

# NV Centers

David Tinoco

March 21, 2025

## 1 NV centers

Following the Charles' note and the reference [1]. We consider the evolution of an NV center system made of the electronic spin coupled to the nitrogen nuclear spin. The dynamics of such a system is governed by the following Hamiltonian:

$$H = \omega_S S_z + \omega_I I_z + A S_z I_z + u(t) S_x + v(t) I_z \quad (1)$$

where  $\omega_S$  and  $\omega_I$  denote the respective Larmor frequencies<sup>1</sup> of the electron and the nuclear spin.  $A$  represents the strength of the secular hyperfine coupling,  $u(t)$  and  $v(t)$  are the amplitudes of the control fields. Here,  $S_j = (\sigma_j \otimes \mathbb{I})/2$  acts on the electron spin and  $I_k = (\mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma_k)/2$  acts on the nuclear spin with  $j, k \in \{x, y, z\}$ .

### 1.1 Contrability

We can write the hamiltonian 1 in other way

$$2\pi(H_0 + uH_1 + vH_2) \quad (2)$$

were

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= i(\omega_S S_z + \omega_I I_z + A_{\parallel} S_z I_z + A_{\perp} S_z I_x), \\ H_1 &= i(S_x), \\ H_2 &= i(I_x). \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 1.* From the Schrödinger equation with  $\hbar = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\Psi(t)\rangle &= H(t) |\Psi(t)\rangle \\ \frac{d}{dt} |\Psi(t)\rangle &= -2\pi i (H_0 + H_1 + H_2) |\Psi(t)\rangle \end{aligned}$$

**Notation 1.** For future purposes, I will use  $H_j$  for  $iH_j$ . And for commutators

$$H_{jk} := [H_j, H_k] \quad H_{jkl} := [H_j, [H_k, H_l]]$$

and so on.

**Proposition 1.** *A system with Hamiltonian (2) is controllable. The propagator can be an arbitrary element of  $SU(4)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $H_0$  is always recurrent, it is enough to prove that the Lie span

$$\text{Lie}\{H_0, H_1, H_2\}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The Larmor Frequency refers to the precession frequency of electron spins in a material, which is influenced by the effective magnetic fields generated by polarized nuclei through hyperfine interactions.

is equal to  $su(4)$ , which has a natural basis

$$su(4) = \text{span}\{S_u I_v : (u, v) \neq (0, 0)\}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{10} &= i(\omega_S S_y + A_{\parallel} S_y I_z + A_{\perp} S_y I_x) \\ H_{110} &= -i(\omega_S S_z + A_{\parallel} S_z I_z + A_{\perp} S_z I_x) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$H_0 + H_{110} = i\omega_I I_z = H_3 \sim I_z$$

Similarly we find

$$H_{22110} = iA_{\parallel} S_z I_z$$

and

$$[H_3, [H_3, H_{110}]] = i\omega_I A_{\perp} S_z I_x \sim iA_{\perp} S_z I_x.$$

Therefore

$$H_{110} + H_{22110} + [H_3, [H_3, H_{110}]]/\omega_I = -xi\omega_S S_z \sim S_z$$

Taking the commutator of  $S_x$  and  $S_z$  gives  $S_y$ . Therefore, the Lie span contains all  $S_u$  with  $u \neq 0$ . Similarly, it contains all  $I_u$ . Taking the commutators of  $S_u, I_v$  with  $H_{22110}$  gives all possible pairs  $S_u I_v$  with non-zero  $u, v$ . □

Next, let us assume that we can only control the electron, which means that  $v = 0$ . First, we treat a subcase.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $A_{\perp} = 0$ . In this case a system with Hamiltonian (2) and  $v = 0$  is not controllable. Its Lie span is isomorphic to  $su(2) \oplus su(2) \oplus u(1)$  as **complex Lie algebras**.*

*Proof.* Now, we have the following hamiltonians

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= i(\omega_S S_z + \omega_I I_z + A_{\parallel} S_z I_z), \\ H_1 &= iS_x. \end{aligned}$$

Lets start to see what we can generate with  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ , i.e.,  $\text{span}\{H_0, H_1\}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{10} &= i(\omega_S S_y + A_{\parallel} S_y I_z) \\ H_{110} &= -i(\omega_S S_z + A_{\parallel} S_z I_z) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$H_0 + H_{110} = i\omega_I I_z = H_3 \sim I_z$$

we see that  $I_z \in \text{Lie}\{H_0, H_1\}$ . Next, we compute

$$[H_{110}, H_{10}] = i(\omega_S^2 + A_{\parallel}^2/4)S_x + i2\omega_S A_{\parallel} S_x I_z$$

and one more commutator

$$\begin{aligned} [[H_{110}, H_{10}], H_{10}] &= -i\omega_S(\omega_S^2 + A_{\parallel}^2/4)S_z - iA_{\parallel}(\omega_S^2 - A_{\parallel}^2/4)S_z I_z - i2\omega_S^2 A_{\parallel} S_z I_z - i(\omega_S A_{\parallel}^2/2)S_z \\ &= -i\omega_S(\omega_S^2 - 3A_{\parallel}^2/4)S_z - iA_{\parallel}(3\omega_S^2 + A_{\parallel}^2/4)S_z I_z \end{aligned}$$

