Assignment 1.5 Exercises: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12

Exercise 1.5.1

Proof. Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ be a polynomial of degree n > 0. We wish to show that f can be written in the form $f = c(x - a_1) \dots (x - a_n)$, where $c, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $c \neq 0$.

We begin by noting that f has some root $r_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ by Theorem 1.1.7. This allows us to rewrite $f = f_1(x - a_1)$ for some $f_1 \in \mathbb{C}[x]$. By Corollary 1.5.3, we know that f_1 has a degree of up to n-1. We can proceed similarly by acknowledging that f_1 has a root $r_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $f_1 = f_2(x - r_2)$ for some $f_2 \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ of degree n-2. We repeat this process n times to get f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1} with $f_{n-1} = cx + d$ having degree 1. Then $c \neq 0$, so $f_{n-1} = c(x - r_n)$ for $r_n = -d/c$. We now have the following

$$f = f_1(x - r_1) = f_2(x - r_2)(x - r_1) = \dots$$

= $f_{n-1}(x - r_{n-1}) \dots (x - r_1)$
= $c(x - r_n)(x - r_{n-1}) \dots (x - r_1)$
= $c(x - r_1) \dots (x - r_n)$

as desired. \Box

Exercise 1.5.2

Proof. Let A be the matrix pictured in the problem. If we suppose that the determinant $\det(A) = 0$, then there exists some vector $\vec{v} \in k^n$ such that $A\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ with $\vec{v} \neq \vec{0}$.

Let $\vec{v} = \langle c_0, \dots, c_{n-1} \rangle^T$. Next, define a polynomial that represents a row of A as $p(x) = c_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + c_0$. Since the degree of p(x) is at most n-1, it can have up to n-1 distinct roots. Observe that $\det(A) = 0$ implies that $p(a_i) = c_{n-1}a_i^{n-1} + \dots + c_0 = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n, i \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we have n distinct roots resulting from distinct a_i values, which contradicts our previous finding. Therefore, we can conclude by contradiction that $\det(A) \neq 0$.

Exercise 1.5.3

Proof. We wish to show that $I = \langle x, y \rangle \subseteq k[x, y]$ is not a principal ideal. In other words, I cannot be generated by one element. We proceed with a proof by contradiction.

Suppose, by way of contradiction, that $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle g \rangle$ for some $g \in k[x, y]$. Then g divides x, or in other words, x = fg for some $f \in k[x, y]$. Rewriting $f = \sum_i f_i(y)x^i$ and $g = \sum_j g_j(y)x^j$, we have that

$$x = fg = \left(\sum_{i} f_i(y)x^i\right) \left(\sum_{j} g_j(y)x^j\right) = \sum_{t} \left(\sum_{i+j=t} f_i(y)g_j(y)\right)x^t.$$

There are only two cases where this is possible.

<u>Case 1</u>: f = c and g = dx with $c, d \in k$ satisfying cd = 1. This implies that $y \in \langle x, y \rangle = \langle dx \rangle$ is divisible by x, which is impossible.

<u>Case 2</u>: f = cx and g = d with $c, d \in k$ satisfying cd = 1. This implies that $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle d \rangle$. This is impossible since $1 \notin \langle x, y \rangle$.

Exercise 1.5.4

Proof. Assume that $h = \gcd(f, g)$. Then, by Proposition 1.5.6, h is a generator of $\langle f, g \rangle$. That is, $\langle h \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$. Note that $h = 1 \cdot h \in \langle h \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$, which implies that h = Af + Bg for some $A, B \in k[x]$ by definition of the ideal $\langle f, g \rangle$.

Exercise 1.5.5

Proof. Let $f, g \in k[x]$. We wish to show that $\langle f - qg, g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$ for any $g \in k[x]$.

(⊆):

$$f - qg = 1 \cdot f + (-q) \cdot g \in \langle f, g \rangle$$
$$q = 0 \cdot f + 1 \cdot g \in \langle f, g \rangle$$

so $\langle f - qg, g \rangle \subseteq \langle f, g \rangle$.

(⊇):

$$f = 1 \cdot (f - qg) + q \cdot g \in \langle f - qg, g \rangle$$

$$g = 0 \cdot (f - qg) + 1 \cdot g \in \langle f - qg, g \rangle$$

so $\langle f, g \rangle \subseteq \langle f - qg, g \rangle$. Therefore, $\langle f - qg, g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$ as desired.

Exercise 1.5.6

Proof. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_s \in k[x]$ and let $h = \gcd(f_2, \ldots, f_s)$. We wish to show that $\langle f_1, h \rangle = \langle f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_s \rangle$.

(subseteq): By Proposition 1.5.6, we know that h is a generator of $\langle f_2, \ldots, f_s \rangle$, i.e., $\langle h \rangle = \langle f_2, \ldots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \langle f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_s \rangle$. We observe that $f_1 \in \langle f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_s \rangle$. Thus $\langle f_1, h \rangle \subseteq \langle f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_s \rangle$.

