

MATH 247

Personal Notes

Marcus Chan (UW 25)

Taught by Blake Madill



Module I.I:

Normed Vector Spaces

A "normed vector space", or "NVS", is a vector space V over \mathbb{R} equipped with a function

$$\|\cdot\| : V \rightarrow [0, \infty),$$

and the function satisfies the following:

- ① $\|v\| = 0 \iff v = 0$;
- ② $\|av\| = |a| \cdot \|v\| \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}$; and
- ③ $\|u+v\| \leq \|u\| + \|v\|$. (Triangle Inequality).

Such a function $\|\cdot\|$ is called a "norm".

We generally use the notation " $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ " to indicate a normed vector space.

Geometrically,

- ① $\|v\|$ refers to the "length" of v , or the distance between v & 0 ; and
- ② $\|v-w\|$ refers to the "distance" between v & w .

Normed vector spaces are useful in real analysis because the "notion" of "distance" in NVS helps us talk about "approximating" real numbers with more well-behaved ones (eg \mathbb{Q}).

p-NORMS: $\|v\|_p$

Let $p \geq 1$, and let $v = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Then, the "p-norm" of v , denoted as " $\|v\|_p$ ", is equal to

$$\|v\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We can show that the p-norm is indeed a norm of \mathbb{R}^n (see A1.)

In particular, the 2-norm,

$$\|v\|_2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

is called the "Euclidean norm" on \mathbb{R}^n ;

In this course, we equip \mathbb{R}^n with $\|\cdot\|_2$, unless stated otherwise.

INFINITY NORM: $\|v\|_\infty$

Let $v = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, the "infinity norm" of v , denoted as " $\|v\|_\infty$ ", is defined to be

$$\|v\|_\infty = \max \{|v_1|, |v_2|, \dots, |v_n|\}.$$

$(\mathbb{R}^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ & $(\mathbb{R}^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ ARE

NVS OF \mathbb{R}^N

Let $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ (ie let v be a sequence of reals).

Then, we let \mathbb{L}^p be defined by

$$\mathbb{L}^p = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^N : \|v\|_p < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|v\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |v_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Similarly, we let \mathbb{L}^∞ be defined by

$$\mathbb{L}^\infty = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^N : \|v\|_\infty < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|v\|_\infty = \max \{|v_1|, |v_2|, \dots\}.$$

Then, $(\mathbb{L}^p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ & $(\mathbb{L}^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ are NVS.

UNIFORM NORM ON $C([a,b])$: $\|f\|_\infty$

Let $V = C([a,b])$, ie the set of all continuous functions $f: [a,b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Then, the "uniform norm" of a $f \in V$, denoted as " $\|f\|_\infty$ ", is defined to be

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup \{ |f(x)| : x \in [a,b] \}$$

Unless otherwise stated, we assume the uniform norm is used if working with \mathbb{R}^N as a NVS.

An alternative norm to \mathbb{R}^N is the "integration-based" norm $\|f\|_p$, where $p \geq 1$ and $f \in V$, which is defined as

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_a^b |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Module 1.2:

Convergence

CONVERGENCE / DIVERGENCE

Q_1 : Let V be a NVS, and let the sequence $(a_n) \subseteq V$. Then, we say (a_n) "converges" to some $a \in V$, denoted " $a_n \rightarrow a$ ", if for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|a_n - a\| < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq N$.

Q_2 : Otherwise, we say that (a_n) diverges.

eg: $V = \mathbb{R}^\infty, (a_n) \subseteq V$

$$a_n = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$a = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots)$$

Claim: $a_n \rightarrow a$

Proof: let $\epsilon > 0$, and choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$.

Then, for $n \geq N$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_n - a\|_\infty &= \left\| (0, 0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{\frac{1}{n+1}, \dots, \frac{1}{n+2}, \dots}) \right\|_\infty \\ &= \sup \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n+2}, \dots \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} < \frac{1}{N} < \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

showing that the sequence converges. \blacksquare

eg: $V = \mathbb{R}^\infty, (a_n) \subseteq V$

$$a_n = (\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n \text{ times}}, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$a = (1, 1, \dots, 1, \dots)$$

Claim: $a_n \rightarrow a$.

Proof: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \|a_n - a\|_\infty = 1$

(since always 1 present in the sequence $(a_n - a)$).

BOUNDED (SUBSET)

Q_1 : Let $A \subseteq V$, where V is a NVS.

Then, we say A is "bounded" if there exists a $M > 0$ such that $\|a\| \leq M$ for all $a \in A$.

BOUNDED (SEQUENCES)

Q_1 : Let $(a_n) \subseteq V$, where V is a NVS.

Then, we say (a_n) is "bounded" if $\{a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots\}$ is itself bounded.

(a_n) IS CONVERGENT $\Rightarrow (a_n)$ IS BOUNDED

Q_1 : Let $(a_n) \subseteq V$ be so that (a_n) is convergent.

Then necessarily (a_n) is bounded.

Proof: Suppose $a_n \rightarrow a \in V$.

$\Rightarrow \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \geq N$, then $\|a_n - a\| < 1$.

Then, notice that for $n \geq N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_n\| &= \|a_n - a + a\| \\ &\leq \|a_n - a\| + \|a\| \\ &\leq 1 + \|a\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $M = \max \{ \|a_1\|, \dots, \|a_N\|, 1 + \|a\| \}$, we have that $\|a_n\| \leq M \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, as needed. \blacksquare

Q_2 : Note the converse is not necessarily true!

eg: $(a_n) = (1, -1, 1, -1, \dots)$

$$a_n \rightarrow a, b_n \rightarrow b \Rightarrow a_n + b_n \rightarrow a + b$$

Q_1 : Let $(a_n, b_n) \subseteq V$, and let $a_n \rightarrow a$ & $b_n \rightarrow b$. Then necessarily $a_n + b_n \rightarrow a + b$.

$$a_n \rightarrow a \Rightarrow a_n \rightarrow a/a$$

Q_2 : Let $(a_n) \subseteq V$, and let $a_n \rightarrow a$. Then necessarily $a_n \rightarrow a$.

Module 1.3: Completeness

CAUCHY SEQUENCE

Let $(a_n) \subseteq V$, where V is a NVS.

Then, we say (a_n) is a "Cauchy sequence" if

for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$|a_n - a_m| < \epsilon$ for all $n, m \geq N$.

(a_n) IS CONVERGENT \Rightarrow (a_n) IS CAUCHY

Let $(a_n) \subseteq V$ be convergent.

Then necessarily (a_n) is also Cauchy.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. We know $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in V$ such that $|a_n - a| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \forall n \geq N$.

Also, for $n, m \geq N$, we know

$$|a_n - a_m| \leq |a_n - a| + |a_m - a|$$

$$< \epsilon.$$

as needed. \square

(a_n) IS CAUCHY \Rightarrow (a_n) IS CONVERGENT

Note that $(a_n) \subseteq V$ is Cauchy does not necessarily imply it is also convergent.

For example, take the NVS $(C_\infty, \| \cdot \|_\infty)$, where

$$C_\infty = \{(x_n) \in \ell^\infty : \exists N \ni x_n=0 \forall n \geq N\},$$

and let $(a_n) \subseteq C_\infty$ be defined by

$$a_n = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, 0, 0, \dots),$$

and let a be equal to

$$a = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots) \notin C_\infty.$$

We know $a_n \rightarrow a$ in ℓ^∞ , so $(a_n) \subseteq \ell^\infty$ is Cauchy.

$\Rightarrow (a_n) \subseteq C_\infty$ is still Cauchy.

However, since $a_n \rightarrow a \notin C_\infty$, and limits are unique,

it follows that $(a_n) \subseteq C_\infty$ diverges.

COMPLETE

Let $A \subseteq V$, where V is a NVS.

Then, we say A is "complete" if whenever $(a_n) \subseteq A$ is Cauchy,

it follows that there exists an $a \in A$ such that

$$a_n \rightarrow a.$$

BANACH SPACE

Let V be a NVS.

Then, we say V is a "Banach space" if V is

complete.

