Assignment 2.1 Exercises: 1b, 2b, 3b, 4, 5

Exercise 2.1.1b Dividing the basis $x^3 - x^2 + x$ into $x^5 - 4x + 1$ using the division algorithm yields

$$x^{5} - 4x + 1 = (x^{2} + x)(x^{3} - x^{2} + x) + (-x^{2} - 4x + 1)$$

which has a nonzero remainder term $(-x^2-4x+1)$. Therefore, $f(x)=x^5-4x+1\not\in I=\langle x^3-x^2+x\rangle$.

Exercise 2.1.2b We begin by constructing the coefficient matrix (in this case, it is not an augmented matrix since each equation is set to zero). We then row reduce the coefficient matrix.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

The resulting matrix shows that x_3, x_4 are free variables. We will parameterize them by setting $x_3 = t, x_4 = u$ which yields

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{2}u$$

$$x_2 = t + \frac{1}{2}u$$

$$x_3 = t$$

$$x_4 = u$$

Exercise 2.1.3b We begin by rewriting the given parameterization in the following form:

$$2t - 5u - x_1 = 0$$
$$t + 2u - x_2 = 0$$
$$-t + u - x_3 = 0$$
$$t + 3u - x_4 = 0$$

Using this parameterization, we construct the following coefficient matrix and row reduce it.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -5 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{11}{4} & \frac{3}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{3}{4} \end{bmatrix}$$

This results in the equations which define the affine variety:

$$x_1 + \frac{11}{4}x_3 + \frac{3}{4}x_4 = 0$$
$$x_2 + \frac{1}{4}x_3 - \frac{3}{4}x_4 = 0$$

Exercise 2.1.4

(2.1.4a): To show that I is an ideal in the ring R, we first observe that R contains the zero polynomial, which implies that I does as well in the from

$$0 = 0 \cdot x_1 + 0 \cdot x_2 + \dots$$

It is also worth noting that I is nonempty since it contains x_1, x_2 , etc.

Next, let $p, q \in I$ be arbitrary polynomials in I. This implies that

$$p = f_1 \cdot x_1 + f_2 \cdot x_2 + \dots + 0 \cdot x_i + 0 \cdot x_{i+1} + \dots$$

$$q = g_1 \cdot x_1 + g_2 \cdot x_2 + \dots + 0 \cdot x_i + 0 \cdot x_{i+1} + \dots$$

$$p + q = f_1 \cdot x_1 + g_1 \cdot x_1 + f_2 \cdot x_2 + g_2 \cdot x_2 + \dots + 0 \cdot x_i + 0 \cdot x_{i+1} + \dots$$

$$= (f_1 + g_1) \cdot x_1 + (f_2 + g_2) \cdot x_2 + \dots + 0 \cdot x_i + 0 \cdot x_{i+1} + \dots$$

which shows that any arbitrary addition of polynomials in I is also contained in I. Finally, let t be a polynomial in I and let $r \in R$. Then rt is given by

$$rt = r(f_1 \cdot x_1 + f_2 \cdot x_2 + \dots + 0 \cdot x_i + 0 \cdot x_{i+1} + \dots)$$

= $(rf_1) \cdot x_1 + (rf_2) \cdot x_2 + \dots + 0 \cdot x_i + 0 \cdot x_{i+1} + \dots$
= $g_1 \cdot x_1 + g_2 \cdot x_2 + \dots + 0 \cdot x_i + 0 \cdot x_{i+1} + \dots$

with $g_i = rf_i \in R$. Therefore, I is an ideal in the ring R.

(2.1.4b): Suppose, by way of contradiction, that I has a finite generating set $I = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_n \rangle$. Since each g_i contains a finite number of variables, there exists some variable x_{\emptyset} which does not appear in any g_i . This implies that the constant term associated with the x_{\emptyset} variable in each g_i is equal to zero. This follows for all nonzero $f \in \langle g_1, \ldots, g_n \rangle$. This would mean that $x_{\emptyset} \notin \langle g_1, \ldots, g_n \rangle = I = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots \rangle$. This is a contradiction, so there exists no finite generating set for the given ideal.

Exercise 2.1.5

(2.1.5a):

Proof. We proceed with induction on $m \geq 0$.

<u>Base Case</u>: When m = 0, the only polynomial of the given form with degree ≤ 0 is $x^0y^0 = 1$ and $\frac{1}{2}(x+1)(0+2) = 1$, so the base case holds.

Inductive Hypothesis: The number of distinct monomials $x^a y^b$ of total degree leq n in k[x, y] is equal to (m+1)(m+2)/2 when $n \ge 0$.

Inductive Step: Notice that the set of all polynomials of degree $\leq n+1$ can be described as

$${x^a y^b | a + b \le n + 1} = {x^a y^b | a + b \le n} \cup {x^{m+1}, \dots, y^{m+1}}$$

Note that this union is disjoint, and that the set on the right hand side of the union consists of n+2 polynomials as described in our inductive hypothesis. This allows us to conclude that the number of monomials of total degree $\leq n+1$ is given by

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}(m+1)(m+2) + (m+2) &= \frac{1}{2}(2(\frac{1}{2}(m+1)(m+2) + (m+2))) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}((m+1)(m+2) + 2(m+2)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(m^2 + 5m + 6) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(m+2)(m+3) \end{split}$$

as needed.

