

# PUBH 501

# Biostatistics

---

STATA: NON-PARAMETRIC TESTS, WILCOXON SIGNED-RANK, RANK  
SUM TEST

# Tip of the day: using the / in Stata

---

- The single / is used as part of an operator, like in recode where we show Stata a range

```
recode var1 (1/5=1) (6/10=2), gen(var2)
```

- The /// denotes a comment when added to the beginning of a line

```
///the next line of code runs a tabulation of my variable 1
```

- The // adds a comment at the end of a line of code

```
tab var1 //run a tabulation of my var1
```

- The /// at the end of the line of a code inserts a line break. If you insert a new line without a line break (you hit enter or enter code on the next line without a “///”), Stata thinks it’s a unique line of code, not connected to the one above it. Using the line break may help with readability.

```
graph hbar, ///
```

```
over(sat) ///
```

```
ytitle("Percent of Respondents") ///
```

```
title("In general, how satisfied are you with your job?")
```

# Overview

---

- Sign test
- Wilcoxon sign-rank test
- Wilcoxon rank sum test

# Checking assumptions in Stata

---

- For parametric tests, we are looking for normality
  - Overall
  - Within groups
- Can use `–tab-`, `-summ-`, and `–histogram-` to look at normality

```
bysort groups: summ var1, detail
```

# Sign test

---

# Sign test

---

- Tests that the median of the differences is zero (null hypothesis)
- Account for change in direction, but not a magnitude of change
  - Would need to use Wilcoxon signed-rank test to look at magnitude of change
- The Stata command is `—signtest—`

```
signtest cesdT = cesdTE
```

## Sign test

sign	observed	expected
positive	6	5
negative	4	5
zero	0	0
all	10	10

Two-sided test:

Ho: median of cesdT - cesdTE = 0 vs.

Ha: median of cesdT - cesdTE != 0

$\Pr(\text{\#positive} \geq 6 \text{ or } \text{\#negative} \geq 6) =$

$\min(1, 2 \cdot \text{Binomial}(n = 10, x \geq 6, p = 0.5)) = 0.7539$

# Conclusions

---

- We do not reject the null hypothesis the median of the differences between the groups is zero



# Signed-rank test

---

# Wilcoxon signed-rank test

---

- Tests the equality of matched pairs of observations. Account for magnitude of change
- Null hypothesis is that median difference in variables = 0
- The Stata command is `—signrank—`

```
signrank cesdT = cesdTE
```

# Results

---

Wilcoxon signed-rank test

sign	obs	sum ranks	expected	unadjusted variance	96.25
-----+-----				adjustment for ties	-0.38
positive	6	36.5	27.5	adjustment for zeros	0.00
negative	4	18.5	27.5		-----
zero	0	0	0	adjusted variance	95.88
-----+-----					
all	10	55	55	Ho: cesdT = cesdTE	
				z =	0.919
				Prob >  z  =	0.3580
				Exact Prob =	0.4043

# Conclusions

---

- We cannot reject the null hypothesis that the median difference between  $\text{cesdT}$  and  $\text{cesdTE} = 0$
- The median value of  $\text{cesdT}$  was 28, with a range of 15-35, and the median value of  $\text{cesdTE}$  was 26.5 with a range of 12-35.
- The exact p-value is best used in sample size  $< 200$ . In our case, we use the exact p-value
  - the approximated p-value is based on a normal approximation of a randomized distribution and is better in larger samples. The exact p-value is computationally intense, so it is not recommended in  $n > 200$ , and cannot be used at all in  $n > 2000$

# Rank sum test

---

# Wilcoxon rank sum / Mann-Whitney U test

---

- Tests the equality of non-matched, unpaired observations.
- Null hypothesis is that median difference between groups = 0
- Tied ranks are averaged
- The Stata command is `–ranksum dependent_var, by(independent_var)–`

```
ranksum painscore, by(groups)
```

# Two-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann-Whitney) test

groups	obs	rank sum	expected
-----+-----			
TENS	11	190	132
Pain killer	12	86	144
-----+-----			
combined	23	276	276
unadjusted variance		264.00	
adjustment for ties		-3.52	
		-----	
adjusted variance		260.48	

Ho: painscore(groups==TENS) = painscore(groups==Pain killer)

z = 3.594  
Prob > |z| = 0.0003  
Exact Prob = 0.0001

# Conclusions

---

- We reject the null hypothesis that the median difference between groups in pain score is 0, there was a significant difference in median pain score between groups
- The median value of pain score for the TENS (nerve stimulation) group was 16, with a range of 12-17, and the median value of pain score for the pain killer group was 6.5 with a range of 3-16.
- The exact p-value is best used in sample size  $<200$ . In our case, we use the exact p-value



# Multiple graphs

---

# Storing and recalling graphs

---

- `set autotabgraphs on` //allows more than one graph to be open, by using tab in the graph displayer
- **Name graphs to recall them**
  - `histogram painscore if groups==1, name(histG1) freq`
  - `histogram painscore, name(histB) by(groups) freq`
- `graph display histG1`
- `graph drop histB` //need to drop the graph name before using it again this session

