# Data and Detailed Results for "Network Migration Problem: A Hybrid Logic-based Benders Decomposition Approach"

#### Maryam Daryalal

Department of Decision Sciences, HEC Montréal, Montréal, Québec H3T 2A7, Canada maryam.daryalal@hec.ca

#### Hamed Pouya\*, Marc-Antoine De Santis<sup>†</sup>

Ciena Canada, Inc.

\*hpouya@ciena.com, †mdesanti@ciena.com

This document describes the data files of the paper Daryalal et al. (2023). The files contain both the data used in the numerical experiments, as well as the detailed results.

#### 1. Networks

File: network + ".gml":

- network is one of the networks given in Table 4 of Section 4.1.

The data given in these text files represents the topology of the network network. These files can be read as follows:

```
1
     graph
     # Set of information on the network, e.g., when it was recorded, the
         reference, etc.
     node
3
       id
4
       label
5
       Country
6
       Internal # whether this node is an internal node of the network
8
9
       Latitude
10
11
12
13 .
```

```
14 | edge [
15 | source
16 | target
17 | id
18 | ]
19 | .
20 | .
21 | .
22 |
```

### 2. Problem Sets

File: network + "M" + ep\_per\_site + ".xlsx":

- network is one of the networks given in Table 4 of Section 4.1.
- ep\_per\_siteis average number of endpoints per site.

These Excel files (provided in the folders "DataSets" contain parameters and data used for the computational results. Each Excel file has 5 Sheets, four of which contain the parameters of the instances, such as costs, and Sheet circuits contains the detailed info on the circuits.

## 3. Detailed Results

We have reported the detailed results of our experiments in the following tables (also reported in the paper and e-companion):

#### References

Daryalal M, Pouya H, De Santis MA (2023) Network migration problem: A hybrid logic-based benders decomposition approach. *INFORMS Journal on Computing*.

Table 3.1 Solution and computational effort

	Gap		Cost (\$)		#ITER.		Time (s)	
Dataset	Mean	CI width	Mean	CI width	Mean	CI width	Mean	CI width
5	0.6%	1.1%	10472.0	448.7	3.5	0.6	1124.1	268.6
6	1.0%	1.9%	11424.0	923.4	10.5	4.3	4607.1	2309.7
7	4.7%	1.9%	11877.3	648.9	6.5	2.0	1459.0	509.7
8	4.9%	2.9%	12693.3	611.3	7.3	1.6	2582.6	539.9
9	3.7%	3.0%	13328.0	615.6	10.8	6.1	5109.8	3376.7
5	1.2%	2.2%	13192.0	954.9	6.0	3.0	699.2	560.3
6	2.6%	2.1%	14960.0	1443.7	4.8	2.3	675.7	374.2
7	2.4%	1.7%	15640.0	243.3	5.3	2.0	997.9	415.8
8	1.8%	1.3%	16048.0	615.6	8.2	4.1	2445.6	399.6
9	4.1%	2.5%	16864.0	721.8	8.0	2.9	3120.4	1939.1
5	1.9%	1.7%	15912.0	821.6	5.7	1.6	1817.2	796.4
6	3.2%	2.1%	17136.0	533.1	9.2	2.0	4929.4	1385.8
5	1.3%	1.5%	11832.0	625.1	8.3	2.3	2387.6	697.1
6	5.2%	2.4%	12648.0	498.7	5.7	2.3	3635.1	2640.4
5	1.3%	1.4%	18224.0	1109.8	4.5	1.4	619.6	475.2
6	3.1%	2.1%	19312.0	721.8	6.0	1.6	855.6	460.3
7	4.2%	2.2%	19856.0	721.8	6.0	3.7	2472.6	2731.3
5	4.9%	1.8%	17272.0	877.3	5.8	3.5	2259.2	899.9
6	3.8%	1.9%	17952.0	377.0	7.3	3.1	3308.0	769.4