Module 1.4:

Banach Spaces

\mathbb{R}^n IS A BANACH SPACE WRT $\|\cdot\|_p$ & $\|\cdot\|_\infty$

Q1 First, let $v = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ & $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Then, note the following:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \|v\|_p^p = |v_1|^p + \dots + |v_n|^p \leq n \max\{|v_1|, \dots, |v_n|\}^p$$

$$\therefore \|v\|_p^p \leq n \|v\|_\infty^p;$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \|v\|_\infty^p \leq |v_1|^p + \dots + |v_n|^p = \|v\|_p^p.$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \text{So, } \|v\|_p \leq \sqrt[n]{n} \|v\|_\infty, \text{ and}$$

$$\|v\|_\infty \leq \|v\|_p.$$

Q2 We can also show $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is a Banach space.

Proof. Suppose $(a_k) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is Cauchy, say

$$a_k = (a_k^{(1)}, \dots, a_k^{(n)})$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $a_k^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. We know $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|a_n - a_N\|_\infty < \epsilon$ for

all $k \geq N$.

Then, for all $k \geq N$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$|a_k^{(i)} - a_N^{(i)}| \leq \|a_k - a_N\|_\infty < \epsilon,$$

and so it follows that $(a_k^{(i)})_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is Cauchy.

Finally, since \mathbb{R} is complete, it follows that

$$a_k^{(i)} \rightarrow b_i \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

To finish, we want to prove $a_k \rightarrow (b_1, \dots, b_n)$, which is sufficient to prove the statement in question.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

We know there exists a $N_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_k^{(i)} - b_i| < \epsilon \quad \forall k \geq N_i$.

Let $N = \max\{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n\}$, so that for $k \geq N$, we have that

$$\|a_k - (b_1, \dots, b_n)\|_\infty = \max\{|a_k^{(i)} - b_i| : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

$$\leq \epsilon.$$

Completing the proof (as $a_k \rightarrow (b_1, \dots, b_n)$). \blacksquare

Q3 This is sufficient to prove $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_p)$ is a Banach space.

Proof. Assume $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is a Banach space.

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, and let $(a_k) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be Cauchy wrt $\|\cdot\|_p$.

Thus, (a_k) is Cauchy wrt $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, and so

$a_k \rightarrow a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ wrt $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

Hence $a_k \rightarrow a$ wrt $\|\cdot\|_p$, and so $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_p)$ is also a Banach space. \blacksquare

ℓ^∞ IS A BANACH SPACE

Q1 We can prove ℓ^∞ is a Banach space.

Proof. Let $(a_n) \subseteq \ell^\infty$ be Cauchy.

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can write

$$a_n = (a_n^{(1)}, a_n^{(2)}, \dots),$$

where $a_n^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}$.

We claim for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, that $(a_n^{(i)})$ is Cauchy.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given.

Then, there exists a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|a_n - a_N\|_\infty < \epsilon$ for

$\forall n, m \geq N$.

Next, fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For $n, m \geq N$, note that

$$|a_n^{(i)} - a_m^{(i)}| \leq \sup\{|a_n^{(i)} - a_m^{(i)}| : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$= \|a_n - a_m\|_\infty$$

< ϵ ,

proving the claim. \blacksquare

So, by the completeness of \mathbb{R} , we have that

$$a_n^{(i)} \rightarrow b_i \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty)$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Next, we claim that $a_n \rightarrow b$, where $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots)$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given.

Then, we know $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|a_n - a_N\|_\infty < \epsilon$ for

$\forall n, m \geq N$.

We also have that

$$|a_n^{(i)} - a_N^{(i)}| \leq \epsilon \quad \forall n, m \geq N \text{ and } i \in \mathbb{N}$$

by definition.

Next, taking $m \rightarrow \infty$, we note for $n \geq N$ that

$$|a_n^{(i)} - b_i| \leq \epsilon \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus $\|a_n - b\|_\infty < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq N$, and so indeed

$a_n \rightarrow b$.

It follows that ℓ^∞ is a Banach space, as needed. \blacksquare

Module 2.1:

Closed and Open Sets

CLOSED SET

\exists : let V be a NVS, and let $C \subseteq V$. Then, we say C is "closed" if whenever $(a_n) \subseteq C$ with $a_n \rightarrow a \in V$, then $a \in C$.

OPEN SET

\exists : let V be a NVS, and let $U \subseteq V$. Then, we say U is "open" if $V \setminus U$ is closed.

TOPOLOGY ON A SPACE

\exists : let V be a NVS. Then, the "topology" on V is defined to be the set $T = \{U \subseteq V \mid U \text{ is open}\}$.

\emptyset, V ARE OPEN & CLOSED IN V

\exists : Note \emptyset and V are always open and closed in V .

CLOSED BALL: $\overline{B_r(a)}$

\exists : let $r > 0$ and $a \in V$, where V is a NVS. Then, the "closed ball" of radius r centred at a , denoted as " $\overline{B_r(a)}$ ", is defined to be the set

$$\overline{B_r(a)} = \{x \in V : \|x-a\| \leq r\}.$$

We can prove $\overline{B_r(a)}$ is closed.

Proof: let $(a_n) \subseteq \overline{B_r(a)} \Rightarrow a_n \rightarrow b \in V$.

By defn, $\|a_n-a\| \leq r \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

But since $a_n \rightarrow a$, it follows that

$$\|a_n-a\| \rightarrow \|b-a\|,$$

and so $\|b-a\| \leq \max\{\|a_n-a\| : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \leq r$,

and so $b \in \overline{B_r(a)}$, which is sufficient to prove the statement. \blacksquare

OPEN BALL: $B_r(a)$

\exists : let $r > 0$ and $a \in V$, where V is a NVS. Then, the "open ball" of radius r centred at a , denoted as " $B_r(a)$ ", is defined to be the set

$$B_r(a) = \{x \in V : \|x-a\| < r\}.$$

We can show $B_r(a)$ is open.

Proof: By a similar proof to the above, we can show $\{x \in V : \|x-a\| \geq r\}$ is closed.

Hence

$$B_r(a) = V \setminus \{x \in V : \|x-a\| \geq r\}$$

is open. \blacksquare

$V = \mathbb{R}^\infty$, $C_0 = \{(x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \mid x_n \rightarrow 0\}$ IS CLOSED

\exists : we can show $C_0 = \{(x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \mid x_n \rightarrow 0\}$ is closed in $V = \mathbb{R}^\infty$.

Proof: let $(a_n) \subseteq C_0 \Rightarrow a_n \rightarrow 0 \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$.

let $a_n = (a_n^{(1)}, a_n^{(2)}, \dots) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence, we know

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^{(k)} = 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, say $a = (b_1, b_2, \dots)$, and let $\epsilon > 0$.

we know there exists $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \|a_{N_1} - a\|_\infty < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \forall n \geq N_1 \text{ and}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad |a_{N_1}^{(k)}| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \forall k \geq N_2.$$

Finally, for $k \geq N_2$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} |b_k| &= |a_{N_1}^{(k)} - b_k - a_{N_1}^{(k)}| \\ &\leq |a_{N_1}^{(k)} - b_k| + |a_{N_1}^{(k)}| \\ &\leq \|a_{N_1} - a\|_\infty + |a_{N_1}^{(k)}| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

Showing $b_k \rightarrow 0$ and so $a = (b_1, b_2, \dots) \in C_0$, and so C_0 is closed. \blacksquare

U IS OPEN $\Leftrightarrow \forall a \in U : \exists r > 0 \Rightarrow B_r(a) \subseteq U$

\exists : let V be a NVS, and let $U \subseteq V$. Then, U is open if and only if for any $a \in U$, there exists a $r > 0$ such that $B_r(a) \subseteq U$.

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Assume U is open, so

$V \setminus U$ is closed.

Suppose, for a contradiction, that

$$a \in V \setminus U \Rightarrow \exists r > 0 \Rightarrow B_r(a) \subseteq V \setminus U.$$

In particular, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists some $a_n \in B_r(a)$ such that $a_n \notin U$.

Note that

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \|a_n - a\| < \frac{r}{n} \Rightarrow a_n \rightarrow a \quad (\text{since } \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0); \text{ and}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad (a_n) \subseteq V \setminus U, \text{ and } V \setminus U \text{ is closed}$$

$$\Rightarrow a \in V \setminus U.$$

But $a \in U$ by assumption, a contradiction.

Thus $\forall a \in U : \exists r > 0 \Rightarrow B_r(a) \subseteq U$, as needed. \blacksquare

(\Leftarrow) Assume $\forall a \in U : \exists r > 0 \Rightarrow B_r(a) \subseteq U$.

