

CS407 GROUP REPORT

A FRAMEWORK FOR AUTONOMOUS DRONE NETWORKS

Authors:

Alex HENSON,
Ben DE IVEY,
Jon GIBSON,
William SEYMOUR

Supervisor:

Dr. Arshad JHUMKA

Secondary Marker:

Dr. Andrzej MURAWSKI

Department of Computer Science

University of Warwick

Summer 2016



Contents

1	Opening	1
1.1	Key Words	1
1.2	Word Count	1
1.3	Acknowledgements	1
1.4	Introduction	1
2	Background	2
2.1	Drones	2
2.1.1	Definition	2
2.1.2	Sensor Capabilities	2
2.1.3	Usages	2
2.2	Sensor Networks	3
2.2.1	Definition	3
2.2.2	Drone Networks	3
2.3	Network Simulation	3
2.3.1	Definition	3
2.3.2	Structure and Testing	3
2.4	Routing	4
2.4.1	Physical Routing	4
2.4.2	Communications Routing	4
3	Specification	5
3.1	Description of the Problem	5
3.2	Objectives	6
3.3	Justification	6
3.4	Stakeholder Analysis	7
3.5	Feasibility Study	7
3.5.1	Problem Scope	7
3.5.2	Project Scope	8
3.5.3	Financial Analysis	8

3.5.4	Market Analysis	8
3.5.5	Project Management	8
3.6	Requirements Identification	9
3.6.1	Functional Requirements	10
3.6.2	Non-functional Requirements	11
3.7	Project Deliverables	11
3.8	Changes From Original Specification	11
4	Literature Review	12
4.1	Existing Solutions	12
4.2	Social and Ethical Issues	12
4.3	Physical Routing	12
4.4	MANETS	12
4.5	Drones	12
5	Design	13
5.1	Methodology	13
5.2	System Architecture	13
5.2.1	Network Simulation	13
5.2.2	Communications Routing	13
5.2.3	Physical Routing	14
5.2.4	Physical Deployment	14
6	Simulation Software	15
6.1	Existing Software	15
6.1.1	NS3	15
6.1.2	NS2	15
6.1.3	Some	15
6.1.4	other	15
6.1.5	stuff	15
6.2	Summary of Existing Software	15
6.3	Development Methodology	15
6.4	Code Structure	15
6.4.1	The Environment	15
6.4.2	Communication Modules	15
6.4.3	Drone	15
6.4.4	Base Station	15
6.5	Results	15
6.6	Optimisation	15

6.7	Review Against Original Objectives	15
6.8	User Manual	15
7	Physical Routing	16
8	Communications Routing	17
9	Physical Deployment	18
9.1	Objectives	18
9.2	Equipment and Feasability	18
9.2.1	Arduino	18
9.2.2	RaspberryPi	18
9.2.3	Something else	18
9.3	Adapting the Simulator Code	18
9.4	Results	18
9.5	Review Against Original Objectives	18
10	Testing	19
10.1	Unit Testing	19
10.2	Client Application Testing	19
10.3	Usability Testing	19
10.4	Performance Testing	19
10.5	System Testing	19
10.6	User Acceptance Testing	19
10.7	Risk Assessment	19
11	Project Management	20
12	Project Outcome	21
13	Conclusion	22

List of Figures

List of Tables

Abstract

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

CHAPTER 1. Opening

1.1 Key Words

Autonomous Drones, Sensor Networks, Network Simulation, Pathfinding, Physical Routing, Communications Routing

1.2 Word Count

The document contains 30,000 words. This number was calculated from the document source by Texmaker.

1.3 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank our project supervisor Arshad Jhumka for guiding us and giving us advice on available technologies, methods and tools for wireless sensor network implementation, and for his continued support, even when we decided to change the foundational software basis of our project. Finally, we would also like to thank him for his critique during meetings and the poster presentation on our implementation of routing and research into the field.