Table 3.2 Breakdown of solution times

Dataset	Iterations		Sol Time		LBBD MP Time		CG Time		CP Time	
Dataset	Mean	CI width	Mean	CI width	Mean	CI width	Mean	CI width	Mean	CI width
5	3.5	0.6	1124.1	268.6	548.2	198.3	540.1	196.0	575.8	147.6
6	10.5	4.3	4607.1	2309.7	2953.3	1929.8	2939.2	1928.9	1653.5	680.5
7	6.5	2.0	1459.0	509.7	45.7	23.4	27.6	13.7	1413.2	497.5
8	7.3	1.6	2582.6	539.9	693.8	271.9	531.6	225.2	1888.4	563.5
9	10.8	6.1	5109.8	3376.7	2201.9	1889.0	1384.5	1000.8	2907.4	1817.8
5	6.0	3.0	699.2	560.3	18.0	16.1	4.6	2.7	680.9	561.0
6	4.8	2.3	675.7	374.2	94.8	42.8	17.6	6.3	580.8	361.1
7	5.3	2.0	997.9	415.8	177.6	63.9	32.9	15.0	820.1	381.1
8	8.2	4.1	2445.6	399.6	1182.4	626.9	135.9	66.9	1262.8	433.9
9	8.0	2.9	3120.4	1939.1	1980.7	1546.1	67.1	42.6	1139.5	543.4
5	5.7	1.6	1817.2	796.4	812.9	505.1	726.2	475.5	1004.1	322.2
6	9.2	2.0	4929.4	1385.8	3267.2	1306.1	2961.9	1167.6	1661.8	272.9
5	8.3	2.3	2387.6	697.1	836.1	293.6	748.0	319.1	1551.2	480.3
6	5.7	2.3	3635.1	2640.4	2630.0	2194.2	2473.5	2132.5	1005.0	490.1
5	4.5	1.4	619.6	475.2	400.5	408.2	27.1	12.1	218.8	94.4
6	6.0	1.6	855.6	460.3	608.2	393.2	36.1	15.3	247.1	108.7
7	6.0	3.7	2472.6	2731.3	2184.8	2503.3	96.2	108.1	287.3	251.1
5	5.8	3.5	2259.2	899.9	1217.2	523.3	1112.4	507.2	1041.8	541.1
6	7.3	3.1	3308.0	769.4	1759.4	718.7	139.2	36.3	1548.3	996.6

Table 3.3 The number of cuts and columns generated during the solution process

Dataset	$\#\mathrm{Cut}_{\mathrm{OPT}}^{\mathrm{LBBD}}$		$\#\mathrm{Cut}^{\mathrm{LBBD}}_{\mathrm{\scriptscriptstyle FEAS}}$		$\#\mathrm{Cut}^{\mathrm{BD}}_{\mathrm{OPT}}$		#Columns	
	Mean	CI width	Mean	CI width	Mean	CI width	Mean	CI width
5	121.8	35.3	27.3	11.2	33.0	11.6	408.8	180.2
6	566.8	273.0	84.0	30.5	79.2	43.0	951.2	375.3
7	361.2	101.1	66.3	44.1	76.8	36.8	1350.8	565.1
8	463.7	114.1	88.3	29.0	170.8	62.0	3693.8	1120.1
9	919.2	605.7	119.3	104.5	480.7	464.9	7318.7	4824.6
5	218.3	136.2	59.2	23.6	35.3	28.4	45.5	0.9
6	237.5	167.3	42.7	25.4	115.8	43.8	159.8	162.7
7	267.7	130.6	61.5	40.4	220.5	50.3	274.8	411.2
8	434.3	148.6	66.0	31.4	386.2	164.1	1405.5	646.1
9	622.3	317.5	103.7	32.8	666.5	405.6	1456.2	991.8
5	289.0	96.7	76.0	20.5	110.0	73.0	741.5	462.9
6	666.8	159.0	124.8	36.9	308.2	70.9	2101.8	1108.5
5	476.8	185.9	78.3	36.1	232.7	165.6	1026.8	691.0
6	307.7	161.8	37.0	17.9	365.5	260.5	1024.3	582.1
5	215.8	83.0	50.0	29.9	176.0	107.9	57.0	0.0
6	343.3	137.5	72.2	13.6	219.0	83.0	57.0	0.0
7	375.7	320.2	65.2	42.6	661.0	728.0	57.0	0.0
5	385.5	322.0	63.3	43.9	136.7	53.6	1772.0	1091.4
6	571.3	328.8	115.8	53.0	411.5	102.8	4607.8	1571.0