We claim $V \setminus U$ is closed. Indeed, let

$$(a_n) \subseteq V \setminus U \Rightarrow a_n \notin U.$$

Suppose $a \in V \setminus U$, so in particular $\exists r > 0 \Rightarrow B_r(a) \subseteq U$.

But since $a_n \rightarrow a$, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \|a_N - a\| < r$,

implying $a_N \in B_r(a) \subseteq U$, and so $a_N \in U$.

However we assumed $a_N \in V \setminus U$, so this is a contradiction!

Hence $V \setminus U$ is closed, and so U is open, as needed.

For any arbitrary point, you can draw a "circle" that is contained in U .

Module 2.2-2.4:

Closure and Interior

UNION OF OPEN SETS IS OPEN

\vdash : let V be a NVS, and let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a collection of open sets in V . Then necessarily $U = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$ is open.

INTERSECTION OF CLOSED SETS IS CLOSED

\vdash : let V be a NVS, and let $\{C_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a collection of closed sets in V . Then necessarily $C = \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} C_\alpha$ is closed.

FINITE INTERSECTION OF OPEN SETS IS OPEN

\vdash : let $U_1, \dots, U_n \subseteq V$ be open. Then necessarily $U = U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_n$ is open.

FINITE UNION OF CLOSED SETS IS CLOSED

\vdash : let $C_1, \dots, C_n \subseteq V$ be closed. Then necessarily $C = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_n$ is closed.

CLOSURE OF A SUBSET: \bar{A}

\vdash : let $A \subseteq V$. Then, we define the "closure" of A , denoted as " \bar{A} ", to be the set

$$\bar{A} = \bigcap_{\substack{\text{closed} \\ C \text{ closed}}} C. \quad * \bar{A} \text{ is the smallest closed set containing } A.$$

INTERIOR OF A SUBSET: $\text{Int}(A)$

\vdash : let $A \subseteq V$. Then, we define the "interior" of A , denoted as " $\text{int}(A)$ ", to be the set $\text{Int}(A) = \bigcup_{\substack{\text{open} \\ U \subseteq A}} U$. $* \text{Int}(A)$ is the largest open set contained in A .

LIMIT POINT

\vdash : let V be a NVS, and let $a \in V$. Then, we say $a \in V$ is a "limit point" of A if there exists a $(a_n) \subseteq V$ with $a_n \rightarrow a$.

INTERIOR POINT

\vdash : let V be a NVS, and let $a \in V$. Then, we say $a \in V$ is an "interior point" of A if there exists a $r > 0$ such that $B_r(a) \subseteq A$.

$\bar{A} = \{\text{limit points of } A\}$

\vdash : we can show that \bar{A} is the set of limit points of A for any $A \subseteq V$.

Proof: let $X = \{\text{limit points of } A\}$. claim: X is closed.

\hookrightarrow Proof: let $(a_n) \subseteq X \Rightarrow a_n \rightarrow a \in V$.

In particular, we know

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists b_n \in A \Rightarrow |a_n - b_n| < \frac{1}{n}.$$

But we also know

$$b_n = b_n - a_n + a_n \rightarrow 0 + a = a,$$

and so $a \in A$, showing X is closed. \blacksquare

By definition, we know $\bar{A} \subseteq X$, since \bar{A} is the smallest closed set that contains A .

Now, let $x \in X$, so that $\exists (a_n) \subseteq A \Rightarrow a_n \rightarrow x$.

let $C \subseteq V$ be closed, so that $A \subseteq C$.

Then, note $(a_n) \subseteq C$, and so $x \in C$ (since C is closed).

Thus x is in any closed set containing A , and so

$X \subseteq \bar{A}$ (and thus $X = \bar{A}$, as needed). \blacksquare

$\text{Int}(A) = \{\text{interior points of } A\}$

\vdash : similarly, we can show $\text{int}(A)$ is the set of interior points of A for any $A \subseteq V$.

Proof: similar to above.

$$A = \{(a_n) \in \mathbb{I}^1 : a_n \in Q\} : \bar{A} = \mathbb{I}^1$$

\vdash : we claim $\bar{A} = \mathbb{I}^1$.

Note: for $x \in \mathbb{I}^1$, assume $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists a \in A : \|x-a\| < \epsilon$.

Then, $x \in \bar{A}$.

why?: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists a_n \in A \Rightarrow \|x-a_n\| < \frac{1}{n}$.

$$\Rightarrow (a_n) \subseteq A \text{ and so } a_n \rightarrow x.$$

Proof: let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{I}^1$ and let $\epsilon > 0$.

By the density of \mathbb{Q} ,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists y_n \in \mathbb{Q} : \|x_n - y_n\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2^n}.$$

Consider $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$.

Then, note that

$$\|x-y\|_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n - y_n|$$

$$< \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon}{2^n}$$

$$= \epsilon,$$

which is sufficient to show $x \in \bar{A}$, and so

$$\mathbb{I}^1 \subseteq \bar{A}.$$

As $\bar{A} \subseteq \mathbb{I}^1$ by definition, it follows that $\bar{A} = \mathbb{I}^1$, as needed. \blacksquare

$$V = \mathbb{I}^\infty; \bar{C}_0 = C_0$$

\vdash : we can show $\bar{C}_0 = C_0$ in $V = \mathbb{I}^\infty$.

Proof: we know $C_0 \subseteq \bar{C}_0$, and since C_0 is closed, it follows that $\bar{C}_0 \subseteq C_0$.

Now, let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in C_0$, and let $\epsilon > 0$.

Since $x_n \rightarrow 0$, it follows that

$$\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \|x_n\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \forall n \geq N.$$

let $y = (x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0, 0, \dots) \in C_0$.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x-y\|_\infty &= \|(0, \dots, 0, x_N, x_{N+1}, \dots)\|_\infty \\ &= \sup_k \{|x_k| : k \geq N\} \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and so necessarily $x \in \bar{C}_0$, and so $C_0 \subseteq \bar{C}_0$. Thus $C_0 = \bar{C}_0$, as needed. \blacksquare

$$\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$$

let $A, B \subseteq V$.
Then necessarily $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$.

Proof. Since $\overline{A \cup B}$ is closed & $A \cup B \subseteq \overline{A \cup B}$, it follows $\overline{A \cup B} \subseteq \overline{A \cup B}$.
Then, since $A, B \subseteq A \cup B$, it follows that $\overline{A}, \overline{B} \subseteq \overline{A \cup B}$.
Thus $\overline{A \cup B} \subseteq \overline{A \cup B}$, and so $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A \cup B}$. \square

$$\text{Int}(A \cap B) = \text{Int}(A) \cap \text{Int}(B)$$

let $A, B \subseteq V$.
Then necessarily $\text{Int}(A \cap B) = \text{Int}(A) \cap \text{Int}(B)$.

$$\overline{A \cap B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$$

let $A, B \subseteq V$.
Then necessarily $\overline{A \cap B} \subseteq \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$.

$$\text{Int}(A \cup B) \supseteq \text{Int}(A) \cup \text{Int}(B)$$

let $A, B \subseteq V$.
Then necessarily $\text{Int}(A \cup B) \supseteq \text{Int}(A) \cup \text{Int}(B)$.

$$A = (0, 1), B = (1, 2), V = \mathbb{R}: \overline{A \cap B} \neq \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$$

let $V = \mathbb{R}$, and let $A = (0, 1), B = (1, 2)$.

Then note that $\overline{A \cap B} \neq \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$.

Proof. See that

$$\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{\emptyset} = \emptyset \quad \text{but} \quad \overline{A} \cap \overline{B} = [0, 1] \cap [1, 2] = \{1\}. \quad \square$$

$$A = [0, 1], B = [1, 2], V = \mathbb{R}:$$

$$\text{Int}(A \cup B) \neq \text{Int}(A) \cup \text{Int}(B)$$

let $V = \mathbb{R}$, and let $A = [0, 1], B = [1, 2]$.
Then note that $\text{Int}(A \cup B) \neq \text{Int}(A) \cup \text{Int}(B)$.

Proof. See that

$$\text{Int}(A \cup B) = (0, 2) \quad \text{but} \quad \text{Int}(A) \cup \text{Int}(B) = (0, 1) \cup (1, 2) = (0, 2) \setminus \{1\}.$$

$$\text{Int}(V \setminus A) = V \setminus \overline{A}$$

let $A \subseteq V$.
Then necessarily $\text{Int}(V \setminus A) = V \setminus \overline{A}$.

