

Monte Carlo Simulation of Option Prices

- ① Introduction
- ② Monte Carlo Valuation for Options
- ③ Efficient Monte Carlo Simulation
- ④ Valuation of American Options

Monte Carlo

- **Theorem [Law of Large Numbers (LLN)]**
Let $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables and let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $\mu := \mathbb{E}[g(X_1)]$ exists. Then,

$$\frac{1}{n}(g(X_1) + g(X_2) + \cdots + g(X_n)) \rightarrow \mu \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

- The idea behind Monte Carlo simulation (integration) is the following:

Suppose that you are interested in a quantity y which can be expressed as $y = \mathbb{E}[g(X)]$ for some random variable X and a real function g . Then, draw the simulated values x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n from the distribution X . To approximate y , calculate the average

$$\frac{1}{n}(g(x_1) + g(x_2) + \cdots + g(x_n))$$

- The accuracy of this approximation can be shown to be of the order $1/\sqrt{n}$. This means that you have to *quadruple* the number of simulations n if you wish to *double* the precision of the approximation.

Monte Carlo Simulation of Option Prices

- ① Introduction
- ② Monte Carlo Valuation for Options
- ③ Efficient Monte Carlo Simulation
- ④ Valuation of American Options

Introduction

- Let $V(S_T, T)$ represent the payoff of the option on S with maturity T .
- Then, the time-0 Monte Carlo price $V(S_0, 0)$ is given by

$$V(S_0, 0) = \frac{1}{N} e^{-rT} \sum_{i=1}^N V(S_T^i, T)$$

where r denotes the interest rate and $S_T^i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are simulated time- T stock prices

MONTE CARLO SIMULATION OF OPTION PRICES

Example. A European call

$$v(s, T) = (s - K)_+ \quad \dots \text{terminal condition, i.e., the payoff}$$

LogNormal stock-price simulations

$$s_i = S(0) e^{(r - s - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T + \sigma\sqrt{T} \cdot z_i}$$

RISK-NEUTRAL PRICING!

w/ $z_i = N^{-1}(u_i)$ and u_i a draw from the unit uniform dist'n.

The Monte Carlo method produces this

"approximation" for the call price:

$$\bar{C} = e^{-rT} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (s_i - K)_+$$

N... constant # of simulation

— . — . — . — . — . — . — . — . —

In order to double the accuracy (i.e., to halve the std dev of the simulated price), we need to quadruple the number of draws.

Accuracy of Monte Carlo

- To gauge the accuracy of the Monte Carlo method, we calculate the standard deviation of the estimate.
- Let \bar{C}_n denote the Monte Carlo estimate based on n trials. Then,

$$\bar{C}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n C(\tilde{S}_i)$$

where $C(\tilde{S}_i), i = 1, \dots, n$ denote the call prices obtained using the simulated values \tilde{S}_i .

- Then,

$$\sigma_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sigma_C^2$$

where σ_n denotes the standard deviation of the call price based on n draws and σ_C denotes the standard deviation of the call price based on a single draw

Accuracy of Monte Carlo (cont'd)

- So,

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sigma_C$$

which implies that, in order to double the accuracy, one needs to quadruple the number of draws.

Arithmetic Asian option

- Here, the arithmetic average of the stock price replaces the actual stock price at expiration.
- The recipe is to simulate paths of the stock - only at discrete times used in the averaging - and calculate the payoff for each of them.
 - Do the above N times (N will depend on the desired accuracy).
 - Calculate the average of the discounted values you obtained in the previous step.

Arithmetic Asian option (cont'd)

- Let the Asian option have the payoff
 

$$V(S, T) = \left(\frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^M S_{\frac{kT}{M}} - K \right)^+$$

- For each simulated path $S^i, i = 1, \dots, N$, you find:

$$S_{\frac{T}{M}}^i = S_0 \exp\left\{ \left(r - \delta - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right) \frac{T}{M} + \sigma \sqrt{\frac{T}{M}} z_1^i \right\}$$

⋮

$$S_{\frac{kT}{M}}^i = S_{\frac{(k-1)T}{M}} \exp\left\{ \left(r - \delta - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right) \frac{T}{M} + \sigma \sqrt{\frac{T}{M}} z_k^i \right\}$$

⋮

where $z_k^i, k = 1, \dots, M$ are draws from the standard normal distribution

- Calculate

$$C_i = e^{-rT} V(S^i, T)$$

Arithmetic Asian option (cont'd)

- Do the above N times for $i = 1, \dots, N$ and get C_i for $i = 1, \dots, N$
- Your estimate will be

$$\bar{C}_A = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N C_i$$

• See Figure 19.2 and Table 19.3

Monte Carlo Simulation of Option Prices

- ① Introduction
- ② Monte Carlo Valuation for Options
- ③ Efficient Monte Carlo Simulation
- ④ Valuation of American Options

Efficiency Improvement

CONTROL VARIATE METHOD

The Idea

Use the price of a correlated product which HAS an analytic pricing formula to estimate the error in pricing by simulation of the actual option of interest.

