# O'Connell-Dobson estimators of agreement applied to data from Landis and Koch (1976)

#### Mark Clements

Karolinska Institutet

#### Abstract

This vignette applies the O'Connell-Dobson estimators of agreement to a classical set of data on inter-rater agreement from Landis and Koch (1976). The analysis here follows the analysis given in O'Connell and Dobson (Biometrics 1984; 40: 973–983). We propose that the O'Connell-Dobson estimators are valuable and encourage their broader use.

Keywords: agreement.

O'Connell and Dobson (1984) provide a technical discussion on the statistical properties of averaged measures of agreement, particularly un-weighted and weighted kappa statistics that adjust for the probability of chance agreement. Such measures of agreement are common for studies of multi-rater agreement with nominal or ordinal variables. We have adapted the Fortran code from the 1984 paper for use in R. In the following, we apply the resulting package to the dataset analysed in the original paper. We propose that the O'Connell-Dobson estimators are valuable and encourage their broader use.

Landis and Koch (Biometrics 1977; 33: 363–374) provide a dataset on inter-rater agreement by seven pathologists for classifying carcinoma in situ for uterine cancer. The data are included in the **oconnell** package as the landis data, which is a matrix.

After loading the package, we can produce summary statistics for i=1, which is the unweighted analysis, for the linear weights (i=2) or for quadratic weights (i=3). The summary statistics include marginal summaries and  $\hat{S}_{av}$  for each slide.

#### 0.28087167 0.25423729 0.36440678 0.07384988 0.02663438

Observed marginal distributions for categories by observer:

1 2 3 4 5
A 0.2203390 0.2203390 0.3220339 0.186440678 0.050847458
B 0.2288136 0.1016949 0.5847458 0.059322034 0.025423729
C 0.2627119 0.3559322 0.3135593 0.050847458 0.016949153
D 0.3220339 0.4067797 0.1949153 0.067796610 0.008474576
E 0.1355932 0.2627119 0.4491525 0.118644068 0.033898305
F 0.5254237 0.2627119 0.1694915 0.008474576 0.033898305
G 0.2711864 0.1694915 0.5169492 0.025423729 0.016949153

## Agreement statistics $S_i$ for the individual items:

1	2	3	4	5	6
0.08088076	1.00000000	1.00000000	0.34348626	1.00000000	0.34348626
7	8	9	10	11	12
0.60609175	0.21218351	0.27783488	0.60609175	0.60609175	0.60609175
13	15	16	17	18	19
0.60609175	0.21218351	0.08088076	0.60609175	0.21218351	0.21218351
22	23	24	25	26	27
0.08088076	0.60609175	0.34348626	0.34348626	1.00000000	0.34348626
28	29	30	31	32	33
0.08088076	0.34348626	0.60609175	1.00000000	0.27783488	1.00000000
34	35	36	37	38	39
1.00000000	0.27783488	0.27783488	0.08088076	0.01522939	0.34348626
40	41	42	43	44	45
0.08088076	0.60609175	1.00000000	0.08088076	0.27783488	0.60609175
46	47	48	49	51	52
-0.05042199	0.21218351	0.34348626	0.08088076	0.60609175	0.27783488
53	54	55	56	57	58
0.27783488	0.01522939	0.60609175	0.60609175	0.01522939	1.00000000
59	60	61	62	63	64
1.00000000	0.60609175	0.08088076	0.27783488	0.08088076	0.21218351
65	66	67	68	69	70
0.60609175	0.08088076	1.00000000	0.34348626	0.27783488	1.00000000
71	72	73	74	76	77
0.60609175	0.27783488	0.60609175	0.01522939	0.60609175	0.34348626
78	79	80	81	82	83
0.08088076	0.34348626	-0.11607336	1.00000000	0.21218351	0.08088076
84	85	86	87	88	89
0.27783488	-0.18172474	0.60609175	0.60609175	0.01522939	-0.11607336
90	91	92	93	94	95
0.08088076	0.01522939	-0.11607336	0.21218351	0.34348626	0.27783488
96	98	99	100	101	102
-0.11607336	0.21218351	0.21218351	0.08088076	0.34348626	0.34348626

```
104
                                105
        103
                                            106
                                                        107
                                                                    108
 1.00000000 0.01522939
                        0.60609175 0.08088076 0.21218351
                                                             0.08088076
        110
                    111
                                112
                                            113
                                                        114
                                                                    115
0.21218351 0.60609175
                        0.21218351
                                     0.08088076
                                                 0.08088076
                                                             0.21218351
        116
                    117
                                118
                                            119
                                                        120
                                                                    121
0.60609175 0.34348626
                        0.08088076 0.60609175 1.00000000
                                                             0.21218351
        122
                    123
                                124
                                            126
-0.11607336 -0.11607336 0.60609175 0.01522939
```