Proof. Since $V \setminus \overline{A} \subseteq V \setminus A$ and $V \setminus \overline{A}$ is open, it follows (by the openness of the interior) that $V \setminus \overline{A} \subseteq \text{Int}(V \setminus A)$.

Then, observe that

$$V \setminus \text{Int}(V \setminus A) \supseteq V \setminus (V \setminus A) = A,$$

and since $\text{Int}(V \setminus A)$ is open, hence $V \setminus \text{Int}(V \setminus A)$ is closed; thus A is closed, and so $\overline{A} \subseteq V \setminus \text{Int}(V \setminus A)$.

Hence

$$V \setminus \overline{A} \supseteq V \setminus (V \setminus \text{Int}(V \setminus A)) = \text{Int}(V \setminus A),$$

and so necessarily $V \setminus \overline{A} = \text{Int}(V \setminus A)$, as needed. \square

$$\overline{V \setminus A} = V \setminus \text{Int}(A)$$

let $A \subseteq V$.
Then necessarily $\overline{V \setminus A} = V \setminus \text{Int}(A)$.

$$\text{BOUNDARY OF A SUBSET: } \partial(A)$$

let $A \subseteq V$.
Then, the "boundary" of A , denoted as " $\partial(A)$ ", is defined to be the set $\partial(A) = \overline{A} \setminus \text{Int}(A)$.

$$\partial(A) = \overline{A} \setminus \text{Int}(A)$$

$$\text{DEFINITION: } \partial(A) \text{ IS CLOSED}$$

let $A \subseteq V$.
Then necessarily $\partial(A)$ is closed.

$$\text{Proof. } \partial(A) = \overline{A} \setminus \text{Int}(A)$$

$$= \overline{A} \cap (V \setminus \text{Int}(A)),$$

closed closed

and so $\partial(A)$ is closed. \square

$$A \text{ IS CLOSED} \iff \partial(A) \subseteq A$$

let $A \subseteq V$.
Then necessarily A is closed if and only if

$$\partial(A) \subseteq A.$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow) A is closed $\Rightarrow \partial(A) \subseteq \overline{A} = A$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $\partial(A) \not\subseteq A$.

Recall $\partial(A) = \overline{A} \setminus \text{Int}(A)$; in particular, we can write

$$\overline{A} = \partial(A) \cup \text{Int}(A),$$

and since $\partial(A) \subseteq A$, $\text{Int}(A) \subseteq A$ it follows that $A \subseteq \overline{A}$.

As $\overline{A} \subseteq A$ it follows that $A = \overline{A}$, and so A is closed. \square

Module 3: Compactness & Open Covers

BOUNDED (SETS)

Let $A \subseteq V$, where V is a NVS.
Then, we say that A is "bounded" if there exists a $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|a\| < M \quad \forall a \in A$.

COMPACT (SUBSETS)

Let $C \subseteq V$, where V is a NVS.
Then, we say C is "compact" if every $(c_n) \subseteq C$ has a subsequence $(c_{n_k}) \subseteq (c_n)$ with $c_{n_k} \rightarrow c \in C$.

$A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ IS CLOSED & BOUNDED $\Rightarrow A$ IS COMPACT

IS COMPACT

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be closed and bounded.
Then necessarily A is compact.

Proof. Let $(a_n) \subseteq A$.

Since A is bounded, $\Rightarrow (a_n)$ is bounded.
By A2, we know $\exists (a_{n_k}) \subseteq (a_n) \ni a_{n_k} \rightarrow a \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
Since A is closed, it follows that $a \in A$, and so A is compact. \square

$A = \{e_i = (1, 0, \dots, 0), e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^\infty$:

A IS NOT COMPACT

Let A be the above set. We can show A is not compact.

Proof. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \|e_n - e_{n+1}\| = 1$
 $\Rightarrow (e_n)$ is not Cauchy
 $\Rightarrow (e_n)$ is not convergent
 $\Rightarrow A$ is not compact. \square

Then, notice that $A = (e_1, e_2, \dots) \subseteq \overline{B_1(0)}$.

The RHS is trivially closed and bounded, but since A is not compact, necessarily $\overline{B_1(0)}$ cannot be compact!

Therefore, closed & bounded does not automatically imply compactness.

C IS COMPACT $\Rightarrow C$ IS CLOSED & BOUNDED

Let $C \subseteq V$ be compact.
Then necessarily C is closed and bounded.

Proof. Let $C \subseteq V$ be compact.

① We claim C is closed.

Proof. Let $(c_n) \subseteq C \rightarrow c_n \rightarrow b \in V$.
 $\Rightarrow \exists (c_{n_k}) \subseteq (c_n) \ni c_{n_k} \rightarrow b \in C$.
However, we must have $b = c \in C$, and so C is closed. \square

② We claim C is bounded.

Proof. Suppose this were not the case.
 $\Rightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists a \in C \ni \|a\| \geq n$.
Then consider $(a_n) \subseteq C$.
 $\Rightarrow \exists (a_{n_k}) \subseteq (a_n) \ni a_{n_k} \rightarrow a \in C$.
But $\|a_{n_k}\| \geq n_k$! So it is unbounded, and so has to be divergent. This is a contradiction!
Hence C is bounded, as needed. \square

HEINE-BOREL THEOREM: $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ IS COMPACT $\Leftrightarrow C$ IS CLOSED & BOUNDED

let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then C is compact if and only if it is also closed & bounded.

(This has been proved by previous observations!)

$C \subseteq V$ IS COMPACT, $A \subseteq C$ IS CLOSED $\Rightarrow A$ IS COMPACT

let $C \subseteq V$ be compact, and let $A \subseteq C$ be closed.
Then necessarily A is compact.

Proof. let $(a_n) \subseteq A$. Since $A \subseteq C$,
 $\exists (a_{n_k}) \subseteq (a_n) \ni a_{n_k} \rightarrow a \in C$.
But as A is closed $\Rightarrow a \in A$, and so A is compact. \square

OPEN COVERS (OF SUBSETS)

Let $A \subseteq V$, where V is a NVS.
Then, an "open cover" of A is a collection of open sets $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ such that $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$.

We say the open cover is "finite" if $|I| < |\mathbb{N}|$.

Examples:
① $V = \mathbb{R}$, $A = [0, 1]$, $A \subseteq (-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}) \cup (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cup \dots \cup (\frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{4})$
② $V = \mathbb{R}^2$, $A = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, $A \subseteq \bigcup_{(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} B_{\frac{1}{2}}(n, m)$
③ $V = \mathbb{R}$, $A = (0, 1]$, $A \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (n, 2)$.

SUBCOVERS

Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be an open cover of $A \subseteq V$. Then, we say a subset of $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ is a "subcover" of $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$.

Note that subcovers are also open covers of A .

$A \in V$ COMPACT, $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$ IS AN OPEN COVER
 $\Rightarrow \exists R > 0 \Rightarrow \forall a \in A: B_R(a) \subseteq U_\alpha$ FOR SOME $\alpha \in I$

Let $A \in V$ be compact, and let $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$ be an open cover of A . Then, there necessarily exists some $R > 0$ such that for each $a \in A$, we have $B_R(a) \subseteq U_\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in I$.

Proof. Suppose no such $R > 0$ exists. In particular, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \exists a_n \in A \ni B_{\frac{1}{n}}(a_n) \not\subseteq U_\alpha$

Since $(a_n) \subseteq A$ and A is compact,

$$\Rightarrow \exists (a_{n_k}) \subseteq (a_n) \ni a_{n_k} \rightarrow a \in A.$$

Say $a \in U_{\alpha_0}$, where $\alpha_0 \in I$ (since the union is an open cover).

$$\text{Pick } M \in \mathbb{N} \ni B_{\frac{1}{M}}(a) \subseteq U_{\alpha_0}$$

Moreover, since $a_{n_k} \rightarrow a$, we may find $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\Rightarrow a_{n_k} \in B_{\frac{1}{M}}(a) \quad \forall k \geq N.$$

Then, for $k \geq N$ such that $n_k > M$, take

$$x \in B_{\frac{1}{M}}(a_{n_k}).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - a\| &= \|x - a_{n_k} + a_{n_k} - a\| \\ &\leq \|x - a_{n_k}\| + \|a_{n_k} - a\| \\ &< \frac{1}{M} + \frac{1}{M} = \frac{2}{M}, \end{aligned}$$

and so $x \in B_{\frac{2}{M}}(a)$.

$$\therefore B_{\frac{1}{M}}(a_{n_k}) \subseteq B_{\frac{2}{M}}(a) \subseteq U_{\alpha_0}.$$

But since $n_k > M$, it follows that

$$B_{\frac{1}{M}}(a_{n_k}) \subseteq B_{\frac{1}{n_k}}(a_{n_k}) \subseteq U_{\alpha_0},$$

which is a contradiction to our earlier assumption that $B_{\frac{1}{n_k}}(a_{n_k}) \not\subseteq U_\alpha$ $\forall \alpha \in I$. \square

$A \in V$ IS COMPACT \Rightarrow EVERY OPEN COVER OF A HAS A FINITE SUBCOVER

Let $A \in V$ be compact. Then necessarily every open cover of A has a finite subcover.

