- (A) 7.32 million
- (B) 7.42 million
- (C) 7.52 million
- (D) 7.62 million
- (E) 7.72 million
- 8. You are considering the purchase of a 3-month 41 <del>an</del> call option on a nondividend-paying stock.

vc (S(0),0) =?

You are given:

- The Black-Scholes framework holds. (i)
- (ii) The stock is currently selling for 40.
- (iii) The stock's volatility is 30%.
- $\Delta_{c}(S(0), 0) = 0.5$   $N(d_{1}(S(0), 0))$ (iv) The current call option delta is 0.5.

Determine the current price of the option.

(A) 
$$20 - 20.453 \int_{-\infty}^{0.15} e^{-x^2/2} dx$$

(B) 
$$20 - 16.138 \int_{-\infty}^{0.15} e^{-x^2/2} dx$$

(C) 
$$20 - 40.453 \int_{-\infty}^{0.15} e^{-x^2/2} dx$$

(D) 
$$16.138 \int_{-\infty}^{0.15} e^{-x^2/2} dx - 20.453$$

(D) 
$$16.138 \int_{-\infty}^{0.15} e^{-x^2/2} dx - 20.453$$
  
(E)  $40.453 \int_{-\infty}^{0.15} e^{-x^2/2} dx - 20.453$ 

$$N(d_1(s(0), 0)) = 0.5$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{5(0)}{5(0)} \right) + \left( \frac{5(0)}{5(0)} \right) + \left( \frac{5(0)}{5(0)} \right) = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\left[\ln\left(\frac{S(o)}{K}\right) + \left(\Gamma + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) \cdot T\right] = 0$$

IFM-01-18

Page 45 of 105

$$ln(\frac{40}{41.5}) + (r + \frac{0.09}{2}) \cdot \frac{1}{4} = 0$$

$$r + 0.045 = 4 \cdot ln(\frac{41.5}{40})$$

$$r = 4 \cdot ln(\frac{41.5}{40}) - 0.045 = \frac{0.10226}{2}$$

$$v_{c}(s(0), 0) = 40 \cdot (0.5) - \frac{41.5}{2}e^{-0.10226(\frac{1}{2})} \cdot N(-0.15)$$

$$= 20 - \frac{40.453}{2} \cdot (1 - N(0.15))$$

$$= 20 - \frac{40.453}{2} + \frac{40.453}{2} \cdot N(0.15)$$

$$= 40.453 \cdot N(0.15) - 20.453$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2}}{2} dz$$

$$= 40.453 \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{2^{2}}{2} dz$$

$$= 40.453 \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{2^{2}}{2} dz$$

16.138

## Delta. Hedging. Market Makers. · immediacy } => exposure to risk => (hedge) Say, a market maker writes an option whose value f'hion is At time 0, they wrote the option. So, they get v(5(0),0). At time t, the value of the market maker's position is - v(s,t) To (partially) hedge this exposure to risk, they construct a portfolio which has zero sensitivity to small perturbations in the stock price. Formally speaking, their goal is to create a delta neutral portfolio, i.e., a portfolie for which $\Delta_{prt}(s,t)=0$ Theoretically, with continuous rebalancing w/no transaction costs it's possible. Practically, continuous rebalancing is impossible and there are transaction costs. In particular, @ time.0, we want to trade so that Δ<sub>Port</sub> (S(0),0) = 0. The most straightforward strategy is to trade in the shares of the underlying asset. At time t let N(s,t) denote the required number of shares in the portfolio necessary to maintain D. neutrality.

```
The total value of the portfolio is:

v_{Brt}(s,t) = N(s,t) \cdot s - v(s,t)

\Delta v_{Brt}(s,t) = N(s,t) - \Delta(s,t) = 0

\Delta v_{Brt}(s,t) = N(s,t) - \Delta(s,t)

[Example. A market maker units a call option @ time·0.

At time·t, the market maker's position is:

-v_{c}(s,t)

=> They have to maintain N(s,t) = \Delta_{c}(s,t)

in the \Delta·hedge.

=> In particular, @ time·0:
```

N(S(0),0) = N(d,(S(0),0)) >0,

Example.

i.e., the market maker should long this much of a share.