

Problem 13.4. Assume that the stock price is modeled using the lognormal distribution. The stock pays no dividends. Under \mathbb{P}^* , the annual mean rate of return on the stock is given to be 12%. Also under \mathbb{P}^* , the median time- t stock price is evaluated to be $S(0)e^{0.1t}$. What is the volatility parameter of this stock price?

$$\rightarrow: \cancel{S(0)e^{(r-\frac{\sigma^2}{2})t}} = \cancel{S(0)e^{0.1t}}$$

$$r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} = 0.1$$

$$0.12 - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} = 0.1$$

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{2} = 0.12 - 0.1 = 0.02 \Rightarrow \sigma^2 = 0.04 \Rightarrow \sigma = 0.2$$

Problem 13.5. The current stock price is \$100 per share. The stock price at any time $t > 0$ is modeled using the lognormal distribution. Assume that the continuously compounded risk-free interest rate equals 8%. Let its volatility equal 20%.

Find the value t^* at which the median stock price equals \$120, under the risk-neutral probability measure.

$$\rightarrow: \text{median time-}t \text{ stock price} = S(0)e^{(r-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}) \cdot t}$$

$$120 = 100 e^{(0.08 - \frac{0.04}{2}) \cdot t^*}$$

$$t^* = \frac{\ln(1.2)}{0.06} = 3.039$$

Problem 13.6. The volatility of the price of a non-dividend-paying stock is 0.2. The stock price is modeled using a log-normal distribution. Under \mathbb{P}^* , the expected time-2 stock price is \$120. What is the median of the time-2 stock price under \mathbb{P}^* ?

$$\rightarrow: \text{mean} = \mathbb{E}^*[S(T)] = S(0)e^{rT}$$

$$S(T) = S(0)e^{(r-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}) \cdot T + \sigma\sqrt{T} \cdot Z}$$

$$Z \sim N(0,1)$$

$$\text{median} = S(0)e^{(r-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}) \cdot T}$$

M339D : April 12th,
2024.

$$\sigma = 0.2$$

$$\mathbb{E}^*[S(2)] = 120 = S(0)e^{r \cdot 2}$$

$$S(0)e^{r \cdot 2} \cdot e^{-\frac{\sigma^2}{2} \cdot 2} = 120 \cdot e^{-0.04} = 120e^{-0.04} = 115.29$$

Problem 11.3.

$X \sim \text{Normal}(\text{mean} = -0.35, \text{sd} = 0.2)$

$$Y = e^X$$

$$\mathbb{P}[Y > t^*] = 0.95 \quad t^* = ?$$

$$\mathbb{P}[e^X > t^*] = 0.95$$

$$\mathbb{P}[X > \ln(t^*)] = 0.95$$

$$\mathbb{P}[-0.35 + 0.2 \cdot Z > \ln(t^*)] = 0.95$$

$$Z \sim N(0,1)$$

$$X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$Z := \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} \sim N(0,1)$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$X = \mu + \sigma \cdot Z$$

LogNormal Tail Probabilities.

Example. Consider a non-dividend-paying stock.

What is the probability that the stock outperforms a risk-free investment under the risk-neutral probability measure?

→: The initially invested amount is: $S(0)$

- If it's the risk-free investment, the balance @ time T is:

$$S(0)e^{rT}$$

- If it's the stock investment, the wealth @ time T is:

$$S(T)$$

$$\mathbb{P}^*[S(T) > S(0)e^{rT}] = ?$$

This question is equivalent to the one of whether the profit for the stock investment is positive under \mathbb{P}^* .

In the Black-Scholes model:

$$S(T) = S(0)e^{(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}) \cdot T + \sigma \sqrt{T} \cdot Z}$$

w/ $Z \sim N(0,1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{P}^*[S(0)e^{(r-\frac{\sigma^2}{2})\cdot T + \sigma\sqrt{T}\cdot Z} > S(0)e^{rT}] = \\
 &= \mathbb{P}^*\left[(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}) \cdot T + \sigma\sqrt{T} \cdot Z > rT\right] \quad (\ln(\cdot) \text{ is increasing}) \\
 &= \mathbb{P}^*\left[\sigma\sqrt{T} \cdot Z > \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \cdot T\right] = \mathbb{P}^*\left[Z > \frac{\sigma T}{2}\right] \quad (\text{symmetry of } N(0,1)) \\
 &= \mathbb{P}^*\left[Z < -\frac{\sigma T}{2}\right] = N\left(-\frac{\sigma T}{2}\right) \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Motivation.

Consider a European call option w/ strike K and exercise date T . Under the risk-neutral probability measure \mathbb{P}^* , what is the probability that the option is in-the-money on the exercise date?

→ In the Black-Scholes model:

$$S(T) = S(0)e^{(r-\frac{\sigma^2}{2})\cdot T + \sigma\sqrt{T}\cdot Z} \quad \text{w/ } Z \sim N(0,1)$$

We are calculating:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{P}^*[S(T) > K] = \\
 &= \mathbb{P}^*[S(0)e^{(r-\frac{\sigma^2}{2})\cdot T + \sigma\sqrt{T}\cdot Z} > K] \\
 &= \mathbb{P}^*\left[e^{(r-\frac{\sigma^2}{2})\cdot T + \sigma\sqrt{T}\cdot Z} > \frac{K}{S(0)}\right] \quad (\ln(\cdot) \text{ is increasing}) \\
 &= \mathbb{P}^*\left[(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}) \cdot T + \sigma\sqrt{T} \cdot Z > \ln\left(\frac{K}{S(0)}\right)\right] \\
 &= \mathbb{P}^*\left[\sigma\sqrt{T} \cdot Z > \ln\left(\frac{K}{S(0)}\right) - (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}) \cdot T\right] \\
 &= \mathbb{P}^*\left[Z > \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\left(\ln\left(\frac{K}{S(0)}\right) - (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}) \cdot T\right)\right] \quad (\text{symmetry of } N(0,1)) \\
 &= \mathbb{P}^*\left[Z < \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\left[\ln\left(\frac{S(0)}{K}\right) + (r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}) \cdot T\right]\right] \\
 &\qquad\qquad\qquad = d_2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{P}^*[S(T) > K] = N(d_2)$$

Consequently: The probability that the otherwise identical put is in the money is

$$\mathbb{P}^*[S(T) < K] = 1 - N(d_2) = N(-d_2)$$

Why?

Goal: Price calls and puts in the Black-Scholes model.

By risk-neutral pricing:

$$V_c(0) = e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}^*[N_c(T)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}^*[V_c(T)] &= \mathbb{E}^*[(S(T) - K)_+] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^*[(S(T) - K) \cdot \mathbb{I}_{[S(T) > K]}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^*[S(T) \cdot \mathbb{I}_{[S(T) > K]}] - K \cdot \mathbb{E}^*[\mathbb{I}_{[S(T) > K]}]\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}^*[S(T) > K] \\ \text{=} \\ N(d_2)\end{aligned}$$