Proof. Suppose $A \in V$ is compact.

Let $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$ be an open cover of A .

Since A is compact, by the above lemma,

$$\exists R > 0 \Rightarrow \forall a \in A: B_R(a) \subseteq U_\alpha \text{ for some } \alpha \in I.$$

If $\exists i_1, \dots, i_k \in I \Rightarrow A \subseteq B_{R(i_1)} \cup \dots \cup B_{R(i_k)}$, by the lemma we are done.

So, suppose no such covering existed.

Then, we can find a $a_1 \in A$, $a_2 \in A \ni a_2 \notin B_R(a_1)$, $a_3 \in A \ni a_3 \notin B_R(a_1) \cup B_R(a_2)$, ...

Since $(a_n) \subseteq A$ & A is compact,

$$\exists (a_{n_k}) \subseteq (a_n) \ni a_{n_k} \rightarrow a.$$

However, for $n \geq m$, we have that

$$a_m \notin B_R(a_n),$$

or in other words,

$$\|a_m - a_n\| \geq R.$$

$\Rightarrow (a_n)$ has no Cauchy subsequences.

$\Rightarrow (a_n)$ has no convergent subsequences,

giving us our contradiction. \square

EVERY OPEN COVER OF $A \in V$ HAS A FINITE SUBCOVER, & $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$ WHERE EACH U_α IS RELATIVELY OPEN IN $A \Rightarrow \exists \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in I$

$$\Rightarrow A \subseteq U_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{\alpha_n}$$

Why is the above lemma true?

Proof. $A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha$, where $U_\alpha = A \cap O_\alpha$, $O_\alpha \subseteq V$ open

$$\Rightarrow A \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} (A \cap O_\alpha)$$

$$= A \cap (\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} O_\alpha)$$

$$\subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} O_\alpha,$$

$$\Rightarrow A \subseteq O_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup O_{\alpha_n}$$

$$\Rightarrow A \subseteq \underbrace{U_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{\alpha_n}}_{\text{the relatively open cover.}} \quad \square$$

EVERY OPEN COVER OF A HAS A FINITE SUBCOVER $\Rightarrow A \in V$ IS COMPACT

Let $A \in V$, and suppose every open cover of A has a finite subcover.

Then necessarily $A \in V$ is compact.

Proof. Let $(a_n) \subseteq A$.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, consider $C_k = \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} A \cap C_n$.

We want to show $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k \neq \emptyset$.

Each C_k is relatively closed in A . Hence every $U_k = A \setminus C_k$ is relatively open.

For contradiction, assume $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k = \emptyset$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow A &= A \setminus \emptyset \\ &= A \setminus (\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k) \\ &= \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (A \setminus C_k) \\ &= \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} U_k \leftarrow \text{the relatively open subcover.} \end{aligned}$$

By the lemma above,

$$\exists i_1, \dots, i_k \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow A \subseteq U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_k}.$$

Since $C_1 \supseteq C_2 \supseteq \dots$, we have that $U_1 \subseteq U_2 \subseteq \dots$

$$\therefore A \subseteq U_{i_k} \subseteq A,$$

and so $A = U_{i_k}$.

$$\Rightarrow C_{i_k} = A \setminus U_{i_k} = A \setminus A = \emptyset.$$

But since $a_{i_k} \in C_{i_k} = \emptyset$, this is a contradiction!

Hence, we may find some $a \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k$.

$$\therefore \exists n, c_n \in \dots \ni \|a_n - a\| < \frac{1}{k} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and so $(a_n) \subseteq A \ni a_n \rightarrow a \in A$, showing A is compact, as needed. \square

Module 4.1:

Limits

LIMIT

\exists_1 Let $f: A \rightarrow W$, where $A \subseteq V$, and let $a \in V$.
 Then, the "limit" of $f(x)$ as x approaches a is $w \in W$ if
 ① $a \in A \setminus \{a\}$; and
 ② $\forall \epsilon > 0 : \exists \delta > 0$ such that if $x \in A$ with
 $0 < |x-a| < \delta$, then $|f(x) - w| < \epsilon$.

\exists_2 In this case, we write

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = w.$$

* note that w is unique.

ISOLATED POINT

\exists_1 Let $a \in A$, where $A \subseteq V$.
 Then, we call a an "isolated point" with respect to A if $a \notin A \setminus \{a\}$.
 \exists_2 If $a \notin A \setminus \{a\}$, then there exists $r > 0$ such that $B_r(a) \cap A = \{a\}$ or \emptyset .
 In other words, there does not exist $x \in A$ with $0 < |x-a| < r$.

LIMITS PRESERVE ORDER

\exists_1 Let $A \subseteq V$, and let $f, g, h: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in A \setminus \{a\}$.
 Suppose $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$ & $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x)$ exist and $f(x) \leq g(x) \quad \forall x \in A$.
 Then necessarily $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x)$.

SQUEEZE THEOREM

\exists_1 Let $A \subseteq V$, and let $f, g, h: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in A \setminus \{a\}$.
 Suppose $f(x) \leq g(x) \leq h(x) \quad \forall x \in A$ and
 $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} h(x) = L$.
 Then necessarily $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x) = L$ as well.

LIMITS OF MULTIVARIABLE FUNCTIONS

eg¹ Evaluate

$$\lim_{(x,y,z) \rightarrow (0,0,0)} \frac{xy^2 + x^2z + xyz}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2+z^2}}.$$

Solⁿ. If $x \neq 0$, then observe that

$$0 \leq \left| \frac{xy^2 + x^2z + xyz}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2+z^2}} \right| \leq \frac{|xy^2 + x^2z + xyz|}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2+z^2}}$$

$$= \frac{|xy^2 + x^2z + xyz|}{|x|}$$

$$\leq \frac{|xy|^2 + x^2|z| + |xyz|}{|x|}$$

$$= y^2 + (|x| |z| + |y| |z|).$$

If $x=0$, then $f(x,y,z)=0$.

Since

$$\lim_{(x,y,z) \rightarrow (0,0,0)} y^2 + |x||z| + |y||z| = 0,$$

by S.T it follows that $\lim_{(x,y,z) \rightarrow (0,0,0)} f(x,y,z) = 0$.

eg² Evaluate

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{xy^2}{x^2+y^4} \quad \{ f(x,y) \}$$

Solⁿ. As $(\frac{1}{n}, 0) \rightarrow (0,0)$, we see that

$$f(\frac{1}{n}, 0) = 0 \rightarrow 0.$$

As $(\frac{1}{n^2}, \frac{1}{n}) \rightarrow (0,0)$, we see that

$$f(\frac{1}{n^2}, \frac{1}{n}) = \frac{\frac{1}{n^2} \cdot \frac{1}{n^2}}{\frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^4}} = \frac{\frac{1}{n^4}}{\frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^4}} = \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since $0 \neq \frac{1}{2}$, the limit does not exist. *

Module 4.2:

Continuity

CONTINUOUS (FUNCTIONS)

\exists_1 let $f: A \rightarrow W$, where $A \subseteq V$.

Then, we say f is "continuous" at $a \in A$ if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $x \in A$ with $\|x - a\| < \delta$, then $\|f(x) - f(a)\| < \epsilon$.

\exists_2 Note that f is continuous at $a \in A \setminus \{a\}$ if and only if $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = f(a)$.

\exists_3 However, if $a \notin A \setminus \{a\}$, then f is automatically continuous at a .

