```
M339G: March 10th, 2025.
               Linear Discriminant Analysis \omega/\rho=1. Fisher 1936.

Goal: Classify observations into one of K classes (K≥2), i.e., figure out
                                                                       p_k(x) := \mathbb{P}[Y=k \mid X=x] posterior probability
                Environment: • The ... prior probability that a randomly chosen observation falls into category k = 1,..., K
                                     choice, f(x)... density function of X for observations from class k = 1.. K
                Then,

Then,

P[Y=k and (X=x)]

P[X=x]

P[X=x]

The Law ed

The La
                   And,
                                                                  \rho_{k}(x) = \frac{\int_{k}^{k} f_{e}(x) \cdot \tilde{l}_{k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{k} f_{e}(x) \cdot \tilde{l}_{k}}
                                                                                                                                                                                  => classify into
                                                                                                                                                                          k = \operatorname{argmax}(p_{\ell}(x))
\ell = 1...K
The Specifics of LDA (p=1).
                The choice: fre are normal densities for each k=1... k, i.e.,
                                                                                           \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x)^2 \frac{1}{\sigma_k \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\chi - \mu_k)^2}{2\sigma_k^2}} \qquad \text{for } k = 1...K
                                     W/ Mk the mean and of the std deviation for class k
           Additional Assumption: Homogeneity: \sigma_1 = \cdots = \sigma_k = \sigma
```

We now return to the posterior probability, i.e.,  $\rho_{R}(x) = \frac{\overline{ll_{R}} f_{R}(x)}{\sum_{l=1}^{K} \overline{ll_{R}} f_{R}(x)}$ 

Remember: We're looking for the k for which the above is

Since all fe(x) have the same denominator, it's sufficient to find the k such that

The fe (2) - max

Because Inl.) is increasing, the above is equivalent to  $ln(\overline{\iota}_k) + ln(f_k(x)) \longrightarrow max$ 

$$ln(\overline{u}_{k}) + ln\left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{(x-\mu_{k})^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}}\right) \longrightarrow max$$

 $ln(ik) - ln(\sigma \sqrt{2i}) - \frac{(x-Hk)^2}{2\sigma^2} \rightarrow max$ constant in terms of k  $\langle = \rangle$ 

$$\ln(\pi_k) - \frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\chi_{xHk}}{\chi_{\sigma^2}} - \frac{\mu_k^2}{2\sigma^2} \longrightarrow \max$$

 $S_{k}(x) := ln(Ti_{k}) + \frac{\mu_{k}}{\sigma^{2}} \times - \frac{\mu_{k}^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}} \longrightarrow max$ 

These are called DISCRIMINANT (SCORES) and they are LINEAR in x.

