

# Final Exam Answer Key

PHIL 105

May 4, 2017

## Argument Identification (20 points)

*Pick **two** passages. Identify (i) the main point of the passage; (ii) the evidence or argument that supports this point*

1.   (i) It is the experience of suffering, not pain, that matters in the moral treatment of animals  
     (ii) discussion of language, higher-order thinking, self-consciousness, and self-regarding emotions
2.   (i) giving to charity is not supererogatory but rather morally required  
     (ii) we are not making a sacrifice in the various modes of consumption we pursue, but it is only such sacrifices that would be morally relevant
3.   (i) being a foodie should generate no more criticism than any other form of bourgeois activity  
     (ii) there is no disapproval towards various other forms of bourgeois activity

## Definitions (20 points)

*Pick **four** of the five and provide the definition*

1. Agrarianism: a social philosophy which sees farming as a way of life, valuable not only for the food and agriculture it produces, but also in itself.
2. Necessary condition: what is *required* for something to be the case.
3. Localism: privileging of a region or people's cultural traditions, beliefs, and aspirations over those of other regions
4. Deontology: The rightness/wrongness of an action depends on whether the action is in accordance with moral principles or laws.
5. Taste of reflection: contemplative enjoyment of something independent of whether it causes a pleasurable/displeasurable feeling in oneself—i.e. one has a /disinterested/ enjoyment of the thing

## Multiple Choice (30 points)

Answer the following questions

1. B
2. A
3. A
4. A
5. C
6. B
7. B
8. A
9. B
10. B
11. C
12. Free points!
13. D
14. B
15. A

## Short Essay (30 points)

Answer only **two** of the three

1. PPBO & Singer

**The Principle of Preventing Bad Occurences:** if it is in our power to prevent something bad from happening, without thereby sacrificing anything of comparable moral importance, we ought, morally, to do it. (Singer, 231)

PPBO is not affected by distance or numbers. We might worry that the principle is too demanding & erases distinction between moral requirements and supererogatory actions. One response would be to slightly weaken our interpretation of the principle so that we need not worry about “comparable” sacrifice.

2. GM Foods & Unnaturalness

**GM Foods:** Food with foreign genetic material

**UE:** It is unnatural to genetically engineer plants, animals, and foods

- playing god
- inventing world-changing tech
- illegitimately cross species boundaries
- commodify life

3. Functional Foods & Market Forces

**Functional Food:** a food-based product that provides a demonstrable physiological benefit beyond its dietary or nutritional value. - It is in the interest of the food market to make us eat more than we need - It is in the interest of the food market to fortify or otherwise process food to add value for which it can charge higher prices - fortified OJ, rice, etc. - markets and the interests of public health are compatible so long as no greater harms are inflicted, capacities diminished, or rights abused - Market mechanisms are “fickle” - markets are not designed to solve health problems - the interests of the market do not coincide with public health interests

commercial interests have the potential to transform how we eat and how we care for ourselves, yet the very future of food is in the hands of those who may not have our best interests in mind. That may be the most important thing wrong with functional foods. (Kaplan, 185)