

## Performing Regressions in SPSS

### A. Binary Logistic Regression

Use "Binary\_Exercise" as the dependent variable.

Use "CO\_1\_CARD" and "CO\_2\_STREN" as the independent variables.

(1) The impact of the "Enter" model versus the "Block 0: Beginning Block";

Block 0 provides baseline statistics when only the constant (intercept) is included in the model. No predictors (independent variables) are added at this stage. Here, 100% of cases are classified as "High," resulting in 73.8% overall accuracy (proportional to the distribution of the majority class).

Block 0: Beginning Block

Classification Table <sup>a,b</sup>					
Observed			Predicted		Percentage Correct
			Binary_Exercise Low	High	
Step 0	Binary_Exercise	Low	0	28	.0
		High	0	79	100.0
Overall Percentage					73.8

a. Constant is included in the model.  
b. The cut value is .500

Block 1 block shows the model after including the predictors (CO\_1 and CO\_2). This allows for evaluation of their impact on the model's performance. The overall percentage of correctly classified cases increases to 77.6%. The sensitivity (correctly predicting "High") improves to 97.5%, but the specificity (correctly predicting "Low") drops to 21.4%.

Block 1: Method = Enter

Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients				
		Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step 1	Step	11.615	2	.003
	Block	11.615	2	.003
	Model	11.615	2	.003

Model Summary			
Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	111.395 <sup>a</sup>	.103	.151

a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 4 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Classification Table <sup>a</sup>					
Observed			Predicted		Percentage Correct
			Binary_Exercise Low	High	
Step 1	Binary_Exercise	Low	6	22	21.4
		High	2	77	97.5
Overall Percentage					77.6

a. The cut value is .500

(2) Which variable(s) are significant?

CO\_1: Not significant ( $p = 0.132$ ), suggesting limited contribution to the model.

CO\_2: Significant ( $p = 0.021$ ), indicating that it has a meaningful effect on predicting the outcome.

Constant: Significant ( $p = 0.042$ ), representing the baseline log-odds.

(3) Which variable has the greatest influence on exercise frequency?

The impact (probability % change, as per the example in the slides -- see the complete slides, not just the video) of moving a person from a 3 to a 5 on the scale associated with CO\_2\_STREN.

$\text{Exp(B)} = 1.798$ , for every 1-unit increase in CO\_2, the odds of being classified as "High" (Binary\_Exercise = High) are multiplied by 1.798.

Adjusted Odds Ratio =  $1.798^2 = 3.232$

Moving a person from a score of **3 to 5** on the CO\_2 scale increases the odds of being classified as "High" by approximately **223.2%** (3.232 times the original odds).

This indicates that CO\_2 (Strength and Power) has a strong influence on the likelihood of achieving a "High" classification in Binary\_Exercise.

Block 1: Method = Enter

Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients				
		Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step 1	Step	9.319	1	.002
	Block	9.319	1	.002
	Model	9.319	1	.002

Model Summary			
Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	113.690 <sup>a</sup>	.083	.122

a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 4 because parameter estimates changed by less than .001.

Classification Table <sup>a</sup>				
Observed		Predicted		Percentage Correct
		Binary_Exercise Low	Binary_Exercise High	
Step 1	Binary_Exercise Low	4	24	14.3
	Binary_Exercise High	2	77	97.5
Overall Percentage				75.7

a. The cut value is .500

Variables in the Equation						
Step 1 <sup>a</sup>		B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.
	CO_2 - Strength and Power	.587	.199	8.675	1	.003
	Constant	-1.114	.743	2.250	1	.134
						Exp(B)
	CO_2 - Strength and Power					1.798
	Constant					.328

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: CO\_2 - Strength and Power.

## B. Linear Regression

### (1) The overall model fit

The model demonstrates statistical significance, with an F-value of 8.008 and a p-value under 0.001. The  $R^2$  of 0.189 and adjusted  $R^2$  of 0.166 reveal that it accounts for only about 19% of the variation in exercise frequency, suggesting its explanatory strength is modest. Though statistically significant, the model's practical applicability may be restricted if more comprehensive variance explanation is needed.

### (2) Which variable(s) are significant?

Variable C is the only statistically significant predictor of the outcome, as its p-value is below 0.005.

### (3) which variable has the greatest influence on exercise frequency?

Variable C has the strongest impact on exercise frequency, supported by its highest absolute beta value of 0.329.

**Model Summary**

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.435 <sup>a</sup>	.189	.166	1.892

a. Predictors: (Constant), M\_9 – Exercise, in and of itself, is a pleasurable experience., M\_6 – I exercise so I will look attractive to others, M\_5 – To me, exercise is a social experience.

**ANOVA<sup>a</sup>**

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	85.968	3	28.656	8.008	<.001 <sup>b</sup>
	Residual	368.574	103	3.578		
	Total	454.542	106			

a. Dependent Variable: Frequency of Exercise (Times/Week)

b. Predictors: (Constant), M\_9 – Exercise, in and of itself, is a pleasurable experience., M\_6 – I exercise so I will look attractive to others, M\_5 – To me, exercise is a social experience.

**Coefficients<sup>a</sup>**

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients B	Std. Error	Standardized Coefficients Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	.936	.985		.950	.344
	M_5 – To me, exercise is a social experience.	.361	.184	.194	1.966	.052
	M_6 – I exercise so I will look attractive to others	-.167	.189	-.080	-.881	.380
	M_9 – Exercise, in and of itself, is a pleasurable experience.	.666	.194	.329	3.433	<.001

a. Dependent Variable: Frequency of Exercise (Times/Week)