1.4 Introduction

of the key components in this field, as well as a discussion of the ongoing research, development and production being carried out. We will supply an analysis of the potential problems for which a solution can be found in drone networks, and a justification for the resulting aims and objectives of our group. The report will detail the design, implementation and testing of the solution, including considerations for the management of the project. Finally, the project outcome will be evaluated, followed by a conclusion reflecting on the success of the project and considerations for future works.

CHAPTER 2. Background

This section will introduce the components which will be researched into that form the basis of the project. The aim of this section is to provide the reader with definitions for keywords which will appear on numerous occasions throughout the project, as well as helping to lead into a definition of the problem space and the objectives of the project as a result.

2.1 Drones

2.1.1 Definition

Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs), also known as drones, are aircraft which are either piloted, or perform autonomously using pre-programmed flight path and objectives [2]. Consumer-level drones are typically small in size, and take the form of quadcopters, which are multi-rotor helicopters with four rotors. These types of drones are very lightweight, and powered by batteries; power consumption is almost completely attributed to flight time, although a modified drone will be required to exhaust power on its additional parts. We will be focusing on the use of these drones for the scale of this project.

2.1.2 Sensor Capabilities

Drones are typically equipped with cameras, as well as additional sensors, which vary de-

pending on the type of drone, or its purpose. In the case of military drones, sensors such as multi-spectral targeting systems, night vision, infrared imaging and GPS are an absolute must [6]. However, mounted weaponry may also be included for direct warfare, unless the drone is designed specifically for intelligence, surveillance or reconnaissance, as power consumption is a primary concern, and must be limited. Military drones are controlled via satellite from a military base, although drones may also be controlled by Wi-Fi, radio or remote. Consumer-level drones have a wide range of usages, and the sensors that they require to accommodate these tasks are largely dependent on the price. It is possible to attach a large multitude of different sensors to drones; however the primary function of an aerial (consumer-level) drone is to collect high-quality imagery, often beyond the level of detail that the human eye can process. These types of sensors include stereoscopic, thermal imaging, near-infrared and infrared, but other sensors such as thermal sensors and proximity sensors may also be used [10].

2.1.3 Usages

Consumer-level drones have become increasingly popular in the past few years as they have become more affordable, capable and reliable to use. Due to their ability to capture high-quality imagery from impossible-to-reach locations, drones are incredibly useful in areas such as real estate, to take aerial shots of properties, or as a cheap alternative to huge, expensive helicopters for capturing news, such as high speed chases [4]. Drones can also be employed for services such as delivery; there have been several initiatives for drone-based delivery of food or

packaged goods by famous companies such as Amazon and Domino's Pizza [5]. Another possible usage for drones is in emergency services, such as the detection of forest fires, or search and rescue. It is these areas of drone research and development which can be considered to display the strength and importance of drones, as they are able to perform dangerous tasks which humans are incapable of and/or with no physical risk to human beings themselves. Compared to other types of mobile sensing, drones offer direct control over where to sample the environment, such that they can be explicitly told where to move to.

2.2 Sensor Networks

2.2.1 Definition

A wireless sensor network (WSN) is a wireless network consisting of spatially distributed autonomous devices using sensors to monitor physical or environmental conditions. These devices are referred to as nodes, which are able to communicate with each other and with a base node, commonly referred to as a gateway, which provides connectivity between itself, the nodes and the rest of the wired world [7]. Nodes may vary in size and number depending on the network, but will typically contain transceivers, a battery, an electronic circuit for interfacing with sensors and an energy source. The ability to cooperatively pass sensor data to a main location has implications in many different industries, as well as military applications.

2.2.2 Drone Networks

In the context of drones, this refers to a wirelessly connected network of autonomous drones

with a base station, which can distribute information or commands to the drones in the network, as well as facilitate communication between them and itself. Given that autonomous drones are emerging as a powerful new breed of mobile sensing system which can carry rich sensor payloads with various methods of control, a collaborative network of drones has considerable potential, and can greatly extend the capabilities of traditional sensing systems [8].

