

MEASUREMENT OF THE CHARGE AND LIGHT YIELD OF LOW ENERGY ELECTRONIC RECOILS IN LIQUID XENON AT DIFFERENT ELECTRIC FIELDS

Matthew D. Anthony

June 25, 2015





Motivation

LXe experiments lead direct dark matter scattering search

- Xenon100, LUX, Xenon1T

Dual-phase detectors → simultaneously detect light and charge



- Prompt light emission from interaction in LXe (**S1**)
- Complementary signal from acceleration of electrons through GXe after electrons drift through LXe (**S2**)



Motivation

Goal: improve understanding of low energy interactions in LXe



- Given an electronic or nuclear recoil at a certain energy in a drift field, how much light and charge do you expect to be produced?
- Light and charge yield **non-linear** in energy and drift field
 - Light Yield = Photoelectrons / Energy
 - Charge Yield = Free Electrons / Energy



neriX Detector

Dual-phase LXe Time Projection Chamber for measuring
nuclear and electronic recoils in Xenon

- Small size and minimal materials surrounding fiducial volume make this detector well-suited for measurements of the light and charge yield
- Unlike most previous measurements, can measure the light yield as a function of drift field applied
- Pursue light and charge yields as low as 1 keV





neriX Detector

Very similar design to its much larger cousins

- Dual-phase (S1 and S2)
- 3D Position Reconstruction
- Stable cryogenics system
- Single photon and electron resolution

PMTs

- 4 1" square 4 channel PMTs on top
- 1 2" diameter HQE PMT on bottom





Component	Voltage [kV]
Anode Grid	4.5
Gate Grid	0
Shaping Rings	0
Cathode Grid	-0.345, -1.054, -2.356, -5.500
Bottom Mesh	0

Compton Coincidence Technique

Energy deposited in LXe determined using Compton Coincidence Technique

- Photons Compton scatter in LXe then deposit remaining energy in HPGe detector




Coincidence Spectrum


Below are two sample coincidence spectra that are used to determine the light and charge yield at a given energy

All data are **preliminary**



Prompt Scintillation Light (S1)
vs. Energy Deposited in LXe



Proportional Scintillation Light (S2) vs. Energy Deposited in LXe





Electronic Recoils: Light and Charge Yields



- By projecting energy slices in the previous plots into S1 and S2, we can fit the remaining spectrum for the yield
- Above are preliminary results for the light and charge yield at different drift fields



Electronic Recoils: Light and Charge Yields



- S1 and S2 **anti-correlated** with respect to field
- Below 10 keV, light yield **increases** with energy and charge yield **decreases** with energy for all fields
- Light and charge yield measured down to 1 keV - stay tuned!

Nuclear Recoils: Light and Charge Yields

- In coming months, will perform very similar measurement with neutron source
- Similar concept but with additional complications
 - No energy resolution in liquid scintillators - completely dependent on scattering angle for determination of energy deposited in LXe
 - Must account for neutron time of flight in coincidence trigger




Backup

Single Photoelectron and Electron Detection


- Single Photoelectron Gain
 - Use LED at low light level to measure SPE gain
 - Relatively low gain ($\sim 4\text{-}7 \times 10^5 \text{ e}^-$) to avoid saturation
 - Use background subtraction and coincidence cut to clean distribution
- Single Electron Gain
 - Use photoionization of cathode by S2 to find small numbers of electrons

Photoionization of Gate and Cathode




More details on single electron gain: J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 41 (2014) 035201

SPE Gain Calibration



Single Electron Gain



Position Reconstruction


Similar to larger LXe detectors, neriX is able to reconstruct the 3D position of an event

- More difficult given small size of the detector
- Used Geant4 construction of the detector to simulate S2 patterns at given positions
- Train neural network on the simulation using FANN open source library
- Average error of simulated data inside radius of 18 mm ≈ 0.5 mm

$$\{S2_1, \dots, S2_{16}\} \Rightarrow$$




$$\Rightarrow \{X, Y\}$$




neriX Operation


SPE Gain Calibration



Position Reconstruction



Single Electron Gain



Simulated vs. Reconstructed Position

