Knowledge & Objectivity

The Status Sensory Qualities

Williams on Objectivity

# Philosophy 101

Knowledge & Objectivity

January 21, 2014

Philosophy 101

Knowledge & Objectivity

The Status of Sensory Qualities

Williams on Objectivity

## The Status of Sensory Qualities

The Status of Sensory Qualities

Williams on Objectivity

#### Two Positions on Color

#### Two Positions on Color

Eliminativism/Subjectivism: Colors are not qualities of physical objects (Eliminativism). If they are qualities of anything, they are qualities of conscious mental states or objects (Subjectivism).

#### Two Positions on Color

Eliminativism/Subjectivism: Colors are not qualities of physical objects (Eliminativism). If they are qualities of anything, they are qualities of conscious mental states or objects (Subjectivism).

Realism: Colors are qualities of physical objects. They exist independently of any subject which might experience them.

#### Galileo's Eliminativism

To excite in us tastes, odors, and sounds I believe that nothing is required in external bodies except shapes, numbers, and slow or rapid movements. If ears, and tongues, and noses were removed, shapes and numbers and motions would remain, but not odors or tastes or sounds. The latter, I believe, are nothing more than names when separated from living beings, just as tickling and titillation are nothing but names in the absence of such things as noses and armpits (Assayer, p. 276-7).

The Status of Sensory Qualities

Williams on Objectivity

## The Conceivability Argument

1 Thinking of a material object requires thinking of it as having a particular set of qualities (i.e. size, shape, location, motion, etc.)

- 1 Thinking of a material object requires thinking of it as having a particular set of qualities (i.e. size, shape, location, motion, etc.)
- 2 Thinking of a material object does not require thinking of it as having specific sensory qualities (e.g. color, taste, smell, sound)

- 1 Thinking of a material object requires thinking of it as having a particular set of qualities (i.e. size, shape, location, motion, etc.)
- 2 Thinking of a material object does not require thinking of it as having specific sensory qualities (e.g. color, taste, smell, sound)
- 3 The only qualities possessed by a material object are those which we must attribute to it in thought

- 1 Thinking of a material object requires thinking of it as having a particular set of qualities (i.e. size, shape, location, motion, etc.)
- 2 Thinking of a material object does not require thinking of it as having specific sensory qualities (e.g. color, taste, smell, sound)
- 3 The only qualities possessed by a material object are those which we must attribute to it in thought
- ④ ∴ Sensory qualities are not qualities of material objects, but rather features of our consciousness of those objects — i.e. "sensations" [from 2, 3]

- 1 Thinking of a material object requires thinking of it as having a particular set of qualities (i.e. size, shape, location, motion, etc.)
- 2 Thinking of a material object does not require thinking of it as having specific sensory qualities (e.g. color, taste, smell, sound)
- The only qualities possessed by a material object are those which we must attribute to it in thought
- ④ ∴ Sensory qualities are not qualities of material objects, but rather features of our consciousness of those objects — i.e. "sensations" [from 2, 3]
- 5 ∴ If there were no conscious beings there would be no sensory qualities (e.g. colors, tastes, smells, etc.). [from 4]

## The Conceivability Argument

#### Questions

 What justifies the endorsement of premise (3) — The only qualities we need attribute to a material being are those which we must attribute to it in thought?

## The Conceivability Argument

- What justifies the endorsement of premise (3) The only qualities we need attribute to a material being are those which we must attribute to it in thought?
- What does Galileo mean when he says that we are "required" to think of material beings in a particular way?

## The Conceivability Argument

- What justifies the endorsement of premise (3) The only qualities we need attribute to a material being are those which we must attribute to it in thought?
- What does Galileo mean when he says that we are "required" to think of material beings in a particular way?
  - required for the scientific or causal explanation of why material beings are the way that they are

## The Conceivability Argument

- What justifies the endorsement of premise (3) The only qualities we need attribute to a material being are those which we must attribute to it in thought?
- What does Galileo mean when he says that we are "required" to think of material beings in a particular way?
  - required for the scientific or causal explanation of why material beings are the way that they are
  - conceptual requirements of our thinking of material beings (e.g. being extended → being located)

## **Relativity Arguments**

Since the same bodies are sweet to some men, and bitter to others, it may reasonably be inferred that they are neither sweet nor bitter in their own nature, and absolutely speaking. The modern philosophers, though they are no sceptics...teach that all these qualities are perceptions of our mind, and do not exist in the objects of our senses. (Bayle's Dictionnaire, 1730)

#### **Relativity Arguments**

1 The very same physical object may appear to have one sensory quality (e.g. sweetness) to one person and its opposite to another.

