Two-dimensional parsing of the acoustic stream explains the iambic-trochaic law

Michael Wagner
McGill University

Author Note

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Abstract

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Keywords: perception; rhythm; prominence; grouping; speech; speech segmentation

	Even tone last	Odd tone last
Even tone prominent	$(x X) (x X) (x X) \dots$	x) (X x) (X x) (X
Odd tone prominent	$(X x) (X x) (X x) \dots$	$X)$ $(x X)$ $(x X)$ $(x \dots$

Table 1

Four ways to perceive a sequence of sounds as a sequence of groups of two sounds, depending on the dimension of grouping (Which sound is first/last? |) and prominence (Which sound is more prominent?). The top left and bottom right sequences are sequences of iambs, the bottom left and top right ones are sequences of trochees.

Figure 1. Duration (sec on log scale) and intensity (dB) of each syllable within the disyllabic words, depending on whether they have initial stress (trochees) or final stress (iambs).

Two-dimensional parsing of the acoustic stream explains the iambic-trochaic law

Prominence, grouping, and the Iambic-Trochaic Law

Table 1.

Results

General Discussion

A listener's perception of an acoustic signal reflects an attempt of the perceptual system to find a plausible 'auditory description' for the signal (Bregman, 1981). Similar to the phenomenon of auditory streaming, and other situations in which an auditory scene is composed from multiple sources (Bregman, 1977, 1990; Bregman & Campbell, 1971), the results suggest that listeners attribute the properties of the incoming acoustic signal to two separate causes. The decisions about prominence and grouping are similar to certain decisions in the visual domain, for example the decisions about the size and

distance of an object, or the decisions about the color of an object and the hue of the background light. In each of these cases, there are two mutually constraining perceptual decisions at play explaining the same or at least overlapping cues.

References

- Bregman, A. S. (1977). Perception and behavior as compositions of ideals. *Cognitive Psychology*, 9(2), 250–292. doi: 10.1016/0010-0285(77)90009-3
- Bregman, A. S. (1981). Asking the "what for" question in auditory perception. In M. Kubovy & J. R. Pomerantz (Eds.), *Perceptual organization* (pp. 99–118). Routledge.
- Bregman, A. S. (1990). *Auditory scene analysis: The perceptual organization of sound*. MIT press. doi: 10.7551/mitpress/1486.001.0001
- Bregman, A. S., & Campbell, J. (1971). Primary auditory stream segregation and perception of order in rapid sequences of tones. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 89(2), 244–249. doi: 10.1037/h0031163