

Author(s) and Year

Estimate [95% CI]

Risk of Bias								
D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	O	

Tynkkynen (DILGOM), 2018
Tynkkynen (EGCUT), 2018
Tynkkynen (FINRISK 97), 2018
Tynkkynen (WHITEHALL), 2018
RE Model for Subgroup ($p = 0.17$; $i^2 = 10.1\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.00$)

Mielke, 2005
Peters, 2009
Rantanen, 2017
Schilling, 2017
RE Model for Subgroup ($p = 0.97$; $I^2 = 69.2\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.01$)

RE Model for all studies ($p = 0.54$; $I^2 = 59.8\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.01$)

Author(s) and Year

Estimate [95% CI]

Risk of Bias

D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	O
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	---

Tynkkynen (DILGOM), 2018
Tynkkynen (EGCUT), 2018
Tynkkynen (FINRISK 97), 2018
Tynkkynen (WHITEHALL), 2018
RE Model for Subgroup ($p = 0.07$; $I^2 = 0.0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.00$)

Schilling, 2017

RE Model for all studies ($p = 0.54$; $I^2 = 43.7\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.01$)

RE Model for all studies ($p = 0.54$; $I^2 = 43.7\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.01$)

Hazard ratio

Author(s) and Year

Estimate [95% CI]

Risk of Bias							
D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	O

Tynkkynen (DILGOM), 2018
Tynkkynen (EGCUT), 2018
Tynkkynen (FINRISK 97), 2018
Tynkkynen (WHITEHALL), 2018
RE Model for Subgroup ($p = 0.28$; $I^2 = 23.5\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.00$)

Peters, 2009
Schilling, 2017
Tynkkynen, 2016
RE Model for Subgroup ($p = 0.67$; $I^2 = 28.8\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.01$)

RE Model for Subgroup ($p = 0.67$; $I^2 = 28.8\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.01$)

RE Model for all studies ($p = 0.32$; $I^2 = 18.9\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.00$)

Hazard ratio

Author(s) and Year

Estimate [95% CI]

Risk of Bias							
D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	O

Tynkkynen (DILGOM), 2018
Tynkkynen (EGCUT), 2018
Tynkkynen (FINRISK 97), 2018
Tynkkynen (WHITEHALL), 2018
RE Model for Subgroup ($p = 0.25$; $I^2 = 68.5\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.04$)

Mielke, 2005
Schilling, 2017
RE Model for Subgroup ($p = 0.65$; $I^2 = 62.2\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.14$)

RE Model for Subgroup ($p = 0.65$; $I^2 = 62.2\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.14$)

RE Model for all studies ($p = 0.27$; $I^2 = 73.0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.03$)

Hazard ratio