2.3 Network Simulation

2.3.1 Definition

As the name suggests, network simulation is a technique for modelling the behaviour of a network without performing a real, physical deployment, in order to test the effectiveness of the network, and assess how the network will behave under different conditions. Therefore, a simulation refers to software that predicts the behaviour of a network, so that performance can be analysed. By emulating an existing network, unexpected problems can be addressed or prevented prior to the deployment of the network.

2.3.2 Structure and Testing

A network simulation typically produces output in a GUI such that aspects of the network can be interpreted visually, such as to see how nodes interact, how data is sent, where connections go out of range or experience interference; it is possible to study the actual performance of a network and its protocols against the conceptual design. The simulation must be careful to provide an adequate level of detail to test the network without affecting the performance [1].

2.4 Routing

2.4.1 Physical Routing

In order for mobile sensor networks to gather data about the environment, they will be required to navigate freely using predetermined pathfinding algorithms. For a drone network, a drone will be required to navigate 3-D airspace and collect sensing information, whilst being careful to maintain an efficient route and avoiding problems such as collision with its neighbours or the limitations of its physical components, such as battery life. Pathfinding must take into account the possibility of nonlinear dynamics, various constraints and changing environments [9].

2.4.2 Communications Routing

One of the core aspects of a sensor network is the ability for nodes to communicate with each other, relaying data back to the gateway. For an optimal routing algorithm, the exchange of data must be robust, avoiding congestion and maintaining connectivity when faced with mobility, whilst trying to maximise the duration for which the sensing task can be performed [3]. The properties of communications routing which are to be optimised are dependent upon the type of sensor network; a drone network with less than thirty minutes of battery life must be optimised for energy consumption.

beginning of the project will be briefly discussed, with justification for these changes.

CHAPTER 3. Specification

In this section of the report, there will be an introduction to the possible problem(s) which are solvable through consumer-level drone networks, as defined in the previous section. After describing the problem, the objectives which need to be achieved in order to provide a solution for the problem space will be clearly laid out, to outline the foundation of the project. Justification for why the project solution to the aforementioned problem is both necessary and valid will also be given. There will be an analysis of the stakeholders in the project, followed by a feasibility study, where we provide a brief discussion of the scope of the problem which is to be handled, including the level of depth with which drone networks will be explored and implemented throughout the project.

This study also allows us to identify the possible problems which may arise and analyse the economic implications of the project, as well as give a brief introduction to the management of the project, in order to show that the project is actually feasible to complete with the time and resources available. Having defined the objectives which must be completed to provide a solution for the project, the subsequent functional and non-functional requirements must be identified, to ensure that the project deliverables are measurable and well-defined. Finally, any changes from the original specification at the

3.1 Description of the Problem

The problem, in the context of this project, is that there are many situations in which humans are unable to effectively carry out a task, or would be put at risk, and so the situation is too difficult or dangerous to handle. In such situations, a well implemented drone network could not only perform better than a human could in a risk-free environment, but the use of drones as a sensor network provides a better alternative to other possible sensor network solutions, which will be discussed later. We can define an arbitrary problem which is impossible or risky for humans such as search and rescue, or high altitude photography, as well as problems which are feasible for humans, but can be more readily solved by UAVs, such as automated delivery. For this project, we have decided to focus on the possibility of using a drone network to detect and combat forest fires for the use of emergency services. While problems such as these have existed for a long time, solutions offered through sensor networks using drones is an area which is still in the early stages of research and development. While alternative solutions to using drone networks already exist, it is possible that the use of drones can expand upon existing solutions. Furthermore, the implementation of a drone network can hypothetically be applied to any similar problem area by altering the drones sensory inputs and outputs arbitrarily, and so a solution can be provided for the general use case, and applied to various other situations. The solution to this problem can be split into

four main areas: the network simulation, the physical routing, the communications routing, and the physical deployment. In order to successfully design and implement a drone sensor network, it is necessary to construct a stimulator which will accurately model the performance of the drone network, with associated physical and communications routing algorithms for optimal performance, before finally transferring this model to the physical drones and deploying them. The general solution can then be tested in a real situation, and then possibly extended to handle specific problem-solving tasks with user input.

