## Homework 3 - Problem 2 (Approach 1)

```
Given Set A is the set containing a sequence of numbers

Let size be the size of Set A

Let maxLen be 0

Let longestSubsequence be an empty array

while size > 0:

Let smallest be MAX_VALUE

Let smallestIndex be -1

for index from 0 to size - 1:

    if A at index < smallest:
        smallest = A at index
        smallestIndex = index

output smallest

Remove A at smallestIndex from A

size = size - 1
```

Time Estimate: O(n²) Explanation:

Search for the minimum element in the remaining sequence, which requires comparing every element with the minimum element. This is  $O(n^2)$  worst case scenario.

Approach 1 does not work:

Example:

```
Set A = [8, 2, 5, 7, 3, 4, 9, 6, 10]
Approach Output = [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10]
Correct Output = [2, 3, 4, 9, 10] or [2, 5, 7, 9, 10]
```

This outputs the numbers from smallest to largest without guaranteeing the longest increasing subsequence. The issue is it isn't comparing the indexes of the values properly.

## Homework 3 - Problem 2 (Approach 2)

```
Given Set A is the set containing a sequence of numbers
Let size be the size of Set A
Let maxLen be 0
Let longestSubsequence be an empty array
for 1 from 1 to size:
   Let index be 1
   Let currentLen be 1
   Let currentSubsequence be an empty array
    while index is less than size:
       Let Vi be index at A
       Find the smallest subIndex such that subIndex > index and
        Vi is less than subIndex at A
        If no such subIndex exists, exit
        Set index = subIndex
        currentLen++
       Append index at A to currentSubsequence
    if currentLen is greater than maxLen:
        Set maxLen to currentLen
        Set longestSubsequence to currentSubsequence
Output longestSubsequence
```

Time Estimate: O(n²) Explanation:

The inner loop, which searches for the smallest subIndex, can potentially check each element in the sequence. The outer loop iterates through all possible starting points. This is O(n \* n) or  $O(n^2)$ 

## Approach 2 works:

Example:

```
Set A = [8, 2, 5, 7, 3, 4, 9, 6, 10]
Approach Output = [2, 5, 7, 9, 10]
Correct Output = [2, 3, 4, 9, 10] or [2, 5, 7, 9, 10]
```

This works because it correctly compares the index of the numbers as well as the numbers themselves, something that Approach 1 did not do.