## Relativity Arguments

- 1 The very same physical object may appear to have one sensory quality (e.g. sweetness) to one person and its opposite to another.
- No physical object can have opposing properties at the same time

## Relativity Arguments

- 1 The very same physical object may appear to have one sensory quality (e.g. sweetness) to one person and its opposite to another.
- No physical object can have opposing properties at the same time
- 3 ∴ Physical objects do not have the sensory qualities we naïvely attribute to them

# Contemporary Eliminativism/Subjectivism

Color is a psychological property of our visual experiences when we look at objects and lights, not a physical property of those objects or lights. (Stephen Palmer, Vision Science, p. 95)

# Contemporary Eliminativism/Subjectivism

Color is a psychological property of our visual experiences when we look at objects and lights, not a physical property of those objects or lights. (Stephen Palmer, Vision Science, p. 95)

There may be light of different wavelengths independent of an observer, but there is no color independent of an observer, because color is a psychological phenomenon that arises only within an observer. (Palmer, p. 97)

The Status of Sensory Qualities

Williams on Objectivity

## The Argument from Causation

1 Physical objects (this includes gasses and liquids) have various microphysical properties, which determine how they reflect light

- Physical objects (this includes gasses and liquids) have various microphysical properties, which determine how they reflect light
- Reflectance properties plus facts about the visual system completely explain our experience of color

- Physical objects (this includes gasses and liquids) have various microphysical properties, which determine how they reflect light
- 2 Reflectance properties plus facts about the visual system completely explain our experience of color
- 3 Any perceivable property, including color, must do some work in the causal explanation of experiences involving it

- Physical objects (this includes gasses and liquids) have various microphysical properties, which determine how they reflect light
- Reflectance properties plus facts about the visual system completely explain our experience of color
- 3 Any perceivable property, including color, must do some work in the causal explanation of experiences involving it
- 4 But all that is needed for the explanation of color experiences are microphysical properties that determines an object's reflectance

- Physical objects (this includes gasses and liquids) have various microphysical properties, which determine how they reflect light
- Reflectance properties plus facts about the visual system completely explain our experience of color
- 3 Any perceivable property, including color, must do some work in the causal explanation of experiences involving it
- 4 But all that is needed for the explanation of color experiences are microphysical properties that determines an object's reflectance
- 5 : Color properties are causally/explanatorily idle

- Physical objects (this includes gasses and liquids) have various microphysical properties, which determine how they reflect light
- Reflectance properties plus facts about the visual system completely explain our experience of color
- 3 Any perceivable property, including color, must do some work in the causal explanation of experiences involving it
- 4 But all that is needed for the explanation of color experiences are microphysical properties that determines an object's reflectance
- ⑤ ∴ Color properties are causally/explanatorily idle
- 6 ∴ Physical object do not have color properties, but rather only reflectance properties

The Status of Sensory Qualities

Williams on Objectivity

#### Problems for Eliminativism

1 Attributes widespread error to commonsense descriptions of the world. Statements like the following would be false:

- Attributes widespread error to commonsense descriptions of the world. Statements like the following would be false:
  - "The lecturn is brown"

- Attributes widespread error to commonsense descriptions of the world. Statements like the following would be false:
  - "The lecturn is brown"
  - "The firetruck is red"

- 1 Attributes widespread error to commonsense descriptions of the world. Statements like the following would be false:
  - "The lecturn is brown"
  - "The firetruck is red"
- Assumes that color properties cannot be identified with those physical properties which do causally explain our color experiences (e.g. reflectance)

Knowledge 8 Objectivity

The Status of Sensory Qualities

Williams on Objectivity

#### An Alternative to Eliminativism?

The Status of Sensory Qualities

Williams or Objectivity

### An Alternative to Eliminativism?

Primary Qualities: Intrinsic qualities of bodies (e.g. solidity, extensions, size, shape)

### An Alternative to Eliminativism?

Primary Qualities: Intrinsic qualities of bodies (e.g. solidity, extensions, size, shape)

Secondary Qualities: Powers of bodies, determined by their primary qualities, to produce sensations in perceiving subjects (e.g. colors, sounds, tastes)

#### The Status of Sensory Qualities

Williams on Objectivity

## Two senses of "color"

Distinguish between two notions of color:

- Distinguish between two notions of color:
  - color as a property of physical bodies

- Distinguish between two notions of color:
  - color as a property of physical bodies
  - color as a property of color experiences (i.e. 'color-as-we-see-it' or 'phenomenal color').