Why? $\Rightarrow \exists r > 0, B_r(a) \cap A = \{a\}$

(let $\epsilon > 0$, & chose $\delta = r$.

If $x \in A$ & $\|x - a\| < \delta$, then $x = a$.

$$\therefore \|f(x) - f(a)\| = \|f(a) - f(a)\| = 0 < \epsilon$$

\exists_4 We say f is continuous if f is continuous at all $a \in A$.

f is CTS $\Leftrightarrow f$ PRESERVES CONVERGENCE $\Leftrightarrow \forall$ OPEN $U \subseteq W$,

$f^{-1}(U)$ IS RELATIVELY OPEN IN A

\exists_1 Let $f: A \rightarrow W$, where $A \subseteq V$. Then, the following are equivalent:

① f is continuous;

② f preserves convergence; and

③ \forall open $U \subseteq W$, $f^{-1}(U)$ is relatively open in A.

Proof. ③ \Rightarrow ① from Assignment 2.

① \Rightarrow ②: Suppose f is cts, and let $(a_n) \subseteq A \rightarrow a$ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists $\delta > 0 \Rightarrow x \in A$ & $\|x - a\| < \delta$,

then $\|f(x) - f(a)\| < \epsilon$.

Take $N \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \|a_n - a\| < \delta \quad \forall n \geq N$.

But then, for $n \geq N$, we see that $\|f(a_n) - f(a)\| < \epsilon$,

showing that $f(a_n) \rightarrow f(a)$. #

② \Rightarrow ①: Assume f preserves convergence, and suppose f is discontinuous at a .

$\Rightarrow \exists \epsilon > 0$ & $(a_n) \subseteq A \Rightarrow \|a_n - a\| < \frac{1}{n}$ but $\|f(a_n) - f(a)\| \geq \epsilon$.

Then, $a_n \rightarrow a$ but $f(a_n) \not\rightarrow f(a)$ — contradiction! #

PROJECTION MAP IS CTS

\exists_1 The " i th projection map" $P_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$, is defined by

$$P_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i.$$

\exists_2 We can prove the projection map is continuous for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $(a_k) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, say $a_k = (a_k^{(1)}, \dots, a_k^{(n)})$ and $a = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$.

We know $a_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} b_i \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$;

$$\Rightarrow P_i(a_k) \rightarrow P_i(a)$$

$\therefore P_i$ is cts. #

$f, g: A \rightarrow W$ ARE CTS $\Rightarrow f+g, \alpha f$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$) ARE CTS

\exists_1 Let $f, g: A \rightarrow W$ be continuous, and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $f+g$ and αf are necessarily also continuous.

Proof. Let $(a_n) \subseteq A \rightarrow a$.

\Rightarrow (since f is cts) $\Rightarrow f(a_n) \rightarrow f(a)$ & $g(a_n) \rightarrow g(a)$.

$\Rightarrow f(a_n) + g(a_n) \rightarrow f(a) + g(a)$ & $\alpha f(a_n) \rightarrow \alpha f(a)$. #

$f: A \rightarrow W_1, g: B \rightarrow W_2, B \subseteq W_1$ ARE CTS \Rightarrow $g \circ f$ IS CTS

\exists_1 Let $f: A \rightarrow W_1$ and $g: B \rightarrow W_2$ be continuous, where $B \subseteq W_1$.

Then necessarily $(g \circ f)$ is continuous.

Proof. Let $(a_n) \subseteq A \rightarrow a_n \rightarrow a$.

Since f is cts, $\Rightarrow f(a_n) \rightarrow f(a)$.

Since g is cts, $\Rightarrow g(f(a_n)) \rightarrow g(f(a))$.

$\Rightarrow g \circ f$ preserves convergence

$\Rightarrow g \circ f$ is continuous. #

Module 4.3:

Uniform Continuity

UNIFORM CONTINUITY

Let $f: A \rightarrow W$, where $f: A \rightarrow W$.
Then, we say f is "uniformly continuous".
if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such
that if $x, a \in A$ with $|x-a| < \delta$, then
 $|f(x) - f(a)| < \epsilon$.

Note that uniform continuity implies continuity.

LIPSCHITZ (FUNCTIONS)

Let $f: A \rightarrow W$.
We say f is "Lipschitz" if there exists a $M > 0$
such that
 $|f(a) - f(b)| \leq M|a-b| \quad \forall a, b \in A$.

LIPSCHITZ \Rightarrow UNIFORM CONTINUITY

Let $f: A \rightarrow W$ be Lipschitz.
Then necessarily f is uniformly continuous.
Proof: let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{M}$.
If $a, b \in A$ with $|a-b| < \delta$, then
 $|f(a) - f(b)| \leq M|a-b|$
 $< M\delta = M(\frac{\epsilon}{M}) = \epsilon$,
showing f is uniformly ct. \blacksquare

C IS COMPACT, $f:C \rightarrow W$ IS CTS \Rightarrow f IS UNIF CTS

Let $C \subseteq V$ be compact & $f: C \rightarrow W$ be continuous.
Then f is uniformly continuous.
Why? \rightarrow Suppose for contradiction that f is not unif cts.
 $\Rightarrow \exists (c_n), (b_n) \subseteq C \rightarrow |a_n - b_n| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$, $|f(a_n) - f(b_n)| \geq \epsilon$.
By compactness,
 $\exists (a_{n_k}) \subseteq (a_n) \rightarrow a_{n_k} \rightarrow a \in C$.
 $\Rightarrow b_{n_k} = \underbrace{b_{n_k} - a_{n_k}}_{\rightarrow 0} + \underbrace{a_{n_k}}_{\rightarrow a}$
 $\Rightarrow b_{n_k} \rightarrow a$.
By continuity, $f(a_{n_k}) \rightarrow f(a)$, $f(b_{n_k}) \rightarrow f(b)$.
 $\Rightarrow |f(a_n) - f(b_n)| \rightarrow 0$.
But this is a contradiction $\because |f(a_n) - f(b_n)| \geq \epsilon$ by
earlier assumption! \blacksquare

Module 4.4:

Extreme Value Theorem

$C \subseteq W$ IS COMPACT, $f: C \rightarrow W$ IS CTS \Rightarrow

$f(C)$ IS COMPACT

Let $C \subseteq W$ be compact, and let $f: C \rightarrow W$ be continuous.

Then necessarily $f(C)$ is compact.

Why? Take $(f(a_n)) \subseteq f(C)$, $a_n \in C$.

$$\Rightarrow (a_n) \subseteq C.$$

(compact) $\exists (a_{n_k}) \subseteq (a_n) \Rightarrow a_{n_k} \rightarrow a$.

(continuity) $\Rightarrow f(a_{n_k}) \rightarrow f(a) \in f(C)$

$\Rightarrow f(C)$ is compact. \square

$\phi \neq A \subseteq R$ IS BOUNDED $\Rightarrow \inf A, \sup A \in \bar{A}$

Let $\phi \neq A \subseteq R$ be bounded.

Then necessarily $\inf A, \sup A \in \bar{A}$.

Proof: We prove the claim for $\sup A$; $\inf A$ is similar.

V.N.E.D., we know

$$\sup A - \frac{1}{n} < a_n \leq \sup A.$$

\Rightarrow (by S.T) $a_n \rightarrow \sup A$.

$$\therefore \sup A \in \bar{A}.$$

$\phi \neq C \subseteq V$ IS COMPACT, $f: C \rightarrow R$ IS CTS \Rightarrow

$\exists a, b \in C \Rightarrow f(a) = \min f(C) \text{ & } f(b) = \max f(C)$

(EXTREME VALUE THEOREM (EVT))

Let $\phi \neq C \subseteq V$ be compact, and let $f: C \rightarrow R$ be continuous.

Then, there must exist some $a, b \in C$ such that

$f(a) = \min f(C) \text{ & } f(b) = \max f(C)$.

Proof: $f(C)$ is compact.

$f(C) \subseteq R \Rightarrow f(C)$ is closed & bounded.

\Rightarrow (by bounded) $\sup f(C), \inf f(C) \in \bar{f(C)}$.

(since $f(C)$ is compact) $\therefore \bar{f(C)} = f(C)$.

$\therefore \exists a, b \in C \Rightarrow f(a) = \inf f(C) = \min f(C)$

& $f(b) = \sup f(C) = \max f(C)$. \square

UNIFORM NORM (FOR $CCK(W)$)

Let $K \subseteq V$ be compact, and let W be a NVS.

