Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Reviev

The Claim to Objectivity

Cognitivist
Skepticism —
The Error
Theory

Philosophy 101

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

April 14, 2015

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Review

The Claim to Objectivity

Cognitivist
Skepticism —
The Error
Theory

Review

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Review

The Claim t Objectivity

Cognitivist Skepticism -The Error Theory

The Ethical and the Metaethical

- first-Order (Ethics)
- 2 Second Order (Metaethics)
- ethics is about what we ought to do
- metaethics is about what we're thinking and doing when we think and talk about what we ought to do

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Review

The Claim to Objectivity

Cognitivist Skepticism The Error Theory

Subjectivity and Objectivity in Ethics

Objective values: values that give reasons (or otherwise prescibe how we ought to act) regardless of what we (as individuals or as a culture) say, do, desire, or think

Subjective values: values whose reason-giving or prescriptive status depends in some way on what we (as individuals or as a culture) say, do, desire, or think

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Review

The Claim t Objectivity

Cognitivist
Skepticism
The Error
Theory

Question 1

Is the sentence 'it is morally wrong to cause unnecessary pain' true or false?

- A. True
- B. False
- C. Neither True or False

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Review

The Claim to Objectivity

Cognitivist
Skepticism The Error
Theory

Question 2

Is there an objective fact of the matter whether it is morally wrong to cause unnecessary pain?

- A. Yes
- B. No
- C. Not sure

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Review

The Claim to Objectivity

Cognitivist Skepticism -The Error Theory

Question 3

If it turned out that there were no objective moral values, would that matter for our moral practices?

- A. Yes
- B. No
- C. Not sure

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Review

The Claim t Objectivity

Skepticism -The Error Theory

Varieties of Skepticism

- First-Order (ethical): skepticism concerning prevailing moral views
- Second-Order (metaethical): skepticism concerning particular features of morality

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Review

The Claim to Objectivity

Skepticism – The Error Theory

Two Kinds of Metaethical Skepticism

- Noncognitivism
- Error Theory

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Review

The Claim to Objectivity

Skepticism
The Error
Theory

Two Kinds of Metaethical Skepticism

Non-cognitivism:

- moral language is not descriptive of the mind-independent objective world — it is not true or false
- 2 moral language expresses or describes mental states or emotions

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Review

The Claim to Objectivity

Skepticism
The Error
Theory

Two Kinds of Metaethical Skepticism

Error Theory:

- Metaphysical claim: there are no objective moral facts or properties
- 2 Semantic claim: Moral discourse makes descriptive claims, but is systematically and uniformly false

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Reviev

The Claim to Objectivity

Cognitivist
Skepticism –
The Error
Theory

The Claim to Objectivity

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Revie

The Claim to Objectivity

Cognitivist Skepticism -The Error Theory

Two Signs of Objectivity

- Mackie denies that non-cognitivism is a plausible theory of ethical thought & judgment
- Two arguments:
 - the authority of objective ethical values
 - the significance of objective ethical values

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Revie

The Claim to Objectivity

Cognitivist
Skepticism The Error
Theory

Two Signs of Objectivity

- The authority of ethics
 - ethical judgments purport to be authoritative because of features of the world, not because of features of the judging subject—moral judgments are 'categorical'
 - non-cognitivism cannot explain the categorical authority of ethics

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Revie

The Claim to Objectivity

Cognitivist Skepticism – The Error Theory

Two Signs of Objectivity

The question is not, for example, whether he really wants to do this work, whether it will satisfy or dissatisfy him, whether he will in the long run have a pro-attitude towards it, or even whether this is an action of a sort that he can happily and sincerely recommend in all relevantly similar cases. Nor is he even wondering just whether to recommend such action in all relevantly similar cases. He wants to know whether this course of action would be wrong in itself. (Mackie, 72)

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Revie¹

The Claim to Objectivity

Cognitivist Skepticism The Error Theory

Two Signs of Objectivity

- The significance of ethics
 - the possibility that there are no objective values seems to threaten the meaning or purpose of life in a way that it shouldn't if ethical claims didn't purport to be objective

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Review

The Claim to Objectivity

Cognitivist Skepticism — The Error Theory

Cognitivist Skepticism — The Error Theory

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Revie

The Claim to Objectivity

Cognitivist
Skepticism —
The Error
Theory

Two Arguments for the Error Theory

- 1 The Argument from Disagreement
- 2 The Argument from 'Queerness'

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Revie

The Claim to Objectivity

Cognitivist
Skepticism —
The Error
Theory

The Argument from Disagreement

- 1 The is an enormous amount of variation in moral views, which leads to disagreement concerning what to do/value
- 2 Moral disagreement is intractable in a way that other kinds of disagreement are not
- 3 ... The best explanation of the intractability of moral disagreement is that there is no objective fact of the matter concerning what to do/value

Mackie — The Subjectivity o Value (II)

Reviev

The Claim : Objectivity

Cognitivist
Skepticism —
The Error
Theory

Explanation of Disagreement

- Mackie's Reply: Disagreement about moral codes seems to reflect people's adherence to and participation in different ways of life not the other way around
 - Monogamy vs. Polygamy
 - does one culture have better access to moral facts regarding marriage or do their views on marital facts simply reflect the particular development of that institution in their culture?

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Reviev

The Claim to Objectivity

Cognitivist
Skepticism —
The Error
Theory

Other Alternatives — Less Disagreement?

- Deny premise (1) the extent of moral disagreement is exaggerated
 - Differences in customs do not mean differences about values
 - Hinduism & veneration of cows vs. Catholicism and veneration of the Virgin Mary
 - Both religions are venerating similar values (e.g. the caretaker/maternal figure) but in very different forms leading to superficial behavioral differences (e.g. vegetarianism)

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Revie

The Claim to Objectivity

Cognitivist
Skepticism —
The Error
Theory

Other Alternatives — Intractability

- Deny premise (2) moral disagreement is no more/less intractable than other forms of disagreement
 - The intractability premise assumes that realism \rightarrow the resolvability of disputes
 - But the possibility of unresolvable disputes occurs in supposedly 'realist' domains as well
 - fundamental disputes in physics may not be resolvable, even in principle (e.g. which string theory is correct)

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Reviev

The Claim t Objectivity

Cognitivist
Skepticism —
The Error
Theory

Other Alternatives — Intractability

- one or more of the interlocutors may be systematically and irredeemably mistaken
 - it is possible to have coherent but nevertheless totally mistaken beliefs

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Reviev

The Claim to Objectivity

Cognitivist
Skepticism —
The Error
Theory

Other Alternatives — Intractability

- Some moral disputes may have no uniquely correct answers
 - moral ties
 - incommensurable values
 - it ought to be possible in principle to show interlocutors who are not systematically mistaken that their dispute has no unique resolution

Mackie — The Subjectivity of Value (II)

Reviev

The Claim to Objectivity

Cognitivist Skepticism — The Error Theory

Summary: Against Mackie on Disagreement

- Reject premise (1)
 - the extent of moral disagreement is exaggerated
- Reject premise (2)
 - Moral disagreement is no more/less intractable than other forms of disagreement
 - One or more of the interlocutors may be systematically and irredeemably mistaken
 - Some moral disputes may have no uniquely correct answers