(supseteq): Note that $f_1 \in \langle f_1, h \rangle$ and that, for $2 \leq i \leq s$, $f_i \in \langle f_2, \ldots, f_s \rangle = \langle h \rangle \subseteq \langle f_1, h \rangle$. Thus $\langle f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_s \rangle \subseteq \langle f_1, h \rangle$.

Therefore,
$$\langle f_1, h \rangle = \langle f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s \rangle$$
.

Exercise 1.5.7 The algorithm is as follows:

Input:
$$f_1, \ldots, f_s \in k[x], s \geq 2$$

Output: $h = \gcd(f_1, \ldots, f_s)$
 $h := f_s$
FOR $i = s - 1$ TO 1 DO {
 $h := \gcd(f_i, h)$
}
RETURN h

Exercise 1.5.10 The algorithm is as follows:

```
Input: f, g \in k[x]
Output: h = \gcd(f, g), A, B \in k[x] with Af + Bg = h
h := f
s := g
A := 1
B := 0
C := 0
D := 1
WHILE s \neq 0 DO {
r := remainder(h, s)
q := quotient(h, s)
h := s
s := r
TempA := A
TempB := B
A := C
B := D
C := \text{TempA} - q * C
D := \text{TempB} - q * D
}
RETURN h, A, B
```

Exercise 1.5.11

- (1.5.11a): Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ be nonzero. We wish to show that $V(f) = \emptyset$ if and only if f is constant and will proceed by proving the contrapositive statements $V(f) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow f$ is nonconstant.
- (\Rightarrow) : Assume $V(f) \neq \emptyset$, so there exists some $a \in V(f)$. This implies that f(a) = 0 so f must be nonconstant since we assumed f to be nonzero.
- (\Leftarrow): Assume f is nonconstant. Then, by Theorem 1.1.7, there exists some root $a \in \mathbb{C}$ which implies $a \in V(f)$ so $V(f) \neq \emptyset$.

Therefore, $V(f) = \emptyset$ if and only if f is constant.

(1.5.11b): Let $f_1, \ldots, f_s \in \mathbb{C}[x]$. We wish to show that

$$V(f_1,\ldots,f_s)=\emptyset \Leftrightarrow \gcd(f_1,\ldots,f_s)=1.$$

- (\Rightarrow) : Let $f = \gcd(f_1, \ldots, f_s)$. Then f is a generator for $\langle f_1, \ldots, f_s \rangle$ such that $\langle f \rangle = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_s \rangle$. Proposition 1.4.4 then gives that $V(f_1, \ldots, f_s) = V(f) = \emptyset$. This implies that f is a constant, and it follows that f = 1 since any other nonzero value implies that $V(f_1, \ldots, f_2) \neq \emptyset$.
- (\Leftarrow): Let $f = \gcd(f_1, \ldots, f_s) = 1$. It follows that f is a generator for $\langle f_1, \ldots, f_s \rangle$, i.e., $\langle f \rangle = \langle f_1, \ldots f_s \rangle$. Note that $V(f) = \emptyset$ since f is a constant. Proposition 1.4.4 then gives that $V(f) = V(f_1, \ldots, f_s) = \emptyset$.

Therefore, $V(f_1, \ldots, f_s) = \emptyset$ if and only if $gcd(f_1, \ldots, f_s) = 1$.

(1.5.11c): Given an arbitrary set of polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_s \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, compute the gcd $f = \gcd(f_1, \ldots, f_s)$. If f = 1, then $V(f_1, \ldots, f_s) = \emptyset$. If $f \neq 1$, then $V(f_1, \ldots, f_s) \neq \emptyset$. This is true because of the biconditional that was proven in Exercise 1.5.11b.

Exercise 1.5.12

(1.5.12a): $V(f) = \{a_1, \dots, a_l\}$ follows by definition of $f = c(x - a_1)^{r_1} \dots (x - a_l)^{r_l}$

(1.5.12b): Let $f_{red} = c(x - a_1) \dots (x - a_l)$. We wish to show that $I(V(f)) = \langle f_{red} \rangle$.

(\subseteq): Let $g \in I(V(f))$, i.e., g vanishes at $\{a_1, \ldots, a_l\}$. This means that g has at least l roots labeled $a_1, \ldots, a_l, a_{l+1}, \ldots, a_m$ where $m \geq l$. Since we are over \mathbb{C} , we have that

$$g = d(x - a_1)^{s_1} \dots (x - a_l)^{s_l} (x - a_{l+1})^{s_{l+1}} \dots (x - a_m)^{s_m}$$

with $d \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $d \neq 0$ and $s_i \geq 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Hence, g is a multiple of $(x - a_1) \dots (x - a_l)$ and thus it is a multiple of $f_{red} = c(x - a_1) \dots (x - a_l)$ (since $c \neq 0$). Thus $g \in \langle f_{red} \rangle$. Since g is arbitrary, we have shown that $I(V(f)) \subseteq \langle f_{red} \rangle$.

(\supseteq): Note that f_{red} vanishes on $\{a_1, \ldots, a_l\} = V(f)$. Thus $f_{red} \in I(V(f))$ and so $\langle f_{red} \rangle \subseteq I(V(f))$.

Therefore, we conclude that $I(V(f)) = \langle f_{red} \rangle$ as desired.