The Implementation

Simulate \hat{X} , get
 \uparrow
 any random variable
 get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[v(\hat{X})] &= \bar{\mu}_v \leftarrow \text{simulated} \\ \mathbb{E}[g(\hat{X})] &= \bar{\mu}_g \leftarrow\end{aligned}$$

Assume that we do know how to explicitly get $\bar{\mu}_g = \mathbb{E}[g(\hat{X})]$ (the theoretical mean!)

But we do not know of an expression for $\bar{\mu}_v = \mathbb{E}[v(\hat{X})]$

Instead of looking at:

$\bar{\mu}_v$ as the estimator, we

look at

$$\bar{\mu}_v + \hat{a} (\bar{\mu}_g - \bar{\mu}_g)$$

The optimal \hat{a} is:

$$\hat{a} = -\frac{\text{Cov}[v(x), g(x)]}{\text{Var}[g(x)]}$$

Illustration

- The illustrative example is pricing arithmetic Asian options using geometric Asian options
- Read the relevant appendix to Chapter 14
- Let \bar{A} be the simulated price of an arithmetic Asian option and let \bar{G} be the simulated price of a geometric Asian option. It is essential that the same simulated paths be used to calculate both \bar{A} and \bar{G} .
- Let G represent the true geometric price (see Appendices 14.B and 19. A)
- Choosing $\hat{\alpha} = -1$, we get a price estimate

$$A^* = \bar{A} + (G - \bar{G})$$

with a (possibly) smaller variance since

$$\text{Var}[A^*] = \text{Var}[\bar{A}] + \text{Var}[\bar{G}] - 2\text{Cov}[\bar{A}, \bar{G}]$$

Illustration (cont'd)

- As we have seen already, it is optimal to choose

$$\hat{a} = -\frac{\text{Cov}[A(S), G(S)]}{\text{Var}[G(S)]}$$

with $A(S)$ and $G(S)$ the true payoffs as functions of the paths of the stock price S

- However, it is not always clear how to calculate the above
- One remedy is to estimate it as

$$\hat{a} = -\frac{\text{Cov}[\bar{A}, \bar{G}]}{\text{Var}[\bar{G}]}$$

which you should recognize as the (negative) of the slope when one runs the linear regression of \bar{G} versus \bar{A}

For Questions 58 and 59, you are to assume the Black-Scholes framework.

Let $C(K)$ denote the Black-Scholes price for a 3-month K -strike European call option on a nondividend-paying stock. **"THEORETICAL" / ANALYTIC PRICES**

Let $\hat{C}(K)$ denote the Monte Carlo price for a 3-month K -strike European call option on the stock, calculated by using 5 random 3-month stock prices simulated under the risk-neutral probability measure.

SIMULATED PRICES

You are to estimate the price of a 3-month 42-strike European call option on the stock using the formula

$$C^*(42) = \hat{C}(42) + \beta [C(40) - \hat{C}(40)], \quad \beta = -\hat{\alpha} \text{ from McDonald}$$

where the coefficient β is such that the variance of $C^*(42)$ is minimized.

You are given:

- (i) The continuously compounded risk-free interest rate is 8%. $r = 0.08$
- (ii) $C(40) = 2.7847$. **THE ANALYTIC PRICE**
- (iii) Both Monte Carlo prices, $\hat{C}(40)$ and $\hat{C}(42)$, are calculated using the following 5 random 3-month stock prices:

33.29, 37.30, 40.35, 43.65, 48.90

58. Based on the 5 simulated stock prices, estimate β .

- (A) Less than 0.75
- (B) At least 0.75, but less than 0.8
- (C) At least 0.8, but less than 0.85
- (D) At least 0.85, but less than 0.9
- (E) At least 0.9

59. Based on the 5 simulated stock prices, compute $C^*(42)$.

- (A) Less than 1.7
- (B) At least 1.7, but less than 1.9
- (C) At least 1.9, but less than 2.2
- (D) At least 2.2, but less than 2.6
- (E) At least 2.6

$$\beta = \frac{\text{Cov}[\hat{C}(42), \hat{C}(40)]}{\text{Var}[\hat{C}(40)]}$$

We do not have the actual covariances & variances

=> we estimate!

$$C^*(42) = ?$$

$$\hat{C}(42) = e^{-0.08 \cdot \frac{1}{4}} \cdot 1.71 = 1.676$$

$$\hat{C}(40) = e^{-0.02} \cdot 2.58 = 2.529$$

$$C^*(42) = 1.676 + 0.7642 (2.7847 - 2.529) \\ = 1.87$$