

301AA - Advanced Programming

Lecturer: **Andrea Corradini**

andrea@di.unipi.it

<http://pages.di.unipi.it/corradini/>

Course pages:

<http://pages.di.unipi.it/corradini/Didattica/AP-18/>

AP-2018-13: Polymorphisms

Outline

- Polymorphism: a classification
- Overloading
- Coercion
- Inclusion polymorphism
- Overriding

Polymorphism

- From Greek: **πολυμορφος**, composed of **πολυ** (many) and **μορφή** (form), thus “having several forms”
- “Forms” are **types**
- “Polymorphic” are **function names** (also *operators, methods, ...*)
- “Polymorphic” can also be **types** (parametric data types, type constructors, generics, ...)
 - Usually as encapsulation of several related function names

Flavors of polymorphism

- Ad hoc
- Bounded
- Contravariant
- Covariant
- Inclusion
- Invariant
- Parametric
- Universal
- ...

Related concepts:

- Coercion
- Generics
- Inheritance
- Macros
- Overloading
- Overriding
- Subtyping
- Templates
- ...


Universal vs. ad hoc polymorphism

- With **ad hoc** polymorphism the same function name denotes different algorithms, determined by the actual types
- With **universal** polymorphism there is only one algorithm: a single (universal) solution applies to different objects
- Ad hoc and universal polymorphism can coexist

Binding time

- The **binding** of the function name with the actual code to execute can be
 - at **compile time – early, static binding**
 - at **linking time**
 - at **execution time – late, dynamic binding**
- If it spans over different phases, the **binding time** is the last one.
- The earlier the better, for debugging reasons.

Classification of Polymorphism



Ad hoc polymorphism: **overloading**

- Present in all languages, at least for built-in arithmetic operators: +, *, -, ...
- Sometimes supported for user defined functions (Java, C++, ...)
- C++, Haskell allow overloading of primitive operators
- The code to execute is determined by the **type of the arguments**, thus
 - **early binding** in statically typed languages
 - **late binding** in dynamically typed languages

Overloading: an example

- Function for squaring a number:

```
sqr(x) { return x * x; }
```

- Typed version (like in C) :

```
int sqr(int x) { return x * x; }
```

- Multiple versions for different types:

```
int sqrInt(int x) { return x * x; }
```

```
double sqrDouble(double x) { return x * x; }
```

- Overloading (Java, C++):

```
int sqr(int x) { return x * x; }
```

```
double sqr(double x) { return x * x; }
```

Overloading in Haskell

- Haskell introduces **type classes** for handling overloading in presence of type inference
- Very nice and clean solution, unlike most programming languages
- We shall present this later in the course


Universal polymorphism: Coercion

- **Coercion**: automatic conversion of an object to a different type
- Opposed to **casting**, which is explicit

```
double sqrt(double x){...}  
double d = sqrt(5) // applied to int
```

- Thus the same code is applied to arguments of different types
- Degenerate (and uninteresting) case of polymorphism

Classification of Polymorphism



Inclusion polymorphism

- Also known as **subtyping polymorphism**, or just **inheritance**
- Polymorphism ensured by (Barbara Liskov') **Substitution principle**: an object of a subtype (subclass) can be used in any context where an object of the supertype (superclass) is expected
- [Java, C++,...] methods/functions with a formal parameter of type **T** accept an actual parameter of type **S <: T** (**S** subtype of **T**).
- Methods/virtual functions declared in a class can be invoked on objects of subclasses, if not redefined...

Overriding

- [Java] A method **m(...)** of a class **A** can be redefined in a subclass **B** of **A**.
- **Dynamic binding:**

```
A a = new B();    // legal  
a.m(...)         // overridden method in B is invoked
```

- Overriding introduces **ad hoc polymorphism** in the **universal polymorphism** of inheritance
- Resolved at runtime by the lookup done by the **invokevirtual** operation of the JVM

Overloading + Overriding: C++ vs Java

```
class A {
public:
    virtual void onFoo() {}
    virtual void onFoo(int i) {}
};

class B : public A {
public:
    virtual void onFoo(int i) {}
};

class C : public B {
};

int main() {

    C* c = new C();
    c->onFoo();

    //Compile error –
    // doesn't exist
}
```

```
class A {

    public void onFoo() {}
    public void onFoo(int i) {}
}

class B extends A {

    public void onFoo(int i) {}
}

class C extends B {
}

class D {
public static void main(String[] s)
{
    C c = new C();
    c.onFoo();

    }
}
```

//Compiles !!

Overriding + Overloading

- **[Java]** **Overloading** is type-checked by the compiler
- **Overriding** resolved at runtime by the lookup done by `invokevirtual`
- **[C++]** Dynamic method dispatch: C++ adds a v-table to each object from a class having virtual methods
- The compiler does not see any declaration of `onFoo` in C, so it continues upwards in the hierarchy. When it checks B, it finds a declaration of `void onFoo(int i)`, so it **stops lookup** and tries **overload resolution**, but it fails due to the inconsistency in the arguments.
- `void onFoo(int i)` **hides** the definitions of `onFoo` in the superclass.
- Solution: add `using A::onFoo;` to class B