Mackie – The Subjectivity of Value

Approaches to Ethics

Value and Objectivity

Philosophy 101

Mackie – The Subjectivity of Value

March 18, 2014

Mackie – The Subjectivity of Value

Approaches to Ethics

Approaches to Ethics

Value and

The Ethical and the Metaethical

Value and Objectivity

The Ethical and the Metaethical

- first-Order (Ethics)
- 2 Second Order (Metaethics)
- ethics is about what we ought to do
- metaethics is about what we're thinking and doing when we think and talk about what we ought to do

Approaches to

Ethics

Value and Objectivity

- Claims/questions concerning what is good/bad, right/wrong:
 - "Should I give money to the poor?"
 - "Murder is wrong"
- what is morally required
 - one ought to help those in need

Ethics

- what is morally permissible
 - eating meat
- what things are of value
 - friends, family, work
 - character traits virtues/vices
 - honesty, loyalty vs. cruelty

Approaches to

Metaethics

Value and Obiectivity

Metaethics

- Metaethical claims are not about what is right/wrong, good/bad, or of value
- Metaethics asks questions about and makes claims concerning ethical values, judgments, and behavior

Value and



- Language: what is the function of moral discourse?
 - descriptive/fact-stating?
 - similar to beliefs & judgments (e.g. "it is raining outside")
 - prescriptive/action-promoting?
 - similar to commands (e.g. "shut the door!")

Value and Objectivity

- Metaphysics: what is the nature of moral facts or properties
 - do such facts or properties exist?
 - what are they like?
 - are moral facts or properties compatible with physicalism?
 - are moral properties or facts objective?

- Epistemology: what are the conditions for knowledge in ethics?
 - can we have moral knowledge?
 - how are moral claims justified?

- Moral Psychology: what kinds of psychological states are characteristic of moral thought and behaviour?
 - what is the connection between motivation and moral judgment?
 - · how, if at all, are emotions related to moral judgment?

Mackie – The Subjectivity of Value

Approaches to

Value and Objectivity

Value and Objectivity

Mackie – The Subjectivity of Value

Approaches t Ethics

Value and Objectivity

Subjectivity and Objectivity in Ethics

Subjectivity and Objectivity in Ethics

Objective values: values that give reasons (or otherwise prescibe how we ought to act) regardless of what we (as individuals or as a culture) say, do, desire, or think

Subjective values: values whose reason-giving or prescriptive status depends in some way on what we (as individuals or as a culture) say, do, desire, or think

Mackie – The Subjectivity of Value

Approaches to Ethics

Value and Objectivity

Varieties of Skepticism

Varieties of Skepticism

- First-Order (ethical): skepticism concerning prevailing moral views
 - typically is itself a moral view
 - involves a kind of condemnation of prevailing morality

Approaches t

Value and Objectivity

Ethical & Metaethical Skepticism

- Second-Order (metaethical): skepticism concerning particular features of morality
 - moral knowledge
 - existence of moral values/properties/facts
 - truth of moral judgments

Mackie – The Subjectivity of Value

Approaches to Ethics

Value and Objectivity

Two Kinds of Metaethical Skepticism

Two Kinds of Metaethical Skepticism

- Noncognitivism
- Error Theory

Noncognitivism

if I say to someone, 'You acted wrongly in stealing that money,' I am not stating anything more than if I had simply said, 'You stole that money.' In adding that this action is wrong I am not making any further statement about it. I am simply evincing my moral disapproval of it. It is as if I had said, 'You stole that money,' in a peculiar tone of horror, or written it with the addition of some special exclamation marks. The tone, or the exclamation marks, adds nothing to the literal meaning of the sentence. It merely serves to show that the expression of it is attended by certain feelings in the speaker. (Ayers 1936, 107)

Noncognitivism

Noncognitivism

- moral language is not descriptive/fact-stating it is not about anything
 - moral claims are equivalent in meaning to reports of the judger's own feelings or attitudes
 - "Murder is wrong" \rightarrow "I don't like murder" or "Boo! Murder!"
 - moral claims are equivalent in meaning to prescriptions or commands
 - "Murder is wrong" \rightarrow "Don't murder!"

The Error Theory:

The Error Theory:

Metaphysical claim: there are no objective moral facts or properties

Semantic claim: Moral discourse makes descriptive claims, but is systematically and uniformly *false*