
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

Problem Set # 13

Hypothesis testing: One-sample proportion.**Problem 13.1.** *Source: Problem 8.99 from the Moore/McCabe/Craig.*

Castaneda v. Partida is an important court case in which statistical methods were used as part of a legal argument. When reviewing this case, the Supreme Court used the phrase “two or three standard deviations” as a criterion for statistical significance. This Supreme Court review has served as the basis for many subsequent applications of statistical methods in legal settings. (The two or three standard deviations referred to by the Court are values of the z statistic and correspond to p -values of approximately 0.05 and 0.0026.)

In *Castaneda* the plaintiffs alleged that the method for selecting juries in a county in Texas was biased against Mexican Americans. For the period of time at issue, there were 181,535 persons eligible for jury duty, of whom 143,611 were Mexican Americans. Of the 870 people selected for jury duty, 339 were Mexican Americans.

(i) (1 point) What proportion of eligible jurors were Mexican Americans?

(ii) (2 points) Let p denote the probability that a randomly selected juror is a Mexican American. Formulate the null and alternative hypotheses to be tested.

(iii) (1 point) What is the sample proportion of jurors who were Mexican American?

(iv) (4 points) Compute the z -statistic, and find the p -value.

(v) (2 points) How would you summarize your conclusions? (A finding of statistical significance in this circumstance does not constitute proof of discrimination. It can be used, however, to establish a *prima facie* case. The burden of proof then shifts to the defense.)