(2.1.5b): Let f(t), g(t) be polynomials of degree $\leq n$ in t. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that for m large enough, the "monomials" $[f(t)]^a[g(t)]^b$ with $a+b\leq m$ are linearly independent. Then we have that $[f(t)]^a[g(t)]^b$ has degree $\leq n(a+b) \leq nm$. This implies that $[f(t)]^a[g(t)]^b$ lies in the vector space of polynomials of degree leqnm in t, which is of dimension nm+1. Exercise 2.1.5a shows that there are $\frac{1}{2}(m+1)(m+2)$ choices for exponents a and b with $a+b\leq m$. Note that, as m increases, we have that

$$\frac{1}{2}(m+1)(m+2) > nm+1.$$

This is a contradiction, because this implies that $[f(t)]^a[g(t)]^b$ are linearly dependent. This is because $[f(t)]^a[g(t)]^b$ is in a vector space of dimension nm+1. We therefore conclude that the given "monomials" are linearly dependent with a large enough m value.

(2.1.5c): (Note: understanding this problem required lots of help from the internet. Much of what was being asked went over my head without additional explanation). Let the nontrivial relation described in Exercise 2.1.5b be given as

$$\sum_{a+b \le m} c_{a,b} f(t)^a g(t)^b = 0.$$

We then have that $F = \sum_{a+b \leq m} c_{a,b} x^a y^b \in k[x,y]$ is a nonzero polynomial. Furthermore, we have that F(f(t),g(t)) = 0 is the zero polynomial in t. It follows that for all $t \in k, (f(t),g(t)) \in V(F)$. Therefore, V(F) contains the curve in k^2 parameterized by $t \to (f(t),g(t))$.

(2.1.5d):

Proof. We begin by proving a more generalized version of the proposition in Exercise 2.1.5a by induction. We will show that the number of monomials in the form $x^a y^b z^c$ of total degree $\leq m$ is given by $\frac{1}{6}(m+1)(m+2)(m+3)$, i.e., the binomial coefficient $\binom{m+3}{3}$.

Base Case: When m=0, the only possible polynomial in the given form of degree leq m is $x^0y^0z^0=1$. Note that $\frac{1}{6}(0+1)(0+2)(0+3)=1$. Therefore, the base case holds.

Inductive Hypothesis: The number of distinct monomials $x^a y^b z^c$ of total degree leq n in k[x, y, z] is equal to $\frac{1}{6}(m+1)(m+2)(m+3)$ when $n \geq 0$.

Inductive Step: Notice that the set of all polynomials of the given form with degree leq n + 1 can be described as

$$\{x^a y^b c^z | a + b + c \le n + 1\} = \{x^a y^b z^c | a + b + c \le n\} \cup \{x^a y^b z^c | a + b + c = m + 1\}$$

It is worth noting that the two sets on the right hand side are disjoint, and the sum of their cardinalities is equal to the cardinality of the set on the left hand side. Using our inductive hypothesis together with Exercise 2.1.5a, we can see that the number of monomials of total degree $\leq n+1$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{6}(m+1)(m+2)(m+3) + \frac{1}{2}(m+2)(m+3) = \frac{1}{6}(m+2)(m+3)((m+1)+3) = \frac{1}{6}(m+2)(m+3)(m+4).$$

For the next part of our proof, we let f(t,u), g(t,u), h(t,u) be polynomials of total degree $\leq d$. For a given integer m such that $a+b+c\leq m$, we see that the polynomials $[f(t,u)]^a[g(t,u)]^b[h(t,u)]^z$ have a total degree of $d(a+b+c)\leq dm$. We concluded above that there are only $\frac{1}{6}(m+1)(m+2)(m+3)$ choices for a,b,c with $a+b+c\leq m$. Thus, these polynomials are contained in a vector space of dimension $\frac{1}{2}(dm+1)(dm+2)$ as shown in Exercise 2.1.5a. Therefore, these polynomials must be linearly dependent when m is chosen to be sufficiently large such that

$$\frac{1}{6}(m+1)(m+2)(m+3) > \frac{1}{2}(dm+1)(dm+2).$$

We now write this nontrivial dependence as

$$\sum_{a+b+c \le m} c_{a,b,c} x^a y^b z^c \in k[x,y,z]$$

and note that, when this dependece is equal to zero, then there is a nonzero polynomial

$$F = \sum_{a+b+c \le m} c_{a,b,c} x^a y^b z^c \in k[x,y,z]$$

that has the property that $F(f(t,u),g(t,u),h(t,u))=0\in k[t,u]$. This implies that V(F) contains the surface in k^3 parameterized by $(t,u)\to (f(t,u),g(t,u),h(t,u))$.