3.2 Objectives

The aim of this project, then, is to design and implement a general-use drone sensor network in order to solve the arbitrary problem of detecting and counter-acting forest fires based on user input, with the capability to be extended to any potential situation in the problem space. The major components of the project were outlined in the project specification during the early stages of the project life cycle, and can be summarised as follows:

(**THIS SECTION PROBABLY NEEDS WORK**)

(in the sense that tasks could be reordered, bullet points could be added for each enumerated item for more detail, tasks could be added/removed/reworded)

1. **Implement a network simulator.** Either using a pre-existing set of libraries, or by creating our own, which are specifically tailored to our domain
2. **Establish a network of drones with a**

base station. Drones can communicate with one another and the base station, sending data between them

3. **Provide autonomy to drones.** Each drone must be able to dynamically control itself, as opposed to remote control, in order to operate autonomously in a network
4. **Implement drone pathfinding.** Drones must carefully navigate through an area of physical space using well-defined rules for physical routing
5. **User input tasking.** The user must be able to define a problem for the network to detect, which is passed from the base station to the drones
6. **Implement problem detection.** Drones use sensory information to collect and pass data, notifying the base station in the event of a problem

These tasks are roughly estimated to take an equal amount of time, where separate tasks can be assigned to each group member for maximum efficiency. Certain tasks, such as communication and pathfinding can be developed in parallel. Delegation of tasks and group roles will be discussed in depth in later sections.

3.3 Justification

The benefits of carrying out a project involved in creating an efficient, risk-free solution to emergency situations is relatively self-explanatory. The project is justifiable in its ability to produce potentially life-saving results and improve the general quality of human life, by implementing a consumer approach to any of the common

usages that drones can be applied to and more, through the use of sensor networks. Drone networks themselves are an area of research which is growing in popularity, so the project interacts well with the state-of-the-art, and could have considerable impact on future developments. A general use solution for the project would be easy to use and deploy, and incredibly extensible.

3.4 Stakeholder Analysis

As previously discussed, the implementation of a drone sensor network has implications for a wide variety of industries. This section will therefore give a formal outline of the prospective stakeholders in the project and the justification for them. Firstly, with respect to detection and response to forest fires, emergency services such as firefighters, ambulance services and search and rescue would benefit greatly, by providing the ability to pre-empt danger and respond to crises much more rapidly, as well as reducing the risk to human lives in combating fires. Given that drone sensor networks are a relatively new field of research, those parties interested in research and development of sensor networks would also benefit from the project, as the results may extend the field of research, and the project solution could be adapted for use in other areas. In the same way, commercial businesses such as real estate and delivery services in pursuit of more efficient business may also benefit from the project. Finally, considering the use of drones in military operations, there are possible ramifications for military usage of the project, which will be discussed in further detail in later sections.

3.5 Feasibility Study

While the merits of the project are justifiable, it should also be noted that the project implementation carries a considerable technical difficulty, involving adaptation of individual, consumer-level drones to programmable, autonomous drones which function as a sensor network capable of algorithm-based communications and pathfinding, which are to be simulated and then physically deployed. Therefore, it is important to analyse the feasibility of the project, given time, hardware and other constraints, which will be discussed in the following sections.