- Distinguish between two notions of color:
  - color as a property of physical bodies
  - color as a property of color experiences (i.e. 'color-as-we-see-it' or 'phenomenal color').
    - Phenomenal colors are not properties of physical bodies

- Distinguish between two notions of color:
  - color as a property of physical bodies
  - color as a property of color experiences (i.e. 'color-as-we-see-it' or 'phenomenal color').
    - Phenomenal colors are not properties of physical bodies
    - We would be making an error if we attributed phenomenal colors to physical bodies

#### The Status of Sensory Qualities

Williams on Obiectivity

# Colors as Secondary Qualities

## Colors as Secondary Qualities

 Colors are properties of physical bodies in the sense of being secondary qualities of bodies

## Colors as Secondary Qualities

- Colors are properties of physical bodies in the sense of being secondary qualities of bodies
  - Colors are powers of bodies to produce color experiences in perceiving subjects

## Colors as Secondary Qualities

- Colors are properties of physical bodies in the sense of being secondary qualities of bodies
  - Colors are powers of bodies to produce color experiences in perceiving subjects
    - Bodies are colored in the sense of having a property which causes color sensations of a particular kind in normal perceivers under normal conditions

# Are Secondary Qualities Objective?

• Colors are 'real' or 'objective' in the sense that:

# Are Secondary Qualities Objective?

- Colors are 'real' or 'objective' in the sense that:
  - judgments of color might be true/false

# Are Secondary Qualities Objective?

- Colors are 'real' or 'objective' in the sense that:
  - judgments of color might be true/false
  - color perception within (e.g.) a species may be similar

# Are Secondary Qualities Objective?

• Colors are 'subjective' in the sense that:

# Are Secondary Qualities Objective?

- Colors are 'subjective' in the sense that:
  - the truth of a color judgment may be relative to the kind of subject making the judgment

# Are Secondary Qualities Objective?

- Colors are 'subjective' in the sense that:
  - the truth of a color judgment may be relative to the kind of subject making the judgment
  - the color things appear to have is highly relative to the perceptual apparatus of the perceiving subject

#### The Status of Sensory Qualities

Williams on

## How Do We Know?

### How Do We Know?

• What argument can we use to distinguish primary from secondary qualities?

## How Do We Know?

- What argument can we use to distinguish primary from secondary qualities?
  - conceivability arguments

### How Do We Know?

- What argument can we use to distinguish primary from secondary qualities?
  - conceivability arguments
  - relativity arguments

Philosophy 101

Knowledge & Objectivity

The Status of Sensory

Williams on Objectivity

Williams on Objectivity

## Objectivity and Knowledge

if knowledge is what it claims to be, then it is knowledge of a reality which exists independently of that knowledge, and indeed (except for the special case where the reality known happens itself to be some psychological item) independently of any thought or experience. Knowledge is of what is there anyway (Williams, 48)

## Objectivity and Knowledge

Independence Condition on Knowledge: For a subject matter to count as knowable its existence must be independent of the subject who might come to know it

## Objectivity and Knowledge

Independence Condition on Knowledge: For a subject matter to count as knowable its existence must be independent of the subject who might come to know it

## Objectivity and Knowledge

Independence Condition on Knowledge: For a subject matter to count as knowable its existence must be independent of the subject who might come to know it

Can we have knowledge of secondary qualities? — are they the kinds of things that are 'there anyway'?

## Objectivity and Knowledge

Independence Condition on Knowledge: For a subject matter to count as knowable its existence must be independent of the subject who might come to know it

Can we have knowledge of secondary qualities? — are they the kinds of things that are 'there anyway'?

· depends on what is meant by 'independent'

## The Absolute Conception

Suppose A and B each claims to have some knowledge of the world. Each has some beliefs, and moreover has experiences of the world, and ways of conceptualizing it, which have given rise to those beliefs and are expressed in them: let us call all of this together his representation of the world (or part of the world). Now with respect to their supposed pieces of knowledge, A's and B's representations may well differ. If what they both have is knowledge, then it seems to follow that there must be some coherent way of understanding why these representations differ, and how they are related to one another (Williams, 49).

