Determinism & Moral Responsibility (II)

Review Question

## Philosophy 101

Determinism & Moral Responsibility (II)

March 19, 2015

Moral
Responsibility
(II)

#### Review Questions

The Basic Argument

## **Review Questions**

Determinism & Moral Responsibility (II)

#### Review Questions

The Basic Argumen

### Question 1

### The source requirement says that

- A. we have free will
- B. moral responsibility depends on being able to do otherwise
- C. control is irrelevant to moral responsibility
- D. responsibility for a choice requires that one be the appropriate source of the choice

Philosophy 101

Determinism &

Determinism & Moral Responsibility (II)

Review Questions

The Basic Argumen

## Agency & Control

The Source Requirement: If an agent is morally responsible for her deciding to perform an action, then the production of this decision must be something over which the agent has *control*, and an agent is not morally responsible for the decision if it is produced by a source over which she has no control

Philosophy 101

Determinism &

Moral Responsib (II)

Review Questions

The Basic Argumer

### Question 2

### Determinism says that:

- A. we cannot make any choices
- B. our choices are not causally effective
- C. our current states are necessitated by our past states in conjunction with physical laws
- D. we are free

Determinism 8 Moral Responsibility (II)

#### Review Questions

The Basic Argumen

### **Determinism**

Determinism: For any state of the subject, that subject's states are necessitated by the condition of its previous states plus the laws of nature.

Determinism & Moral Responsibility (II)

#### Review Questions

The Basic Argumen

## Question 3

If determinism is false then we have free will

- A. True
- B. False

Determinism 8 Moral Responsibility (II)

Review Questions

The Basic Argument

### Question 4

You cannot be truly responsible for the way you are because

- A. you cannot do otherwise than you do
- B. determinism is true
- C. determinism is false
- D. in certain crucial respects you cannot have intentionally brought it about that you are as you are now

Moral
Responsibility
(II)

The Basic
Argument

## The Basic Argument

Determinism & Moral Responsibility (II)

Review Question

The Basic Argument

## The Argument

- Nothing can be the cause of itself
- 2 In order to be truly morally responsible for one's actions one would have to be the cause of oneself in some crucial respects
- 3 ∴ No one can be truly morally responsible

Determinism & Moral Responsibility (II)

Review Question

The Basic Argument

## What is 'True moral responsibility'?

As I understand it, true moral responsibility is responsibility of such a kind that, if we have it, then it makes sense, at least, to suppose that it could be just to punish some of us with (eternal) torment in hell and reward others with (eternal) bliss in heaven...The story of heaven and hell is useful simply because it illustrates, in a particularly vivid way, the kind of absolute or ultimate accountability or responsibility that many have supposed themselves to have, and that many do still suppose themselves to have. (Strawson, 9-10)

Determinism 8 Moral Responsibility (II)

Review Question

The Basic Argument

# Questions About the Basic Argument

- Why does being morally responsible require being self-caused?
- What 'crucial respects' must be caused?
  - character
  - evaluative dispositions & preferences
- Why can't we be self-caused in these respects?

Philosophy 101

Determinism & Moral

Review

The Basic Argument

## Strawson's Revised Argument

- 1 You do what you do because of the way you are.
- 2 To be morally responsible for what you do you must be truly morally responsible for the way you are – at least in certain crucial mental respects.
- 3 To be truly responsible for the way you are, you must have intentionally brought it about that you are the way you are
- 4 It is impossible to have intentionally brought about how one is
- ⑤ ∴ You cannot be truly morally responsible for what you do, because you cannot be truly responsible for the way you are
- Is the argument valid? Sound?

Determinism 8 Moral Responsibility (II)

Review Question

The Basic Argument

## Strawson's Argument (Validity)

- $MD \to MA$
- $\mathbf{3} \ \mathsf{MA} \to \mathsf{IA}$
- 4 ¬IA
- 5 ∴ ¬MA (3, 4, Modus Tollens)
- 6 ∴ ¬MD (2, 5, Modus Tollens)

Respons (II)

Review Question

The Basic Argument

# Questions about Strawson's Argument (Soundness)

Premise 2: Why think that if one cannot be morally responsible for the way one is, one cannot be morally responsible for what one does?

Premise 4: Why is it impossible to have intentionally brought about how one is?

Determinism 8 Moral Responsibility (II)

Review Question

The Basic Argument

## **Defending Premise 2**

Why think that if one cannot be morally responsible for the way one is, one cannot be morally responsible for what one does?

- We aren't responsible for those aspects of ourselves over which we have no control
  - hair color
  - skin color
  - social-economic class
- Our control over what decisions we make depends in part on our character and evaluative dispositions
- If we have no control over our character and evaluative dispositions then we have no ultimate control over the decisions we make which depend on them

Philosophy 101

Determinism & Moral

Review

The Basic

## **Defending Premise 4**

Why is it impossible to have intentionally brought about how one is?

Intentionally bringing about how one is entails an absurdity

Determinism & Moral Responsibility (II)

Review Question

The Basic Argument

## **Defending Premise 4**

- Suppose that you have somehow intentionally brought it about that you are the way you now are, and that you have brought this about in such a way that you can now be said to be truly responsible for being the way you now are
- 2 Your intentional act, for which you are responsible, is due to some nature N
- If you are truly responsible for this act you must be truly responsible for having the nature N in virtue of which you intentionally brought it about that you are the way you now are
- 4 .: You must have intentionally brought about N, which requires that you have some further nature N1, in virtue of which you brought about your nature N... (absurd infinite regress ensues)
- ⑤ ∴ It is impossible for you to have intentionally brought it about that you are as you are now