260-2017-01-18-methods-II

Rick Gilmore 2017-01-20 08:49:27

Prelude

(Han et al. 2017)

Spatial and Temporal Resolution

(Sejnowski, Churchland, and Movshon 2014)

Which of the following statements about the brain imaging technique used in this figure is FALSE?

Which of the following statements about the brain imaging technique used in this figure is FALSE?

- A. It is non-invasive.
- B. It provides information about brain structures.
- C. It provides information about rapid (millisecond-level) changes in brain activity.
- D. It cannot resolve details about individual neurons.

Which of the following statements about the brain imaging technique used in this figure is FALSE?

- A. It is non-invasive.
- B. It provides information about brain structures.
- C. It provides information about rapid (millisecond-level) changes in brain activity.
- D. It cannot resolve details about individual neurons.

Today's topics

• Functional methods

Functional methods

- Recording from the brain
- Interfering with the brain
- Stimulating the brain

Recording from the brain

- Single/multi unit recording
- Microelectrodes
- Small numbers of nerve cells

Single/multi-unit Recording

http://www.nature.com/nrn/journal/v5/n11/images/nrn1535-i1.jpg

Single/multi-unit recording

- What does neuron X respond to?
- Great temporal (ms), spatial resolution (um)
- Invasive
- Rarely suitable for humans, but...

Electrocorticography (ECoG)

Story about child who underwent ECoG surgery.

Positron Emission Tomography (PET)

Positron Emission Tomography (PET)

- Radioactive tracers (glucose, oxygen)
- Positron decay
- Experimental condition control
- Average across individuals

PET

- Evaluating PET
 - Temporal (~ s) and spatial (mm-cm) resolution worse than fMRI
 - Radioactive exposures + mildly invasive
 - Dose < airline crew exposure in 1 yr

Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI)

- Neural activity -> local O^2 consumption increase
- Blood Oxygen Level Dependent (BOLD) response
 - Oxygenated vs. deoxygenated hemoglobin
 - Do regional blood O^^2 levels (and flow) vary with behavior X?

fMRI

fMRI (Dougherty et al. 2003)

fMRI

- Evaluating
 - Non-invasive, but expensive
 - Moderate but improving (mm) spatial, temporal (~sec) resolution
 - **Indirect** measure of brain activity

- Hemodynamic Response Function (HRF)
 - 1s delay plus 3-6 s ramp-up

Hemodynamic Response Function (HRF)

Electroencephalography (EEG)

- How does it work?
 - Electrodes on scalp or brain surface
- What do we measure?
 - Combined activity of huge # of neurons

EEG

EEG

- High temporal, poor spatial resolution
- Analyze frequency bands
 - LOW: deep sleep
 - MIDDLE: Quiet, alert state
 - HIGH: "Binding" information across senses

EEG Frequency

Event-related potentials (ERPs)

• EEGs time-locked to some event - Averaged over many trials

ERPs

Brain Computer Interface (BCI)

http://s.hswstatic.com/gif/brain-computer-interface-3.gif

Magneto-encephalography (MEG)

- Like EEG, but measuring magnetic fields
- High temporal resolution, low spatial resolution
- Magnetic field propagates w/o distortion

MEG

Manipulating the brain

- Nature's "experiments"
 - Stroke, head injury, tumor
 - Neuropsychology
 - Remember Galen?
- Logic: damage impairs performance = region critical for behavior

• Poor spatial/temporal resolution, limited experimental control

Phineas Gage

Stimulating the brain

- Pharmacological
- Electrical (transcranial Direct Current Stimulation tDCS)
- Magnetic (Transcranial magnetic stimulation TMS)
- Optically (optogenetics)

tDCS

(Dayan et al. 2013)

TMS

(Dayan et al. 2013)

Optogenetic stimulation

Evaluating stimulation methods

- Spatial/temporal resolution?
 - Assume stimulation mimics natural activity?
 - Optogenetic stimulation highly similar, others less so
- Deep brain stimulation as therapy
 - Parkinson's Disease
 - Depression
 - Epilepsy

Deep brain stimulation

Simulating the brain

- Computer/mathematical models of brain function
- Example: neural networks
- Cheap, noninvasive, can be stimulated or "lesioned"

Spatial and Temporal Resolution

[(Sejnowski, Churchland, and Movshon 2014)](http://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3839)

Next time...

Neuroanatomy

References

Dayan, Eran, Nitzan Censor, Ethan R. Buch, Marco Sandrini, and Leonardo G. Cohen. 2013. "Noninvasive Brain Stimulation: From Physiology to Network Dynamics and Back." *Nature Neuroscience* 16 (7): 838–44. doi:10.1038/nn.3422.

Dougherty, R. F., V. M. Koch, A. A. Brewer, B. Fischer, J. Modersitzki, and B. A. Wandell. 2003. "Visual Field Representations and Locations of Visual Areas V1/2/3 in Human Visual Cortex." *Journal of Vision* 3 (10): 1–1. doi:10.1167/3.10.1.

Han, Wenfei, Luis A. Tellez, Miguel J. Rangel, Simone C. Motta, Xiaobing Zhang, Isaac O. Perez, Newton S. Canteras, Sara J. Shammah-Lagnado, Anthony N. van den Pol, and Ivan E. de Araujo. 2017. "Integrated Control of Predatory Hunting by the Central Nucleus of the Amygdala." *Cell* 168 (1): 311–324.e18. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.12.027.

Sejnowski, Terrence J, Patricia S Churchland, and J Anthony Movshon. 2014. "Putting Big Data to Good Use in Neuroscience." *Nature Neuroscience* 17 (11). Nature Publishing Group: 1440–1. doi:10.1038/nn.3839.