

Onboarding and Portals

DRAFT-RICHARDSON-EMU-EAP-ONBOARDING-04

MICHAEL RICHARDSON <MCR+IETF@SANDELMAN.CA

ALAN DEKOK

IETF124 - MONTREAL

DEVICE ONBOARDING

- ▶ Unconfigured device needs to be onboarded, but has no credentials
- ▶ Solution: use **unauthenticated** EAP-TLS, and join a captive portal network
- ▶ RFC 5216 allows for unauthenticated EAP-TLS, but offers no further details
 - This document is the small amount of details required
- ▶ Solution: use explicit signalling via NAI of **onboarding@tls.eap.arpa**
 - ▶ Enabled by recent work on eap.arpa, approved, in RFC-editor Q
 - ▶ This NAI is local only, and cannot be forwarded / proxied
 - ▶ device can access a limited network for onboarding: a quarantine network

QUARANTEED AND/OR CAPTIVE!

- ▶ Most enterprises have networks (“SSID”s) onto which devices that fail their security posture are placed.
 - ▶ Access to limited DNS and operating system update servers is provided.
 - ▶ Many enterprises, hotels, train-stations, etc.. have guest networks with a typical captive portal.
 - ▶ This mechanism lets those networks have encryption without needing yet-another WPA mechanism. You can have it today.
 - ▶ On a captive portal network, an IoT or headless device can use RFC8995(BRSKI) to get credentials
 - ▶ Or another system,
 - ▶ SZTP,
 - ▶ OPC UA Part 21, ...
 - ▶ this avoids trying to stuff BRSKI into EAP, and reuses existing captive portal infrastructure
 - And does not add any additional SSIDs, so takes no more beacon space, etc.

UPDATES SINCE IETF114 AND IETF123

- ▶ A chunk of what was in this document is now in draft-ietf-emu-eap-arpa
- ▶ Looks like maybe onboarding@tls.eap.arpa or nobody@tls.eap.arpa might be correct, but not sure which one yet.
- ▶ Had planned running code in 2023, but it has yet to happen.
 - Was discussed in Madrid



DISCUSSION

DRAFT-RICHARDSON-EMU-EAP-ONBOARDING-04