## Sensitivity analysis

06.05.21 05:18

- overt bias could occur when outcome analysis is carried out despite imbalance on the observed covariates X
- there is no guarantee that matching results in balance on variables that we did not match on (e.g. mobsemed variables)
- Lo if these unobserved variables are confounders, then we have hidden bias
  - => ignorability assumption would then be violated (unmeasured confounding)

## Main idea of sensitivity analysis:

- if there is hidden bias, how severe would it have to be before conclusions changed

Change from stad. significant to stad. insignificant result change in direction of treatment effect (sign + changed)

## Sensitivity analysis contid:

- Tj... prob. of subject j receiving treatment

Tik . — " — subject k receiving treatment

- suppose subjects j and k are perfectly matched, so that observed covariates X; and Xk, are the same

- now if Tij = Tik, then we have to conclude, that there is no hidden bias

- assume following inequality

- [ ... is an odds ratio

b if.  $\Gamma = 1$ , then no overt bias b if.  $\Gamma = 1$ , then hidden bias

- if  $\Gamma$  is very close to 1, then the no hidden Lices assumption (i.e ignorability assumption) is barely to violated
- When we estimate I test treatment effect, we assume no hidden bias bile.  $\Gamma = 1$
- b thus we can carry out sensitivity analysis by gradually increasing I until the evidence of treatment effect goes away:
  - if evidence goes away with little amount of increasing [ Ce.z. 1-1.1), then we conclude, that our treatment effect inference is very sensitive to unmeasured confounding
  - however, if evidence remains even after large increase of [ (e.g. 1->5), then we conclude, that our analysis of treatment effect inference is robust to hidden bias from unneasured confounding
- R packages for sensitivity analysis: sensitivity full, sensitivity 2x2xk.