3.5.1 Problem Scope

It is important to consider the scope of the problem, with regards to how far the solution can be extended into the domain of, in this case, search and rescue. Given that drone sensor networks are a relatively new domain for research and development, there is no commonly used and accepted standard for consumer-level drone networks in the context of search and rescue. In other words, the solution to the problem is not an extension of a previously existing solution, or of a set of rules governing how the problem can and should be solved using drone sensor networks. As a result, the scope must be carefully defined such that an effective solution can be reached for the problem, without expanding too far into the problem domain. By implementing a drone sensor network which can be adopted into any general use case, which can be easily extended to take any required sensory information and applied to solve a problem, we can avoid overextending the project. At the same time, in

order to show that the network can effectively handle user input tasking, we focus on taking one piece of sensor information, such as thermal, to demonstrate an accurate model for problem detection (as defined by the user), and response through well-established communications.

3.5.2 Project Scope

Having defined the scope of the problem, it is also necessary to examine the scope of the project itself in terms of how far we extend into the domain of drone sensor networks. The implementation of any sensor network requires a lot of concise testing and a solid formation of protocols. There are many areas to consider with physical routing and communications, as well as physical deployment and use tasking. When creating the network simulator, we can tailor it to our specific requirements in order to minimise the amount of considerations for network protocols and possible problems, which will be discussed later, whilst accurately modelling real, physical deployment. Considering the time constraints that are imposed on the project, it will also be necessary to adapt pre-existing algorithms to establish our network communications and physical routing, as opposed to creating our own algorithm. In terms of physical deployment, the project is limited to two drones, so it is not possible to implement a full-blown network to test the solution. Nonetheless, it is possible to show that the network is theoretically scalable and accurately demonstrates the ability for drones to communicate and use pathfinding effectively.

3.5.3 Financial Analysis

The basic requirements for a physical drone network are the drones themselves, the sensors which will be attached to the drones, as well as equipment for programming the drones and communications. All of these are provided for free by the Department, so there are no financial constraints for physical deployment. While it is possible to purchase more drones, the same result can be achieved, provided that we have at least two drones. Additionally, the project will not include the use of any bespoke software or libraries in the development of the simulator or communications; they will be open source, so there are no costs incurred in the development of the project.

3.5.4 Market Analysis

For the project to be used by third parties, there must be licensing associated with the final product. As the project is intended to be open source and not commercialised, we will be using the GNU General Public License v3.0, which stipulates that our project is open source, with the freedom to use or change the software, as well as distribute it and share changes. However, in the event that the project is used, the software creators are not accountable for any problems with the project software. As the project is not commercial, with no intention to monetise it, the use of this license allows us to appease any and all stakeholders whilst protecting ourselves from potential threats.

3.5.5 Project Management

There are many challenges associated with undertaking a project such as this, particularly

with regards to administration, scheduling and the division of tasks. Therefore, it is crucial that the project is managed efficiently, and that progress is carefully monitored and assessed throughout the life-cycle of the project. The issues associated with project management and how they were handled throughout the project will be discussed during the evaluation stages of the project. However, there are several challenges that the project group will be presented with in the context of project management, which are summarised below:

- **Development methodology.** There must be consideration for a development methodology which accommodates the size of the group and the time each group member has available, as well as how to track the progress of the project
- **Deadlines and scheduling.** Meetings and deadlines must be scheduled such that the group is fully aware of what stage of the project must be completed and when. Interested parties such as the project supervisor must also be regularly informed of the progress of the project to allow for appropriate advisory actions where necessary
- **Group roles.** Each member of the group must have a clear and distinct role in the group, with one member taking the role of project manager, dedicated to handling each group member and their associated tasks, as well as managing the state of the project to ensure that it is completed effectively and within constraints
- **Managing project materials.** It is necessary to manage the project material to

ensure consistency amongst each members work, as well as maintaining previous iterations of code to avoid problems such as losing previous functionality

3.6 Requirements Identification

This section will contain a list of the functional and non-functional requirements identified at the beginning of the project which will be necessary to implement to ensure that the project software adequately represents a solution to the problem as defined in this chapter. A functional requirement refers to quantitative requirements which can be easily measured and tested to ensure correct functionality. Non-functional requirements are those which cannot be measured, as they are subjective, but are nonetheless important for a successful project outcome.

