y \mid x\right) \log_2 \left[ p\left(y \mid x\right) \right] \right\} \\ & = \frac{3}{4} \left( \mathcal{B}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}} \log_2 \left[ \frac{1}{3} \right] \right) \right) \\ & = \frac{3}{4} \log_2 \left[ \frac{1}{3} \right] \\ & = -\frac{3}{4} \log_2 \left[ 3 \right] \end{split} \tag{2.24-3}$$

We can now apply the values from 2.24-2 and 2.24-3 to the expression in 2.24-1 to obtain

$$H(1/4) = H(Z) + \sum_{\substack{z \\ z \neq 0}} \left\{ p(z) \left( \sum_{\substack{x,y \\ x = 1 \\ y \in \mathcal{Y}}} \left\{ p(y \mid x) \log_2 \left[ p(y \mid x) \right] \right\} \right) \right\}$$

$$= 2 + \left( -\frac{3}{4} \log_2 \left[ 3 \right] \right)$$

$$= 2 - \frac{3}{4} \log_2 \left[ 3 \right] \approx 0.811278 \text{ bits}$$

as our result and final answer.

**Problem 2.24 b):** Let f(x) be an arbitrary function which maps  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f: x \in \mathbb{R} \to y \in \mathbb{R}$  where  $y = f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ 

Problem 2.24 c):

Problem 2.29 a):

Problem 2.29 b):

Problem 2.29 c):

Problem 2.29 d):

Problem 2.31):

Problem 2.35):

Problem 2.42 a):

Problem 2.42 b):

Problem 2.42 c):

Problem 2.42 d):