

M399: February 17<sup>th</sup>, 2023.

## The parametric distribution: Definition

- **Definition:** A **parametric distribution** is a set of distribution functions where each of these distribution functions is fully specified through one or more (a **fixed and finite number**) **parameters**.
- All of the distributions in the **Appendices A and B** (in your tables) are parametric
- For individual examples, look at the problems we did so far in this course ...

## The scale distribution: Definition

- **Definition:** A parametric distribution is a **scale distribution** if, when a random variable from that set of distributions is multiplied by a positive constant, the resulting random variable is also in that set of distributions.
- For instance, the Weibull distribution is a scale distribution
- Other examples are:
  - exponential (see textbook), ✓
  - gamma (see textbook),
  - normal (why?)

Example. [The Weibull Distribution]

$$X \sim \text{Weibull}(\theta, \tau)$$

$$F_X(x) = 1 - e^{-(\frac{x}{\theta})^\tau} \quad \checkmark$$

Claim.  $\tilde{X} := k \cdot X$  is also Weibull.  
 $k > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} y > 0: F_{\tilde{X}}(y) &= \mathbb{P}[\tilde{X} \leq y] = \mathbb{P}[k \cdot X \leq y] \\ &\stackrel{\text{by def'n}}{=} \mathbb{P}\left[X \leq \frac{y}{k}\right] \\ &= F_X\left(\frac{y}{k}\right) = F_X\left(\frac{y}{k}\right)^{\tau} \\ &= 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{y}{k\theta}\right)^\tau} \\ &= 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{y}{k\theta}\right)^\tau} \\ \Rightarrow \tilde{X} &\sim \text{Weibull}(\tilde{\theta} = \underline{k \cdot \theta}, \tilde{\tau} = \underline{\tau}) \end{aligned}$$

## The scale distribution: Definition

- **Definition:** Let  $X$  be a random variable with nonnegative support which has a scale distribution.  
If a parameter of that scale distribution satisfies the following two conditions:

1. When a member of that scale distribution is multiplied by a positive constant, the scale parameter is multiplied by the **same** constant, while
2. all the **other parameters remain the same**,  
that parameter is called the **scale parameter**.
  - For the exponential (we do have a scale parameter  $\theta$ )
  - For gamma (we again have a scale parameter  $\theta$ )
  - Weibull (again  $\theta$ )
  - For the lognormal we do not have a scale parameter (according to the parametrization used in the textbook) - although this is a scale distribution (why??)

## Parametric distribution families

- “**Definition:**”

A **parametric distribution family** is a set of parametric distributions that are related in some meaningful way.

- Most importantly, we can do the following:
  - the set of parameters is finite - but we can decrease the exact number of parameters by setting some of them to be constant, e.g., exponential is a special type of gamma (how?)
  - equal to each other, e.g., paralogistic is a special type of distribution from the transformed beta distribution family with  $\tau = 1$  and  $\alpha = \gamma$

## Loss Elimination Ratio. (LER)

... is the ratio of the decrease in the insurer's expected pmt w/ an ordinary deductible  $d$  to the insurer's expected pmt w/ no deductible.

As usual:  $X$  ... loss random variable

Assume:  $\mathbb{E}[X] < \infty$

$$LER = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X] - \mathbb{E}[(X-d)_+]}{\mathbb{E}[X]} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X-d]}{\mathbb{E}[X]}$$

Note:  $\mathbb{E}[X-d] \leq \mathbb{E}[X] \Rightarrow LER \leq 1$

Example. Let the ground-up loss r.v.  $X$  be exponential w/ mean 5000.

Assume that it's insured by an insurance policy w/ an ordinary deductible of 2500.

Find the loss elimination ratio?

→:  $X \sim \text{Exponential}(\text{mean} = \theta = 5000)$

By def'n:  $LER = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X-d]}{\mathbb{E}[X]}$

$$LER = \frac{\cancel{\theta}(1-e^{-\frac{d}{\theta}})}{\cancel{\theta}} = 1 - e^{-\frac{d}{\theta}} = F_X(d)$$

In this problem:  $LER = 1 - e^{-\frac{2500}{5000}} = 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \underline{0.3935}$ .