(**THIS SECTION PROBABLY NEEDS
WORK**)

3.6.1 Functional Requirements

3.6.2 Non-functional Requirements

directly tailored to the project domain. As a result, the functionality provided by NS3 must be manually generated, which may increase the complexity of the project.

3.7 Project Deliverables

Throughout the course of the project, there are several deadlines that must be met for which the project will be required to deliver a product. At the beginning of the project, the project specification must be submitted, which introduces the project and its initial planning and methodology, which will be appended to the report for reference. At the end of Term 1, a poster presentation will be given by the project group to select supervisors and invigilators, and a live demo of the project software and the final report are delivered at the beginning of Term 3. The demo will display the proposed solution to the problem defined at the start of the project, and showcase the features of the working solution. Alongside the final report, the code for the project, including the simulation, communications routing, physical routing and deployment code will also be submitted.

3.8 Changes From Original Specification

In the original specification, it was stated that NS3, a set of network simulator libraries, would serve as the core implementation of the simulator, and that the code for physical deployment would then be built off of the simulator as a result. However, we have decided to instead build our own simulator, after discovering that NS3 is relatively bloated and difficult to use. The benefits to creating our own simulator are that the code can then be directly translated onto the drones during deployment, as well as being

CHAPTER 4. Literature Review

4.1 Existing Solutions

4.2 Social and Ethical Issues

4.3 Physical Routing

4.4 MANETS

4.5 Drones

CHAPTER 5. Design

5.1 Methodology

5.2 System Architecture

5.2.1 Network Simulation

Fundamental Structure

Environment

Application Programming Interfaces (API)

5.2.2 Communications Routing

The simulator itself should not prescribe a set way of performing communications routing, rather it is up to the user to specify the routing algorithm they wish to use. This needs to be done in a way which makes it easy to specify different routing algorithms for different nodes in a simulation, i.e. there is not a set algorithm for each simulation. This is useful, for example, if one wishes to simulate a network of quadcopters backed up by a series of stationary nodes on the ground. It should also be possible to package, distribute, and import implementations for different communications algorithms.

Starting with the first problem, that is the ability to specify different routing algorithms for different nodes in the same simulation, it is clear that the specification of the routing protocol should apply to individual nodes rather

than to simulations. To this end, it was decided to break out the communications functions for each node to a separate communications module. This provides a level of abstraction for messageable programs, as they can then make use of generic send and receive functions at the application level of the OSI model, while the communications module has fine grain control over the networking layer. In more practical terms, each messageable must have a communication module associated with it at instantiation, and it is this object that will be called when the unit wishes to send and receive messages. Another benefit of this approach is that it allows flexibility when designing communications modules - it is possible to either have every node in the simulation use the same communications object, or for each node to use its own separate communications object.

When considering the second problem mentioned above concerning the packaging, distribution, and importing of routing functions, it was determined that this could be best achieved by combining collections of implementations into libraries and allowing the user to select these libraries as appropriate when writing a drone program. This makes it easy to mix and match different algorithms from different sources, and means that in order to use a particular approach, one need only link their program against the appropriate communications library. It also allows different contributors to use identical or overlapping namespaces.

It is also necessary to determine exactly what responsibilities a communications module has, as well as the interface it should expose to its messageable. The most obvious function required of a component designed to communi-

cate is to send information. To this end the communication module must be able to take a message and broadcast it to other nodes in the network. Exactly how this is achieved is dependant on the routing algorithm involved, but it is expected that most implementations will receive a message from the messageable, do some intermediate processing (perhaps to determine the best route to take) and then call the environment function to pass the message to hardware (be that real or simulated).