Now, lets see if the vectors  $H_{110}$  and  $[[H_{110}, H_{10}], H_{10}]$  are independent.

$$\begin{vmatrix} \omega_s & -i\omega_S(\omega_S^2 - 3A_{\parallel}^2/4) \\ A_{\parallel} & -A_{\parallel}(3\omega_S^2 + A_{\parallel}^2/4) \end{vmatrix} S_z \wedge S_z I_z = \omega_s A_{\parallel} (A_{\parallel}^2/2 - 2\omega_s^2) S_z \wedge S_z I_z$$

So, the two vectors are independent if the determinant is different of zero. This means, that

$$A_{\parallel}^2/2 - 2\omega_S^2 \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow A_{\parallel} \neq 2\omega_S, \quad \text{note that } A_{\parallel}, \omega_S \geq 0$$

Then, we can write

$$\begin{pmatrix} S_z \\ S_z I_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_s & -i\omega_S(\omega_S^2 - 3A_{\parallel}^2/4) \\ A_{\parallel} & -A_{\parallel}(3\omega_S^2 + A_{\parallel}^2/4) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} H_{110} \\ [[H_{110}, H_{10}], H_{10}] \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence we can generate  $S_z$  and  $S_z I_z$ . This implies that for  $A_{\parallel} \neq 2\omega_S$ ,  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  generated the subalgebra

$$L_1 = \text{span}\{iS_u I_z, iS_v : u \in \{0, x, y, z\}, v \in \{x, y, z\}\}.$$

For the case  $A_{\parallel} = 2\omega_S$ , the vectors  $H_{110}$  and  $[[H_{110}, H_{10}], H_{10}]$  are dependent and generate the subalgebra

$$L_2 = \text{span}\{iS_x, iI_z, i(S_u + S_u I_z) : u \in \{x, y, z\}\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Lie}\{H_0, H_1\} = \begin{cases} L_1 & \text{if } A_{\parallel} \neq 2\omega_S \\ L_2 & \text{if } A_{\parallel} = 2\omega_S \end{cases}$$

which is a subalgebra of  $su(4)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $A_{\perp} \neq 0$ . In this case a system with Hamiltonian (2) and  $v = 0$  is controllable.*

*Proof.* We will show that a part of the argument can be reduced to the proof of the previous Proposition 1. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} H_{10} &= i(\omega_S S_y + A_{\parallel} S_y I_z + A_{\perp} S_y I_x) \\ H_{110} &= -i(\omega_S S_z + A_{\parallel} S_z I_z + A_{\perp} S_z I_x) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$H_0 + H_{110} = i\omega_I I_z = H_3 \sim I_z$$

Similarly we find

$$[H_3, [H_3, H_{110}]] = i\omega_I A_{\perp} S_z I_x \sim iA_{\perp} S_z I_x.$$

and

$$[H_3, [H_3, H_{10}]] = i\omega_I A_{\perp} S_y I_x \sim iA_{\perp} S_y I_x.$$

Hence  $H_{10} - [H_3, [H_3, H_{10}]] = i(\omega_S S_y + A_{\parallel} S_y I_z)$  and  $H_{110} - [H_3, [H_3, H_{110}]] = i(\omega_S S_z + A_{\parallel} S_z I_z)$  are equal to  $H_{10}$  and  $H_{110}$  from the previous case (when  $A_{\perp} = 0$ ). Then, it is easy to see that  $\text{Lie}\{H_0, H_1\}$  contains  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . For the case  $A_{\parallel} \neq 2\omega$ , we use the same arguments as in the previous proof. For the case  $A_{\parallel} = 2\omega$ , less find  $S_u + S_u I_z$ , with  $u \in \{y, z\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} H_{10} - H_{3310} &\sim i(\omega_S S_y + A_{\parallel} S_y I_z) \\ H_{110} - H_{33110} &\sim i(\omega_S S_z + A_{\parallel} S_z I_z) \end{aligned}$$

With this, we can compute

$$[iI_x, i(S_z + S_z I_z)] = -([I_x, S_z] + [I_x, S_z I_z]) = -(0 - iS_z I_y) = iS_z I_y$$

and one more commutator

$$[iI_x, [iI_x, i(S_z + S_z I_z)]] \sim iS_z I_z.$$

With this, we can compute

$$[iI_z, [iS_z I_z, iS_z I_x]] = [iI_z, -iI_y] = -iI_x \sim iI_x$$

and  $iI_x$  is equal to  $H_2$  in Proposition 1. So,  $\text{Lie}\{H_0, H_1\} = \text{Lie}\{H_0, H_1, H_2\}$  and the system is controllable by Proposition 1.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Haidong Yuan et al. “Time-optimal polarization transfer from an electron spin to a nuclear spin”. In: *Physical Review A* 92.5 (Nov. 2015). ISSN: 1094-1622. DOI: 10.1103/physreva.92.053414. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.92.053414>.