Then, $C(K, W) = \{f: K \rightarrow W \text{ cts}\}$ is a NVS when

equipped with the uniform norm

$$\|f\|_\infty = \max \{ \|f(x)\| : x \in K \}.$$

Module 5:

Sequences of Functions

POINTWISE CONVERGENCE [OF FUNCTIONS]

Let $A \subseteq V$, $f_n: A \rightarrow W$ and $f: A \rightarrow W$

Then, we say f_n converges to f "pointwise" if $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x) \quad \forall x \in A$.

UNIFORM CONVERGENCE [OF FUNCTIONS]

Let $A \subseteq V$, $f_n: A \rightarrow W$ and $f: A \rightarrow W$

Then, we say f_n converges to f "uniformly" if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|f_n(x) - f(x)\| < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq N, \quad x \in A.$$

In this case, note that the same N works uniformly for all $x \in A$:

$$\|f_n - f\|_{\infty} := \sup \{ \|f_n(x) - f(x)\| : x \in A \}$$

Let $f_n, f: A \rightarrow W$, where $A \subseteq V$.

Then, we define

$$\|f_n - f\|_{\infty} := \sup \{ \|f_n(x) - f(x)\| : x \in A \}.$$

Note that

$$f_n \rightarrow f \text{ uniformly} \Leftrightarrow \|f_n - f\|_{\infty} < \infty \text{ eventually} \quad \& \quad \|f_n - f\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0.$$

* note that since A may not be compact & f may not be cts, $\|f_n - f\|_{\infty}$ could be infinite.

For example, take $f_n: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $f_n(x) = x$ & $f_n(x) = 0 \quad \forall n > 1$.

Then $f_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly, even though $\|f_n - 0\|_{\infty} = \infty$.

EXAMPLES

For each sequence of functions, find the pointwise limit and determine whether the convergence is uniform.

$$\text{eg } f_n: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f_n(x) = \frac{nx}{1+nx}$$

$$\text{Pointwise limit: for } x \in (0, 1), \\ f_n(x) = \frac{nx}{1+nx} \rightarrow 1.$$

$\therefore f_n \rightarrow 1$ pointwise.

For $n > 1$, we have

$$|f_n(\frac{1}{n}) - 1| = \frac{1}{2}.$$

$\therefore \|f_n - f\|_{\infty} \not\rightarrow 0$

\Rightarrow convergence is not uniform.

$$\text{eg } f_n: \mathbb{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f_n(a_n) \rightarrow a_n.$$

Pointwise: For $a_n \in \mathbb{C}_0$, see that

$$f_n(a_n) = a_n \rightarrow 0.$$

$\therefore f_n \rightarrow 0$ pointwise.

Uniform? For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see that

$$|f_n(\underbrace{1, 0, 0, \dots}_n) - 0| = |1 - 0| = 1.$$

$$\therefore \|f_n - 0\| \geq 1 \Rightarrow \|f_n - 0\|_{\infty} \not\rightarrow 0.$$

\Rightarrow convergence is not uniform.

$$\text{eg } f_n: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f_n(a, b) = \frac{a^n}{n} + \frac{1}{b+n}$$

Pointwise: For $(a, b) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$,

$$f_n(a, b) = \frac{a^n}{n} + \frac{1}{b+n} \in [0, \frac{1}{n}]$$

\therefore (by ST) $f_n(a, b) \rightarrow 0$.

$\Rightarrow f_n(a, b) \rightarrow 0$ pointwise.

Uniform? Note that

$$|f_n(a, b) - 0| = \frac{a^n}{n} + \frac{1}{b+n} \leq \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} = \frac{2}{n}$$

$$\therefore \|f_n - 0\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{2}{n} \rightarrow 0,$$

$\therefore f_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly.

$f_n: A \rightarrow W, A \subseteq V : f_n$ IS CTS $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_n \rightarrow f$

UNIFORMLY $\Rightarrow f$ IS CTS

Let $f_n: A \rightarrow W$, where $A \subseteq V$.

Suppose each f_n is continuous, and $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly.

Then necessarily f is continuous.

Proof. Let $(a_n) \subseteq A \ni a_n \rightarrow a$ & let $\epsilon > 0$.

We know we may find $N \in \mathbb{N} \ni$

$$\|f_N - f\|_{\infty} < \frac{\epsilon}{3}.$$

Since f_N is cts, we know $\exists M \in \mathbb{N} \ni$

$$|f_N(a_n) - f_N(a)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3} \quad \forall n \geq M.$$

Then, for $n \geq M$, see that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(a_n) - f(a)| &= |f(a_n) - f_N(a_n) + f_N(a_n) - f_N(a) + f_N(a) - f(a)| \\ &\leq |f(a_n) - f_N(a_n)| + |f_N(a_n) - f_N(a)| + |f_N(a) - f(a)| \\ &\leq \|f_N - f\|_{\infty} + |f_N(a_n) - f_N(a)| + \|f_N - f\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and so $f(a_n) \rightarrow f(a)$, and so f is cts. \square

$A \subseteq V$ IS COMPACT, W IS A BANACH SPACE $\Rightarrow (C(A, W), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ IS A BANACH SPACE

Let $A \subseteq V$ be compact, and let W be a Banach space.

Then necessarily $(C(A, W), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ is a Banach space.

Proof. Let $(f_n) \subseteq C(A, W)$ be Cauchy, and let $\epsilon > 0$.

We know $\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \ni$

$$\|f_n - f_m\|_{\infty} < \epsilon \quad \forall n, m \geq N.$$

For $x \in A$ & $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, see that

$$\|f_n(x) - f_m(x)\| \leq \|f_n - f_m\|_{\infty} < \epsilon,$$

and so $(f_n(x)) \subseteq W$ is Cauchy.

Since W is a Banach space, it is complete,

and so $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x) \in W$ for some $f(x) \in W$.

Thus, we have constructed a $f: A \rightarrow W \ni$

$f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise.

For $x \in A$ and $n \geq N$, we have that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n(x) - f_m(x)\| \leq \epsilon,$$

and so

$$\|f_n(x) - f(x)\| \leq \epsilon \quad (\text{limits preserve order});$$

$\Rightarrow \|f_n - f\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon$ since $x \in A$ was arbitrary.

$\Rightarrow f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly.

So, by the previous theorem, it follows that $f \in C(A, W)$, and so $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $C(A, W)$.

$\Rightarrow C(A, W)$ is a Banach space (since (f_n) was

an arbitrary Cauchy sequence). \square

Module 6.1:

Partial Derivatives

SCALAR FUNCTION

B1: A "scalar function" is any function of the form $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

B2: Note for any $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, there exist scalar functions $f_1, \dots, f_m: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$.
eg $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by $f(x, y, z) = (xe^y, x^2 + z^2)$. Then $f_1(x, y, z) = xe^y$ & $f_2(x, y, z) = x^2 + z^2$. Then $f = (f_1, f_2)$.

i^{th} PARTIAL DERIVATIVE [OF SCALAR FUNCTIONS]:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a) = f_{x_i}(a)$$

B1: Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be the standard basis for \mathbb{R}^n . Then, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, we define the " i^{th} partial derivative" of f at $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A$, denoted as $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a)$ or $f_{x_i}(a)$, to be equal to

$$f_{x_i}(a) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a) := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a + he_i) - f(a)}{h},$$

provided the limit exists.

B2: We use the notation " $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ " when talking about functions $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

B3: Note that $f_{x_i}(a)$ is the derivative of f at a wrt x_i , treating the other x_j , $j \neq i$ as constants.

B4: Moreover, $f_{x_i}(a)$ is the slope of the tangent line to the surface $y = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ which is parallel to e_i .

B5: For example, for $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, find $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(a)$ & $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(a)$:

$$f_x(a) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a + he_1) - f(a)}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a_1 + h, a_2) - f(a_1, a_2)}{h},$$

$$\text{and similarly } f_y(a) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a_1, a_2 + h) - f(a_1, a_2)}{h}.$$

B6: We also treat $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ as a function, and write

$$f_{x_i}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

EXAMPLE 1: $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(x, y, z) = xy^2z + e^{xy}$,

FIND PARTIAL DERIVATIVES

$$\text{Soln. } f_x(x, y, z) = y^2z + ye^{xy}$$

$$f_y(x, y, z) = 2xyz + xe^{xy}$$

$$f_z(x, y, z) = xy^2.$$

i^{th} PARTIAL DERIVATIVE [OF FUNCTIONS]:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a) = f_{x_i}(a)$$

B1: Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, where $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$. For $a \in A$, we define the " i^{th} partial derivative" of f at a , denoted as $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a)$ or $f_{x_i}(a)$, to be equal to

$$f_{x_i}(a) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a) := \left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_i}(a), \dots, \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_i}(a) \right) \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

provided it exists.