□

89. You are given:

$$X \sim \text{Exponential}(\text{mean} = \theta)$$

(i) Losses follow an exponential distribution with the same mean in all years.

(ii) The loss elimination ratio this year is 70%.  $\boxed{\text{LER} = 0.7}$

(iii) The ordinary deductible for the coming year is  $\frac{4}{3}$  of the current deductible.

Calculate the loss elimination ratio for the coming year.

$$\underline{d}$$

$$\underline{\underline{d}} = \frac{4}{3} \underline{d}$$

$$\rightarrow: \quad \widetilde{\text{LER}} = ?$$

- (A) 70%  $(\text{ii}) \Rightarrow 0.7 = 1 - e^{-\frac{d}{\theta}} \Rightarrow e^{-\frac{d}{\theta}} = 0.3$
- (B) 75%  $\widetilde{\text{LER}} = 1 - e^{-\frac{\underline{d}}{\theta}} = 1 - e^{-\frac{\frac{4}{3}d}{\theta}} = 1 - (e^{-\frac{d}{\theta}})^{\frac{4}{3}}$
- (C) 80%  $\widetilde{\text{LER}} = 1 - (0.3)^{\frac{4}{3}} = 0.799$   $\square$
- (D) 85%
- (E) 90%

- 90.

Actuaries have modeled auto windshield claim frequencies. They have concluded that the number of windshield claims filed per year per driver follows the Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  follows the gamma distribution with mean 3 and variance 3.

Calculate the probability that a driver selected at random will file no more than 1 windshield claim next year.

- (A) 0.15  
 (B) 0.19  
 (C) 0.20  
 (D) 0.24  
 (E) 0.31

- 126.** The number of annual losses has a Poisson distribution with a mean of 5. The size of each loss has a two-parameter Pareto distribution with  $\theta = 10$  and  $\alpha = 2.5$ . An insurance for the losses has an ordinary deductible of 5 per loss.

Calculate the expected value of the aggregate annual payments for this insurance.

- (A) 8
- (B) 13
- (C) 18
- (D) 23
- (E) 28

- 127.** Losses in 2003 follow a two-parameter Pareto distribution with  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\theta = 5$ . Losses in 2004 are uniformly 20% higher than in 2003. An insurance covers each loss subject to an ordinary deductible of 10.  $\tilde{X} = 1.2X \sim ?$

Calculate the Loss Elimination Ratio in 2004.

→ :

- (A) 5/9
- (B) 5/8**
- (C) 2/3
- (D) 3/4
- (E) 4/5

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{X} &= k \cdot X \\ y > 0: F_{\tilde{X}}(y) &= P[\tilde{X} \leq y] \\ &= P[X \leq \frac{y}{k}] \\ &= F_X\left(\frac{y}{k}\right) = 1 - \left(\frac{\theta}{\frac{y}{k} + \theta}\right)^{\alpha} \\ &= 1 - \left(\frac{k \cdot \theta}{y + k \cdot \theta}\right)^{\alpha}\end{aligned}$$

- 128.** DELETED

- 129.** DELETED

$$\begin{aligned}d &= 10 \\ \tilde{X} &\sim \text{Pareto}(\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha, \tilde{\theta} = k \cdot \theta) \\ \tilde{LER} &= \frac{E[\tilde{X} \wedge d]}{E[\tilde{X}]} = \frac{\tilde{\theta} \cdot (\tilde{\theta} - 1)}{\tilde{\theta}^{\tilde{\alpha}}} = 1 - \left(\frac{\tilde{\theta}}{d + \tilde{\theta}}\right)^{\tilde{\alpha}-1}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{In this problem: } 1 - \left(\frac{6}{10+6}\right)^{2-1} = 1 - \frac{6}{16} = 1 - \frac{3}{8} = \frac{5}{8} \quad \square$$