## The Absolute Conception

1 Knowledge is a kind of representation of the world

- Months of the World of the World
   Knowledge is a kind of representation of the World
- Representations of same parts of the world can differ in a variety of ways (e.g. in perspective, medium, etc.)

- Months of the World of the World
   Knowledge is a kind of representation of the World
- Representations of same parts of the world can differ in a variety of ways (e.g. in perspective, medium, etc.)
- If a representation is to count as knowledge we need an explanation of how it 'fits' with other (possible) representations

- 1 Knowledge is a kind of representation of the world
- Representations of same parts of the world can differ in a variety of ways (e.g. in perspective, medium, etc.)
- If a representation is to count as knowledge we need an explanation of how it 'fits' with other (possible) representations
- 4 ... A full explanation of how some representation counts as knowledge requires our possession of an 'absolute' representation of the world which explains why other kinds of representations get to count as knowledge

The Status of Sensory Qualities

Williams on Objectivity

# Primary Qualities and the Absolute Conception

# Primary Qualities and the Absolute Conception

 Some ways of apprehending the world depend on peculiarities of the subject (e.g. their belief system, their visual system, etc.)

- Some ways of apprehending the world depend on peculiarities of the subject (e.g. their belief system, their visual system, etc.)
  - the world 'as it seems'

- Some ways of apprehending the world depend on peculiarities of the subject (e.g. their belief system, their visual system, etc.)
  - the world 'as it seems'
  - the world as reflected by 'local interests, tastes or sensory peculiarities'

- Some ways of apprehending the world depend on peculiarities of the subject (e.g. their belief system, their visual system, etc.)
  - the world 'as it seems'
  - the world as reflected by 'local interests, tastes or sensory peculiarities'
- Some ways of apprehending the world are free of any such peculiarity of the subject

- Some ways of apprehending the world depend on peculiarities of the subject (e.g. their belief system, their visual system, etc.)
  - the world 'as it seems'
  - the world as reflected by 'local interests, tastes or sensory peculiarities'
- Some ways of apprehending the world are free of any such peculiarity of the subject
  - the world 'as it really is'

The Status of Sensory

Williams on Objectivity

# Primary Qualities and the Absolute Conception

1 The absolute conception of the world is a conception of the world maximally free of the peculiarities of any subject's representation of the world

- 1 The absolute conception of the world is a conception of the world maximally free of the peculiarities of any subject's representation of the world
- Primary qualities are qualities of the world that do not depend on the peculiarities of perceiving subjects

- The absolute conception of the world is a conception of the world maximally free of the peculiarities of any subject's representation of the world
- 2 Primary qualities are qualities of the world that do not depend on the peculiarities of perceiving subjects
- 3 : Primary qualities are part of the absolute conception

The Status of Sensory

Williams on Objectivity

# Secondary Qualities and the Absolute Conception

# Secondary Qualities and the Absolute Conception

1 The absolute conception of the world is a conception of the world maximally free of the peculiarities of any subject's representation of the world

# Secondary Qualities and the Absolute Conception

- 1 The absolute conception of the world is a conception of the world maximally free of the peculiarities of any subject's representation of the world
- Secondary qualities are relative to the peculiarities of perceiving subjects

# Secondary Qualities and the Absolute Conception

- 1 The absolute conception of the world is a conception of the world maximally free of the peculiarities of any subject's representation of the world
- 2 Secondary qualities are relative to the peculiarities of perceiving subjects
- Secondary qualities are not part of the absolute conception of the world

Sensory Qualities

Williams on Objectivity



## The Reality of Secondary Qualities

 The absolute conception must explain how representation of secondary qualities comes about

## The Reality of Secondary Qualities

- The absolute conception must explain how representation of secondary qualities comes about
  - secondary qualities are real but derivative features of the world

## The Reality of Secondary Qualities

- The absolute conception must explain how representation of secondary qualities comes about
  - secondary qualities are real but derivative features of the world
- Only primary qualities are objectively real. Secondary qualities have their reality only insofar as they are properties of primary qualities

## The Reality of Secondary Qualities

- The absolute conception must explain how representation of secondary qualities comes about
  - secondary qualities are real but derivative features of the world
- Only primary qualities are objectively real. Secondary qualities have their reality only insofar as they are properties of primary qualities
- The representations of secondary qualities (e.g. 'sensations) are not at all like the features of objects (the secondary qualities) that they represent