EXAMPLE 2: $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, $f(x, y) = (2x^2y, 4x, e^{xy})$,

FIND $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ & $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$

$$\text{Soln. } f_x(x, y) = (4xy, 4, ye^{xy}) ;$$

$$f_y(x, y) = (2x^2, 0, xe^{xy}).$$

Module 6.2:

Differentiability

DIFFERENTIABLE [FUNCTIONS AT $a \in A$]

B₁: Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.

Then, we say f is "differentiable" at $a \in A$

- if
- ① $a \in \text{Int}(A)$; and
 - ② There exists a $T \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that
- $$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a) - Th}{\|h\|} = 0.$$
- (recall $L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m) = \{T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \mid T \text{ is linear}\}$)

B₂: Note that by ①, $f(a+h)$ is defined for small enough h .

OPERATOR NORM [ON $M_{mn}(\mathbb{R})$]: $\|A\|_{op}$

B: Let $A \in M_{mn}(\mathbb{R})$.

Then, the "operator norm" of A , denoted as

" $\|A\|_{op}$ ", is defined to be equal to

$$\|A\|_{op} = \sup \{ \|Ax\| : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\|=1 \}.$$

$$\|Ax\| \leq \|A\|_{op} \|x\|$$

B: Note that for any $A \in M_{mn}(\mathbb{R})$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\|Ax\| \leq \|A\|_{op} \|x\|.$$

Proof: Clear if $x=0$. Otherwise, see that

$$\| \frac{x}{\|x\|} \| = 1.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|A\|_{op} \geq \|A \frac{x}{\|x\|}\| = \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|}.$$

Proof follows. \square

DIFFERENTIABILITY \Rightarrow CONTINUITY

B: Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.

Then, if f is diff at a , then necessarily

f is cts at a .

Proof: f is diff $\Rightarrow \exists T \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ s.t.

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a) - Th}{\|h\|} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a) - Bh}{\|h\|} = 0 \quad (\text{where } B \in M_{mn}(\mathbb{R}))$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{we can find } \delta > 0 \text{ s.t. if } 0 < \|h\| < \delta, \text{ then}$$

$$\left\| \frac{f(a+h) - f(a) - Bh}{\|h\|} \right\| < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \|f(a+h) - f(a) - Bh\| < \|h\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|f(a+h) - f(a)\| = \|Bh\| < \|h\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|f(a+h) - f(a)\| \leq \|Bh\| + \|h\|$$

$$\leq \|B\|_{op} \|h\| + \|h\|$$

As $h \rightarrow 0$, $\|B\|_{op} \|h\| + \|h\| \rightarrow 0$.

\Rightarrow (by ST) $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(a+h) = f(a)$.

Setting $x=a+h$,

$\Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = f(a)$. \square

DIFFERENTIABLE [FUNCTIONS ON OPEN $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$]

B: Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, and let $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.

Then, we say f is "differentiable" on U

if f is differentiable at every point in U .

Module 6.3:

Total Derivatives

TOTAL DERIVATIVE [OF f AT a]

\exists (let $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.

Then, the "total derivative" of f at a , denoted as " $D_f(a)$ ", is defined to be the matrix

$$D_f(a) = \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(a) \right) \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R}),$$

provided it exists.

f IS DIFFERENTIABLE \Rightarrow " B " = $D_f(a)$

\exists (let $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.

Suppose f is differentiable at a , so that there exists a $B \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a) - Bh}{\|h\|} = 0.$$

Then necessarily $B = D_f(a)$.

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$b_j = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} = \left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_j}, \dots, \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_j} \right),$$

so let's do so. Observe that as $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \rightarrow 0$, hence $te_j \rightarrow 0$, where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is the std basis for \mathbb{R}^n .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a) - Bh}{\|h\|} = 0 &\Leftrightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+te_j) - f(a) - B(te_j)}{\|te_j\|} = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(a+te_j) - f(a)}{t} = Be_j \quad \& \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{f(a+te_j) - f(a)}{-t} = -Be_j \\ &\Leftrightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+te_j) - f(a)}{t} = Be_j \\ &\Leftrightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(a) = Be_j = b_j, \end{aligned}$$

as needed. \square

\exists_2 In particular, if f is diff at a , then

① $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ exists $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$; and

$$\text{② } \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a) - D_f(a)h}{\|h\|} = 0.$$

GRADIENT [OF $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ AT a]: $\nabla f(a)$

\exists (let $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Then, the "gradient" of f at a , denoted

as $\nabla f(a)$, is defined to be equal to

$$\nabla f(a) = D_f(a) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(a), \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(a) \right).$$

Module 6.4:

Continuous Partials

$U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ OPEN, $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$; $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$ EXISTS $\forall 1 \leq j \leq n$
AND IS CTS AT $a \in U \Rightarrow f$ IS DIFF AT a

B1 let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, and let $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Suppose, for some $a \in U$, that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$ exists on U

and is cts at a for each $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Then necessarily f is diff at a .

Proof. Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Since U is open, $\exists r > 0 \Rightarrow B_r(a) \subseteq U$.

Then, for any $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n) \neq 0 \Rightarrow a + h \in B_r(a)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f(a+h) - f(a) &= f(a_1 + h_1, \dots, a_n + h_n) - f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ &= f(a_1 + h_1, \dots, a_n + h_n) - f(a_1, a_2 + h_2, \dots, a_n + h_n) \\ &\quad + f(a_1, a_2 + h_2, \dots, a_n + h_n) - f(a_1, a_2, a_3 + h_3, \dots, a_n + h_n) \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &\quad + f(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, a_j + h_j, \dots, a_n + h_n) - f(a_1, \dots, a_n). \end{aligned}$$

By the single variable MVT on x_i , $\forall 1 \leq i \leq j$, $\exists c_i$ bw a_i, a_j : $a_i + h_i = a_j + h_j = c_i$

$$f(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, a_j + h_j, \dots, a_n + h_n) - f(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, a_j, a_j + h_j, \dots, a_n + h_n)$$

$$a_j + h_j - a_j$$

$$= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, c_j, a_j + h_j, \dots, a_n + h_n).$$

Thus

$$f(a+h) - f(a) = \sum_{j=1}^n h_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, c_j, a_j + h_j, \dots, a_n + h_n).$$

Next, for $1 \leq j \leq n$, let

$$\delta_j = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, c_j, a_j + h_j, \dots, a_n + h_n),$$

and $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$, so that

$$f(a+h) - f(a) = \nabla f(a) \cdot h = h\delta.$$

$f(a+h) - f(a) = \nabla f(a) \cdot h \Rightarrow \delta_j \rightarrow 0$, as $h \rightarrow 0$, each $\delta_j \rightarrow 0$, and

so $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - \nabla f(a) \cdot h|}{\|h\|} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\delta \cdot h|}{\|h\|} \quad (\because \text{is the dot product}) \\ &\leq \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\delta\| \|h\|}{\|h\|} \quad (\text{by Cauchy-Schwarz}) \\ &= \|\delta\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(a+h) - f(a) - \nabla f(a) \cdot h|}{\|h\|} = 0,$$

and so

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a) - \nabla f(a) \cdot h}{\|h\|} = 0,$$

showing f is diff at a . \blacksquare

Note that the converse is not necessarily true!

e.g. let $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x,y) = \sin(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}})$, $(x,y) \neq (0,0)$

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} \sin(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}), & (x,y) \neq (0,0) \\ 0, & (x,y) = (0,0) \end{cases}$$

we know f is diff at $(0,0)$ (using the theorem).

But

$$f_x(x,y) = 2x \sin\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}\right) \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}, \quad \forall (x,y) \neq (0,0).$$

see that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f_x(x,0) \rightarrow 0$, but

$$f_x\left(\frac{1}{n}, 0\right) = \frac{2}{n} \sin(n) - \cos(n)$$

diverges, so f_x is not cts at $(0,0)$. \star