If we are able to send messages via a communications module then it stands to reason that we should also be able to receive messages through it. Thus, there must also be a way for the communications module to transfer messages it has received to its messageable. This can be achieved by providing a callback function to the environment, which can be invoked when there is a message to deliver. An alternative method which instead provides asynchronous message passing is for each communications module to have a queue of incoming messages for it to process, which it should check at regular intervals. Both of these messages have merit, given that interrupt driven message delivery can become problematic when the network is flooded with messages (no other tasks can be done as the message interrupt is constantly called over routine code), and the asynchronous approach can lead to problems when it is paramount that a message be delivered immediately (such as a command to ground a malfunctioning quadcopter).

The module also needs some way of performing tasks which are not triggered by the arrival of new messages. In order to facilitate time or state driven processing, communications mod-

ules need to have a function which is run independently of the send/receive functions and either loops or is called continuously. It is also clear that one should be able to trigger the pushing out or pulling in of messages from this function, to allow for the use of non-data packets (perhaps, again, to determine the optimum route to the destination).

But if the above function is to be run as a loop, there must be some condition upon which it terminates, lest the simulation run indefinitely. If the threads a messageable and its communication object were associated with each other then it would be possible to terminate both when the program exited. This could also be achieved by having the program notify the communications module that execution is complete and that it should terminate. The latter option was chosen due to the fact that it simplified the construction of the simulator, and made it easier to modify the relationship between messageable objects and communications modules, for example to change the relationship from one to one to one to many (so that a base station could have separate communication interfaces to other stationary nodes and to aerial units).

5.2.3 Physical Routing

5.2.4 Physical Deployment

Libraries

CHAPTER 6. Simulation Software

6.1 Existing Software

6.1.1 NS3

6.1.2 NS2

6.1.3 Some

6.1.4 other

6.1.5 stuff

6.2 Summary of Existing Software

6.3 Development Methodology

6.4 Code Structure

6.4.1 The Environment

6.4.2 Communication Modules

6.4.3 Drone

6.4.4 Base Station

6.5 Results

6.6 Optimisation

6.7 Review Against Original Objectives

6.8 User Manual

CHAPTER 7. Physical Routing

CHAPTER 8. Communications Routing

CHAPTER 9. Physical Deployment

9.1 Objectives

9.2 Equipment and Feasability

9.2.1 Arduino

9.2.2 RaspberryPi

9.2.3 Something else

9.3 Adapting the Simulator Code

9.4 Results

9.5 Review Against Original Objectives

CHAPTER 10. Testing

10.1 Unit Testing

10.2 Client Application Testing

10.3 Usability Testing

10.4 Performance Testing

10.5 System Testing

10.6 User Acceptance Testing

10.7 Risk Assessment

CHAPTER 11. Project Management

CHAPTER 12. Project Outcome

CHAPTER 13. Conclusion

Bibliography

- [1] Lee Breslau, Deborah Estrin, Kevin Fall, Sally Floyd, John Heidemann, Ahmed Helmy, Polly Huang, Steven McCanne, Kannan Varadhan, Ya Xu, and Haobo Yu. Advances in network simulation. *Computer*, (5):59–67, 2000.
- [2] Jim Wright Chris Cole. What are drones?, jan 2010.
- [3] Mani B. Srivastava Curt Schurgers. Energy efficient routing in wireless sensor networks. Technical report, University of California at Los Angeles (UCLA), 2010.
- [4] Joseph Dussault. 7 commercial uses for drones, mar 2014.
- [5] Marcus Faires. Domino’s launches world’s first driverless pizza delivery vehicles, apr 2015.
- [6] U.S. Air Force. Mq-9 reaper, sep 2015.
- [7] National Instruments. What is a wireless sensor network?, may 2012.
- [8] Kamin Whitehouse Luca Mottola, Mattia Moretta and Carlo Ghezzi. Team-level programming of drone sensor networks. Technical report, SICS Swedish ICT, 2014.
- [9] Khanh Pham Robert Sivilli, Yunjun Xu. Pathfinding for mobile sensor networks on the fly. *SPIE Newsroom*. DOI: 10.1117/2.1201209.004486, oct 2012.
- [10] Quest UAV. Uav sensors, jun 2015.