Doubly robust estimators - a. h.a. augmented inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW) Bachground: 1PTW estimation of E(Y1): indicates treatment

A; Y;

E(Y')

T;(X;)

propensity score

| Shearing that $P(A=1|X_i) = T(X_i) (\forall X_i)$ Background: Regression-based estimation of E(Y'): ontone model m (X) = E (Y | A = 1, X) if ontwhe model m, (x) is cowectly specified, then this estimator is unbiased for subjects for subjects with with A=1, A+1, use predicted use observed value of Y given their avanates X it their A would have been 1 instead on frome Y 1. learn m, (X) from subjects with A=1. 2. predict Y with m, (x) for subjects with A+1. Doubly Robust Estimators: - a DR estimator is an estimator that is unbiased if either the propensity Swe model OR the ontcome regression model are correctly specified. (only one model needs to be correctly specified) Example of a DR estimator: om_(x) is mot correctly specified if m_(x) + E(Y | A=1, X) Scenario I: m(x) inwrectly specified,
T(x) correctly specified: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \frac{A_i Y_i}{\sqrt{\chi(X_i)}} - \frac{A_i - \sqrt{\chi(X_i)}}{\sqrt{\chi(X_i)}} \right\}$ expectation of A; is equal to T(X;), the propensity score. So in expectation A: - T(K:) will conced out. => thus the PH term must have expectation of 0. - then the rest of the estimator left is a valid estimator blo the prop. Sove model is correctly specified: [1 \$\frac{n}{\pi(X_i)} \frac{A_i Y_i}{\pi(X_i)} \frac{1}{\pi(X_i)} Scenario I: $m_{\lambda}(x)$ correctly specified, (i.e. $E(Y|X) = m_{\lambda}(X)$)

The specified is the specified of t $\frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i Y_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{A_i - \overline{T_i(X_i)}}{\overline{T_i(X_i)}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i - \overline{T_i(X_i)} \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} A_i & X_i \\ \overline{T_i(X_i)$ $=\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\{m_{i}(X_{i})+\frac{A_{i}((Y_{i}-m_{i}(X_{i})))}{T_{i}(X_{i})}\right\}=\frac{1}{m_{i}(X_{i})}$ this part goes to 0, blc (i.e. $E(Y|X) = m_n(X)$. = 1 2 (m, (X;)) deverage of E(Y/A=1, X) over the dist-of X - thus X is being out-warginalized giving us E(Y (A=1) = E(Y'). goes to E(Y). Doubly robust estimators: Callows us to specify and use 2 models of guaranteed unbiasedness if 1 model is incorrectly specified.) - DR estimator a.k.a. Augmented IPTW (AIPTW) estimator. Lo combines vanilla 197W W/ an ontcome model m, (X) => in general: AIPTW estimators should be more efficient than regular IPTW estimators meaning the estimator has a smaller variance associated w/ ifs estimations.