A simple print of the object provides a short description of the estimator. This is shown here for the linear and quadratic weights.

```
> require(oconnell)
> ## Table 1 (O'Connell and Dobson, 1984), continued
> print(update(fit, weight="linear"))
O'Connell-Dobson estimator (linear weights)
                    0.515924 (se: 0.034694; 95% CI: 0.448050, 0.583216)
Sav(hetero):
Sav(homoge):
                    0.509672 (se: 0.036048; 95% CI: 0.439295, 0.579667)
Pr(Overall agreement due to chance | hetero):
                                                     < 2.22e-16
Pr(Overall agreement due to chance | homoge):
                                                     < 2.22e-16
> print(update(fit, weight="quadratic"))
O'Connell-Dobson estimator (quadratic weights)
Sav(hetero):
                    0.646884 (se: 0.039399; 95% CI: 0.566433, 0.719791)
Sav(homoge):
                    0.641728 (se: 0.040832; 95% CI: 0.558428, 0.717270)
Pr(Overall agreement due to chance | hetero):
                                                     < 2.22e-16
Pr(Overall agreement due to chance | homoge):
                                                     < 2.22e-16
```

Table 3 of O'Connell and Dobson (1984) includes an analysis where the slides are grouped by level of disagreement. We reproduce the table here.

```
> slideTypeGroups <-
+ list(c(2,3,5,26,31,34,42,58,59,67,70,81,103,120),
+ c(7,10:13,17,23,30,41,51,55,56,60,65,71,73,76,86,87,105,111,116,119,124),
+ c(4,6,24,25,27,29,39,48,68,77,79,94,101,102,117),
+ c(9,32,36,44,52,62,84,95),
+ c(35,53,69,72),
+ c(8,15,18,19,47,64,82,93,98,99,107,110,112,115,121),
+ c(1,16,22,49,63,66,78,90,100,113),
+ c(28,37,40,61,108,114,118),
+ 106,
+ 43,</pre>
```

4 O'Connell-Dobson estimators of agreement applied to data from Landis and Koch (1976)

```
83,
           c(54,57,88,91,126),
+
           c(74,104),
           38,
           46,
           c(89, 122),
           c(80,92,96,123),
           85)
The average \hat{S}_i in those groups can be readily calculated by:
> data.frame(SlideType=1:18,
             S1=sapply(slideTypeGroups,
+
                 function(ids) mean(fit$s1[as.character(ids)])),
+
             S2=sapply(slideTypeGroups,
                 function(ids) mean(fit$s2[as.character(ids)])))
   SlideType
                      S1
                                    S2
              1.00000000 1.000000000
1
2
           2 0.60609175 0.601802471
3
           3 0.34348626 0.336337452
4
           4 0.27783488 0.269971197
5
           5 0.27783488 0.269971197
           6 0.21218351 0.203604942
6
7
           7 0.08088076 0.070872432
           8 0.08088076 0.070872432
8
9
           9 0.08088076 0.070872432
10
          10 0.08088076 0.070872432
          11 0.08088076 0.070872432
11
12
          12 0.01522939 0.004506178
13
          13 0.01522939 0.004506178
14
          14 0.01522939 0.004506178
15
          15 -0.05042199 -0.061860077
16
          16 -0.11607336 -0.128226332
17
          17 -0.11607336 -0.128226332
          18 -0.18172474 -0.194592587
18
which follows part of Table 2. Finally, Table 5 from O'Connell and Dobson (1984) can be
easily reproduced by dichotomising the outcomes:
> oconnell(landis==1)
O'Connell-Dobson estimator (unweighted)
Sav(hetero):
                    0.563058 (se: 0.043851; 95% CI: 0.476079, 0.646324)
                    0.558453 (se: 0.045153; 95% CI: 0.469036, 0.644234)
Sav(homoge):
Pr(Overall agreement due to chance | hetero):
                                                      < 2.22e-16
```

< 2.22e-16

Pr(Overall agreement due to chance | homoge):

```
> oconnell(landis==2)
O'Connell-Dobson estimator (unweighted)
Sav(hetero):
                   0.159782 (se: 0.029600; 95% CI: 0.109879, 0.226580)
                    0.152886 (se: 0.030545; 95% CI: 0.102073, 0.222719)
Sav(homoge):
Pr(Overall agreement due to chance | hetero):
                                                    3.5932e-14
Pr(Overall agreement due to chance | homoge):
                                                    1.9139e-11
> oconnell(landis==3)
O'Connell-Dobson estimator (unweighted)
Sav(hetero):
                   0.373709 (se: 0.036869; 95% CI: 0.304686, 0.448289)
Sav(homoge):
                   0.364046 (se: 0.038646; 95% CI: 0.292140, 0.442584)
Pr(Overall agreement due to chance | hetero):
                                                    < 2.22e-16
Pr(Overall agreement due to chance | homoge):
                                                 < 2.22e-16
> oconnell(landis==4)
O'Connell-Dobson estimator (unweighted)
                    0.180271 (se: 0.043233; 95% CI: 0.110276, 0.280679)
Sav(hetero):
                    0.173971 (se: 0.043852; 95% CI: 0.103787, 0.276947)
Sav(homoge):
Pr(Overall agreement due to chance | hetero):
                                                    < 2.22e-16
Pr(Overall agreement due to chance | homoge):
                                                    9.2847e-15
> oconnell(landis==5)
O'Connell-Dobson estimator (unweighted)
Sav(hetero):
                    0.626828 (se: 0.145957; 95% CI: 0.330852, 0.850890)
Sav(homoge):
                   0.626413 (se: 0.146334; 95% CI: 0.329882, 0.850997)
Pr(Overall agreement due to chance | hetero):
                                                    < 2.22e-16
Pr(Overall agreement due to chance | homoge): < 2.22e-16
```

### **Affiliation:**

Mark Clements

Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics

Karolinska Institutet

Email: mark.clements@ki.se